

MINUTES

Special Council Meeting

15 March 2021

Mayor and Councillors

Here within are the Minutes of the Special Council Meeting of the City of South Perth Council held Monday 15 March 2021 in the City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth.



MIKE BRADFORD
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

16 March 2021

Acknowledgement of Country

Kaartdjinin Nidja Nyungar Whadjuk Boodjar Koora Nidja Djining Noonakoort kaartdijin wangkiny, maam, gnarnk and boordier Nidja Whadjuk kura kura.

We acknowledge and pay our respects to the traditional custodians of this land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and their Elders past and present.

Our Guiding Values



Disclaimer

The City of South Perth disclaims any liability for any loss arising from any person or body relying on any statement, discussion, recommendation or decision made during this meeting.

Where an application for an approval, a licence or the like is discussed or determined during this meeting, the City warns that neither the applicant, nor any other person or body, should rely upon that discussion or determination until written notice of either an approval and the conditions which relate to it, or the refusal of the application has been issued by the City.

Contents

1.	DECLARATION OF OPENING	4
2.	ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER	4
3.	ATTENDANCE	4
	3.1 APOLOGIES	5
	3.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE	5
4.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	5
5.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	5
	5.1 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 15 MARCH 2021	5
6	DEPUTATIONS	5
7.	REPORTS	6
	7.0 MATTERS REFERRED FROM PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS	6
	7.0.1 Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan and Progress Update	6
	7.1 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 1: COMMUNITY	16
	7.1.1 Recreation and Aquatic Facility Review of Business Case (Project Definition Plan, Operational Feasibility) by Deloitte and Warren Green Consulting	16
8.	CLOSURE	20
	APPENDIX	21
	DISCLAIMER	25

Special Council Meeting - Minutes

Minutes of the Special Council Meeting held in the City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth at 7.00pm on Monday 15 March 2021.

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Presiding Member opened the meeting at 7.01pm and welcomed everyone in attendance.

2. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER

Nil.

3. ATTENDANCE

Mayor Greg Milner (Presiding Member)

Councillors

Como Ward	Councillor Carl Celedin
Como Ward	Councillor Glenn Cridland
Manning Ward	Councillor Blake D'Souza
Manning Ward	Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Moresby Ward	Councillor Samantha Bradder
Moresby Ward	Councillor Stephen Russell
Mill Point Ward	Councillor Mary Choy
Mill Point Ward	Councillor Ken Manolas

Officers

Chief Executive Officer	Mr Mike Bradford
Director Corporate Services	Mr Colin Cameron
Director Development and Community Services	Ms Vicki Lummer
Director Infrastructure Services	Mr Mark Taylor
Manager Business & Construction	Ms Jac Scott
Manager Governance	Ms Bernadine Tucker
Manager Stakeholder & Customer Relations	Ms Danielle Cattalini
Governance Coordinator	Ms Toni Fry
Marketing Coordinator	Ms Lisa Williams
A/Project Director – RAF	Ms Rebecca de Boer

Guest

Mr Geoff Baker

Gallery

There were approximately 17 members of the public present.

3.1 APOLOGIES

Nil.

3.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Councillor Bradder (15 March 2021 – 31 March 2021 inclusive)

4. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Nil.

5. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

5.1 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 15 MARCH 2021

In accordance with Regulation 7(4)(b) of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 all questions asked at this meeting must relate to the purpose of this meeting.

The Presiding Member then opened Public Question Time at 7.02pm.

Written questions were received prior to the meeting from:

- Mr Bob Mitchell of Fortune Street, South Perth
- Dr Paul Raymond of Downey Drive, Manning

A table of questions received and answers provided can be found in the **Appendix** of these Minutes.

The Presiding Member then closed Public Question Time at 7.14pm.

6 DEPUTATIONS

Deputations were received prior to the meeting and heard as follows:

- Mr Tony Wheeler of Westland Place, Waterford
- Ms Sue Doherty of Birdwood Avenue, Como
- Mr Adrian MacDonald of Mill Point Road, South Perth
- Mrs Cecilia Brooke of Garden Street, South Perth
- Mr Jordan Smith of Saunders Street, Como

7. REPORTS

7.0 MATTERS REFERRED FROM PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS

7.0.1 Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan and Progress Update

Location:	Collier Park Golf Course
Ward:	Moresby Ward
Applicant:	Not Applicable
File Ref:	D-21-18295
Meeting Date:	15 March 2021
Author(s):	Jac Scott, Manager Business & Construction
Reporting Officer(s):	Mark Taylor, Director Infrastructure Services
Strategic Direction:	Community: A diverse, connected, safe and engaged community
Council Strategy:	1.2 Community Infrastructure

Summary

This report provides a summary of submissions received in response to the Recreation and Aquatic Facility (RAF) Business Plan, which was advertised in accordance with Section 3.59 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

The report recommends that Council approve the Business Plan. Approval enables the City to sign the \$20 million funding agreement with the Federal Government and progress discussions with the State Government regarding their potential investment in the project.

In addition, the report provides an update on discussions regarding additional funding sources for the project and a progress report on the industry and financial review of the RAF Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan (Business Case).

Officer Recommendation and Committee Recommendation

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor Glenn Cridland

The Property Committee recommends that Council:

1. Considers the 49 submissions **Attachment (a)** received in response to the advertised Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan;
2. Approves the Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan in **Attachment (c)**;
3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to sign the \$20 million project funding agreement with the Federal Government, in accordance with the requirements of that contract;
4. Notes that the Federal Government grant funds will be placed in the Major Community Facilities Reserve, with approval to use the funds to be considered at a budget adjustment or annual budget adoption; and

5. Notes the progress made to seek additional funding for the project and the financial and industry review of the Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan (Business Case).

0321/030

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Councillor Glenn Cridland
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

In accordance with Clause 8.10 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007 Mayor Greg Milner be granted an additional five minutes to speak.

CARRIED (9/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Samantha Bradder, Carl Celedin, Mary Choy, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Ken Manolas, Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

0321/031

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor Mary Choy

In accordance with Clause 8.10 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007 Councillor Glenn Cridland be granted an additional five minutes to speak.

CARRIED (9/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Samantha Bradder, Carl Celedin, Mary Choy, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Ken Manolas, Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

0321/032

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor Glenn Cridland

The Property Committee recommends that Council:

1. Considers the 49 submissions **Attachment (a)** received in response to the advertised Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan;
2. Approves the Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan in **Attachment (c)**;
3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to sign the \$20 million project funding agreement with the Federal Government, in accordance with the requirements of that contract;

4. Notes that the Federal Government grant funds will be placed in the Major Community Facilities Reserve, with approval to use the funds to be considered at a budget adjustment or annual budget adoption; and
5. Notes the progress made to seek additional funding for the project and the financial and industry review of the Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan (Business Case).

CARRIED (7/2)

For: Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors Samantha Bradder, Carl Celedin, Mary Choy, Glenn Cridland, Ken Manolas, Stephen Russell.

Against: Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Blake D'Souza.

Background

At its meeting held 24 November 2020, Council considered a report documenting progress made in developing the Recreation and Aquatic Facility (RAF) project since its previous (September 2019) resolution. This included the Project Definition Plan and Operational Feasibility Report as well as the outcomes from community and stakeholder consultation.

In response to the report, Council resolved as follows:

That Council:

1. *Notes the “Stakeholder and Community Engagement for the Proposed Recreation and Aquatic Facility (RAF) Report” in **Attachment (a)** that informs the Business Case;*
2. *Endorses the Business Case for the Recreation and Aquatic Facility (RAF) consisting of:*
 - *the Project Definition Plan in **Confidential Attachment (b)** and*
 - *the Operational Feasibility Report in **Confidential Attachment (c)**,*

while noting that the Business Case relies on assumptions, including:

 - 1) *a total funding package of \$80 million;*
 - 2) *the projected financial self-sustainability of the RAF,*

and recognising that the Business Case would need to be amended for Council consideration, should either of these assumptions change;
3. *Agrees to match the Federal Funding commitment with a \$20million capital expenditure commitment towards the development of the RAF, noting the required additional funding is being sought from various agencies, including the State Government;*
4. *Requests the Chief Executive Officer to pursue additional funding from the State Government and other project partners in order to deliver the RAF.*
5. *In accordance with section 3.59 of the Local Government Act 1995:*
 - *gives state-wide public notice of the RAF Section 3.59 Business Plan in **Attachment (d)**; and*

- *notes that following the state-wide public notice period, a report considering submissions (and including an update on the progress of securing additional funding commitments from the State Government and other project partners) will be presented to the February 2021 meeting of Council, where it may be determined to proceed with implementing the Business Plan.*
6. *Requests the Chief Executive Officer to engage further suitable independent and relevant industry and financial experts to review the Business Case with respect to financial modelling, key operating assumptions, operating cashflows and usage projections as further due diligence and these be reported to Council.*

Comment

In response to Points 5 and 6 of the November 2020 Council resolution, the following work has been undertaken:

1. Section 3.59 Business Plan

The RAF 'Business Plan' is a State Government requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995* (Act). Section 3.59 of the Act and Regulations 8 and 8A of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 (Regulations); require the City to prepare a Business Plan before it considers entering into a major land transaction and/or major trading undertaking.

The process associated with the 'Business Plan' is separate from the 'Business Case' approved by Council in November 2020. For example, the Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan are not required for the Business Plan.

The RAF Business Plan **Attachment (c)** was formally advertised in accordance with Section 3.59 of the Act. The Business Plan was advertised in the West Australian on 27 November 2020 and in the Community News Southern Gazette on 3 December 2020. It was also available on the City's website and hard copies were available from the Civic Centre and Libraries during business hours. Submissions opened on 27 November 2020 and closed on 22 January 2021.

Forty-nine submissions were received by the due date. Of these, 29 were in favour, 16 were not in favour and four did not clearly state a preference. The number of submissions indicates the level of community interest in the project.

Around 60% of the submissions were supportive of the RAF, consistent with the results from the stakeholder engagement process held in 2020. The common refrain was that the RAF would be an 'asset to South Perth' and is 'long overdue'. Submitters also noted the community benefits associated with RAF including bringing the community together, encouraging social interaction and increased physical activity as well as the importance of having a 'meeting place' for the community built around physical activity.

Around 30% submissions received did not support the RAF, citing concerns about potential rate increases, the location and loss of amenity and/or access to the golf course.

The complete list of submissions and officer comments is attached to this report **Attachments (a) and (b)**. A table has been provided below, which summarises the key themes, comments and concerns raised by the submissions and officer responses.

Key Themes	Officer Response
Community support	
Widespread community support, including statements such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • great asset • well overdue • would pay for memberships in advance to raise funds • 'let's catch up with the rest and remain the best place to live in Perth' 	Noted
Community building – a number of submissions noted how the RAF could help build and strengthen the South Perth community by providing a central meeting place.	Noted.
Financial viability	
Reliability of the Operational Feasibility Report	This is the subject of a current review by financial consultants Deloitte, in conjunction with industry expert Warren Green Consulting. Council will be provided with the outcomes.
Ongoing affordability for ratepayers.	The Operational Feasibility Report demonstrates the facility will be financially self-sustaining. This includes covering operations, maintenance and replacement costs. The facility is expected to provide a contribution to the municipal fund over and above the ongoing maintenance. The proposal provides a net saving over the current financial demands for the overdue renewal of the existing facilities.
Concerns about the viability of leisure centres in other local government areas (Vic Park, Canning) and the potential usage by	The Operational Feasibility Report demonstrates the facility will be financially self-sustaining. Discussions with Curtin University, as a

Curtin University students.	project partner, indicate that additional gym capacity is required.
Lack of detail about 'Joint Venture' partners.	There are no Joint Venture partners for this project.
Concern over the Funding Shortfall	<p>The City continues to work on funding for the project and is progressing well towards the target. Confirmation of sufficient funding is the next target for the project to progress.</p> <p>The City has received a formal letter from Curtin university confirming a capital contribution together with the potential for ongoing operational support.</p>
Golf	
Reduction in number of holes.	<p>The facility will retain 27 holes at Collier Park Golf Course albeit with one nine having a reduced length (par 31).</p> <p>The remodelled Lake Nine is expected to appeal to developing, younger and older golfers, as it will prioritise skill over power. It will also provide an alternative for golfers with time constraints, or during extreme weather when a less strenuous option may be preferable.</p>
Reduced access to Collier Park Golf Course (already considered to be limited).	<p>The 27-hole golf course is maintained, but with a remodelled Lake Nine, proposed as a par 31 course.</p> <p>The high usage currently experienced is primarily related to COVID-19 and is expected to decline as competing activities re-emerge.</p>
Environmental and Design	
Destruction of pine trees.	The project does not affect the area of heritage pine trees that are almost entirely located on the Pines and Island Nine courses.
Inclusive design principles, including access for people with a disability and beyond, which is stated in the relevant codes and innovative solutions for those with sensory,	This will be addressed in the detailed design of the facility - the current phase is operational feasibility

needs.	
Input from local Indigenous groups on design.	There has been early consultation with local Indigenous groups and this will continue as design continues.
Width of swimming lanes – international standard.	The swimming pool design will reflect international standards.
Inclusions in the RAF	
Hydrotherapy pool for specific use (rehabilitation only).	The current project definition plan includes for warm water hydrotherapy. Access and hygiene requirements will be considered in future definition and operational planning stages.
Steam room and spa.	Noted.
Reduced pricing for seniors, students and other groups to facilitate access.	Pricing has not yet been determined; however, concession pricing is common practice in City facilities.
Strength for Life Program – a strength-training program for seniors.	Noted.
Location	
Other sites such as George Burnett Leisure Centre or the old library on Manning Road were proposed.	The site was selected as the preferred location after an in depth study identified it as the most appropriate and financially viable location. Full details are available in the September 2019 Council report.

During the advertising period, a small error was identified in the Business Plan where Collier Park Golf Course was referred to as Collier Park Golf Club. This did not affect the consultation process and has been corrected in the amended version presented to Council.

2. Project Funding Update

- Federal Government

The Federal Government has committed \$20m to the RAF project, however the grant funding agreement has not been signed by the City. This action requires the approval of Council and is a recommendation of this report. The Federal Government has stipulated that successful execution of the funding agreement is conditional on the City approving the RAF Business Plan.

- State Government

The City, together with its project partners, has been actively lobbying the State Government, the State Opposition and other potential funding partners since April 2020.

Recently, the City has held meetings with representatives from the offices of the Premier, the Minister for Transport; Planning, the Minister for Health, the Minister for Sport and Recreation and the Department of Local Government, Sport and Communities.

The RAF has been well received and the response has been positive. The Premier's office has sought additional information regarding the Community House and community sporting facilities aspects of the RAF. A response has been provided to the Premier's office.

In addition, the Premier's office has clearly advised that the State Government expects the Council to approve the Business Plan before it gives further consideration to the project. The Premier's office has recommended the City organise a follow up meeting in February as soon as the Business Plan is approved.

- State Opposition

Given the electoral context, the City has briefed the State Opposition on the RAF. The briefing was well received and the Opposition will give consideration to the project as part of their election commitments.

- Curtin University

The City has received a letter from Curtin University detailing their capital contribution to the project. This details a contribution of \$2-3million in capital funding together with the potential for ongoing operational support. Council should note that the Operational Feasibility Report assumed no rent in return for a larger capital contribution. Operational assumptions will be modified accordingly as the project progresses.

- Naming and Access Rights

The City is also developing a proposal for consideration by potential commercial partners regarding naming rights opportunities and other potential commercial sector capital and operational funding contributions.

3. Financial and Industry Review of the Business Case

The City has engaged financial consultants Deloitte, in conjunction with industry expert Warren Green Consulting (WGC) to undertake a further independent financial and industry review of the RAF Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan (Business Case), as resolved by Council at the November 2020 meeting. Deloitte and WGC are widely regarded for their expertise and independence and were selected, as they are both considered industry leaders.

Work on the review commenced on 18 January 2021 and the report is scheduled to be completed in late February. A Councillor Concept Briefing has been scheduled to be held Monday 22 February 2021 to provide an overview of the findings of the report prior to the 23 February 2021 Ordinary Council Meeting. Councillors will have the opportunity to ask questions of the review team.

Conclusion

The City has undertaken considerable work on the RAF and the project is well advanced. Community support for the RAF remains strong.

Approval of the Business Plan will allow the City to formally accept the \$20m grant from the Federal Government and progress discussions with the State Government and other potential commercial partners regarding additional investment for the project.

Formal acceptance of the Federal Government grant and more active discussions with the State Government is integral to the project proceeding in a timely manner. However, approval of the Business Plan does not bind the Council to any future action. The RAF will only proceed when Council resolves to approve the final RAF project budget and scope.

If Council resolves not to approve the Business Plan, the City will be unable to progress the project, thus relinquishing the \$20m Federal Government grant and incurring the significant reputational damage this would bring.

The City remains confident of the financial viability of the RAF and the additional detailed analysis undertaken by officers and independent industry experts further confirms this. Feedback on the Business Plan once again demonstrates the strong community support for the project.

It is recommended that Council approve the RAF Business Plan in **Attachment (c)**.

Consultation

State wide public consultation was undertaken in accordance with Section 3.59 of the Act, commencing on 27 November 2020 and closing on 22 January 2021.

The RAF Business Plan was advertised in the West Australian on 27 November and in the Community Southern Gazette on 3 December 2020. The Business Plan was also available on the City's website. The opportunity to provide feedback was provided through either [Your Say South Perth](#) or via email to enquiries@southperth.wa.gov.au. In addition, hard copies of the Business Plan were available to view during business hours at the Civic Centre and City libraries.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Section 3.59 of the Act and Regulations 8 and 8A of the Regulations, require the City to prepare a Business Plan before it considers entering into a major land transaction and/or major trading undertaking.

The City has received legal advice that signing of the Federal Grant Agreement and acceptance of payments would constitute "entering in a major land transaction".

Financial Implications

Council approval of the Business Plan enables the City to make further formal approaches to the State Government and other potential funding partners regarding investment in the project. In addition, the City will be able to sign the \$20m funding agreement with Federal Government and draw down on the first payment instalment of \$5.5m. The Federal Government is expecting confirmation of the Business Plan to allow grant signing by 1 March 2021.

7.0.1 Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan and Progress Update

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2020-2030](#):

Strategic Direction: Community
Aspiration: A diverse, connected, safe and engaged community
Outcome: Community Infrastructure
Strategy: Plan for and promote the development of recreation and aquatic facilities to service City of South Perth needs

Attachments

- 7.0.1 (a):** Business Plan Comments
- 7.0.1 (b):** Detailed Business Plan Comments
- 7.0.1 (c):** Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan

7.1 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 1: COMMUNITY

7.1.1 Recreation and Aquatic Facility Review of Business Case (Project Definition Plan, Operational Feasibility) by Deloitte and Warren Green Consulting

Location:	Collier Park Golf Course
Ward:	Moresby Ward
Applicant:	Not Applicable
File Ref:	D-21-18107
Meeting Date:	15 March 2021
Author(s):	Jac Scott, Manager Business & Construction Rebecca de Boer, Acting Project Director - RAF
Reporting Officer(s):	Mark Taylor, Director Infrastructure Services Mike Bradford, Chief Executive Officer
Strategic Direction:	Community: A diverse, connected, safe and engaged community
Council Strategy:	1.2 Community Infrastructure

Summary

This report provides an overview of the findings presented in the Peer Review by Deloitte and Warren Green Consulting (WGC) on the Recreation and Aquatic Facility (RAF) Business Case documents (Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan). The Peer Review was a requirement of a Council resolution from the November 2020 meeting.

The Peer Review was completed in February 2021 and found no 'fundamental barriers' to the RAF project progressing to the next stage.

The Peer Review is a separate process to Council consideration of the adoption of the Business Plan (s3.59) which is contained within a separate report to this meeting.

031/033

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor Glenn Cridland

That Council:

1. Endorse the findings of the Peer Review by Deloitte and WGC on the RAF Business Case (Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan) as contained in **Confidential Attachment (a)**;
2. Notes that there are no 'fundamental barriers' to prevent the RAF project progressing to the next stage;
3. Notes that the areas of further development identified by the Peer Review, are undertaken at the next stage of the project; and

7.1.1 Recreation and Aquatic Facility REview of Business Case (Project Definition Plan, Operational Feasibility) by Deloitte and Warren Green Consulting

4. Requests the City to report back on these matters no later than July 2021

CARRIED (7/2)

For: Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors Samantha Bradder, Carl Celedin, Mary Choy, Glenn Cridland, Ken Manolas, Stephen Russell.

Against: Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Blake D'Souza.

Background

At its meeting held 24 November 2020, Council considered a report on the Business Case documents (Project Definition Plan and Operational Feasibility Report) on the RAF.

In response to the report, Council resolved, in part, as follows:

“That Council:

6. *Requests the Chief Executive Officer to engage further suitable independent and relevant industry and financial experts to review the Business Case with respect to financial modelling, key operating assumptions, operating cashflows and usage projections as further due diligence and these be reported to Council.”*

This report has been prepared in response to this part of the Council resolution.

Comment

The City engaged financial consultants Deloitte, in conjunction with industry expert, WGC, to undertake a Peer Review of the RAF Business Case documents (Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan). Deloitte and WGC were selected as they are considered industry leaders and widely regarded for their expertise and independence.

Work on the Peer Review commenced on 18 January 2021 and was received by the City on 25 February 2021. It was forwarded to Councillors on 26 February 2021.

The findings of the Peer Review were presented at a Councillor Concept Briefing held Tuesday 2 March 2021. A copy of the presentation can be found in **Confidential Attachment (b)**.

The Peer Review and the presentation are commercial-in-confidence, as provided by Deloitte. Release of the Peer Review may potentially prejudice future negotiations by the City at a later stage of the project. The City requested permission from Deloitte to publicly release the presentation given to Council but this was refused.

Note:

On Friday 12 March 2021 after publication of the Agenda, Deloitte released a public summary of the Peer Review which can be found in **Attachment (c)**.

Findings of the Review

The Peer Review noted the comprehensive planning process that the City had undertaken for the RAF project. Findings were presented on three key areas:

7.1.1 Recreation and Aquatic Facility REview of Business Case (Project Definition Plan, Operational Feasibility) by Deloitte and Warren Green Consulting

- Overarching strategic aspects
- Operational and Functional aspects
- Financial cashflow aspects

The overarching finding of the Peer Review did not find any fundamental barriers to the RAF project progressing to the next stage, and reported:

Given the RAF is at a concept stage, this Review has not identified any fundamental barriers that would prevent the project from progressing to the next stage.

The findings highlight areas of further development for the RAF, which would typically be addressed in a Treasury business case.

The areas of further development include a detailed functional brief, quantitative risk assessment and operator market sounding.

Project Stage

The Project is currently in Stage 2: November 2020 to June 2021.

Key Actions for this stage include Business Plan approval, securing funding (including development of the Treasury Business Case) and final scoping.

Next Steps

Without approval of the Business Plan, the City is unable to undertake further work on the matters raised by Deloitte. Furthermore, approval of the Business Plan is a necessary prerequisite to further discussions with the State Government about funding for the project and development of the Treasury Business Case.

There are a number of tasks that the City can undertake once the Business Plan has been approved, such as:

- Execute the Federal Funding Agreement for the \$20 million grant from the Federal Government
- Develop and present the Treasury Business Case to the State Government
- Finalise the budget and scope of the RAF and present for Council approval
- Undertake 'market sounding' in accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995* to test the market and assumptions of the Business Case

It will be a future decision of Council to confirm the total budget for the RAF and agree final scope before progressing to detailed design (Stage 3).

Conclusion

The Peer Review of the Business Case documents (Operational Feasibility Report and Project Definition Plan) has “not identified any fundamental barriers that would prevent the project from progressing to the next stage”.

The City remains confident of the financial viability of the RAF and this has been confirmed by the Peer Review undertaken by Deloitte and WGC.

Consultation

The Peer Review was an independent review of the Business Case documents conducted by Deloitte and WGC. No wider consultation was required.

7.1.1 Recreation and Aquatic Facility REview of Business Case (Project Definition Plan, Operational Feasibility) by Deloitte and Warren Green Consulting

Policy and Legislative Implications

The Business Case is not a requirement of the *Local Government Act 1995*. The City developed the Business Case as part of its commitment to good governance and sound project management practices. It formed an important part of Council’s consideration regarding the viability of the RAF and approval of the Business Case. The Peer Review was in response to the Council resolution of November 2020 and was part of the due diligence by Council for the project.

Financial Implications

The costs associated with the Peer Review were attributed to the RAF Project Budget.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council’s [Strategic Community Plan 2020-2030](#):

Strategic Direction: Community
Aspiration: A diverse, connected, safe and engaged community
Outcome: 1.2 Community Infrastructure
Strategy: 1.2.3 Plan for and promote the development of recreation and aquatic facilities to service City of South Perth needs

Attachments

- 7.1.1 (a): Peer Review - Final Report (*Confidential*)
- 7.1.1 (b): Peer Review - Briefing Presentation (*Confidential*)
- 7.1.1 (c): South Perth RAF Peer Review (public summary)

8. CLOSURE

The Presiding Member thanked everyone for their attendance and closed the meeting at 8.24pm.

APPENDIX

5.1 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME – 15 MARCH 2021

<p>1. Mr Bob Mitchell, 2 Fortune Street, South Perth Received: 15 March 2021</p>	<p>Response 1 & 2 provided by: Mark Taylor – Director Infrastructure Response 3 provided by Mayor Greg Milner</p>
<p><i>[Preamble]</i></p> <p>1. <i>The latest Business Plan for the RAF is aiming for netball, night meetings of various community groups as well as the basketball, swimming and driving range activities. The site would have to be open for vehicles and pedestrian traffic until late in the evenings. The site is quite a distance from Hayman Road and fairly surrounded by dark treed parts of the golf club (currently no night access) and dark Council facilities in Thelma Street. The security and safety issues are many, but safe car park and front gate lighting will be essential. The risk to young females is unfortunately a specific issue.</i></p> <p><i>Vehicles have in recent years damaged the golf course especially the expensive greens and bunker and tee areas. With vehicles and pedestrian access after dark to the wider Collier Park Golf Course sites, has the increased risk from vandalism and effect on golf patrons been evaluated?</i></p> <p><i>The George Burnett Leisure Centre (GBLC) has much better safety and security and its activities should not be rolled into a bigger more encompassing RAF at Collier Park on the premise that less expenditure for maintenance and upgrade of GBLC is a saving to the SP Council.</i></p> <p>2. <i>We have been aware that the pledged funding is still only a bit over the 50% of the \$80 plus million required for the RAF Capital Cost. And half of that or \$20 million is from COSP Funding which will be a future burden on the ratepayers. State Government funds have so far not been offered (refer 2020 letters from Kate Doust MLC and Mick Murray MLA).</i></p> <p><i>The Deloitte’s South Perth RAF Peer Review (public summary) states the RAF “is at a concept stage” and “the findings highlight areas of further development for the RAF”. It also states “The review does not assess the viability or the appropriateness of the South Perth RAF as an investment in the community and region.” “Further it does not constitute an independent feasibility assessment, cost benefit analysis for the City or consider the City’s return on investment.” “The Review does not include a review of * Capital and renewal/maintenance costs of the South Perth RAF and existing facilities or other costs provided by a Quantity Surveyor ...”</i></p> <p><i>One of three key areas of recommended further development for the RAF is Quantitative risk assessment. “A quantitative risk assessment to determine the potential financial cost and performance impacts of key risks for various scenarios.”</i></p>	

Several other alarm bells rang when given the Deloitte's public summary. Councillors who have access to the full Attachment 7.1.1 (a) Peer Review – Final Report (Confidential) probably have also identified areas of concern that the public are not privy to.

With such a selection of items which need detailed and independent assessment it is NOW the time for Councillors to really question if the RAF project is a bad idea that needs to be dropped.

Under 7.0.1 The Officer Recommendation and Committee Recommendation 3 and 4 are to formally secure the \$20 million of Federal Government funding. But the Recommendation 2 is asking each Councillor to approve “the Recreation and Aquatic Facility Business Plan in Attachment C “

And that Business Plan has been modified but found inadequate in some very significant ways by Deloitte's. Please consider the question I have put- What criteria to use for not proceeding.

1. What are the risks that have been quantitatively assessed for the Recreation and Aquatic Facility (RAF), and do they include safety concerns (especially to patrons in a relatively secluded area) and security issues (especially with after dark vehicle and public access of the golf course areas) and possible downturn in projected patronage following assaults or vandalism?

Public safety is important to the City. As a result, security, lighting and CCTV for the RAF (including carparks) will be considered as part of the detailed design phase.

The RAF project has a number of stages. Currently the project is in Stage 2 – Approval of the Business Plan, finalising funding and final scoping. Detailed design and further risk analysis will be taken at subsequent stages of the project, should the Business Plan be approved by Council.

2. What would be the criteria for the COSP to consider the Recreation and Aquatic Facility (RAF) at Collier Park Golf Course NOT worth proceeding with?

A decision not to proceed with the RAF would be a matter for Council.

The City is committed to the RAF being financially viable and self-sustaining with no impact on rates. The RAF has been designed as an integrated facility with golf, aquatics, gym, indoor courts, food and beverage and retail. The combination of these business units will ensure the financial viability of the RAF.

<p>3. If the Business Plan is inadequate in several very significant ways, should the Council defer 7.0.1 Recommendation 2 until 7.1.1 has been discussed and resolved?"</p>	<p>Council is about the debate the item and welcomed Mr Mitchell to remain to watch the debate.</p>
<p>2. Dr Paul Raymond, 46 Downey Drive, Manning Received: 15 March 2021 – read out by Mayor Greg Milner</p>	<p>Response provided by: Mark Taylor – Director Infrastructure</p>
<p><i>[Preamble]</i></p> <p><i>The \$20m secured Federal government funding was approved under the ‘Female Facilities and Water Safety stream program’ with one of the stated intended outcomes to increase girls and women participation in sport. As highlighted in the 2020 annual Sport Australia Ausplay Participation survey results (see https://www.clearinghouseforsport.gov.au/research/ausplay/results) adult participation rates in Martial arts, Pilates and Yoga are 10.3% (4.2%, 3.8% and 6.5% respectively) and are some of the most preferred by females at 21.1% (3.5%, 6.7% and 10.9% respectively).</i></p>	
<p>1. Noting that the aquatic area is only one area of the proposed RAF. How does the RAF Concept Plan specifically cater to this significant segment?</p>	<p>The proposed RAF offers a range of facilities to encourage female participation in sport such as aquatics, hard courts, gym and golf. In addition, there will be crèche facilities for parents and carers with young children to further encourage participation.</p>
<p>2. Will the concept plan be refined to create a number of aerobics / dance / martial art / pilates & yoga type spaces / training rooms from the “minimum 6 court space?</p>	<p>At this stage, the proposed RAF includes a gym (1200 sq. metres) with two program rooms which may be used for yoga, pilates or similar activities. The Sports Hall contains six multipurpose courts.</p> <p>The Facilities Schedule of the Project Definition Plan details the proposed key components of the RAF and their respective areas in square metres. Further detail can be found on pp. 16-17 of the PDP. The PDP can be found in the ‘Background’ tab of the RAF section of the City’s website.</p> <p>Once the total funding of the project is known and the operator appointed, further decisions will be made about inclusions and programming for the RAF.</p>

3. Use of basketball courts spaces can suffice but if specific functional spaces for these activities are excluded from the RAF how does CoSP proposed to address this deficiency in the community?

The City is guided by the City's Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-33 when considering community recreational needs. The City will continue to engage with stakeholders and the community about the RAF as the project develops. Final decisions about inclusions in the RAF have not yet been made.

DISCLAIMER

The City advises that comments recorded represent the views of the person making them and should not in any way be interpreted as representing the views of Council. The minutes are a confirmation as to the nature of comments made and provide no endorsement of such comments. Most importantly, the comments included as dot points are not purported to be a complete record of all comments made during the course of debate. Persons relying on the minutes are expressly advised that the summary of comments provided in those minutes do not reflect and should not be taken to reflect the view of the Council. The City makes no warranty as to the veracity or accuracy of the individual opinions expressed and recorded therein.

These Minutes were confirmed at the Ordinary Council Meeting on: Tuesday 23 March 2021.

Signed _____

Presiding Member at the meeting at which the Minutes were confirmed