MINUTES

Ordinary Council Meeting

17 November 2026

Mayor and Councillors

Here within are the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting of the City of South Perth Council held Tuesday 17 November 2026 in the City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth.



ANITA AMPRIMO
ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

20 November 2026

City of South Perth

Acknowledgement of Country

Kaartdjinin Nidja Nyungar Whadjuk Boodjar Koora Nidja Djining Noonakoort kaartdijin wangkiny, maam, gnarnk and boordier Nidja Whadjuk kura kura.

We acknowledge and pay our respects to the traditional custodians of this land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and their Elders past and present.

Our Guiding Values



Disclaimer

Members of the public are cautioned against taking any action as a result of a Council decision until such time as they have seen a copy of the Minutes or been advised, in writing, by the Council's Administration with regard to any particular decision.

Contents

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS 5

2. DISCLAIMER 5

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER 5

4. ATTENDANCE 6

4.1 APOLOGIES 6

4.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE 6

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST 6

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME 7

6.1 RESPONSES TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE 7

6.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 17 NOVEMBER 2026 7

7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES AND TABLING OF NOTES OF BRIEFINGS 8

7.1 MINUTES 8

7.1.1 Ordinary Council Meeting Held: 27 October 2026 8

7.1.2 Special Council Meeting Held: 27 October 2026 8

7.1.3 Special Council Meeting Held: 3 November 2026 – 6.00pm 9

7.1.4 Special Council Meeting Held: 3 November 2026 – 6.15pm 9

7.2 CONCEPT BRIEFINGS 9

7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops 9

7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 10 November 2026 10

8. PRESENTATIONS 10

8.1 PETITIONS 10

8.2 PRESENTATIONS 10

8.3 DEPUTATIONS 10

9. METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS 11

10. REPORTS 12

10.1 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 1: COMMUNITY 12

10.1.1 City of South Perth - 2027 Auspire Community Citizen of the Year Awards - Nominations, Assessment and Recommendations 12

10.3 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 3: ENVIRONMENT (BUILT AND NATURAL) 16

10.3.1 Heritage List Review (Advertising) 16

10.4 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 4: LEADERSHIP 21
10.4.1 South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan - Status Report 21
10.4.2 City of South Perth Public Health Advisory Group 26
10.4.3 City of South Perth Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group 29
10.4.4 South East Metropolitan Regional Road Sub-Group 32
10.4.5 Listing of Payments - October 2026 34
10.4.6 Monthly Financial Statements - October 2026 37
10.4.7 Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee and Recruitment Process 41
11. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE 48
12. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN 48
13. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS 48
13.1 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TAKEN ON NOTICE 48
13.2 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS 48
14. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING 48
15. MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC 49
16. CLOSURE 49
APPENDIX 50
DISCLAIMER 60

Ordinary Council Meeting - Minutes

Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held in the City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth at 6.00pm on Tuesday 17 November 2026.

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 6.00pm.

2. DISCLAIMER

The Presiding Member read aloud the City's Disclaimer.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER

The Mayor presented the following report of the Mayor's formal engagements, meetings and events since the last Council Meeting:

Date	Subject
28 October 2026	Presentation at Penrhos College
3 November 2026	Special Council Meeting
3 November 2026	Special Council Meeting
3 November 2026	Councillor Briefing: CEO Recruitment Process
3 November 2026	Councillor Briefing: Councillor Workshop - Parking Plan 2
5 November 2026	Photoshoot for new Changing Places facility in Neil McDougall Park
8 November 2026	Alzheimer's WA Walk to Remember
11 November 2026	2026 Remembrance Day Service
14 November 2026	Volunteering at McDonalds Karawara for McHappy Day
14 November 2026	Como Country Fair
16 November 2026	Australia Day Press Conference
16 November 2026	South Perth Historical Society AGM

4. ATTENDANCE

Mayor Greg Milner (Presiding Member)

Councillors

Como Ward	Councillor Kathy Lees
Como Ward	Councillor Joanne Ord
Manning Ward	Councillor Blake D'Souza
Manning Ward	Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Mill Point Ward	Councillor Bronwyn David
Moresby Ward	Councillor Stephen Russell
Moresby Ward	Councillor Christine Robinson

Officers

Acting Chief Executive Officer	Ms Anita Amprimo
Acting Director Corporate Services	Ms Donna Shaw
Acting Director Development and Community Services	Ms Margaret King
Acting Director Infrastructure Services	Ms Olaya Lope
Manager Finance	Mr Khris Yeoh
Manager Governance	Mr Morgan Hindle (Retired at 7.21pm)
Manager People & Culture	Ms Pele McDonald
Communications and Marketing Coordinator	Ms Sonya Kimbar
Governance Officer	Ms Jane Robinson
Governance Officer	Ms Sarah Gould

Gallery

There were approximately 3 members of the public present.

4.1 APOLOGIES

- Councillor Gavin Denton

4.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil.

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- Mayor Greg Milner – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.1.1 as 'I know several of the nominees.'
- Councillor Bronwyn David – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.1.1 as 'I am acquainted with the family of one of the recommended recipients of an award. As a consequence, there may be a perception that my impartiality on the matter may be affected. I declare that I will consider this matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Mayor Greg Milner – Financial and Proximity Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'some of the proposed listings either include, or are adjacent to, or are across the road from, properties that are owned or indirectly owned by persons who contributed to my Mayoral re-election campaign in 2023.'

- Councillor Stephen Russell – Financial, Proximity and Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'my property is on the heritage list. Adjacent properties to my property are on the heritage survey. I know of persons whose properties are on the heritage survey.'
- Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'I know of someone who is not a closely associated person to me, and their property is on the Local Heritage Survey for final adoption. This impartiality interest will not impair my decision making, which will be based on merit.'
- Councillor Bronwyn David – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'before joining Council, I wrote heritage submissions and made deputations to Council on Heritage matters. I am also a current member of an association of past Wesley College parents. As a consequence, there may be a perception that my impartiality on the matter may be affected. I declare that I will consider this matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Councillor Kathy Lees – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'I declare an impartiality interest as, prior to my election, I requested heritage listing for 49 Forrest Street and I have friends who are members of Royal Perth Golf Club. I will consider the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Councillor Bronwyn David – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.4.1 as 'many of the actions in this matter are recommend to be managed as part of the City's Urban Greening Strategy. I am a cofounder of South Perth Tree Canopy Advocates. As a consequence, there may be a perception that my impartiality on the matter may be affected. I declare that I will consider this matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Councillor Kathy Lees – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.4.1 as 'I declare an impartiality interest due to my association with the South Perth and WA Tree Canopy Advocates, whose advocacy includes tree planting on the South Perth foreshore. I will consider the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Mayor Greg Milner – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.4.7 as 'I know some of the candidates for the role of "independent person" contemplated by paragraph 3 of the Officer Recommendation.'

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

6.1 RESPONSES TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

6.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 17 NOVEMBER 2026

The Presiding Member opened Public Question Time at 6.06pm.

Written questions were received prior to the meeting from:

- Ms Shelley Wilson of Como.

The questions and responses can be found in the **Appendix** of these Minutes.

There being no further questions, the Presiding Member closed Public Question Time at 6.10pm.

7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES AND TABLING OF NOTES OF BRIEFINGS

7.1 MINUTES

7.1.1 Ordinary Council Meeting Held: 27 October 2026

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/263

Moved: Councillor Bronwyn David
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held 27 October 2026 be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

7.1.2 Special Council Meeting Held: 27 October 2026

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/264

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Joanne Ord

That the Minutes of the Special Council Meeting held 27 October 2026 be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

7.1.3 Special Council Meeting Held: 3 November 2026 – 6.00pm

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/265

Moved: Councillor Joanne Ord
Seconded: Councillor Bronwyn David

That the Minutes of the Special Council Meeting held 3 November 2026 at 6.00pm be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

7.1.4 Special Council Meeting Held: 3 November 2026 – 6.15pm

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/266

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Bronwyn David

That the Minutes of the Special Council Meeting held 3 November 2026 at 6.15pm be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

7.2 CONCEPT BRIEFINGS

7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops

Officers of the City/Consultants and invited third party guests provided Council with an overview of the following matters at Concept Briefings and Workshops:

Date	Subject	Attendees
19 October 2026	Communications and Social Media Workshop	Councillors Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson.

Attachments

Nil.

7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 10 November 2026

Officers of the City presented background information and answered questions on Items to be considered at the November Ordinary Council Meeting at the Council Agenda Briefing held 10 November 2026.

Attachments

7.2.2 (a): Briefing Notes

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/267

Moved: Councillor Kathy Lees
Seconded: Councillor Blake D'Souza

That Council notes the following Council Briefings/Workshops were held:

- 7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops
- 7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 10 November 2026

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

8. PRESENTATIONS

8.1 PETITIONS

Nil.

8.2 PRESENTATIONS

Nil.

8.3 DEPUTATIONS

No Deputations were heard at the Council Agenda Briefing held 10 November 2026.

9. METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS

The Presiding Member advised that with the exception of the items identified to be withdrawn for discussion that the remaining reports, including the Officer Recommendations, will be adopted by exception resolution (i.e. all together) as per Clause 5.5 Exception Resolution of the Standing Orders Local Law 2007.

The Presiding Member advised that the following Agenda Items were new reports and were not presented to the Council Agenda Briefing held 10 November 2026:

- Item 10.4.6 Monthly Financial Statements - October 2026
- Item 10.4.7 Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee and Recruitment Process

The Acting Chief Executive Officer confirmed all the report items were discussed at the Council Agenda Briefing held 10 November 2026, with the exception of Items 10.4.6 and 10.4.7.

ITEMS WITHDRAWN FOR DISCUSSION

10.3.1	Heritage List Review (Advertising)
10.4.1	South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan - Status Report
10.4.2	City of South Perth Public Health Advisory Group
10.4.3	City of South Perth Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group
10.4.4	South East Metropolitan Regional Road Sub-Group
10.4.5	Listing of Payments - October 2026
10.4.6	Monthly Financial Statements - October 2026
10.4.7	Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee and Recruitment Process

The Presiding Member called for a motion to move the balance of reports by Exception Resolution.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/268

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Christine Robinson

10.1.1 City of South Perth - 2027 Auspire Community Citizen of the Year Awards - Nominations, Assessment and Recommendations

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

10. REPORTS

10.1 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 1: COMMUNITY

Mayor Greg Milner and Councillor Bronwyn David disclosed an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.1.1.

10.1.1 City of South Perth - 2027 Auspire Community Citizen of the Year Awards - Nominations, Assessment and Recommendations

File Ref: D-26-17818
Reporting Officer(s): Fiona Mullen, Acting Director Development and Community Services

Summary

This report seeks Council's approval of the award recipients for the City of South Perth – 2027 Auspire Community Citizen of the Year Awards.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/269

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Christine Robinson

That Council approves the award recipients for the City of South Perth - Auspire Community Citizen of the Year Awards for 2027, as shown in Confidential **Attachment (a)** in the following categories:

1. Community Citizen of the Year Award – Adult Category
2. Community Citizen of the Year Award - Youth Category
3. Community Citizen of the Year Award – Seniors Category
4. Active Citizenship Award - Group or Event Category

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The Community Citizen of the Year Awards is a state-wide initiative run by Auspire (Australia Day Council of WA). Each local government authority is responsible for promoting the Award program and selecting winners for its area.

The Awards recognise individuals and organisations within the City of South Perth who are making a notable contribution to community life and active participation in projects.

There are four categories for nominations:

1. Community Citizen of the Year (Adult Category) – to acknowledge adults who have delivered outstanding service to the community.
2. Citizen of the Year Award (Youth Category) – to acknowledge young people 16 to 30 years of age who have delivered outstanding service to the community.
3. Citizen of the Year Award (Seniors Category) – to acknowledge people aged 65 years and older who have delivered outstanding service to the community.
4. Active Citizenship (Group or Event) – to acknowledge groups doing outstanding work, or for an outstanding event held in the local community during the past year.

Presentation of the Awards will be made at the City's Australia Day Morning Ceremony on 26 January 2027. The winner of each category is recognised with a framed certificate and medal, and all other eligible nominees receive a certificate of appreciation from the City of South Perth.

Nominations were assessed by a panel comprising of the City's Manager Community, Culture and Recreation; Community Development Coordinator; and Community Development Officer against the selection criteria outlined below.

Selection criteria for nominees for the **individual** award categories:

1. Significant contribution to the local community.
2. Demonstrated leadership on a community issue resulting in the enhancement of community life.
3. A significant initiative which has brought about positive change and added value to community life.
4. Inspiring qualities as a role model for the community.

Selection criteria for nominees for the **group/event** award category:

1. Group/ event that creates community engagement.
2. Group/ event that creates initiatives for new employment.
3. Created significant initiative that brought about positive change.

Comment

This year a total of 10 nominations were submitted comprising:

- 4 x nominations for the Community Citizen of the Year – Adult category.
- 2 x nominations for the Community Citizen of the Year – Youth category.
- 3 x nominations for the Community Citizen of the Year – Seniors category.
- 1 x nomination for the Active Citizenship - Group or Event category.

A summary of the nominations, City's assessment scores and recommendations is attached as Confidential **Attachment (a).**

All nominations were of high quality, demonstrating the different ways that individuals and groups make significant contributions in the local community; enhancing active citizenship opportunities for others; and being positive role models in the City of South Perth.

Consultation

The City commenced promotion of the Community Citizen of the Year Awards program in July 2026 with posters displayed at the City's Civic Centre and local community venues, namely libraries and George Burnett Leisure Centre. Communication about the Awards program was also sent to local community groups. Additionally, the Awards program was advertised in the City's mySnapshot e-newsletter, the City's website, and through a state-wide advertising campaign undertaken by Auspire. Nominations closed on 31 October 2026.

Policy and Legislative Implications

This report relates to Policy P104 Community Awards, whereby the City recognises the important contribution that local individuals make to society.

Financial Implications

Funds are allocated in the City's 2026/27 Operating Budget for costs associated with the City's participation in the Community Citizen of the Year Awards program. The estimated costs for the program is approximately $500.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The City could potentially face adverse reputational impacts if it does not acknowledge local individuals and groups who have made notable contributions within the community. The City's Community Citizen of the Year Awards is an effective risk management mitigation strategy as it provides a suitable method of acknowledging the contributions made by local individuals, volunteers and community groups.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Community
Aspiration:	Our diverse community is inclusive, safe, connected and engaged
Outcome:	1.1 Culture and community
Strategy:	1.1.4 Encourage volunteering that benefits our community

Attachments

10.1.1 (a): 2027 Auspire Community Citizen of the Year Awards Program - Nominations, Assessments & Recommendations *(Confidential)*

10.3 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 3: ENVIRONMENT (BUILT AND NATURAL)

Mayor Greg Milner disclosed a Financial and Proximity Interest in Item 10.3.1 and accordingly left the meeting at 6.18pm. Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis assumed the Chair.

Councillor Stephen Russell disclosed a Financial, Proximity and Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 and accordingly left the meeting at 6.18pm.

Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David and Kathy Lees disclosed an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1.

10.3.1 Heritage List Review (Advertising)

File Ref: D-26-17819
Reporting Officer(s): Fiona Mullen, Acting Director Development and Community Services

Summary

This report presents proposed modifications to the Heritage List for the purpose of advertising. These changes result from modifications to the Local Heritage Survey adopted by Council at its meeting held 27 October 2026.

The City of South Perth is required to establish and maintain a heritage list to identify places within the Scheme area that are of cultural heritage significance and worthy of built heritage conservation.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/270

Moved: Councillor Bronwyn David
Seconded: Councillor Kathy Lees

That Council adopts the draft Heritage List as contained in **Attachment (a)** and **Attachment (b)** for the purpose of advertising.

CARRIED (6/0)

For: Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord and Christine Robinson.

Against: Nil.

Background

This report presents the draft Heritage List for advertising. The Heritage List is an instrument that is afforded powers under *the Planning and Development Act 2005.* Including a place on the Heritage List gives the place recognition and statutory protection under the local planning scheme. It requires the local government to give due regard to the heritage significance of the listed place when determining a related development application.

At its meeting held 28 October 2025, Council adopted a Heritage List and requested place specific reviews (including consideration of built form) be undertaken for five places in the Local Heritage Survey (LHS), ensuring where relevant, that the Statement of Significance of each place is consistent with the State Register of Heritage Places.

In November 2025, the City engaged an independent heritage consultant to undertake place specific reviews in accordance with Council's resolution. At its meeting held 28 April 2026, Council resolved to advertise proposed modifications to seven place records within the LHS, including the creation of two new place records. Following advertising of proposed changes to the LHS, further modifications to the LHS were adopted by Council at its meeting held 27 October 2026.

As a result of modifications to the LHS, it is proposed to include the following additional places on the Heritage List:

1. Place No. 22, Mount Henry Bridge, Category 1 (Exceptional) classification of Significance.
2. Place No. 36, Wesley College, No. 40 Coode Street, South Perth, Category 1 (Exceptional) classification of significance.
3. Place No. 39, St Columba's Church, Presbytery and Primary School, No. 25 Forrest Street, South Perth, Category 1 (Exceptional) classification of significance.
4. Place No. 95, St Joesph's Convent and Dennehy House (Fmr.), No. 16 York Street, South Perth, Category 1 (Exceptional) classification of significance.
5. Place No. 50, Royal Perth Golf Club (Golf Course), Labouchere Road, South Perth, Category 2 (Considerable) classification of significance.
6. Place No. 85, Residence: 49 Forrest Street, South Perth, Category 2 (Considerable) classification of significance.
7. Place No. 86, Residence: 39 Anstey Street, South Perth, Category 2 (Considerable) classification of significance.

A copy of the proposed Heritage List is located at the following attachments:

- **Attachment (a)** provides a summary table with proposed changes in red.
- **Attachment (b)** provides detailed place information including a copy of the Statement of Significance with proposed modifications as tracked changes.

Comment

Minor Modifications

Proposed changes to the Heritage List include minor modifications to the document template to ensure better alignment with the Heritage Council's 'Guidelines for Establishing a Heritage List'. For example, a hyperlink to the Heritage Council's online Inherit database for each place has been included.

New places for inclusion

Heritage Lists are informed by the LHS, but do not necessarily include all places included in the LHS. The Heritage Council's 'Guidelines for Establishing a Heritage List' recommend that each local government determine the threshold of significance that will be applied when considering whether a place should be included in the Heritage List. As a minimum, the Guidelines recommend that all places in the State Register, and those identified in the LHS as having 'Exceptional' or 'Considerable' (being Category 1 and 2) significance for the local area should be considered worthy of conservation and therefore warrant inclusion in a heritage list. The *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (the Regulations), which provides for the creation of the Heritage List, does not prescribe which classification of place must be included in the Heritage List. On this basis, it is at Council's discretion to determine which properties it includes on the Heritage List.

The City has previously recommended that any places with a classification of significance of Categories 1 or 2 are recommended for inclusion in the Heritage List, being those with the highest level of local heritage significance. Those places with a category of 3 or 4 will not be recommended for inclusion in the Heritage List but will remain in the LHS.

The City's Heritage List currently contains Category 1 or 2 places, except for those places the subject of the place specific LHS review in 2025/26, and No. 426 Canning Highway, Como (Blue Waters) which Council resolved to include in the Heritage List despite being a Category 3 place in the LHS.

A summary of places recommended for inclusion is provided below and a copy of the draft Heritage List is contained as **Attachment (a).**

Category 1 LHS and entered in the State Register of Heritage Places		
Place No.	**Address**	**Detail / LHS place name**
22	Kwinana Freeway, Salter Point	Mount Henry Bridge
36	No. 40 Coode Street, South Perth	Wesley College
39	No. 25 Forrest Street, South Perth	St Columba's Church, Presbytery & Primary School
95	No. 16 York Street, South Perth	St Joseph's Convent and Dennehy House (Fmr.)
Category 2 LHS		
Place No.	**Address**	**Detail**
50	Labouchere Road, South Perth	Royal Perth Golf Club (golf course)
85	No. 49 Forrest Street, South Perth	Residence: 49 Forrest Street
86	No. 39 Anstey Street, South Perth	Residence: 39 Anstey Street

Consultation

In accordance with Schedule 2, Part 3, Clause 8(3) of the Regulations, the local government must not enter a place in, or remove a place from, a heritage list or modify the entry of a place in a heritage list unless the local government:

"(a) notifies in writing each owner and occupier of the place and provides each of them with a description of the place and the reasons for the proposed entry; and

(b) invites each owner and occupier to make submissions on the proposal within a period specified in the notice; and

(c) carries out any other consultation the local government considers appropriate; and

(d) following any consultation and consideration of the submissions made on the proposal, resolves that the place be entered in the heritage list with or without modification, or that the place be removed from the heritage list."

The period for making submissions must not be less than 21 days after the day on which the notice is given. Following conclusion of advertising, the City will prepare a report for final adoption of the Heritage List and present to the next Ordinary Meeting of Council.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Pursuant to Schedule 2, Part 3, Clause 8(1) of the Regulations, the local government must establish and maintain a Heritage List to identify places within the Scheme area that are of cultural heritage significance and worthy of built heritage conservation.

Financial Implications

Nil.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The City has prepared changes to the Heritage List in accordance with the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage's Guidelines for Establishing a Heritage List.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Environment (Built and Natural)
Aspiration:	Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment
Outcome:	3.2 Sustainable built form
Strategy:	3.2.1 Develop and implement a sustainable local planning framework to meet current and future community needs

Attachments

10.3.1 (a):	Place information - Summary table
10.3.1 (b):	Place information - Detailed table

10.4 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 4: LEADERSHIP

Mayor Greg Milner returned to the meeting at 6.22pm following consideration of Item 10.3.1 and resumed the Chair.

Councillor Stephen Russell returned to the meeting at 6.22pm following consideration of Item 10.3.1.

Councillors Bronwyn David and Kathy Lees disclosed an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.4.1.

10.4.1 South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan - Status Report

File Ref: D-26-17820
Reporting Officer(s): Olaya Lope, Acting Director Infrastructure Services
Anita Amprimo, Acting Chief Executive Officer

Summary

This report follows the review of the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan 2015 (Plan) previously presented to Council in response to Council Decision 0226/033.

The previous review assessed the implementation status and ongoing strategic relevance of the 75 actions contained within the Plan. This report presents the recommended update to those actions, identifying those to be retained, updated or closed to provide a contemporary basis for the ongoing management and delivery of initiatives across the South Perth foreshore.

Alternative Motion

Moved: Councillor Kathy Lees
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council:

1. Defer consideration of the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan 2015 to the Ordinary Council Meeting to be held on 23 March 2027; and
2. Requests that the Chief Executive Officer hold a workshop on the proposed updates to the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan 2015 to consider Council Member feedback and any recommended changes.

Reasons for Change

While I appreciate the work that has gone into reviewing the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan, the South Perth Foreshore is one of the City's most important strategic assets and the original plan was developed through a significant planning and consultation process.

A number of the proposed changes have implications for the future planning and delivery of foreshore initiatives that are unclear to me at this time. While the updates seek to ensure consistency with the Urban Greening Strategy and draft Urban Greening Implementation Plan, and that is important, I would like to

better understand the practical implications of the increased reliance on those documents, particularly where this results in the removal of foreshore-specific plans and node plans as contemplated in 2015. I am interested in how the proposed framework will ensure that the distinct needs and priorities of different parts of the foreshore continue to be identified, prioritised and delivered. I also have questions about the status of a number of outstanding actions and how important issues such as water quality, signage, micromobility, heritage, and the future planning of individual nodes will be addressed.

For these reasons, I believe it would be sensible to defer consideration of the updates to allow further discussion and workshopping with Council before any amendments are adopted. This would provide an opportunity to better understand the proposed changes and ensure the revised document continues to provide an appropriate framework for the ongoing implementation of the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan.

COUNCIL DECISION

1126/271

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor Bronwyn David

In accordance with Clause 8.10 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007 Councillor Kathy Lees be granted an additional five minutes to speak.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Alternative Motion AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/272

Moved: Councillor Kathy Lees
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council:

1. Defer consideration of the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan 2015 to the Ordinary Council Meeting to be held on 23 March 2027; and
2. Requests that the Chief Executive Officer hold a workshop on the proposed updates to the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan 2015 to consider Council Member feedback and any recommended changes.

CARRIED (5/3)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Kathy Lees and Stephen Russell.

Against: Councillors Blake D'Souza, Joanne Ord and Christine Robinson.

Officer Recommendation

That Council endorses the recommended updates to the actions contained within the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan 2015, as detailed in **Attachment (a).**

Background

At its meeting held 24 February 2026, Council considered a Notice of Motion from former Councillor Jacqueline Raison and resolved:

"That Council requests the CEO to commence a review of the City's Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan 2015 (Plan), which will result in a report to Council by the end of September 2026 that summarises:

1. *How the Plan is tracking in terms of implementation.*
2. *Whether there have been any unintended outcomes and the financial and / or social impact of those outcomes.*
3. *Whether there have been any outcomes that have not been able to be achieved and why."*

In response to this resolution, the City undertook a detailed review of the 75 actions contained within the Plan. The response to the Notice of Motion was presented to Council at its meeting held 29 September 2026. The initial status review presented to Council found that 66 of the 75 actions (88%) had either been completed, were in progress or had transitioned to ongoing business-as-usual activities.

The review identified an opportunity to further rationalise and update the actions within the Plan to reflect progress since its adoption in 2015 and changes in the City's broader strategic and operational priorities.

Following further assessment and consultation with relevant City officers, each of the 75 actions have now been considered to determine whether it should be retained in its current form, updated or closed.

This report presents the recommended treatment of the 75 actions for Council consideration.

Comment

Since its adoption in 2015, the Plan has provided a long-term framework for the management, development and use of the South Perth foreshore.

The previous review demonstrated that a substantial proportion of the actions within the Plan have either been delivered or continue to be progressed through capital projects, operational programs and other City strategies and plans.

Over time, a number of actions have also been completed, superseded, incorporated into business-as-usual activities or contemporary City strategies and plans, duplicated by other actions, or are no longer considered necessary as standalone actions. Other actions remain relevant but require updating to reflect current strategic priorities and delivery approaches.

Accordingly, each action has been reviewed and the following approach is recommended:

- Retain actions that remain current and appropriate in their existing form;
- Update actions where the original strategic intent remains relevant but the wording, scope or intended delivery requires refinement; and
- Close actions that have been completed, superseded, incorporated into other City activities or strategies, duplicated elsewhere within the Plan, or are no longer considered appropriate to retain as an active action.

Recommended action treatment	Number of actions
Retain	18
Update	22
Close	35
Total	75

If endorsed by Council, the recommended changes would reduce the number of active actions in the Plan from 75 to 40, comprising 18 actions retained in their current form and 22 updated actions.

Importantly, a recommendation to close an action does not necessarily mean that the underlying activity would cease. In a number of instances, the action has been completed, transitioned into business-as-usual delivery, incorporated into another City strategy, plan or project, or consolidated with another action to avoid duplication.

Similarly, the 22 actions recommended for update retain their underlying strategic intent but have been reframed to better align with the City's contemporary strategic and operational framework.

The recommended treatment of each individual action, together with the rationale for the proposed change, is provided in **Attachment (a).**

Consultation

Internal consultation was undertaken with relevant City officers responsible for, or involved in, the delivery of actions identified within the Plan.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Nil.

Financial Implications

There are no direct financial implications arising from this review. Any future projects, investigations or initiatives identified as a result of the review will be subject to separate consideration through future budget processes.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Endorse the recommended action update and use the revised actions as the basis for ongoing monitoring and consideration through relevant future strategic planning and budget processes.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.1 Engaged community
Strategy:	4.1.1 Engage, educate, inform, consult and listen to our community to understand and deliver on identified priorities

Attachments

10.4.1 (a): Individual Actions Summary

10.4.2 City of South Perth Public Health Advisory Group

File Ref: D-26-17821
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Acting Director Corporate Services

Summary

This report provides the opportunity for the appointment of an Elected Member to the City of South Perth Public Health Advisory Group for the period 17 November 2026 to 16 October 2027.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/273

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council appoints Councillor Christine Robinson to the City of South Perth Public Health Advisory Group for the period 17 November 2026 to 16 October 2027.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The City established its Public Health Advisory Group in 2021 to provide advice on local public health matters.

Councillor Kathy Lees is the current member of the Public Health Advisory Group.

Comment

The objectives of the Public Health Advisory Group are to advise and make recommendations on:

(i) The implementation of the City's Public Health Plan and other public health matters as requested by the City.

(ii) Current and emerging public health issues, including analysis of public health information and data;

(iii) Public health initiatives to support implementation of the City's Public Health Plan and to optimise the health and wellbeing of the local community; and

(iv) To develop and promote collaboration between the City, primary health networks, Curtin University and health care professionals and providers.

The Public Health Advisory Group meets quarterly on the third Monday (12:30pm-2:30pm) in the months of February, May, August, and November.

No additional fees or allowances are paid to the Public Health Advisory Group representatives.

Elected Member attendance at the City's Public Health Advisory Group will be recorded quarterly on a public register.

A minimum of one Elected Member is required for membership. Given one Elected Member is a member, no further members are required however there is no maximum number of Elected Members and therefore additional Elected Members can nominate.

It is open to Council to not appoint additional Elected Members.

More information about the Public Health Advisory Group is outlined in the Terms of Reference, which is shown as **Attachment (a)**.

Consultation

Nil.

Policy and Legislative Implications

City of South Perth Policy P112 'Community Advisory Groups' provides guidance on the facilitation of this advisory group.

Financial Implications

The operational cost of the advisory group is estimated at $350 per annum (e.g. catering for meetings etc) and these expenses are accounted for in the City's 2026/27 Budget.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The City's advisory groups are subject to Terms of Reference outlining its operational requirements, including actions to mitigate relevant risks associated with the facilitation of the groups.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.1 Engaged community
Strategy:	4.1.1 Engage, educate, inform, consult and listen to our community to understand and deliver on identified priorities

Attachments

10.4.2 (a): Terms of Reference - Public Health Advisory Group

10.4.3 City of South Perth Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group

File Ref: D-26-17822
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Acting Director Corporate Services

Summary

This report provides the opportunity for the appointment of an Elected Member to the City of South Perth Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group for the period 17 November 2026 to 16 October 2027.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/274

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council appoints the following Elected Member to the City of South Perth Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group:

1. Councillor Christine Robinson

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The City has facilitated a Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group for many years.

Current members of the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group are Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors Kathy Lees, André Brender-A-Brandis and Bronwyn David.

Comment

The objectives of the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group are to advise and make recommendations on:

(i) Building and strengthening the capacity and resilience of the local community through community safety initiatives;

(ii) The needs of the local community in relation to community safety and crime prevention matters; and

(iii) The review and development of the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Plan.

The group meets quarterly per calendar year on the second Wednesday of February, May, August and November.

No additional fees or allowances are paid to the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Group representatives.

A minimum of two Elected Members are required for membership. Given that four Elected Members are members, no further members are required however there is no maximum number of Elected Members and therefore additional Elected Members can nominate.

It is open to Council to not appoint additional Elected Members.

Elected Members attendance at the City's Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group meetings will be recorded quarterly on a public register.

More information about the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group is outlined in the Terms of Reference which is shown at **Attachment (a)**.

Consultation

Nil.

Policy and Legislative Implications

City of South Perth Policy P112 'Community Advisory Groups" provides guidance on the facilitation of this advisory group.

Financial Implications

This operational cost of the advisory group is estimated at $1,000 per annum (e.g. catering for meetings etc) and these expenses are accounted for in the City's 2026/27 Budget.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The City's advisory groups operate in accordance with established Terms of Reference, which define their operational requirements and outline measures to mitigate relevant risks associated with their facilitation.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.1 Engaged community
Strategy:	4.1.1 Engage, educate, inform, consult and listen to our community to understand and deliver on identified priorities

Attachments

10.4.3 (a): Terms of Reference

10.4.4 South East Metropolitan Regional Road Sub-Group

File Ref: D-26-17823
Reporting Officer(s): Olaya Lope, Acting Director Infrastructure Services

Summary

This report seeks the appointment of an Elected Member representative as the Member to the South East Metropolitan Regional Road Sub-Group for the period 17 November 2026 to 16 October 2027.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/275

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor Bronwyn David

That Council appoints Councillor Joanne Ord as the Member to the South East Metropolitan Regional Road Sub-Group for the period 17 November 2026 to 16 October 2027.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The South East Metropolitan Regional Road Sub-Group is established under the Metropolitan Regional Road Group. The Sub-Group:

a. considers regional road funding matters and identification of priorities;

b. monitors currently funded projects; and

c. provides advice to the Regional Road Group.

The City is a member of the group along with the Cities of Armadale, Belmont, Canning, Gosnells, Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale and Town of Victoria Park. Each participating local government is represented by an Elected Member and a Technical Officer Representative.

Council appointed Councillor Stephen Russell as the Deputy Member to the South East Metropolitan Regional Road Sub-Group at its meeting held 18 December 2025. It is open for Council to appoint a Member to ensure that the group is represented in the event that the Deputy Member is unable to attend.

Comment

The meetings are held quarterly on a Thursday in February, May, August and November during working hours, usually at 9am.

Meetings are held on a rotational basis at various locations, with no remuneration provided for membership of the group.

Further information can be found in the Metropolitan Regional Road Group – Reference Information for Elected Members on a Regional Road Group.

Consultation

Nil.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Nil.

Financial Implications

Nil.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Appointing Elected Members to the external organisation.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

Nil.

10.4.5 Listing of Payments - October 2026

File Ref: D-26-17825
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Acting Director Corporate Services

Summary

This report presents to Council:

- The list of accounts paid under delegated authority between 1 October 2026 to 31 October 2026; and
- Purchase card (credit and fleet cards) transactions included in the total monthly balance settled between 1 October 2026 and 31 October 2026.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/276

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor Blake D'Souza

That Council receives the Listing of Payments for the month of October 2026 as detailed in **Attachment (a)** and notes all payments made by the Chief Executive Officer under Delegation DC602- Authority to Make Payments from the Municipal and Trust Funds.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

Council has delegated to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) the exercise of power to make payments from its Municipal and Trust Funds.

In accordance with regulation 13(1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996,* a list of accounts paid by the CEO is to be prepared each month and presented to Council at the next Ordinary Meeting of the Council after the list is prepared.

Regulation 13A of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* requires payments made with purchase cards to be included in the list of accounts paid.

Comment

The payment listing for October 2026 is included in **Attachment (a)** and shows the following payments:

EFT Payments to Creditors	445	$11,604,034.03
Cheque payments to Creditors	1	$49.50
EFT Payments to Non-Creditors	46	$38,035.78
Cheque payments to Non-Creditors	32	$31,791.12
Total EFT & Cheque Payments	524	$11,673,910.43
Credit Card Payments	77	$11,095.49
Fleet Card Payments	19	$2,149.35
Total Payments	**620**	**$11,687,155.27**

The attached reports include a "Description" for each payment.

The report records payments are classified as:

- Creditor Payments

  These include payments by both cheque and EFT to regular suppliers with whom the City transacts business. The reference numbers represent a batch number of each payment.

- Non-Creditor Payments

  These are one-off payments that include both cheque and EFT that are made to individuals/suppliers who are not listed as regular suppliers. The reference numbers represent a batch number of each payment.

- Purchase Cards

  Purchase card payments are included in the listing of payments as required by the Regulations. The Regulations requires the City to prepare a list of the payments made with each card and to present it to Council.

  ### Timing of Purchase Card Reporting

  Due to the timing of statement receipt and transaction acquittal in the City's system, this listing generally relates to transactions from the preceding month. The total value of those transactions is settled in the month being reported.

The City have redacted (in black) information of a private or confidential nature.

Details of payments made by direct credit to employees are not provided in this report.

The payments of bank fees, such as merchant service fees which are directly debited from the City's bank account in accordance with the agreed fee schedules under the contract for provision of banking services, are also not provided in this report.

Consultation

Nil.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 - Regulations 12, 13(1) and 13A

Financial Implications

The payment of authorised amounts is within existing budget provisions.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Adopting the officer recommendation ensures the Monthly Financial reporting timelines do not exceed statutory requirements.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

10.4.5 (a): Payment Listing October 2026

10.4.6 Monthly Financial Statements - October 2026

File Ref: D-26-17850
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Acting Director Corporate Services

Summary

To present to Council the Financial Statements for October 2026.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/277

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Christine Robinson

That Council notes the Financial Statements and report for this financial year to 31 October 2026, as shown in **Attachments (a)–(i).**

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* require a Statement of Financial Activity and Statement of Financial Position to be prepared monthly. These statements must report on material variances between the adopted budget and actual revenue and expenditure.

At the Special Council Meeting of 18 June 2026, as part of adoption of the 2026/27 budget, Council determined the material variance reporting threshold as $10,000 or 10%.

Comment

The following CPI and interest rate update is provided as background:

- The national Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation was 4.3% for the 12 months to September 2026 and Perth was 4.5% for the same period, compared to 4.0% nationally and 4.3% for Perth for the 12 months to August 2026.
- Trimmed mean inflation was 3.6%, unchanged from 3.6% in the 12 months to August 2026.
- At its 29 September 2026 meeting the Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA) decided to increase the cash rate by 25 basis points to 4.60%.

- The RBA Monetary Policy Board released the following statement on the 29 September 2026*: "Inflation remains elevated and some of the upside risks flagged in August are materialising. The conflict in the Middle East has broadened and global energy prices are now much higher than had been assumed in the August forecasts. AI-related demand is driving rapid growth in global prices for technology-related goods. And there remains pressure on domestic capacity. Liaison indicates that firms are experiencing cost pressures and are either increasing the prices of their goods and services or looking to do so. Short-term measures of inflation expectations remain elevated. And recent inflation outcomes in Australia were stronger than expected at the previous meeting."*
- Banks have been offering improved average interest rates of 5.33% for investments under 12 months.

Financial Statements

The Financial Statements represent the results of the 2026/27 operations to 31 October 2026 and compare year to date expenditure and revenue against the corresponding adopted budget of Council.

Category	Variance
Revenue from operating activities	Favourable variance of $154,061. ($67,673,118 in comparison to budget of $67,519,057)
Expenditure from operating activities	Favourable variance of $994,248. ($24,884,100 in comparison to the budget of $25,878,347)
Net operating position (See **Attachment (c)**)	Favourable variance of $1,148,309. ($42,789,018 in comparison to budget of $41,640,710)
Capital revenue	Unfavourable variance of $48,229 ($2,095,792 in comparison to budget of $2,144,021)
Capital expenditure (See **Attachment (e)**)	Favourable variance of $2,507,736. ($10,920,239 in comparison to the budget $13,427,974)

A variance analysis is provided within **Attachment (f)** *Significant Variance Analysis* for those variances of $10,000 or 10%.

Attachment (h) is a *Summary of Cash Investments, Investments and Cash* and shows where cash is invested, what % it equates to and the short-term credit rating provided by Standard & Poor's for each of the institutions.

Municipal	$53,655,477
Reserves	$56,350,313
Total	$110,005,790
Total invested (various institutions)	$103,951,164
Interest earned YTD (as at 31 October 2026)	$1,637,445

As at 31 October 2026, the City held 26.82% of its investments in institutions that do not provide fossil fuel lending.

Consultation

The City is required to prepare and submit a report to Council for the Statement of Financial Activity for each month, reporting on the revenue and expenditure, as set out in the annual budget under regulation 22(1)(d) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996.*

Statements of Financial Activity must be submitted within two months after the end of the month to which the statement relates in accordance with regulation 36(4) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996.*

Policy and Legislative Implications

Section 6.4 of the *Local Government Act 1995*

Regulation 34 and 35 of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* and AASB 1031 Materiality.

Policy P603 Investment of Surplus Funds

Financial Implications

The preparation of the monthly financial report occurs from the resources provided in the annual budget.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Adopting the officer recommendation ensures the Monthly Financial reporting timelines do not exceed statutory requirements.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

10.4.6 (a):	Statement of Financial Position
10.4.6 (b):	Statement of Change in Equity
10.4.6 (c):	Statement of Financial Activity
10.4.6 (d):	Operating Revenue and Expenditure
10.4.6 (e):	Capital Revenue and Expenditure
10.4.6 (f):	Significant Variance Analysis
10.4.6 (g):	Statement of Council Funds
10.4.6 (h):	Summary of Cash Investments
10.4.6 (i):	Statement of Major Debtor Categories

Mayor Greg Milner disclosed an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.4.7.

10.4.7 Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee and Recruitment Process

File Ref: D-26-17816
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Acting Director Corporate Services

Summary

This report seeks the establishment and appointment to the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee, the adoption of the Committee's Terms of Reference, and authorisation to commence the CEO recruitment and selection process.

Officer Recommendation

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council:

1. Establishes the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee in accordance with section 5.8 and Section 5.9(2)(d) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
2. Appoints the following Council Members to the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee in accordance with Section 5.10(a) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
    i. Mayor Greg Milner
    ii. Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis,
    iii. Councillor Blake D'Souza
    iv. Councillor Joanne Ord
    v. Councillor Kathy Lees
    vi. Councillor Christine Robinson
    vii. Councillor Stephen Russell
    viii. Councillor Bronwyn David
3. Appoints person A as contained in Confidential **Attachment (b)** as the independent person to the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee in accordance with clause 8(3)(b) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.*
4. Appoints Mayor Greg Milner as the Presiding Member of the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee in accordance with Section 5.12(1) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
5. Appoints Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis as the Deputy Presiding Member of the Committee in accordance with Section 5.12(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
6. Adopts the Terms of Reference as contained within **Attachment (a)** for the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee.

7. Authorises the Acting Chief Executive Officer to source quotes from suitable recruitment consultant organisations for the Chief Executive Officer recruitment with the following scope of works:

   a) Deliver the scope of works, in consultation with the Council and the Selection Committee;

   b) Ensure the Selection Committee have prepared the CEO position description and selection criteria;

   c) Prepare and place the Job Advertisement;

   d) Prepare the Job Information/Application Package and field applicant questions;

   e) Conduct Executive Search;

   f) Perform preliminary Applicant Assessments (including psychometric) and Background Checks;

   g) Assist the Selection Committee to develop interview questions and to prepare for interviews;

   h) Guide the Selection Committee in the interview and selection process;

   i) Conduct referee checks and any other necessary checks;

   j) Prepare a contract of employment for the preferred candidate; and

   k) Prepare a report for Council on the preferred candidate and remuneration package to be offered.

Absolute Majority Required

AMENDMENT

1126/278

Moved: Councillor Stephen Russell
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee Terms of Reference, as contained in **Attachment (a)** be amended to include the words "producing key performance indicators (KPIs)" within the second bullet point of Section 3 (Areas of Responsibility).

The amendment was put and declared CARRIED (8/0) and formed part of the substantive motion

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Amended Substantive Motion AND COUNCIL DECISION

1126/279

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council:

1. Establishes the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee in accordance with section 5.8 and Section 5.9(2)(d) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
2. Appoints the following Council Members to the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee in accordance with Section 5.10(a) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
    i. Mayor Greg Milner
    ii. Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis,
    iii. Councillor Blake D'Souza
    iv. Councillor Joanne Ord
    v. Councillor Kathy Lees
    vi. Councillor Christine Robinson
    vii. Councillor Stephen Russell
    viii. Councillor Bronwyn David
3. Appoints person A as contained in Confidential **Attachment (b)** as the independent person to the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee in accordance with clause 8(3)(b) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.*
4. Appoints Mayor Greg Milner as the Presiding Member of the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee in accordance with Section 5.12(1) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
5. Appoints Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis as the Deputy Presiding Member of the Committee in accordance with Section 5.12(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
6. Adopts the Terms of Reference as contained within **Attachment (a)** for the Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee, subject to adding the words 'producing Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)' in the list of items within the second bullet point in Section 3 Areas of Responsibility.
7. Authorises the Acting Chief Executive Officer to source quotes from suitable recruitment consultant organisations for the Chief Executive Officer recruitment with the following scope of works:
    a) Deliver the scope of works, in consultation with the Council and the Selection Committee;
    b) Ensure the Selection Committee have prepared the CEO position description and selection criteria;
    c) Prepare and place the Job Advertisement;

d) Prepare the Job Information/Application Package and field applicant questions;

e) Conduct Executive Search;

f) Perform preliminary Applicant Assessments (including psychometric) and Background Checks;

g) Assist the Selection Committee to develop interview questions and to prepare for interviews;

h) Guide the Selection Committee in the interview and selection process;

i) Conduct referee checks and any other necessary checks;

j) Prepare a contract of employment for the preferred candidate; and

k) Prepare a report for Council on the preferred candidate and remuneration package to be offered.

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (7/1)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Councillor Blake D'Souza.

Background

Council resolved at a Special Council Meeting held 25 March 2026 to appoint Ms Anita Amprimo, (substantive position Director Infrastructure Services) as Acting Chief Executive Officer (Acting CEO) with immediate effect. Ms Amprimo is currently the City of South Perth Acting CEO.

At a Special Council Meeting held 27 October 2026, Council resolved to terminate the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Ms Elizabeth Ledger's employment with immediate effect.

Comment

On Tuesday 4 November 2026, the Manager People & Culture and Manager Governance held a Briefing with Council Members regarding the CEO Position. The Briefing covered legislative requirements for the Acting CEO process and the CEO Recruitment process, as well as provided an opportunity for Council Members to express their desired next steps regarding the CEO position.

It is proposed that a Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee be established to coordinate the recruitment and selection process and make recommendations to Council for decisions regarding the recruitment and selection of a new CEO.

Terms of Reference

A Chief Executive Officer Selection Committee may be established under Section 5.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995* to oversee the process, with Council establishing Terms of Reference to guide the Selection Committee's advisory role.

The proposed Terms of Reference for the Selection Committee are:

- The Selection Committee is to be an advisory Committee for the duration of the CEO recruitment process.

- The Selection Committee to coordinate the recruitment process in an appropriately confidential manner, with the assistance of an independent person and a recruitment consultant, to attract applicants and select an appropriate candidate.
- The Selection Committee, with the assistance of the recruitment consultant, is to coordinate the preparation of an application package, position description, recommended remuneration package, advertising, searching for applicants, short listing, development of interview process, conducting interviews, screening, assessing, conducting referee checks (and other necessary checks), writing reports and contract preparation; and
- The Selection Committee is to make a recommendation to Council on the preferred candidates and remuneration package to be offered.

The Selection Committee can comprise of some or all Council Members and facilitates the recruitment of the CEO process.

Independent Person

On Tuesday 10 November 2026 the Manager People & Culture and Manager Governance held a second Briefing with Council Members regarding the appointment of an independent person to the Selection Committee in accordance with clause 8(3) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.*

Council Members were provided with a list of 14 potential candidates to undertake the role of independent person and were asked to identify their preferred candidates. Based on these preferences, a shortlist of eight candidates was established as contained at **Confidential Attachment (a).**

Following the Briefing, the Manager People & Culture contacted the shortlisted candidates in order of preference to determine their availability and willingness to undertake the role. Person A confirmed their availability and interest and, accordingly, forms part of the Officer Recommendation for appointment to the Selection Committee.

Recruitment Consultant

A suitably qualified recruitment consultant should be engaged to guide the process and ensure compliance with relevant legislation in line with contemporary recruitment practices. Due to the specialised nature of recruiting a Local Government Chief Executive Officer, it is commonplace for a Council to engage the services of a suitably qualified recruitment consultant, licensed under the *Employment Agents Act 1976* (WA), to guide the Selection Committee through the process. Therefore, it is recommended that the Acting CEO be authorised to source quotes from suitable recruitment consultant organisations for the CEO recruitment, and provide these quotations to the Selection Committee to recommend a preferred recruitment consultant at a future Ordinary Council Meeting or Special Council Meeting.

Scope of Works:

- Deliver the scope of works, in consultation with the Council and the Selection Committee;
- Ensure the Selection Committee has prepared the CEO position description and selection criteria;
- Prepare and place the Job Advertisement;
- Prepare the Job Information/Application Package and field applicant questions;
- Conduct Executive Search;

- Perform preliminary Applicant Assessments (including psychometric) and Background Checks;
- Assist the Selection Committee to develop interview questions and to prepare for interviews;
- Guide Council and the Selection Committee in interview and selection processes;
- Conduct referee checks and other relevant checks;
- Prepare a contract of employment for the preferred candidate; and
- Prepare a report for Council on the preferred candidate and remuneration package to be offered.

It is anticipated that this recruitment and selection process may span a timeframe of up to six months noting the timeline largely depends on the notice period of the preferred candidate with their current employer.

Consultation

Council Members have been consulted on the recruitment and selection process recommended in this report through the CEO Position Briefings held on Tuesday 4 November 2026 and Tuesday 10 November 2026.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Part 5, Division 4 of the *Local Government Act 1995.*
Part 4, *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*.

Financial Implications

There is sufficient budget allocated within the People & Performance budget 2026/27 to cover the costs of a CEO recruitment and selection process.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The recruitment and selection process will be conducted in accordance with all applicable legislative requirements.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.4 Maintain a culture of continuous improvement

Attachments

10.4.7 (a):	Terms of Reference
10.4.7 (b):	Independent Person List *(Confidential)*

11. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The Presiding Member called for a Motion to approve the following Leave of Absence applications.

COUNCIL DECISION

1126/280

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor Christine Robinson

That Council approve the Leave of Absence applications received from:

1. Councillor Kathy Lees for the period 18 December 2026 to 8 January 2027, inclusive.
2. Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis for the period 18 December 2026 to 1 January 2027, inclusive.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Blake D'Souza, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

12. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

13. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

13.1 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

13.2 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

- Councillor Christine Robinson
- Mayor Greg Milner
- Councillor Kathy Lees
- Councillor Blake D'Souza
- Councillor Stephen Russell
- Councillor Joanne Ord

The questions and responses can be found in the **Appendix** of these Minutes.

14. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING

Nil.

15. MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

Nil.

16. CLOSURE

The Presiding Member thanked everyone for their attendance and closed the meeting at 7.26pm.

APPENDIX

6.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 17 November 2026

1 Ms Shelley Wilson, Como Received: 12 November 2026	Responses provided by Olaya Lope – Acting Director Infrastructure Services
1. What pesticides /chemicals have been used in and around Coolidge Reserve and Neil McDougall Park for the last two years or more?	The City's Parks and Environment team uses herbicides to control weeds. The City only uses products that are approved for use by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) and is guided by regulatory advice from the Government of Western Australia Department of Health.
2. What considerations given to pesticide and chemicals, that may impact the residents?	Further to the answer to question one. The City uses selective herbicides which target specific plant species during seasonal growing periods. Depending on species and conditions, these applications may only be required once per year. Residents are notified in advance through the City's website, and on-site signage is displayed during herbicide application.
3. Is the Council willing to change the use of the pesticides and chemicals in the Coolidge Reserve and Neil McDougall Park and surrounding areas to ensure longer-term safety and health of the residents?	The City minimises the use of herbicides to the extent practicable for managing weed growth to acceptable levels.

13.2 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OCM 17 NOVEMBER 2026

Councillor Christine Robinson	Responses to questions 1 and 2 provided by: Olaya Lope – Acting Director Infrastructure Services Response to question 3 provided by: Anita Amprimo – Acting Chief Executive Officer
1. Can the City advise what progress the e-scooter trial has been made and where is it at currently?	The Perth Inner City Group is discussing with a potential provider, until now there is only a draft proposal that has not been accepted by anyone, it is just for discussion - there is no more progress.
2. In regard to that one provider, what has been discussed with them so far?	The provider has provided a proposal with the areas that would be included in the trial, some information about the e-scooters that will be provided, they have also provided some information about the safety. It is a first draft for information only for review and to provide feedback to the group and to the potential supplier.
3. Have they proposed a start date or length of the trial at all?	Can I say that the way we are working with the Inner City Group, if Council are minded to rescind the previous resolution of Council - the earlier we know that, the better. We have been participating in the group on the basis that we have that resolution from Council. If Council do not want us to progress on that basis, then in fairness to the partners within the Inner City Group, we would be best advising them that because this would change what they are currently proposing - if South Perth is not part of that proposal.

Mayor Greg Milner	Response provided by: Anita Amprimo – Acting Chief Executive Officer
1. Obviously there is some new information that is yet to be presented both to the Perth Inner City Group and to Council generally. My understanding is that there are no obligations at the moment, and that Council would be fully informed before we embark on a shared scheme with the other members of the Perth Inner City Group. Is that correct?	That is correct - what is being proposed at the moment is on the basis that South Perth would be participating. So, if South Perth were to indicate that it is no longer interested in participating in the proposal - that would be discussed by the remainder of the Inner City Group and would obviously take a slightly different shape potentially.

Councillor Kathy Lees	Response provided by: Olaya Lope – Acting Director Infrastructure Services
1. Can you advise which other local governments are involved and also how long is the trial period intended to be for?	The other cities are the City of Perth, the City of Vincent, the Town of Victoria Park and the City of South Perth. The trial has not been confirmed.

Councillor Blake D'Souza	Responses provided by: Olaya Lope – Acting Director Infrastructure Services
1. Weeding along Manning Road - has there been a delay in the City's maintenance work or any resourcing issues around that? Residents have noticed that it is significantly worse than previous years [in the median strips]?	There are no delays that I am aware of and the City team is going with the maintenance program as it was scheduled.
2. Is there any resourcing issues or is that something that I can have a chat individually and see what potential areas?	There are no resourcing issues that are affecting the maintenance of Manning Road at this stage. I am aware there are some requests for upkeep of verges.
3. Along Manning Road especially, leading into Canavan Crescent?	There are no resourcing constraints, it is part of the maintenance schedule.

Mayor Greg Milner	Response provided by: Anita Amprimo – Acting Chief Executive Officer
1. I am conscious that we have received some feedback from residents who are concerned about weeds and median strips and the like. Is there an indicative time frame as to when Manning might have weeds removed from the median strips? I assume that residents would be free to report any concerns that they might have about the growth of weeds to the City through the ordinary course?	I will take the detail of that question on notice. Queries about weeds on Manning Road particularly, comes up quite regularly and potentially it is something that we need to discuss the level of service there with Council, because we fund a certain level of service within the budget provisions. If that is not meeting Council and the community's expectations, then perhaps this is a conversation we need to have with Council and revisit the level of service.

Councillor Blake D'Souza	Response provided by: Anita Amprimo – Acting Chief Executive Officer
1. Is there any further resourcing the City would need to have a greater maintenance program for this?	Based on the feedback that we get, we consistently deliver the level of service. However, the feedback that we get would suggest that potentially the community is not happy with the level of service. Making provision for increased servicing, does tend to be quite expensive given that Manning Road is a significant road and the traffic management involved with it. That is something that we can put on the list to discuss at budget setting time.

Councillor Christine Robinson		Responses provided by: Olaya Lope – Acting Director Infrastructure Services
1.	The City's replacement slab program - are there any documents that pertain to what are the actions that are in place and what slabs will be fixed - rather than the ad-hoc that I see pop up on the website?	There is a 10-year program that we keep up to date. We infill the number of footpaths across the City with this program.
2.	In terms of specific streets, where would we find that level of detail?	That level of detail is not made public - generally we are prioritising the streets that do not have footpaths on any of the sides and the ones that have higher pedestrian traffic.

Councillor Stephen Russell		Responses to questions 1, 4 and 5 provided by: Margaret King - Acting Director Development and Community Services Responses to questions 2, 3 and 6 provided by: Donna Shaw – Acting Director Corporate Services
1.	Advice Notice 9 of the development application approval for the Wesley boatshed states that prior to or in conjunction with the submission of an application for a building permit a community benefit contribution of $300k will be paid to the City. Has the $300k been funded to the City and if so where has the funding been earmarked to e.g. is it in a reserve or if not, then why has the funding not been given?	Advice notes are not legally enforceable. In providing the statutory referral response on the proposal to the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, the City recommended a condition (which is legally enforceable) as follows: *Prior to or in conjunction with the submission of a building permit application, the applicant shall provide a community benefit contribution of $300,000 to be paid to the City of South Perth. This contribution is to be held by the City of South Perth and utilised for the upgrading of Coode Street Node 2 Area in accordance with the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan.*

		In making the determination on the application, the Minister for Environment included the following as an advice note: *"9. The Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions acknowledges the commitment made by Wesley and Penrhos colleges that prior to or in conjunction with the submission of an application for a building permit a community benefit contribution of $300,000 will be paid to the City of South Perth and that the contribution will be held by the City of South Perth and utilised for the upgrading of Coode Street Node 2 Area in accordance with the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan (2015)."* The community benefit contribution has not been made to the City by the applicant. The City could not withhold the Building Permit on the basis of the advice note. The City has requested payment from the applicant who has not confirmed whether a contribution will be made.
2.	On receipt of this $300k - when it does come, do we need to have a special reserve for this because it is earmarked for the Coode Street area only?	I will have a look at what reserves we have for that purpose because part of the strategy relates to a section of river wall along there. It could potentially be placed in that, or the Community Facilities Reserve which does provide for future community facilities. What we would do is have a look at whether any of the purposes of the existing reserves align with the wording contained within that advice note - if it has been made and if not, Council would need to establish a separate reserve for that purpose.

3.	As it's an advice notice, what, how does the City of South Perth acquire this $300k contribution that this application approval was partly based up on?	It is not a legally enforceable decision from the Minister. The City provided its statutory response and recommended that it be included as a condition which we would have been able to use to enforce as part of. Prior to receiving the building permit, we could have enforced that under the *Planning and Development Act*. It was instead modified to an advice note on the determination made by the Minister. The City cannot take that to the State Administrative Tribunal or commence a prosecution under the *Planning and Development Act* because advice notes are not legally enforceable. To date we have requested that the payment be made but that has not been forthcoming.
4.	The public car park adjacent to Richardson Oval and Labouchere Road, and Labouchere Road itself between Angelo Street and Richardson Street are zoned Regional Open Space under the Metropolitan Region Scheme. Who has the management order over these lots?	The Richardson Road Carpark is split across two lots, being Lot 644 and 643 on Deposited Plan 152093, separated by a small section of land dedicated as a public road, and is therefore owned by the State of Western Australia. The City owns Lots 644 and 643 in freehold, and pursuant to the *Local Government Act*, is responsible for the management of all land dedicated as a public road, outside of roads managed through Main Roads. The reservation under the Metropolitan Region Scheme does not affect the ownership.
5.	There are a number of large public open spaces freehold to the City that are currently sub-divided as if they were historically to be developed residential e.g. Coolidge, Ryrie, Morris Mundy. Is there any reason why this legacy is still in place and therefore preventing these reserves to be amalgamated to a single Public Open Space lot? What is the process for these lots to be amalgamated?	The City has not identified any reason why these areas of Public Open Space have not been amalgamated. The fragmented lots have no effect operationally on the use of these Public Open Space areas. To amalgamate these lots, an application is required to be made to the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage. An application for amalgamation would be determined by the Western Australian Planning Commission.

6.	Ley Street between Henley and Manning Road is zoned as a local road under the Local Planning Scheme No. 7 in Intramaps. As this road provides a thoroughfare between a local distributor and other regional road, could the City clarify why this road is a local road - rather than a local distributor? If I could compare that to Clydesdale is not a local distributor on the Main Roads WA mapping?	The roads themselves are not zoned that - the layer that you see on Intramaps refers to the regional road hierarchy. The only roads that have a different classification under the planning framework are the other regional roads and the primary regional roads. So, any other road throughout the district is a local road, but it is not zoned. It is reserved as road reserve. In terms of the carrying capacity of the road, that is the separate measure that we use to determine how we name that road, that is outside of the zoning process itself. For example, depending on the level of traffic that goes down a road would determine whether it's a local district distributor, a regional road etc. That process is separate to the zoning process under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and our associated Local Planning Scheme.

Councillor Joanne Ord		Response to question 1 provided by: Anita Amprimo – Acting Chief Executive Officer Responses to questions 2-4 provided by: Donna Shaw – Acting Director Corporate Services
1.	I am just going back to that $300k - from memory that was to be put towards the future Coode Street playground or was it to be stashed to one side?	It was not identified as to what it was going to be spent on. It was something that was going to be within the vicinity of where the boatshed has been constructed.
2.	I remember when they were given their lease of $1,000 a year, I think it was, was any consideration of that $300K taken into account during that those negotiation terms?	In terms of the reference to the playground and where that would go, that actually refers to their original development application. So, in support of their application, they suggested it could be used towards that. However, it is not identified in the advice note that was provided, it was more general. In terms of the framework that otherwise provided for that - the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions has a number of their own policies which refer to providing community benefit upgrades where developments are undertaken on land reserve for the foreshore. In the City's statutory referral response to that we were effectively recommending that they apply their own policy for that purpose. And then subsequently it was provided as an advice note - which as I have mentioned earlier is not legally enforceable. That was not considered as part of any negotiations in terms of what the lease figure would be. That is a separate statutory process outside of any lease arrangement.
3.	When did we last write to them requesting the money?	Two weeks ago, I would say.

4.	Is it just radio silence?	We have not received in writing that they will not provide it. That is the advice that we have been given.

Councillor Christine Robinson		Responses provided by: Donna Shaw – Acting Director Corporate Services
1.	In regard to the Wesley Boat Shed - how long is the lease term for?	Taken on notice.
2.	If they have not provided this voluntary contribution, what means do we have in place then for this lease?	The two issues cannot be conflated. One is a matter that is considered under the planning framework - the only enforcement action we would otherwise have is failure to comply with the condition under the *Planning and Development Act* and commence a prosecution. We cannot do that because it is an advice note. The lease is a separate matter - the lease is determined by the Minister for Lands. That is outside of the City's control. There are no options in terms of recovery.

Councillor Kathy Lees		Response provided by: Donna Shaw – Acting Director Corporate Services
1.	It was not clear on the response that they have not provided written advice, but they have provided verbal advice that they will not be paying the money. Is that right?	Yes, that is correct. They have verbally indicated that it would not be provided. The City has sought confirmation in writing.

DISCLAIMER

The City advises that comments recorded represent the views of the person making them and should not in any way be interpreted as representing the views of Council. The minutes are a confirmation as to the nature of comments made and provide no endorsement of such comments. Most importantly, the comments included as dot points are not purported to be a complete record of all comments made during the course of debate. Persons relying on the minutes are expressly advised that the summary of comments provided in those minutes do not reflect and should not be taken to reflect the view of the Council. The City makes no warranty as to the veracity or accuracy of the individual opinions expressed and recorded therein.

These Minutes were confirmed at the Ordinary Council Meeting held: Tuesday 15 December 2026

Signed _____

Presiding Member at the meeting at which the Minutes were confirmed