MINUTES

Ordinary Council Meeting

27 October 2026

Mayor and Councillors

Here within are the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting of the City of South Perth Council held Tuesday 27 October 2026 in the City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth.



ANITA AMPRIMO
ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

4 November 2026

City of South Perth

Acknowledgement of Country

Kaartdjinin Nidja Nyungar Whadjuk Boodjar Koora Nidja Djining Noonakoort kaartdijin wangkiny, maam, gnarnk and boordier Nidja Whadjuk kura kura.

We acknowledge and pay our respects to the traditional custodians of this land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and their Elders past and present.

Our Guiding Values



Disclaimer

Members of the public are cautioned against taking any action as a result of a Council decision until such time as they have seen a copy of the Minutes or been advised, in writing, by the Council's Administration with regard to any particular decision.

Contents

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS 5

2. DISCLAIMER 5

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER 5

4. ATTENDANCE 6

4.1 APOLOGIES 7

4.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE 7

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST 7

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME 8

6.1 RESPONSES TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE 8

6.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 27 OCTOBER 2026 8

7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES AND TABLING OF NOTES OF BRIEFINGS 9

7.1 MINUTES 9

7.1.1 Ordinary Council Meeting Held: 29 September 2026 9

7.2 CONCEPT BRIEFINGS 9

7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops 9

7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 20 October 2026 10

8. PRESENTATIONS 10

8.1 PETITIONS 10

8.2 PRESENTATIONS 10

8.3 DEPUTATIONS 10

9. METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS 11

10.3.1 Local Heritage Survey (Final Adoption) 11

10.3.3 Urban Greening Implementation Plan (Public Consultation) 11

10.3.4 Amendments to Local Planning Policies (Advertising) 11

10.4.3 City of South Perth Council Plan 2027-2037 (Public Consultation) 11

10.4.7 Proposed Deed of Variation - Lease of 53 Coode Street, South Perth (South Perth Senior Citizens) 11

10.4.9 Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee 11

10.4.10 Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee 11

10. REPORTS 13

10.3 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 3: ENVIRONMENT (BUILT AND NATURAL) 13

10.3.1 Local Heritage Survey (Final Adoption) 13

10.3.2 RFT000006 Provision of Tree Maintenance Services - Panel Arrangement 23

10.3.3 Urban Greening Implementation Plan (Public Consultation) 27

10.3.4 Amendments to Local Planning Policies (Advertising) 38

10.3.5 Proposed Change of Use - Multiple Dwelling to Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation - Lot 3 (No.2/20) Rose Avenue, South Perth 44

10.3.6 Proposed Telecommunications Infrastructure, Kwinana Freeway, Como (Land ID Number 3600602) 51

10.4 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 4: LEADERSHIP 59

10.4.1 Listing of Payments - September 2026 59

10.4.2 Monthly Financial Statements - September 2026 62

10.4.3 City of South Perth Council Plan 2027-2037 (Public Consultation) 66

10.4.4 Youth Plan 2021-2025 - Status Report 78

10.4.5 Play Space Plan 2022 - Status Report 81

10.4.6 Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033 - Status Report 85

10.4.7 Proposed Deed of Variation - Lease of 53 Coode Street, South Perth (South Perth Senior Citizens) 88

10.4.8 Proposed Council Meeting Schedule 2027 92

10.4.9 Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee 96

10.4.10 Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee 99

11. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE 102

12. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN 102

13. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS 102

13.1 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TAKEN ON NOTICE 102

13.2 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS 102

14. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING 102

15. MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC 102

16. CLOSURE 102

APPENDIX 103

DISCLAIMER 105

Ordinary Council Meeting - Minutes

Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held in the City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth at 6.00pm on Tuesday 27 October 2026.

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 6.01pm.

Councillor Kathy Lees was authorised to attend the meeting via Electronic Means in accordance with regulation 14C and 14CA of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.*

Councillor Kathy Lees declared that she was able to maintain confidentiality during the meeting in accordance with regulation 14CA(5) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.*

2. DISCLAIMER

The Presiding Member read aloud the City's Disclaimer.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER

The Presiding Member read aloud the following statement:

'I would like to take this opportunity to announce that Ms Gail McGowan PSM, the Local Government Monitor's term has concluded with the City of South Perth. Ms McGowan was appointed by the Local Government Inspector in February 2026 to observe Council and Committee meetings, review governance practices and provide advice to the City.

On behalf of Council, I would sincerely like to thank Ms McGowan for her time and insights that she has provided.'

The Mayor presents the following report of the Mayor's formal engagements, meetings and events since the last Council Meeting:

Date	Subject
3 October 2026	Attendance at Perth Pride Football Club Pride Cup at Challenger Reserve
3 October 2026	Attendance at "Art for SitS! Launch" at South Perth Uniting Church
6 October 2026	Elected Member Workshop: Parking Plan
6 October 2026	Elected Member Induction
8 October 2026	2026 Emerging Artist Award – Exhibition Launch and Awards Presentation
9 October 2026	Teleconference with Local Government Monitor Gail McGowan
9 October 2026	Meeting with Geoff Baker MLA
12 October 2026	Wesley College's New Centenary Building - Official Opening

12 October 2026	Salter Point Community Group AGM
13 October 2026	Elected Member Workshop: IS Policy Review
13 October 2026	Elected Member Workshop: Land Utilisation
14 October 2026	Manning Senior Citizens Centre AGM
14 October 2026	Meeting with WALGA President Mark Irwin
14 October 2026	2026 EAA - Social Sundowner Invite
15 October 2026	Attendance at Seniors Morning Tea at Emerging Artist Award Exhibition
17 October 2026	South Perth Lawn Tennis Season Opening
17 October 2026	Manning Tennis Club “Fuel To Go & Play” Community Open Day
18 October 2026	Manning Mens Shed Open Day
18 October 2026	Moresby Street Festival
20 October 2026	Meeting with Local Government Monitor Gail McGowan
20 October 2026	Councillor Meeting with Local Government Monitor Gail McGowan
20 October 2026	Council Agenda Briefing
24 October 2026	Multicultural Haryana Day Milan Perth 2026
24 October 2026	Royal Perth Golf Club Men’s Charity Dinner
27 October 2026	Ordinary Council Meeting

4. ATTENDANCE

Mayor Greg Milner (Presiding Member)

Councillors

Como Ward	Councillor Kathy Lees (via Electronic Means)
Como Ward	Councillor Joanne Ord
Manning Ward	Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Mill Point Ward	Councillor Gavin Denton
Mill Point Ward	Councillor Bronwyn David
Moresby Ward	Councillor Stephen Russell
Moresby Ward	Councillor Christine Robinson

Officers

Acting Chief Executive Officer	Ms Anita Amprimo
Acting Director Corporate Services	Ms Donna Shaw
Acting Director Development and Community Services	Ms Fiona Mullen
Acting Director Infrastructure Services	Ms Olaya Lope
Manager Governance	Mr Morgan Hindle
Acting Manager Finance	Mr Azari Bakar (Retired at 6.59pm)
Communications Officer	Ms Raquel de Brito
Governance Officer	Ms Jane Robinson
Governance Officer	Ms Sarah Gould

Gallery

There were approximately 14 members of the public present.

4.1 APOLOGIES

Nil.

4.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE

- Councillor Blake D'Souza for the period 12 October 2026 to 13 November 2026, inclusive.
- Councillor Kathy Lees for the period 21 October 2026 to 28 October 2026, inclusive.

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- Mayor Greg Milner – Financial and Proximity Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'some of the proposed listings either include, or are adjacent to, or are across the road from, properties that are owned or indirectly owned by persons who contributed to my Mayoral re-election campaign in 2023.'
- Councillor Gavin Denton – Proximity Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'I have ownership of an apartment of 144 Mill Point Road, South Perth, that abuts 2 Parker Street, South Perth which is on the Local Heritage Survey.'
- Councillor Stephen Russell – Financial, Proximity and Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'my property is on the heritage survey. Adjacent properties to my property are on the heritage survey. I know of persons whose properties are on the heritage survey.'
- Councillor Kathy Lees – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 'as a ratepayer, prior to my election, I requested that 49 Forrest Street be considered for inclusion on the Local Heritage Survey. I also have friends who are members of the Royal Perth Golf Club. Accordingly, I declare an impartiality interest but will consider the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'I know of someone who is not a closely associated person to me, and their property is on the Local Heritage Survey for final adoption. This impartiality interest will not impair my decision making, which will be based on merit.'

- Councillor Bronwyn David – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 as 'before joining Council, I wrote heritage submissions and made deputations to Council on Heritage matters. I am also a current financial member of a Wesley College association for past parents. As a consequence, there may be a perception that my impartiality on the matter may be affected. I declare that I will consider this matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Councillor Kathy Lees – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.3 as 'I declare an impartiality interest due to my association with the South Perth and WA Tree Canopy Advocates. I will consider the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Councillor Bronwyn David – Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.3 as 'I am a co-founder of South Perth Tree Canopy Advocates. As a consequence, there may be a perception that my impartiality on the matter may be affected. I declare that I will consider this matter on its merits and vote accordingly.'
- Mayor Greg Milner – Proximity and Impartiality Interest in Item 10.4.7 as 'the property that is the subject of the lease is across the road from a property owned by a party who donated to my Mayoral re-election campaign in 2023. In addition, I know a number of the members of the South Perth Seniors Citizens Centre. I am also the patron of the Manning Senior Citizens Centre.'

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

6.1 RESPONSES TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

6.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 27 OCTOBER 2026

The Presiding Member opened Public Question Time at 6.08pm.

There being no questions, the Presiding Member then closed Public Question Time at 6.08pm.

7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES AND TABLING OF NOTES OF BRIEFINGS

7.1 MINUTES

7.1.1 Ordinary Council Meeting Held: 29 September 2026

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/231

Moved: Councillor Joanne Ord
Seconded: Councillor Gavin Denton

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held 29 September 2026 be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

7.2 CONCEPT BRIEFINGS

7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops

Officers of the City/Consultants and invited third party guests provided Council with an overview of the following matters at Concept Briefings and Workshops:

Date	Subject	Attendees
6 October 2026	Parking Plan Workshop	Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson.
13 October 2026	Infrastructure Services Policy Review Workshop	Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson.
13 October 2026	Land Utilisation Plan Workshop	Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson.
19 October 2026	Council Plan Implementation and Priorities Workshop	Councillors Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson.

Attachments

Nil.

7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 20 October 2026

Officers of the City presented background information and answered questions on Items to be considered at the October Ordinary Council Meeting at the Council Agenda Briefing held 20 October 2026.

Attachments

7.2.2 (a): Briefing Notes

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/232

Moved: Councillor Bronwyn David
Seconded: Councillor Christine Robinson

That Council notes the following Council Briefings/Workshops were held:

- 7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops
- 7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 20 October 2026

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

8. PRESENTATIONS

8.1 PETITIONS

Nil.

8.2 PRESENTATIONS

Nil.

8.3 DEPUTATIONS

A Deputation was heard at the Council Agenda Briefing held 20 October 2026.

9. METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS

The Presiding Member advised that with the exception of the items identified to be withdrawn for discussion that the remaining reports, including the Officer Recommendations, will be adopted by exception resolution (i.e. all together) as per Clause 5.5 Exception Resolution of the Standing Orders Local Law 2007.

The Presiding Member confirmed all the report items were discussed at the Council Agenda Briefing held 20 October 2026, and noted that Item 10.3.5 Proposed Change of Use to Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation - Lot 7 (No. 7/29) Hurlingham Road, South Perth had been withdrawn from the October Ordinary Council Meeting Agenda, following confirmation that the application was withdrawn by the Applicant.

The Acting Chief Executive Officer confirmed all the report items were discussed at the Council Agenda Briefing held 20 October 2026.

ITEMS WITHDRAWN FOR DISCUSSION

10.3.1	Local Heritage Survey (Final Adoption)
10.3.3	Urban Greening Implementation Plan (Public Consultation)
10.3.4	Amendments to Local Planning Policies (Advertising)
10.4.3	City of South Perth Council Plan 2027-2037 (Public Consultation)
10.4.7	Proposed Deed of Variation - Lease of 53 Coode Street, South Perth (South Perth Senior Citizens)
10.4.9	Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee
10.4.10	Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee

The Presiding Member called for a motion to move the balance of reports by Exception Resolution.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/233

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

10.3.2 RFT000006 Provision of Tree Maintenance Services - Panel Arrangement

10.3.5 Proposed Change of Use - Multiple Dwelling to Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation - Lot 3 (No.2/20) Rose Avenue, South Perth

10.3.6 Proposed Telecommunications Infrastructure, Kwinana Freeway, Como (Land ID Number 3600602)

10.4.1 Listing of Payments - September 2026

10.4.2 Monthly Financial Statements - September 2026

10.4.4 Youth Plan 2021-2025 - Status Report

10.4.5 Play Space Plan 2022 - Status Report

10.4.6 Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033 - Status Report

10.4.8 Proposed Council Meeting Schedule 2027

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

10. REPORTS

10.3 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 3: ENVIRONMENT (BUILT AND NATURAL)

Mayor Greg Milner disclosed a Financial and Proximity Interest in Item 10.3.1 and accordingly left the meeting at 6.17pm. Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis assumed the Chair.

Councillor Stephen Russell disclosed a Financial, Proximity and Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1 and accordingly left the meeting at 6.17pm.

Councillor Gavin Denton disclosed a Proximity Interest in Item 10.3.1 and accordingly left the meeting at 6.17pm.

Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David and Kathy Lees disclosed an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1.

10.3.1 Local Heritage Survey (Final Adoption)

File Ref: D-26-17335
Reporting Officer(s): Fiona Mullen, Acting Director Development and Community Services

Summary

At its meeting held 28 April 2026, Council supported advertising of proposed changes to the Local Heritage Survey to reflect the outcomes of a place specific review of several places.

This report presents the outcome of advertising and seeks final approval for the amended Local Heritage Survey.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/234

Moved: Councillor Bronwyn David
Seconded: Councillor Christine Robinson

That Council:

1. Adopts the Local Heritage Survey at **Attachment (a)**.

CARRIED (5/0)

For: Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord and Christine Robinson.

Against: Nil.

Background

At its Ordinary Council Meeting held 28 October 2025, Council adopted a Heritage List and requested place specific reviews (including consideration of built form) be undertaken for five places in the Local Heritage Survey (LHS), ensuring where relevant, that the Statement of Significance of each place is consistent with the State Register of Heritage Places.

In November 2025, the City of South Perth (the City) engaged an independent heritage consultant to undertake place specific reviews in accordance with Council's resolution. In considering the outcome of these place specific reviews at its Ordinary Council Meeting held 24 March 2026, Council resolved to request the Chief Executive Officer prepare and present to Council an updated LHS reflecting review outcomes, including the creation of new and modified place records where required.

At its meeting held 28 April 2026, Council resolved to advertise proposed modifications to seven place records within the LHS, including the creation of two new place records.

This report presents the draft LHS for final adoption following advertising. It also includes changes to the place record for the Mount Henry Bridge reflecting its recent entry on the State Register of Heritage Places.

A copy of the proposed LHS is located at **Attachment (a)** with a copy of the LHS Survey - place records with tracked changes at **Attachment (b).**

Comment

Modifications to the Local Heritage Survey

The following is a summary of modifications proposed to the LHS in response to feedback received during the consultation period:

- Minor modifications proposed in 'Section 5. Heritage Places' of the LHS to reflect updated place reference information including InHerit database place numbers;
- Minor corrections of typographical errors;
- Royal Perth Golf Club – Golf Course, Labouchere Road - in response to further research, additional historical information is proposed to be included in the place record to reflect the contribution of an early golf course designer, and an event involving the emergency landing of an aircraft in the 1920's;
- Wesley College, No. 40 Coode Street - further modifications to the Statement of Significance are proposed to align with the State Register entry by removing the Mildred Manning Science Building (No. 40 Coode St) and the Tranby and Cygnet Boarding House (No. 41 Swan St) from the area of cultural heritage significance, and, as per the State Register entry, noting the contribution of certain buildings to the historical development of the place. Modification to the location plan on page 2 of the place record to align with the curtilage reflected in the State Register of Heritage Places; and
- St Joseph's Convent and Dennehy House (fmr.), No. 16 York Street - modification to the place record to include information relating to the World War II (WWII) code breaking contribution of Sister Julie O'Sullivan who joined the order of the Sisters of St Joseph after WWII. Further modifications to the Statement of Significance are proposed to align with the State Register entry including noting the Irene Villa, MacKillop Court, Convent, Link/Garden Room as having little significance. It is proposed to remove reference in the Statement of Significance to the swimming pool, as it has been demolished.

Consultation

Proposed modifications to the LHS were advertised for a period of 28 days between 7 May 2026 to 4 June 2026 in the following manner:

- Written notification provided to owners and occupiers of the subject places;
- Consultation information displayed on the City's website; and
- Copies of documents made publicly available at the Civic Centre and the Manning and South Perth Libraries.

At the close of the consultation period, 18 submissions were received. A Schedule of Submissions is contained as **Attachment (c)**.

Summary of submissions received and City responses

The following table summarises feedback received and provides the City's response. A copy of submissions addressing proposed changes to the LHS and City responses are located at **Attachment (c)**.

Address & Heritage	Feedback	City response
Place No. 36, Wesley College No. 40 Coode Street, South Perth Category 1 (Exceptional) classification of significance. On the State Register of Heritage Places (2009).	• Support for Category 1 Classification of Significance as place is on the State Register of Heritage Places. • Owner objects to application of a secondary zone of cultural heritage significance as statutory implications are unclear, and inclusion of the Mildred Manning Science Building and the Tranby and Cygnet Boarding House in the primary zone of cultural heritage significance as these were not identified in the State Register entry, and whilst they contribute to the historical development of the place and its social significance, they are not considered essential to its cultural heritage values.	• Two submissions were received, one from the owner and one from a community member supporting inclusion of Wesley College on the LHS. • Further modifications to the Statement of Significance are proposed to align with the State Register entry by: ○ removing the Mildred Manning Science Building (40 Coode St) and the Tranby and Cygnet Boarding House (41 Swan St) from the primary zone of cultural heritage significance, ○ removing reference to a primary and secondary zone of significance, ○ as per the State Register entry, noting the contribution of certain buildings to the historical development of the place but not being essential to its

Address & Heritage	Feedback	City response
		cultural heritage values, and ○ modifying the location plan on page 2 of the place record to align with the curtilage reflected in the State Register of Heritage Places entry.
	• Owner objects to inclusion of whole of site on LHS and future Heritage List given portion of site is identified in State Register entry as having cultural heritage significance.	• Despite the State Register curtilage for Wesley College identifying specific areas of heritage value, the whole site at No. 40 Coode Street is a 'heritage protected place' under the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 by* virtue of its cadastral boundary. In accordance with the *Heritage Act 2018* all development that may impact on the heritage values of that place, be in inside or outside the registered curtilage, require Heritage Council of WA referral.
Place No. 39, St Columba's Church, Presbytery and Primary School No. 25 Forrest Street, South Perth Category 1 (Exceptional) classification of significance. On the State Register of Heritage Places (2006).	• Support for creation of separate place record and retention of Category 1 Classification of Significance as place is on the State Register of Heritage Places.	• Four submissions were received from community members supporting inclusion of the place on the LHS.

Place No. 95, St Joseph's Convent (Dennehy House fmr.) No. 16 York Street, South Perth. Category 1 (Exceptional) classification of significance. On the State Register of Heritage Places (2006).	• Support for creation of a separate place record and retention of Category 1 Classification of Significance as place is on the State Register of Heritage Places. • Community member submission has been received requesting modification to the place record to reflect use of the place as a Signals Intelligence relay station during World War II, thereby meeting the criteria for 'scientific' value of significance. • Owner has requested that the core heritage significance of the site be attributed to the southern part of site, with later development to the north of the site being associated with the continued institutional operation and having less cultural heritage significance.	• Six submissions were received, one from the owner and five from community members supporting inclusion of the place on the LHS. • As evidence confirming the place was a Signals Intelligence relay station during World War II has not been provided, reflecting this in the place record is not recommended. Modification to the place record is recommended to include information relating to the WWII code breaking contribution of Sister Julie O'Sullivan who joined the order of the Sisters of St Joseph after WWII. • Further modifications to the Statement of Significance are proposed to align with the State Register of Heritage Places entry, including noting the Irene Villa, MacKillop Court, Convent, Link/Garden Room as having little significance. It is recommended that the swimming pool is removed from the Statement of Significance as it has been demolished.
Place No. 50, Royal Perth Golf Club (Golf Course) Labouchere Road, South Perth Category 2 (Considerable) classification of Significance	• Support for creation of separate place records for Golf Course and Clubhouse and for the retention of the Category 2 Classification of Significance for the golf course.	• Two submissions received both from community members supporting inclusion of the place on the LHS and for creation of separate place records for each.

Place No. 96 - Royal Perth Golf Club (Clubhouse), Labouchere Road, South Perth Category 4 (Little) classification of significance.	• Support for creation of separate place records for Golf Course and Clubhouse, and retention of the Category 4 Classification of Significance for the clubhouse.	• One submission was received from a community member supporting inclusion of the place on the LHS and for creation of separate place records for each.
Place No. 85, Residence: 49 Forrest Street, South Perth Category 2 (Considerable) classification of significance.	• Support for the Category 2 Classification of Significance.	• Four submissions were received, one from the owner and three from community members. Community member feedback supports inclusion of the place on the draft LHS.
	• Owner objects to Category 2 Classification of Significance based on the house not being a rare example and the unlikely association with the architect Talbot Hobbs, proposing instead Category 3 or 4 as more appropriate.	• The Category 2 Classification of Significance is consistent with two independent heritage consultants' review based on the place having considerable significance due to its historical value representing the City's interwar development, its architecture by the famed firm of JJ Talbot Hobbs, and its aesthetic value as an excellent example of the Inter-War Old English architectural style. On this basis, further changes to the Classification of Significance are not recommended.
	• Owner objects to elements of the interior being recognised as having cultural heritage significance on the basis it is a private house, not for public viewing, with jarrah features being common in the South Perth area.	• Clause 61 of the deemed provisions *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* allows internal building work to be carried out without development approval where the work relates to a place identified in the heritage list only if the

		heritage list specifies that the interior is not of cultural heritage significance. No. 49 Forrest Street is not currently a 'heritage protected place', and therefore certain works remain exempt from requiring a development application. Should the place be included on the Heritage List or be located in a heritage area, internal works would require consideration as part of a development application. In this instance, the City would consider any development in accordance with the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage's (DPLH) Guidelines for the Assessment of Local Heritage Places (2022), and Local Planning Policy 8.1 Heritage Conservation and Development. Where an interior has not been reviewed and there are reasonable grounds to consider that an interior may be of cultural heritage significance, approval for interior building work may require the applicant to provide a heritage assessment from a competent heritage professional. In this instance, this review was undertaken by the City. Further changes to the place record are not recommended.

Place No. 86, Residence: 39 Anstey Street, South Perth Category 2 (Considerable) classification of significance.	• Support for Category 2 Classification of Significance.	• Three submissions were received, one from the owner and two from community members. The owner does not object to inclusion of the place on the LHS and one community member supports the proposed Classification of Significance.
	• One community member objects to Category 2 to Category of Significance based on poor condition of place, identifying Category 3 as more appropriate, and does not recommend the place be included on the Heritage List in the future.	• The Category 2 Classification of Significance is consistent with the independent heritage consultants' review based on aesthetic, social and historical values. An external inspection notes a high degree of external integrity with the fabric remaining in the original state, and a high degree of authenticity with the original intention of the place still undertaken. A review of comparative analysis indicates that the place is a rare example of a modest timber weatherboard residency. On this basis, further modification to the Category 2 Classification of Significance is not recommended.
	• Owner requests removal of historical occupant information (i.e. names, nationalities, occupations) and associated source references.	• Further modification to the place record to remove historical occupant information is recommended. This information is not considered critical to evaluation of the place's cultural heritage significance and may be removed without affecting the recommendation.

Other Places	Feedback	City response
Place No. 90 **Residence: 2 Parker Street, South Perth** Category 3 (Some/moderate) classification of significance.	• Submission provides historical place information.	• Not part of current LHS review.
No. 29 South Perth Esplanade, South Perth	• Submission provides historical place information.	• Not included on the LHS, and not part of current LHS review.

Modifications to the Mount Henry Bridge place record

The Mount Henry Bridge, owned by the State of Western Australia and managed by Main Roads Western Australia, was entered on the State Register of Heritage Places on 16 April 2026.

In undertaking a scheduled assessment of the Mount Henry Bridge, the DPLH consulted with key stakeholders including the City of South Perth, providing a dedicated online engagement page with proposed place entry information, background information on the State Register and feedback form.

The Mount Henry Bridge is currently included on the City's LHS as Place No. 22 with a Category 3 Classification of Significance and is not on the Heritage List.

It is proposed to align the LHS place record for the Mount Henry Bridge with the State Register entry by:

- increasing the Classification of Significance from Category 3 (some/moderate) to Category 1 (exceptional);
- modifying the Statement of Significance;
- including new supporting images and diagrams; and
- augmenting place information (physical description and historical information).

Given the Mount Henry Bridge was recently subject to a general review of the LHS in 2024/25 and received no comments, and the scheduled assessment process undertaken by DPLH involved extensive advertising, further LHS consultation to amend the LHS place record is not considered necessary.

On this basis, it is considered acceptable to modify the LHS place record for the Mount Henry Bridge.

Heritage List

Council adopted a Heritage List at the 28 October 2025 Ordinary Council Meeting. As part of a separate statutory process, should Council adopt the recommended changes to the LHS, the City's Heritage List will be updated and presented to Council for further consideration.

The Heritage List is an instrument that is afforded power under the *Planning and Development Act 2005* and carries statutory weight when determining development outcomes for heritage places.

Policy and Legislative Implications

The *Heritage Act 2018* requires that a local government must prepare a survey of places in its district that in its opinion are, or may become, of cultural heritage significance.

"After preparing a local heritage survey, or reviewing and updating, a LHS, a local government must –

(a) provide the Council with a copy of the local heritage survey; and

(b) make the local heritage survey available to the public."

Modifying the LHS is consistent with the Guidelines for Local Heritage Surveys. A copy of the adopted LHS will be made available on the City's website.

Financial Implications

Nil.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The City has prepared the LHS in accordance with the Guidelines for Local Heritage Surveys.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Environment (Built and Natural)
Aspiration:	Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment
Outcome:	3.2 Sustainable built form
Strategy:	3.2.1 Develop and implement a sustainable local planning framework to meet current and future community needs

Attachments

10.3.1 (a):	Local Heritage Survey (combined)
10.3.1 (b):	Local Heritage Survey - Place Records (with track changes)
10.3.1 (c):	Table of submissions and City responses

10.3.2 RFT000006 Provision of Tree Maintenance Services - Panel Arrangement

File Reference: D-26-17336
Reporting Officer(s): Olaya Lope, Acting Director Infrastructure Services

Summary

This report recommends that Council accept a proposal from Beaver Tree Services Aust Pty Ltd and Genus Environment Pty Ltd for the Provision of Tree Maintenance Services – General and Powerline Pruning (Panel).

Please note that following adoption, the estimated tender price will be available on the Tender Register on the City's website.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/235

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That Council:

1. Accepts the tenders submitted by Beaver Tree Services Aust Pty Ltd and Genus Environment Pty Ltd to form a panel for the Provision of Tree Maintenance Services, as shown in **Confidential Attachment (a)**.

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Additional Information:

Following questions raised at the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 29 September 2026, additional information has been incorporated into this report regarding the City's compliance with the relevant legislative and policy framework.

Background

The City of South Perth (the City) is seeking to establish a non-exclusive panel arrangement of suitably qualified and experienced arboricultural contractors to provide for the provision of Tree Maintenance Services within the City's streets, parks, reserves, and amenity areas.

The City's tree maintenance activities encompass works of varying complexity, risk, and technical requirements. To ensure service continuity, safe work practices, and value for money, the City intends to appoint two contractors to its proposed panel arrangement, each capable of delivering all the required services in the categories provided.

Comment

At the close of the RFT000006 advertising period three submissions had been received and these are tabled below:

TABLE A – Tender Submissions

Tender Submissions
Beaver Tree Services Aust. Pty Ltd
Intelife Group Limited (Civitus)
Genus Environmental Pty Ltd

The Tenders were reviewed by an Evaluation Panel and assessed according to the qualitative criteria detailed in the Request For Tender, as per Table B below.

TABLE B - Qualitative Criteria

Qualitative Criteria	Weighting %
1. Capacity to complete the contract and deliver a high standard of quality	40%
2. Demonstrate experience in providing similar services	30%
3. Demonstrated understanding of the required tasks	25%
4. Social and economic effect on the local community	5%
Total	**100%**

Based on the assessment of all submissions received for RFT000006 Provision of Tree Maintenance Services, it is recommended that the tender submissions from Beaver Tree Services Aust Pty Ltd and Genus Environmental Pty Ltd be accepted as a joint panel agreement by Council.

More detailed information about the assessment process can be found in the Recommendation Report – Confidential **Attachment (a).**

Consultation

Public tenders were invited in accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995*.

RFT000006 for the Provision of Tree Maintenance Services was advertised in The West Australian on Wednesday 20 May 2026 and closed at 11:00pm Wednesday 10 June 2026 (AWST).

RFT000006 were invited as a Schedule of Rates Contract.

The contract is for the period three years. The Contract includes two options to extend the contract, each being for an additional 12 months exercisable at the sole discretion of the City. A mandatory site briefing was held at 10:00am on Wednesday 3 June 2026.

Policy and Legislative Implications

The procurement process for this Request for Tender was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the *Local Government Act 1995*, the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, and the City's procurement framework, including Policy P605 – Purchasing, Policy P607 - Tenders and Expressions of Interest, and Policy P611 - Pre-Qualified Supplier Panels.

The proposed panel arrangement is consistent with the principles and requirements outlined in Policy P611 – Pre-qualified Supplier Panels and Part 4, Division 3 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

Further details regarding the City's compliance with the applicable legislative and policy requirements are provided below and in the **Confidential Attachment (a)**, including:

1. Compliance with Regulation 24AD of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996* relating to invitations to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers;
2. Compliance with Regulation 24AE of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996* relating to the public invitation process;
3. Compliance with Regulation 24AG of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996* relating to the maintenance of the Tender Register; and
4. Compliance with Policy P611 Pre-Qualified Supplier Panels.

The City complied with Regulation 24AD of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996* by publicly inviting applications to join the panel through a statewide advertised Request for Tender process.

The tender advertisement and Request for Tender documentation included all information required by Regulation 24AD, such as service details, scope of work, evaluation criteria, submission requirements, panel term, operating arrangements, and terms and conditions for prospective contractors. Accordingly, the tender process satisfied the legislative requirements for establishing a panel of pre-qualified suppliers.

The City complied with Regulation 24AE of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.* The invitation to join the panel was published on the City's website and advertised using three methods prescribed by Regulation 3A (2) of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*:

- Publication on the City's official website;
- Publication in the West Australian newspaper under Local Government Tenders;
- Display on three of the City's public notice boards; and
- Publication on the City's LinkedIn account.

The tender was open for 21 calendar days, exceeding the minimum 14-day requirement under Regulation 24AE.

The City complied with Regulation 24AG of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*.

The City reviewed and updated the Tender Register to reflect the current status of all tenders. Regarding RFT000006, the Tender Register included an entry at the relevant time and identified the three tenderers whose submissions were received and opened.

All submissions received passed the compliance check and were progressed to the Qualitative Criteria Assessment stage.

Financial Implications

The full cost of the works is included in the 2026/27 budget.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media. **Business Interruption** Incorporates the impact of events which impinge upon the City's capacity to deliver expected services to the community. These interruptions can range from minor inconvenience requiring an alternative method of service delivery being employed through to forced loss of ability to provide multiple services to all or some of the community. Knowledge loss, technological failure and property damage will also contribute to this outcome.
Risk rating	High
Mitigation and actions	Approval of the tender report at the October 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting will enable timely contract award and reduce the risk of business interruption.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Environment (Built and Natural)
Aspiration:	Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment
Outcome:	3.3 Enhanced environment and open spaces
Strategy:	3.3.2 Enhance the City's urban forest on public and private land

Attachments

10.3.2 (a): Recommendation Report *(Confidential)*

Mayor Greg Milner returned to the meeting at 6.20pm following consideration of Item 10.3.1 and resumed the Chair.

Councillors Gavin Denton and Stephen Russell returned to the meeting at 6.20pm following consideration of Item 10.3.1.

Councillors Bronwyn David and Kathy Lees disclosed an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.3.

10.3.3 Urban Greening Implementation Plan (Public Consultation)

File Ref: D-26-17337
Reporting Officer(s): Olaya Lope, Acting Director Infrastructure Services

Summary

This report presents the draft Urban Greening Implementation Plan for adoption for the purpose of public consultation.

Moved: Councillor Kathy Lees
Seconded: Councillor Bronwyn David

That Council adopt the draft Urban Greening Implementation Plan for the purpose of public consultation as contained at **Attachment (a)**, subject to the following amendments:

1. Under 'Pillar 2: Enhance', amend the Major Initiative 1 'Priority and opportunity analysis' action, timeframe and output as follows:
    a. That the words 'Conduct analysis to determine where urban greening and trees will be planted and when' is replaced with 'Conduct analysis to inform the prioritisation of urban greening and tree planting across the City' in the 'Action' column.
    b. That the tickboxes are removed in 'Year 3' and 'Year 4' in the 'Timeframe' columns.
    c. That the words 'one area completed annually' is replaced with the words 'City-wide analysis completed' in the 'Output' column.
2. Under 'Pillar 2: Enhance', amend the Major Initiative 3 'Street Tree Management Program' action, type, LG Roles, timeframe and output as follows:
    a. That the words 'Subject to suitability, space and accessibility, provide at least one street tree on every verge' are replaced with 'Increase street tree planting across the City, with the aim of achieving at least one street tree on every suitable verge, subject to space and accessibility.'
    b. That the word 'Project' is added in the 'Type' column and the word 'Delivery' is added in the 'LG Roles' column.
    c. That the words 'ensure 50% of all suitable verges have a street tree by 2031' are replaced with the following 'Years 1–2: Determine the number of suitable verges, establish the current level of street tree coverage and set an evidence-based target for increasing the number of street trees on suitable verges' and 'Years 3–4:

Progressively increase street tree planting towards the established target.'

3. Under 'Pillar 2: Enhance', amend the Performance Measure 9 'South Perth foreshore planting' measure description as follows:

   a. That the words 'Create a plan for tree and vegetation planting on the South Perth Foreshore' are replaced with 'develop a long term tree and vegetation planting plan for the South Perth Foreshore, informed by public consultation that seeks to balance the interests of local residents, the wider City of South Perth community and visitors' in the Measure Description column.

   b. That the words 'Number of plants planted in Hurlingham Living Stream Stage 2 and Urban Rivers Catchment Program (URCP) projects are replaced with 'South Perth Foreshore Tree and Vegetation Planting Plan, informed by public consultation.'

   c. That the words 'Hurlingham Living Stream Stage 2 completion' and 'Urban Rivers Catchment Program (URCP) project completion' are replaced with 'Planting Plan Completed by Year 4' in the Target* column.

   d. That the words 'FY26/27' and 'FY 27/28' are replaced with the words 'Year 4.'

Reasons for Change

The proposed amendments seek to strengthen the draft Urban Greening Implementation Plan by ensuring that key actions are supported by appropriate baseline information, evidence-based decision-making and meaningful community input, while retaining the overall intent of the Plan to increase tree planting, shade, habitat and vegetation across the City.

The amendment to the Priority and Opportunity Analysis provides for a city-wide assessment to be completed within the first two years of the Plan. This will deliver an earlier and more comprehensive understanding of where opportunities for urban greening and tree planting exist across the City, allowing future initiatives to be informed by a clear assessment of local needs, opportunities and constraints. A city-wide assessment also provides a more equitable basis for decision-making by ensuring all areas of the City are considered through a consistent framework when identifying priorities for future greening initiatives. The amendment will help ensure future investment is directed to locations where it can deliver the greatest environmental, social and urban heat mitigation benefits, while recognising that the timing and scale of implementation will remain subject to future budget and resource considerations.

The amendment to the Street Tree Management Program retains the aspiration of increasing street tree planting and working towards at least one street tree on every suitable verge. However, rather than adopting a predetermined target before the existing baseline is established, the first two years will focus on identifying suitable verges, assessing current street tree coverage and establishing an evidence-based planting target. Years 3 and 4 will then focus on progressively increasing planting towards that target.

This approach provides a more strategic and measurable framework, ensuring targets are informed by accurate data, are achievable and capable of being monitored over time, while recognising the need to consider increased tree planting with accessibility requirements and site constraints.

The proposed amendment relating to the South Perth Foreshore provides greater clarity around the intent of future planting works and acknowledges the diverse interests associated with this significant regional asset. The foreshore is highly valued by local residents, the broader City of South Perth community and visitors for its environmental, recreational, landscape and community benefits. The proposed wording is consistent with the proposed amendment to the draft Council Plan and supports the development of a long-term tree and vegetation planting plan informed by public consultation. Importantly, it recognises the need for a transparent and respectful consultation process that considers the options available and explores how competing interests may be accommodated. This will help inform future decision-making on an issue that has historically attracted strong and differing community views.

Collectively, the amendments provide a more evidence-based, transparent and strategically focused approach to urban greening. They strengthen the implementation framework by ensuring future decisions are informed by sound analysis, outcomes are measurable and the community has an opportunity to help shape important greening initiatives. As the proposal before Council is to advertise the Plan for public consultation rather than adopt it, these amendments will assist in presenting a more robust and balanced document for community consideration and feedback. Subject to these changes, the draft Plan is considered appropriate for community consultation and will provide an opportunity for the community to help shape the final Urban Greening Implementation Plan.

AMENDMENT

1026/236

Moved: Councillor Stephen Russell
Seconded: Councillor Joanne Ord

That recommendation 3 of the substantive motion is amended as follows:

3. Under ‘Pillar 2: Enhance’, amend the Performance Measure 9 ‘South Perth foreshore planting’ measure description as follows:

    a. Delete the words ‘that seeks to balance the interests of local residents, the wider City of South Perth community and visitors’ from the Measure Description column.

    d. That the words ‘year 4’ are replaced with ‘Year 2.’

Reasons for Change

I believe that allowing a text where it ‘seeks to balance the interests of local residents, the wider City of South Perth community and visitors’ hangs on the word ‘balances’ and I am not exactly sure what that means in this context. We have already seen that from previous experience, where we had the removal of these six trees - I am not sure what the word ‘balance’ is here. I would rather this just be left to be informed by public consultation.

The other thing is in terms of the measure frequency – 'year four' that is too much of a stretch of time for me to develop a plan for the South Perth foreshore. I would rather that is reduced to 'year two' so that we have a milestone that I believe is more amenable to the public.

The Amendment was put and declared CARRIED (5/3) and formed part of the substantive motion.

For: Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Gavin Denton, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors Bronwyn David and Kathy Lees.

During debate on the Item, Councillor Gavin Denton foreshadowed a further Amendment to paragraph 3 of the substantive motion. Mayor Greg Milner ruled the amendment out of order as that would contravene Clause 10.11 Unacceptable Amendments of the City of South Perth Standing Orders 2007.

Mayor Greg Milner advised the meeting that he would move a motion to suspend the Standing Order to allow Councillor Gavin Denton's amendment to be heard.

COUNCIL DECISION

1026/237

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council suspends Clause 10.11 Unacceptable Amendments of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007.

Reasons

I am doing this to give Councillor Denton the opportunity to move the additional amendment that he foreshadowed during the discussion on Councillor Russell's amendment. It is not ideal and It is not our usual practice, but I think that is probably the only fair way to proceed in the circumstances.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

AMENDMENT

1026/238

Moved: Councillor Gavin Denton
Seconded: Councillor Bronwyn David

That recommendation 3 of the substantive motion is amended as follows:

3. Under 'Pillar 2: Enhance', amend the Performance Measure 9 'South Perth foreshore planting' measure description as follows:

    a. That the words 'that considers the interests of the local residents, the wider City of South Perth community and visitors' are inserted after the words 'public consultation' in the Measure Description column.

Reasons for Change

I think a number of people, including myself, have concerns about the words seeks to balance, but I agreed with the other wording of Councillor Lees.

I thought that the combination of both of the proposals by Councillors Lees and Russell was also to improve it. That by adding 'considers' it simply takes away the concern that people have about who is going to decide what that balance is. How do you weigh balance? It is a difficult thing to do. It is going to cause some concern within the community. All we are saying now is there is this important issue - it is going out to public consultation and we think these are some of the areas. It is not fully prescribed, it is just some of the areas that should be considered when making an assessment.

The amendment was put and declared CARRIED (7/1) and formed part of the substantive motion.

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Councillor Joanne Ord.

COUNCIL DECISION

1026/239

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council reinstates Clause 10.11 Unacceptable Amendments of the City of South Perth Standing Orders.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Amended Substantive Motion AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/240

Moved: Councillor Kathy Lees
Seconded: Councillor Bronwyn David

That Council adopt the draft Urban Greening Implementation Plan for the purpose of public consultation as contained at **Attachment (a)**, subject to the following amendments:

1. Under 'Pillar 2: Enhance', amend the Major Initiative 1 'Priority and opportunity analysis' action, timeframe and output as follows:
    a. That the words 'Conduct analysis to determine where urban greening and trees will be planted and when' is replaced with 'Conduct analysis to inform the prioritisation of urban greening and tree planting across the City' in the 'Action' column.
    b. That the tickboxes are removed in 'Year 3' and 'Year 4' in the 'Timeframe' columns.
    c. That the words 'one area completed annually' is replaced with the words 'City-wide analysis completed' in the 'Output' column.
2. Under 'Pillar 2: Enhance', amend the Major Initiative 3 'Street Tree Management Program' action, type, LG Roles, timeframe and output as follows:
    a. That the words 'Subject to suitability, space and accessibility, provide at least one street tree on every verge' are replaced with 'Increase street tree planting across the City, with the aim of achieving at least one street tree on every suitable verge, subject to space and accessibility.'
    b. That the word 'Project' is added in the 'Type' column and the word 'Delivery' is added in the 'LG Roles' column.
    c. That the words 'ensure 50% of all suitable verges have a street tree by 2031' are replaced with the following 'Years 1–2: Determine the number of suitable verges, establish the current level of street tree coverage and set an evidence-based target for increasing the number of street trees on suitable verges' and 'Years 3–4: Progressively increase street tree planting towards the established target.'
3. Under 'Pillar 2: Enhance', amend the Performance Measure 9 'South Perth foreshore planting' measure description as follows:
    a. That the words 'Create a plan for tree and vegetation planting on the South Perth Foreshore' are replaced with 'develop a long term tree and vegetation planting plan for the South Perth Foreshore, informed by public consultation, that considers the interests of the local residents, the wider City of South Perth community and visitors.'

b. That the words 'Number of plants planted in Hurlingham Living Stream Stage 2 and Urban Rivers Catchment Program (URCP) projects are replaced with 'South Perth Foreshore Tree and Vegetation Planting Plan, informed by public consultation.'

c. That the words 'Hurlingham Living Stream Stage 2 completion' and 'Urban Rivers Catchment Program (URCP) project completion' are replaced with 'Planting Plan Completed by Year 4' in the Target* column.

d. That the words 'FY26/27' and 'FY 27/28' are replaced with the words 'Year 2.'

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Officer Recommendation

That Council adopt the draft Urban Greening Implementation Plan for the purpose of public consultation as contained at **Attachment (a)**.

Following the Council Agenda Briefing, a change was made to the draft Plan as follows:

- Clarified that the Local Biodiversity Study relates to City-managed land, removing reference to private land;
- Removed reference to a specific consultant (ArborCarbon) from the canopy assessment measure source and replaced it with generic wording referring to a suitably qualified external consultant; and
- Inconsequential wording changes have also been made to improve clarity and consistency.

Background

Following a review of the former Urban Forest Strategy 2018-2023, at its meeting held 22 July 2025, Council adopted the Urban Greening Strategy (the Strategy). The Strategy provides long term guidance for creating a resilient, healthy and diverse green liveable place for all: people, fauna and flora. It considers a wide range of greening initiatives, including green corridors, urban parks and foreshores, community education and incentive programs, policy and advocacy efforts, and sustainable funding mechanisms. It promotes an informed and place-based approach to deliver outcomes and benefits for both community and environment, such as cooling the city, enhancing biodiversity, improving public health, and increasing liveability, alongside the challenges, including maintenance, equity of access, and the pressures of urban development.

The Strategy is guided by four key pillars: protect; enhance; manage; connect and support. Each pillar includes goals, and objectives to guide action and investment required to achieve the City's urban greening vision.

An implementation approach is included within the Strategy to set the direction for the City of South Perth as a green, liveable, and resilient place for generations to come, with provision for the creation of the draft implementation plans included in the 2026/27 Annual Budget. The City has since prepared the draft Urban Greening Implementation Plan (UGIP) which is the subject of this report.

Comment

Plan Purpose

The UGIP sets out actions and tasks that the City will undertake over a 4-year implementation period to progress the objectives of the Urban Greening Strategy. It will be used to support development of the Annual Budget each year and the programs delivered will be determined through the Annual Budget adoption, as well as reporting measures. Specifically, the purpose of the UGIP is to:

- Translate the high-level Strategy into practical, achievable actions;
- Ensure coordinated delivery across business units, stakeholders, and community partners; and
- Provide a clear timeline, responsibilities, and resources for implementation.

Plan Development

The City has previously advised that it intended to prepare the UGIP upon review of the State's Perth and Peel Urban Greening Strategy. This State Urban Greening Strategy was released on 21 January 2026 and it is supported by the 5-year *Let's Grow* Action Plan 2026-2030, which outlines the practical steps to bring the Strategy to life through the *Let's Grow* program.

The UGIP delivers on the direction provided by the Urban Greening Strategy 2025-2050 and aligns with the Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031. It establishes a set of actions to achieve the goals of the Urban Greening Strategy, including:

- **Protect:** protect first, restore second, support the overarching approach of the City of South Perth community.
- **Enhance:** achieving the vision requires going beyond current urban greening efforts.
- **Manage:** Long-term urban greening requires care, expertise, science and technology, funding and community support.
- **Connect & support:** success relies on leadership as well as collaboration, education and community ownership.

Implementation

The successful implementation of the UGIP will depend on the City's ability to appropriately resource and fund the actions identified within the Plan. Many of the proposed actions, particularly those relating to increased tree planting, establishment and maintenance, will require ongoing capital and operational investment.

The UGIP seeks to increase tree canopy and urban greening outcomes across City-managed land beyond the current baseline canopy cover of 25.10%. Achieving these outcomes will require sustained funding, staff resources and integration with the City's existing capital works and operational programs.

As the tree canopy expands, there will also be a need to manage potential interactions between trees and public and private infrastructure. These risks can be mitigated through appropriate planning, species selection and design practices; however, they may result in additional management and maintenance requirements over time.

The financial and resource implications associated with implementation of the UGIP should be considered through the City's annual budget process and reflected in future reviews of the Long-Term Financial Plan.

Monitoring

The UGIP provides the following proposed performance measures:

- Four-years measurement of canopy cover and urban heat;
- Urban heat data used to prioritise projects;
- Survival rates of trees;
- Number of trees and plants planted and locations;
- Type of species and number of plants produced by the City's nursery;
- Increase diversity of planted species;
- Successful external funding provided for urban greening initiatives;
- Number and type of engagement activities;
- Number and type of incentive programs; and
- Community satisfaction.

Conclusion

Adoption of the draft UGIP for the purpose of public consultation will provide the community with an opportunity to review and provide feedback on the proposed actions and priorities for urban greening across the City. The draft UGIP establishes a framework for delivering urban greening outcomes and includes measures to support monitoring, reporting and ongoing evaluation of progress. It is therefore recommended that Council adopt the draft UGIP for public consultation to inform the preparation of the final UGIP.

Consultation

The Urban Greening Strategy was developed using best practice stakeholder engagement, including the use of a deliberative panel. Feedback provided through this process was used to inform the development of the draft UGIP.

An Elected Member workshop was held on 4 August 2026.

Establishment of the Urban Greening Advisory Group (UGAG) with meetings held on 3 September 2026 and 8 October 2026 on the draft UGIP.

Following Council adoption, the draft UGIP will go out for public consultation through a feedback form on the City's *Your Say South Perth* website currently scheduled to be open from 26 November – 17 December 2026. Consultation feedback will then be analysed and required revisions made prior to the draft UGIP being presented to the February 2027 Ordinary Council Meeting for consideration and adoption.

Policy and Legislative Implications

WAPC Urban Greening Strategy 2026

Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031

City of South Perth Urban Greening Strategy 2025-2050

Council Policy P206 – Urban Greening

Council Policy P210 - Street Verges and Vegetation

Council Policy P211 - Water Sensitive Urban Design

Financial Implications

There are no significant financial implications associated with the recommendation of this report beyond the costs associated with undertaking community consultation, which can be accommodated within existing operational budgets.

The draft UGIP identifies a range of actions that may require future capital and operational funding. However, adoption of the draft UGIP for public consultation does not commit Council to funding or implementing those actions.

Future funding requirements arising from the final UGIP will be subject to separate consideration and approval by Council.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The draft UGIP has been informed by the adopted Urban Greening Strategy, input from the Urban Greening Advisory Group and an Elected Member workshop. Public consultation through the City's *Your Say South Perth* platform will provide the community and stakeholders with an opportunity to review the draft Plan and provide feedback before a final UGIP is presented to Council for consideration. If the draft UGIP is not released for public consultation, the City may miss the opportunity to obtain community and stakeholder feedback on proposed urban greening initiatives, which may reduce community confidence in the development of the final Plan and limit alignment between Council and community expectations.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Environment (Built and Natural)
Aspiration:	Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment
Outcome:	3.3 Enhanced environment and open spaces
Strategy:	3.3.2 Enhance the City's urban forest on public and private land

Attachments

10.3.3 (a): Draft Urban Greening Implementation Plan

10.3.4 Amendments to Local Planning Policies (Advertising)

File Ref: D-26-17339
Reporting Officer(s): Fiona Mullen, Acting Director Development and Community Services

Summary

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider adopting amendments to Local Planning Policy 3.1 – Waste Management, Local Planning Policy 6.1 – Advertising of Planning Proposals, Local Planning Policy 6.2 – Design Review Panel, and Local Planning Policy 7.1 – Advertising Signage for the purpose of advertising.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/241

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council:

1. Pursuant to Schedule 2, Part 2, Clause 4(1) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, advertises the following draft amended Local Planning Policies:
   (i) Local Planning Policy 3.1 – Waste Management, contained as **Attachment (a)**;
   (ii) Local Planning Policy 6.1 – Advertising of Planning Proposals, contained as **Attachment (b)**;
   (iii) Local Planning Policy 6.2 – Design Review Panel, contained as **Attachment (c)**; and
   (iv) Local Planning Policy 7.1 – Signage and Advertisement, contained as **Attachment (d)**.

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

Local Planning Policies (LPPs) are used to assist local governments in making planning decisions under the local planning scheme by outlining acceptable development standards and establishing clear expectations to applicants on how local governments are likely to exercise discretion. The City reviews its LPPs on an ongoing basis to ensure it has a planning framework that is contemporary, consistent with State planning frameworks, reflects the community's aspirations on planning-related matters, and supports the implementation of Local Planning Scheme No. 7 (LPS 7).

In October 2025, the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) released the draft WA Planning Manual for LPPs (the draft Manual). This document provides guidance for the preparation, implementation, review, amendment and revocation of LPPs, aiming to improve consistency, transparency, legibility and ensure LPPs remain up to date and fit for purpose. As part of its ongoing framework review, the City has begun reviewing its LPP suite using the draft Manual as a guide.

The City commenced a general review of the City's Local Planning Policy suite in mid-2026 in line with the draft Manual. This review included internal referral where required through the City.

This report presents amendments to four LPPs as discussed in the body of this report that warrant public consultation in accordance with the advertising requirements of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (the Regulations).

Comment

General modifications

- LPPs have been aligned with the manner and form for LPPs as proposed in the draft Manual. This includes changes to the structure, headings and objectives.
- Document formatting and design to align with the City's recently updated branding and style guide.

Local Planning Policy 3.1 – Waste Management

Local Planning Policy 3.1 - Waste Management (LPP 3.1) was adopted by Council at its meeting held 27 February 2025. A copy of the draft amended LPP 3.1 with proposed changes is contained as **Attachment (a)** with the proposed amendments shown as tracked changes. A copy of the existing adopted LPP 3.1 is contained at **Attachment (e)**.

Amendments proposed to the policy are discussed as follows:

1. Waste chutes and movement of waste

LPP 3.1 has been updated to include provisions relating to waste chutes and the movement of waste within a development, with a new section specifically addressing waste chutes (5.11) proposed, including specifications for when they are required, minimum design requirements, and general expectations.

New provisions have been added to Sections 4.0 Objectives and 5.8 Bin Store Areas to provide greater guidance on the movement of waste to and from bin store areas, aiming to minimise the interaction between the movement of waste and resident circulation areas.

2. Updates to technical information

LPP 3.1 has been revised to include updated technical specifications and current procedures of the City's waste systems. Revisions to Sections 5.4 Off-Site Servicing, 5.5 On-Site Servicing, 5.7 Collection Frequency, and Appendix 3 are proposed in line with updated technical specifications received from the City's Waste Team.

3. Waste compactors

Clause 5.10 includes new waste compactor requirements for developments containing a minimum of 250 residential units, which aims to improve operational efficiency and ensure alignment with best practice.

Local Planning Policy 6.1 – Advertising of Planning Proposals

Local Planning Policy 6.1 – Advertising of Planning Proposals (LPP 6.1) was most recently amended by Council at its meeting held 25 July 2023.

A copy of amended LPP 6.1 is provided in **Attachment (b)**, with the proposed amendments shown as tracked changes. A copy of the existing adopted LPP 6.1 is contained at **Attachment (f)**.

The key amendments are summarised below:

1. Application and Objectives

Sections 3.0 Application and 4.0 Objectives have been revised to align with best practice in accordance with the draft Manual. The new objectives assist the decision-maker to assess the merits of a proposal and determine the extent of advertising necessary.

2. Table 1

Table 1 has been amended to enable greater flexibility, incorporate additional contemporary consultation practices (such as a social media post), and remove unnecessary repetition from other legislation.

3. Submissions

Section 5.4 Submissions has been expanded to provide greater transparency, particularly on how submissions are considered by the City, that they may be provided to the applicant, and what constitutes a valid submission.

4. Other

Other changes include new definitions, removal of consultation diagrams to improve flexibility and reduce misinterpretation, as well as general updates to reflect alignment with the Regulations, the Residential Design Codes, and best practice.

Local Planning Policy 6.2 – Design Review Panel

Local Planning Policy 6.2 Design Review Panel (LPP 6.2) was originally adopted by Council at its meeting held 22 February 2002. The most recent content modification to LPP 6.2 was adopted at the 31 October 2023 Ordinary Council Meeting. In June 2025 DPLH released a new WAPC Local Government Design Review Manual to provide practical, best-practice guidance for Local Government Design Review Panels. This, combined with the draft Manual, have informed the proposed changes.

A copy of the draft amended LPP 6.2 is contained as **Attachment (c)** with the proposed amendments shown as tracked changes. A copy of the draft Terms of Reference is contained as **Attachment (i).** Additionally, a copy of the adopted LPP 6.2 is contained at **Attachment (g)**.

The key amendments are summarised below:

1. Separation of Information

The Policy is proposed to be divided into three new forms, a local planning policy, a separate terms of reference, and the remainder of the information provided on the City's website. By separating the information into three distinct sections, the information can be tailored to the intended audience and appropriate regulatory framework.

1. Local Planning Policy: The policy is updated and reduced in scope, containing only the key requirements and regulatory information appropriate to a local planning policy. The key topics included in the policy are; the application of the Design Review Panel (DRP) (i.e. when a proposal will be referred to DRP), Sole Member Design Review (Section 5.2), and principles of review (Section 5.3).
2. Terms of Reference (ToR): The ToR contains information and regulation relating to the hiring, operation and processes of the DRP, and is primarily relevant to City officers and DRP members.
3. Webpage: Much of the existing non-statutory information will be moved to and expanded upon within an updated webpage. This will guide the public and applicants to better understand and follow the DRP process, including an extensive Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) and a DRP guide.

Local Planning Policy 7.1 – Signage and Advertisement

Local Planning Policy 7.1 – Signage and Advertisement (formerly Advertising Signage) (LPP 7.1) was most recently amended by Council at its meeting held 21 November 2023.

As part of the City's 2026 general local planning policy review, the City undertook a detailed review of LPP 7.1, which included an evaluation of development applications the City has received for signs in the past two years. It was noted that LPP 7.1 was generally more restrictive compared to other similar local governments, often requiring development approval for minor or insignificant proposals. Based upon these findings, the City has sought to restructure LPP 7.1 with the aim of reducing burden on businesses and improving administration effectiveness. Furthermore, LPP 7.1 has also been updated in line with the contemporary planning framework and best practice.

A copy of the draft amended LPP 7.1 is contained as **Attachment (d)** with the proposed amendments shown as tracked changes. A copy of the current adopted LPP 7.1 is contained at **Attachment (h)**.

The key amendments are summarised below:

1. Policy operation

The policy is proposed to be restructured to permit all sign types to be exempt from development approval where specific location and design requirements are met. The current policy permits only a small number of sign types to be exempt from development approval.

2. Draft Manual and best practice

Several changes are proposed in line with the draft Manual. This primarily involves refining and reorganising Sections 2 – Introduction, 3 - Application and 4 – Objectives, improving clarity and functionality.

3. Updates to General Provisions and Tables

The general provisions found in Section 5, and sign type specific requirements found in Tables 1 – 3, have been reviewed and updated in coordination with the restructuring noted above. The requirements have been refined to reduce ambiguity in interpretation, ensuring each sign type is clear and distinct. This involved removing two sign types from the current policy (above awning sign and roof sign), as well as the removal and revision of several requirements throughout the document which were not precise or measurable.

Consultation

Should Council proceed to advertise amendments to the relevant LPPs, the Regulations require public advertising for a minimum of 21 days.

Policy and Legislative Implications

If the local government resolves to adopt a LPP for the purposes of advertising, the local government must, unless the Western Australian Planning Commission otherwise agrees, advertise the proposed policy as follows:

"(a) publish in accordance with clause 87 the proposed policy and a notice giving details of

(i) the subject and nature of the proposed policy; and

(ii) the objectives of the proposed policy; and

(iii) how the proposed policy is made available to the public in accordance with clause 87;

(iv) the manner and form in which submissions may be made; and

(v) the period for making submissions and the last day of that period."

As such, a public notice will be made available on the City's website and hard copies at City locations, in accordance with the Regulations.

Financial Implications

Nil.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	If the local government resolves to proceed with the policy, the local government must publish notice of the policy in accordance with clause 87 of the Regulations.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Environment (Built and Natural)
Aspiration:	Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment
Outcome:	3.2 Sustainable built form
Strategy:	3.2.1 Develop and implement a sustainable local planning framework to meet current and future community needs

Attachments

10.3.4 (a):	Local Planning Policy 3.1 - Waste Management (Draft)
10.3.4 (b):	Local Planning Policy 6.1 - Advertising of Planning Proposals (Draft)
10.3.4 (c):	Local Planning Policy 6.2 - Design Review Panel (Draft)
10.3.4 (d):	Local Planning Policy 7.1 - Signage and Advertisement (Draft)
10.3.4 (e):	Local Planning Policy 3.1 - Waste Management (Adopted)
10.3.4 (f):	Local Planning Policy 6.1 - Advertising of Planning Proposals (Adopted)
10.3.4 (g):	Local Planning Policy 6.2 - Design Review Panel (Adopted)
10.3.4 (h):	Local Planning Policy 7.1 - Signage and Advertisement (Adopted)
10.3.4 (i):	Terms of Reference - Design Review Panel (Draft)

10.3.5 Proposed Change of Use - Multiple Dwelling to Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation - Lot 3 (No.2/20) Rose Avenue, South Perth

Location:	Lot 3 (No.2/20) Rose Avenue, South Perth
Ward:	Mill Point Ward
Applicant:	Paul Evensen
Owner:	Akiko & Paul Evensen
File Reference:	D-26-17343
DA Lodgement Date:	30 June 2026
Reporting Officer(s):	Fiona Mullen, Acting Director Development and Community Services
Previous Reference:	Nil.
Delegation:	DC690-Local Planning Scheme No.7
Council Role:	Quasi-Judicial

Summary

The purpose of this report is to consider an application for development approval for a Change of Use from a Multiple Dwelling to Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation on Lot 3 (No. 2/20) Rose Avenue, South Perth.

The item is referred to Council as land use falls outside the delegation to Officers.

For the reasons outlined in the report, it is recommended that the application be conditionally approved.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/242

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That pursuant to the provisions of the City of South Perth Local Planning Scheme No. 7 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, this application for development approval for a Change of Use from Multiple Dwelling to Unhosted Short Term Rental Accommodation on Lot 3 (No.2/20) Rose Avenue South Perth **be approved** subject to the following conditions:

1. A maximum of two guests are permitted on site at any one time.
2. The Management Plan received on 15 September 2026 shall be implemented and adhered to at all times, to the satisfaction of the City of South Perth.
3. The approval is valid for a period of 12 months only from the date of the determination. The applicant will be required to lodge a subsequent application to extend the term of the approval and in determining such an application, the City of South Perth will have regard to the performance of the Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation over the previous period.

Note: The City will include any relevant advice notes in the determination notice.

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

1.0 Details

Metropolitan Region Scheme - Zone/Reserve	Urban
Local Planning Scheme - Zone/Reserve	Residential
Activity Centre Plan	N/A
Activity Centre Land Use Designation	N/A
Use Class and Permissibility	'A' Use
Lot Size	59m^2
Existing Land Use	Multiple Dwelling
Heritage	N/A
Bushfire Prone Area	No

2.0 Proposal

On 30 June 2026, the City received an application for Change of Use from a Multiple Dwelling to Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation (USTRA) on Lot 3 (No. 2/20) Rose Avenue South Perth. On the same day, the applicant was advised that additional information was required to enable the application to be formally accepted.

The applicant submitted additional information on 2 July 2026, and the application was formally accepted on 6 July 2026.

In response to objections received and additional information requested by the City, the applicant submitted an amended Management Plan and Code of Conduct on 15 September 2026 which included additional information for management of complaints in support of the application. Details of the proposal are as follows:

- A maximum of two guests;
- Minimum stay of three nights;
- One exclusive use car parking bay provided on site;
- Check-in between 3:00pm to 10:00pm and check-out by 10:00am;
- Parties and events are prohibited;
- Quiet hours enforced between 10:00pm and 7:00am; and
- USTRA manager contact details are provided to guests and neighbours.

The combined Management Plan, Code of Conduct and Property Information for the development are contained in **Attachment (a).**

3.0 Background

Site Context

The subject site is zoned Residential and has a density coding of R60. The site is located 534m to Perth Zoo, 348m to Sir James Mitchell Park and 55m to the Neighbourhood Centre along Angelo Street.

An aerial image and zoning map depicting the site within its context are contained in **Attachment (b).**

4.0 Legislation and Policy

Legislation

Planning and Development Act 2005

Short Term Rental Accommodation Act 2024

Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015

Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS)

City of South Perth Local Planning Scheme No. 7 (LPS 7)

Local Planning Policies

Local Planning Policy 2.5 – Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation (LPP 2.5)

Local Planning Policy 6.1 – Advertising of Planning Proposals

5.0 Consultation and Referrals

Public Consultation

Consultation has been undertaken to the extent and in the manner required by the Deemed Provisions and Local Planning Policy 6.1 – Advertising of Planning Proposals.

The application was advertised for a period of 14 days between 14 August 2026 and 28 August 2026 in the following manner:

- A total of 32 letters were sent to adjoining landowners and occupiers within the multiple dwelling complex; and
- A copy of the application was made available for review on the City's website

At the close of the consultation period, two objections were received.

A summary of the key matters raised in submissions is provided in the table below, in addition to the Officer's comments:

Matter	Comment
Guest behaviour	The applicant has provided a Management Plan and Code of Conduct which outlines the expected behaviour and conduct of guests in accordance with LPP 2.5 and includes a clear complaints management process.

	Contact details of the USTRA manager will be provided to the adjoining neighbours and the contact number of the USTRA manager will be displayed on the window of the dwelling if required for immediate attention. The Management Plan identifies that if a disruption is severe, the manager will attend the site immediately which may result in immediate eviction or law enforcement contacted if required.
Traffic and Car Parking	The development provides the minimum number of car parking bays required by LPP2.5 and no car parking shortfall is proposed. As per house rules communicated to the guests, guests are not permitted to block access to neighbouring driveways/properties.
Precedent	Each application for USTRA is assessed on its merits. It is noted that the Strata Management has given consent for the proposed USTRA within the existing residential complex. The proposed land use is an 'A' land use under LPS 7 and can be considered for the site.
Noise	Guests will have to abide by the quiet hours between 10:00pm to 7:00am. The outdoor area is not permitted to be used between 10:00 pm to 7:00am. Parties and events are prohibited.

A Schedule of Submissions is contained as **Attachment (c)**.

6.0 Assessment

Short-Term Rental Accommodation Act 2024

Whilst USTRA is exempt from the requirement to obtain development approval if the property is not used as USTRA for more 90 nights in a relevant 12-month period, the applicant seeks approval for an unlimited number of nights in a 12-month period and development approval is therefore required. Under the *Short-Term Rental Accommodation Act 2024*, all providers of STRA within Western Australia, both hosted and un-hosted, are required to register their properties.

The USTRA is currently registered with the Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety.

Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015

Clause 67(2) of Regulations include matters which the local government is to have due regard to when considering an application for Development Approval. Items relevant to the assessment of this application include:

Requirement	Comment
(m) The compatibility of the development with its setting, including – (i) the compatibility of the development with the desired character of its setting; and (ii) the relationship of the development to development on adjoining land or on other land in the locality including, but not limited to, the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and appearance of the development.	There is no change to the existing built form and no works are proposed, ensuring the development remains consistent with its setting.
(n) The amenity of the locality including the following – (i) environmental impact of the development; (ii) the character of the locality; and (iii) social impacts of the development.	A Management Plan has been provided outlining amenity mitigation measures including 'quiet hours' (10:00pm to 7:00am). Parties, and events are prohibited. Additionally, the use will be required to comply with the requirements of the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997. The proposed development is considered satisfactory subject to compliance with the submitted Management Plan, which has been recommended as a condition.
(y) Any submissions received on the application.	Details of submissions received are contained within the consultation section of this report.

Local Planning Scheme No. 7 (LPS 7)

The proposal has been assessed against all relevant legislative requirements of the LPS 7, SPACP, and State and Local Planning Policies, and is deemed to be compliant. Items relevant to the assessment of this application have been included below.

Local Planning Policy 2.5 – Unhosted Short Term Rental Accommodation

The objective of LPP 2.5 is to guide the location, design and operation of USTRA within the City and to ensure development is compatible within its context and the amenity of the surrounding area.

The proposal complies with the requirements of LPP 2.5 with the exception of the following:

Matter	Comment
5.6 Location Requirements 5.6.4 In locations where objections to the development are received from neighbours, any approval granted must first be a time limited approval for 12 months, to properly assess the impact on the neighbours and amenity.	Two objections were received during the consultation period. For this reason, a condition is recommended to limit the approval validity to a maximum of 12 months in accordance with the Policy. Should the applicant wish to operate beyond the 12 month period, further development approval will be required.

7.0 Conclusion

The site is an 'A' land use within the Residential zone, and the subject site is located within 400 metres of a Neighbour Centre zone. Therefore, USTRA is a land use that can be considered for approval. Conditions of development approval are recommended to ensure the operation of the proposed USTRA does not adversely impact the amenity of the locality.

Accordingly, subject to the inclusion of appropriate conditions, including a 12-month time limited approval condition as required by LPP 2.5, the application is recommended for approval.

Financial Implications

To the extent that if the applicant were to make an application for review of the decision, the City may need to seek representation at the State Administrative Tribunal.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* and the local planning framework.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Environment (Built and Natural)
Aspiration:	Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment
Outcome:	3.2 Sustainable built form
Strategy:	3.2.1 Develop and implement a sustainable local planning framework to meet current and future community needs

Attachments

10.3.5 (a):	Management Plan and Code of Conduct
10.3.5 (b):	Aerial and Zoning Maps
10.3.5 (c):	Schedule of Submissions

10.3.6 Proposed Telecommunications Infrastructure, Kwinana Freeway, Como (Land ID Number 3600602)

Location:	Kwinana Freeway, Como (Land ID number 3600602)
Ward:	Como Ward
Applicant:	Ventia
Owner:	Main Roads Western Australia
File Reference:	D-26-17345
DA Lodgement Date:	10 March 2026
Reporting Officer(s):	Fiona Mullen, Acting Director Development and Community Services
Previous Reference:	Nil.
Delegation:	DC690 – Local Planning Scheme No. 7
Council Role:	Quasi-judicial

Summary

The purpose of this report is to consider an application for development approval for Telecommunications Infrastructure on Kwinana Freeway, Como (Land ID number 3600602).

The item is referred to Council as the proposed development relates to Telecommunication Infrastructure that is not classified as a low-impact facility under the *Telecommunications Act 1997*.

For the reasons outlined in the report, it is recommended that the application be approved subject to conditions.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/243

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That pursuant to the provisions of the Metropolitan Region Scheme, this application for development approval for a Telecommunications Infrastructure on Kwinana Freeway, Como (Land ID number 3600602) **be approved** subject to the following conditions:

1. This decision constitutes development approval only and is valid for a period of two years from the date of determination. If the subject development is not substantially commenced within the specified period, the approval shall lapse and be of no further effect.
2. The development shall be carried out only in accordance with the terms of the application as approved herein, and any approved plans. In the event of any inconsistency, conditions of development approval prevail over the approved plans.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions

3. All works are to be undertaken in accordance with a sediment and erosion control plan which is to be submitted to and approved by the City of South Perth.

Main Roads Western Australia

4. No works are permitted within the Kwinana Freeway or Canning Highway on ramp Road Reservation unless Main Roads has issued a Working on Roads Permit.
5. Prior to the submission of a building permit, a Traffic Management Plan (for construction) must be submitted and approved by Main Roads. The Traffic Management Plan must detail how traffic shall be managed at all times and must be implemented and maintained throughout the construction of this development.
6. Stormwater shall not be discharged to the Kwinana Freeway or Canning Highway on ramp Road Reserve or the widened road reservation.
7. Prior to submission of a building permit, the kerb to kerb turning radius for the swept paths indicted on Figures t26.168.sk01 and t26.168.sk02 in the Technical Note prepared by Transcore (Project No. t26.168; dated 15/07/2026) should be increased to 8m, as the ramp is expected to have high traffic volumes.
8. Prior to submission of a building permit, the proposed batter on the eastern side of the compound must be surveyed. If a large fill slope is to be constructed, a risk assessment must be undertaken to determine whether barrier protection is required and submitted to Main Roads for review.
9. All vehicle access shall be restricted to the approved driveway.

Public Transport Authority

10. Prior to the application for a building permit, a Construction Management Plan (CMP) must be submitted and approved by the Public Transport Authority (PTA) and thereafter implemented at all times throughout the development. All expenses associated with the implementation of the CMP, must be fully borne by the applicant/landowner.
11. Prior to the application for a building permit, the applicant/landowner is to submit a Maintenance Plan to the satisfaction of the Public Transport Authority, detailing how the applicant/landowner intends to safely maintain the development and its associated structures in close proximity to an active and electrified rail corridor, including:
    a. Proposed maintenance methods,
    b. Proposed access arrangements for maintenance personnel; and
    c. Safety measures and precautions required for maintenance personnel conducting the abovementioned maintenance.

    The Maintenance Plan is to thereafter be implemented in full for the lifetime of the development.

Note: The City of South Perth will include any relevant advice notes in the determination notice.

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

1.0 Details

Metropolitan Region Scheme - Zone/Reserve	Primary Regional Roads
Local Planning Scheme - Zone/Reserve	N/A
Activity Centre Plan	N/A
Activity Centre Land Use Designation	N/A
Use Class and Permissibility	Telecommunications Infrastructure
Lot Size	9.63ha
Existing Land Use	Road Reserve
Heritage	N/A
Bushfire Prone Area	No

2.0 Proposal

On 10 March 2026, the City received a development application for Telecommunications Infrastructure on Kwinana Freeway, Como (Land ID number 3600602). The application includes the following:

- 37.5m high tower including antennas and ancillary equipment on three separate triangular headframes, accommodating services for three telecommunication providers;
- Three equipment shelters at ground level, approximately 3.1m in height; and
- An overall footprint of 131m^2 enclosed by a 2.4m high security fence.

The Telecommunications Infrastructure is proposed to replace an existing facility located approx. 250m north of the subject site, on the northern side of Canning Highway.

A copy of the development plans is contained as **Attachment (a)**, and the applicant's justification and further information provided in support of the proposal is contained in **Attachment (b)**.

3.0 Background

<u>Site Context</u>

The site is located adjacent to the Canning Highway Bridge, and is bound by Canning Highway to the north, the Kwinana Freeway to the east, and a Kwinana Freeway Canning Highway off ramp to the west. The proposed Telecommunications Infrastructure will be

directly south of an existing Public Transport Authority (PTA) telecommunications monopole, located over a section of road reserve occupied by low level vegetation.

The site will feature a similar access arrangement to the adjoining PTA facility, with access and egress via the Kwinana Freeway off ramp. The site is not zoned or reserved under the City of South Perth Local Planning Scheme No. 7 (LPS 7), however is reserved under the Metropolitan Region Scheme for Primary Regional Roads. An aerial image and zoning map depicting the site within its context can found at **Attachment (c)**.

4.0 Legislation and Policy

Legislation

Planning and Development Act 2005

Planning and Development (State Planning Policies) Regulations 2024

Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS)

State Government Policies

State Planning Policy 5.2 – Telecommunications infrastructure

5.0 Consultation and Referrals

Public Consultation

Consultation has been undertaken to the extent and in the manner required under clause 41 of the MRS.

A total of 111 letters were sent to adjoining landowners and occupiers. At the close of the consultation period, 1 submission was received, in opposition to the proposal.

The key matter raised in the submission related to impact on views which is addressed within this report.

A Schedule of Submissions is contained as **Attachment (d)**.

Referrals/consultation with Government/Service Agencies

A summary of the referrals to government agencies and their responses is provided below:

- Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions: Conditional support.
- Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage: No comment on the proposal.
- Heritage Council of Western Australia: Unconditional support.
- Main Roads Western Australia: Conditional support.
- Public Transport Authority: Conditional support.

All recommended conditions have been incorporated into the officer recommendation.

6.0 Assessment

Metropolitan Region Scheme

Clause 43 of the MRS includes matters which the determining authority must have regard to when considering an application for development approval. Items relevant to the assessment of this application include:

Matter to be Considered	Assessment
(a) the purposes and aims of this Scheme and any relevant local planning schemes in operation within the metropolitan region;	The proposal provides for infrastructure that is efficient, equitable and timely.
(c) any State planning policy;	State Planning Policy 5.2 has been considered below.
(h) for reserved land, the public purpose for which the land is reserved;	The works will not conflict with the use of the reserve for its primary intended purpose.
(j) the compatibility of the development with its setting;	The proposal is consistent with infrastructure commonly located along freeways and does not directly adjoin zoned land to the east or the foreshore reserve to the west.
(m) the likely effect of the proposal on the natural environment and any means that are proposed to protect, or to mitigate impacts on, the natural environment;	There is no significant vegetation where the works are proposed, and the location is considered unsuitable for fauna habitation.
(o) the preservation of the amenity of the locality;	The immediate locality of the site is within a Freeway, which is a low amenity environment, the infrastructure is unlikely to result in any notable negative impact.
(p) the relationship of the proposal to development of adjoining land or on other land in the locality, including, but not limited to, the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and appearance of the proposal;	The structure form and appearance is utilitarian in nature, which is considered suitable in the context of the site, all structures will be finished in a non-reflective grey colour to minimise visual prominence.

(q) whether the proposed means of access to and egress from the site are adequate and whether adequate provision has been made for the loading, unloading, manoeuvring and parking of vehicles;	Access to and from the development site is provided via the Kwinana Freeway Canning Highway on-ramp. This road is vested in the care, control and management of Main Roads Western Australia (MRWA). MRWA was consulted during the assessment of this development application and has confirmed its support for the proposed access arrangements.
(zb) consultation under clause 44(1);	All referral advice has been incorporated into the City's assessment and recommendation in relation to the application. Referral agencies have provided either unconditional or conditional support for the proposal. Where conditional support has been given, the recommended conditions have been included as part of the City's recommendation to ensure the requirements of the relevant agencies are addressed through the development approval process.

Having regard to the matters set out in Clause 43 of the Metropolitan Region Scheme, the proposed development is considered to satisfactorily address all relevant planning considerations. Accordingly, the development is considered to be consistent with the objectives of the Metropolitan Region Scheme and is therefore supported.

State Planning Policy 5.2 – Telecommunication Infrastructure

State Planning Policy 5.2 (SPP 5.2) outlines the key considerations for the assessment of telecommunications infrastructure, with particular emphasis on minimising visual impacts and ensuring the provision of effective telecommunications services.

The proposal has been assessed against the relevant provisions of SPP 5.2 and is considered to satisfactorily address the policy objectives relating to the siting, design and operation of telecommunications infrastructure, as detailed below.

5.1.1(a) & (b) - Siting and Visual Impact

The proposal is consistent with clauses 5.1.1(a) and (b) of SPP 5.2, as the tower is not expected to be prominently visible from significant viewing locations or detract from important views of the river, foreshore, or Canning Bridge precinct. Whilst visible from residential properties to the east, the non-reflective pale grey finish and narrow profile will assist in minimising any adverse visual impacts.

5.1.1(c) & (d) - Sensitive Sites and Design Response

The proposal satisfies clauses 5.1.1(c) and (d) as it is located within an existing transport infrastructure corridor of limited environmental, cultural, social or landscape value. The tower's design, scale and non-reflective finish are considered consistent with the surrounding infrastructure.

5.1.2 - Need for Telecommunications Services

The proposal is consistent with clause 5.1.2, which seeks to facilitate continuous network coverage and improved telecommunications services. The facility is required to replace the services provided by an existing tower approximately 250m north of the site and will maintain essential telecommunications services for the community. The existing tower will remain operational until the proposed facility is commissioned, ensuring continuity of service.

5.1.3 - Co-location and Existing Infrastructure

Clause 5.1.3 encourages the co-location of telecommunications infrastructure wherever practicable. The applicant investigated co-location opportunities and advised that nearby facilities are either unable to accommodate the proposed infrastructure or cannot achieve the required coverage outcomes. The proposed site is located within an established infrastructure corridor, consistent with the intent of the policy.

Whilst communications infrastructure is located approximately 40m to the north of the proposed telecommunications infrastructure, this specifically services the rail communications system, providing a digital radio system for the Metronet High-Capacity Signalling network and as such is not suitable for co-location.

Having regard to the relevant provisions of SPP 5.2, the proposal is considered to appropriately balance operational requirements with planning and visual amenity outcomes. The development maintains essential telecommunications services and is appropriately located within an existing infrastructure corridor. Accordingly, the proposal is considered consistent with SPP 5.2 and is supported.

7.0 Conclusion

The proposed development is generally consistent with the applicable planning framework and satisfactorily addresses the relevant matters for consideration.

The proposal facilitates the provision of telecommunications infrastructure, ensuring the ongoing delivery of reliable services that meet community expectations. The development is compatible with its surrounding context, will not compromise the purpose of the reservation, and is not expected to result in significant environmental or amenity impacts.

The structure has been designed to minimise visual prominence through its form and non-reflective finish and is broadly consistent with other telecommunications infrastructure within the locality. Appropriate access arrangements have been endorsed by Main Roads Western Australia, and all relevant referral agencies have provided either unconditional or conditional support, with recommended conditions incorporated into the City's proposed approval.

Accordingly, the proposal is considered acceptable and, subject to the recommended conditions, is supported for approval.

Financial Implications

To the extent that if the applicant were to make an application for review of the decision, the City may need to seek representation at the State Administrative Tribunal.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in a manner in which the City, its officers and Elected members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The proposal has been assessed against the applicable planning framework.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Environment (Built and Natural)
Aspiration:	Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment
Outcome:	3.2 Sustainable built form
Strategy:	3.2.1 Develop and implement a sustainable local planning framework to meet current and future community needs

Attachments

10.3.6 (a):	Development Plans
10.3.6 (b):	Applicant Justification
10.3.6 (c):	Aerial Image and Zoning Map
10.3.6 (d):	Schedule of Submissions
10.3.6 (e):	Transport Technical Note

10.4 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 4: LEADERSHIP

10.4.1 Listing of Payments - September 2026

File Ref: D-26-17346
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Acting Director Corporate Services

Summary

This report presents to Council:

- The list of accounts paid under delegated authority between 1 September 2026 to 30 September 2026; and
- Purchase card (credit and fleet cards) transactions included in the total monthly balance settled between 1 September 2026 and 30 September 2026.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/244

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That Council receives the Listing of Payments for the month of September 2026 as detailed in **Attachment (a)** and notes all payments made by the Chief Executive Officer under Delegation DC602- Authority to Make Payments from the Municipal and Trust Funds.

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

Council has delegated to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) the exercise of power to make payments from its Municipal and Trust Funds.

In accordance with regulation 13(1) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996,* a list of accounts paid by the CEO is to be prepared each month and presented to Council at the next Ordinary Meeting of the Council after the list is prepared.

Regulation 13A of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* requires payments made with purchase cards to be included in the list of accounts paid.

Comment

The payment listing for September 2026 is included in **Attachment (a)** and shows the following payments:

EFT Payments to Creditors	384	$6,872,817.06
Cheque payments to Creditors	1	$10.50
EFT Payments to Non-Creditors	38	$53,157.52
Total EFT & Cheque Payments	423	$6,925,985.08
Credit Card Payments	51	$15,782.86
Fleet Card Payments	27	$2,739.70
Total Payments	**501**	**$6,941,767.94**

The attached reports include a "Description" for each payment.

The report records payments are classified as:

- Creditor Payments

  These include payments by both cheque and EFT to regular suppliers with whom the City transacts business. The reference numbers represent a batch number of each payment.

- Non-Creditor Payments

  These are one-off payments that include both cheque and EFT that are made to individuals/suppliers who are not listed as regular suppliers. The reference numbers represent a batch number of each payment.

- Purchase Cards

  Purchase card payments are included in the listing of payments as required by the Regulations. The Regulations requires the City to prepare a list of the payments made with each card and to present it to Council.

  ### Timing of Purchase Card Reporting

  Due to the timing of statement receipt and transaction acquittal in the City's system, this listing generally relates to transactions from the preceding month. The total value of those transactions is settled in the month being reported.

The City have redacted (in black) information of a private or confidential nature.

Details of payments made by direct credit to employees are not provided in this report.

The payments of bank fees, such as merchant service fees which are directly debited from the City's bank account in accordance with the agreed fee schedules under the contract for provision of banking services, are also not provided in this report.

Consultation

Nil.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 - Regulations 12, 13(1) and 13A

Financial Implications

The payment of authorised amounts is within existing budget provisions.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Adopting the officer recommendation ensures the Monthly Financial reporting timelines do not exceed statutory requirements.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

10.4.1 (a): Payment Listing September 2026

10.4.2 Monthly Financial Statements - September 2026

File Ref: D-26-17365
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Acting Director Corporate Services

Summary

To present to Council the Financial Statements for September 2026.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/245

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That Council notes the Financial Statements and report for this financial year to 30 September 2026, as shown in **Attachments (a)–(i).**

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* require a Statement of Financial Activity and Statement of Financial Position to be prepared monthly. These statements must report on material variances between the adopted budget and actual revenue and expenditure.

At the Special Council Meeting of 18 June 2026, as part of adoption of the 2026/27 budget, Council determined the material variance reporting threshold as $10,000 or 10%.

Comment

The following CPI and interest rate update is provided as background:

- The national Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation was 4.0% for the 12 months to August 2026 and Perth was 4.3% for the same period, compared to 3.5% nationally and 3.8% for Perth for the 12 months to July 2026.
- Trimmed mean inflation was 3.6%, unchanged from 3.6% in the 12 months to July 2026.
- At its 29 September 2026 meeting the Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA) decided to increase the cash rate by 25 basis points to 4.60%.
- The RBA Monetary Policy Board released the following statement on the 29 September 2026*: "Inflation remains elevated and some of the upside risks flagged in August are materialising. The conflict in the Middle East has broadened and global energy prices are now much higher than had been assumed in the August forecasts. AI-related demand is driving rapid growth in global prices for technology-related goods. And there remains pressure on domestic capacity.*

Liaison indicates that firms are experiencing cost pressures and are either increasing the prices of their goods and services or looking to do so. Short-term measures of inflation expectations remain elevated. And recent inflation outcomes in Australia were stronger than expected at the previous meeting."

- Banks have been offering improved average interest rates of 5.20% for investments under 12 months.

Financial Statements

The Financial Statements represent the results of the 2026/27 operations to 30 September 2026 and compare year to date expenditure and revenue against the corresponding adopted budget of Council.

Category	Variance
Revenue from operating activities	Unfavourable variance of $5,578. ($66,096,196 in comparison to budget of $66,101,775)
Expenditure from operating activities	Favourable variance of $627,937. ($18,341,045 in comparison to the budget of $18,968,982)
Net operating position (See **Attachment (c)**)	Favourable variance of $622,359. ($47,755,152 in comparison to budget of $47,132,793)
Capital revenue	Favourable variance of $59,697. ($1,441,409 in comparison to budget of $1,381,712)
Capital expenditure (See **Attachment (e)**)	Favourable variance of $473,083. ($8,400,340 in comparison to the budget $8,873,423)

A variance analysis is provided within **Attachment (f)** *Significant Variance Analysis* for those variances of $10,000 or 10%.

Attachment (h) is a *Summary of Cash Investments, Investments and Cash* and shows where cash is invested, what % it equates to and the short-term credit rating provided by Standard & Poor's for each of the institutions.

Municipal	$56,226,245
Reserves	$56,855,113
Total	$113,081,357
Total invested (various institutions)	$107,778,707
Interest earned YTD (as at 30 September 2026)	$1,130,155

As at 30 September 2026, the City held 27.14% of its investments in institutions that do not provide fossil fuel lending.

Consultation

The City is required to prepare and submit a report to Council for the Statement of Financial Activity for each month, reporting on the revenue and expenditure, as set out in the annual budget under regulation 22(1)(d) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996.*

Statements of Financial Activity must be submitted within two months after the end of the month to which the statement relates in accordance with regulation 36(4) of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996.*

Policy and Legislative Implications

Section 6.4 of the *Local Government Act 1995*

Regulation 34 and 35 of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* and AASB 1031 Materiality.

Policy P603 Investment of Surplus Funds

Financial Implications

The preparation of the monthly financial report occurs from the resources provided in the annual budget.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Adopting the officer recommendation ensures the Monthly Financial reporting timelines do not exceed statutory requirements.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

10.4.2 (a):	Statement of Financial Position
10.4.2 (b):	Statement of Change in Equity
10.4.2 (c):	Statement of Financial Activity
10.4.2 (d):	Operating Revenue and Expenditure
10.4.2 (e):	Capital Revenue and Expenditure
10.4.2 (f):	Significant Variance Analysis
10.4.2 (g):	Statement of Council Funds
10.4.2 (h):	Summary of Cash Investments
10.4.2 (i):	Statement of Major Debtor Categories

10.4.3 City of South Perth Council Plan 2027-2037 (Public Consultation)

File Ref: D-26-17366
Reporting Officer(s): Anita Amprimo, Acting Chief Executive Officer

Summary

This report presents the draft City of South Perth Council Plan 2027-2037 for the purposes of public consultation.

Prior to the item being moved and seconded, Councillor Christine Robinson proposed that consideration of Item 10.4.3 Draft Council Plan be deferred to the Ordinary Council Meeting to be held 17 November 2026.

In accordance with Clause 17.3 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007, the Presiding Member put the motion to the vote as follows:

Moved: Councillor Christine Robinson
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council defer Item 10.4.3 City of South Perth Council Plan 2027-2037 (Public Consultation) to the Ordinary Council Meeting to be held 17 November 2026.

Reasons:

I acknowledge the work undertaken by officers, the community and Council in developing this document. However, as a newly elected Councillor, the two weeks available to me have not provided sufficient time to thoroughly review the draft and supporting information, as well as understand its implications, seek clarification and meaningfully represent the community that elected me.

I recognise that the recommendation is to endorse the draft for public consultation. Even at this stage, I consider it important that Councillors have a reasonable opportunity to scrutinise the proposed direction and contribute before the document is released.

LOST (3/5)

For: Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David and Christine Robinson.

Against: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord and Stephen Russell.

Moved: Councillor Kathy Lees
Seconded: Councillor Gavin Denton

That Council endorse the draft Council Plan 2027-2037 as contained in **Attachment (a)** for public consultation, subject to the following amendments:

1. Move the 'Develop a sustainability strategy' Strategic Priority from 'Pillar 3: Climate and Environment' to 'Pillar 5: Leadership and Governance';
2. Insert a new Strategic Priority under 'Pillar 2: Economy' as follows:

	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31
Manage the City's property portfolio to ensure it delivers social, economic, financial and environmental outcomes for the community.	x	x	x	x

3. Under 'Pillar 3: Climate and Environment', amend the Strategic Priority 'Develop a tree planting program for the South Perth Foreshore' to 'Develop a long-term tree planting program for the South Perth Foreshore that considers the interests of local residents, the wider City of South Perth community and visitors';
4. Under 'Pillar 4: Connected Places and Spaces', amend the Strategic Priority 'Introduce resident parking permits' to 'Prepare and present a Parking Plan for adoption, including consideration of the introduction of resident parking permits'; and
5. Insert a new Strategic Priority under 'Pillar 5: Leadership and Governance' as follows:

	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31
Provide opportunities for the City to engage with Whadjuk Noongar Elders, First Nations organisations and other stakeholders for relevant City projects and initiatives.	x	x	x	x

Reasons for Change

The proposed amendments are intended to strengthen the draft Council Plan by improving strategic alignment, ensuring key community priorities are appropriately reflected, and providing greater clarity around the outcomes the Plan seeks to achieve.

1. Sustainability Strategy

Relocating the action *"Develop a sustainability strategy"* from Pillar 3: Climate and Environment to Pillar 5: Leadership and Governance better reflects the broad nature of sustainability as identified under the *Local Government Act 1995, (as amended)*. Sustainability encompasses environmental, social and economic considerations and should therefore be treated as an organisation-

wide strategic framework rather than solely an environmental initiative. Positioning the action under Leadership and Governance reinforces its role in guiding Council's decision-making across all service areas and strategic priorities.

2. Property Portfolio Management

The City's property portfolio is a significant community asset and has an important role in delivering social, economic, financial and environmental outcomes. Including a specific strategic priority under Pillar 2: Economy reinforces the need for a strategic approach to managing these assets and ensuring they deliver the greatest possible benefit to the community.

3. South Perth Foreshore Tree Planting Program

The proposed amendment provides greater clarity around the intent of the action and acknowledges the diverse interests associated with the South Perth Foreshore. The foreshore is a regional asset highly valued by local residents, the broader South Perth community and visitors. Developing a long-term tree planting program that considers the environmental, recreational and amenity outcomes with community expectations, including the importance many local residents place on maintaining views from adjoining properties, will help guide future decision-making. A strategic long-term approach will assist in progressing an issue that has historically attracted strong and differing community views in a considered manner.

4. Parking Plan

Council has not made a policy decision on resident parking. The current wording could be interpreted as predetermining the outcome of the Parking Plan process. The proposed amendment more accurately reflects Council's intent by identifying the preparation and adoption of a Parking Plan as the priority, while allowing the introduction of resident parking permits to be considered as part of that broader strategic review.

5. Engagement with Aboriginal people.

This addition strengthens the draft Council Plan by reinforcing the City's commitment to engaging with Aboriginal people and recognising their interests in matters affecting the community, in accordance with the functions of local government under the *Local Government Act 1995 (as amended)*. It is also consistent with the City's adopted Reconciliation Action Plan.

The proposed action supports ongoing engagement with Whadjuk Noongar Elders, First Nations organisations and other stakeholders. It establishes a clear strategic direction for facilitating meaningful participation and ensuring Aboriginal perspectives are considered in the planning and delivery of relevant City projects, strategies and initiatives.

Conclusion

The proposed amendments are intended to strengthen the draft Council Plan while maintaining its focus on delivering positive outcomes for the community. I support releasing the Plan for public consultation and look forward to hearing what our community has to say to help inform and refine the final Council Plan 2027-2037.

AMENDMENT

1026/246

Moved: Councillor Christine Robinson
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That the words 'Whadjuk Noongar' are replaced with 'Aboriginal' in paragraph 5 of the recommendation.

Reasons for Change

Working within our community, I found that there are lots of different elders that live within our community, not just the Whadjuk Noongar elders. I would prefer the wording to be more inclusive, than exclusive because I think all of our elders have the relevant information that they can help to guide our City to be better and more supportive of the Reconciliation Action Plan.

The amendment was put and declared CARRIED (8/0) and formed part of the substantive motion.

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

AMENDMENT

1026/247

Moved: Councillor Joanne Ord
Seconded: Councillor Christine Robinson

That an additional paragraph is added to motion, as follows:

6. Insert a new Strategic Priority under 'Pillar 5: Leadership and Governance' as follows:

	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31
Advocate for high-quality public transport across the district.	x	x	x	x

Reasons for Change

After reviewing previous information about the draft Council Plan, specifically the 3rd workshop held in early September, it appears advocating for 'high quality public transport' should be included as a Strategic Priority under Pillar 5.

The City needs to manage increasing parking and traffic congestion pressures as urban infill continues under LPS 7, with the City's population expected to exceed 60,000 by 2040.

I note under Pillar 4: Connected Places and Spaces, the draft Council Plan includes a review of the Joint Bike Plan.

Also under Pillar 4 : Residential parking permits are also being considered as part of a draft city-wide Parking Plan which seeks to manage pressures on public carparks and on-street parking. I believe Councillor Lees addresses this in her amendment.

Both of the afore-mentioned seek to assist in managing parking and traffic congestion and improve sustainability practices. Improved public transport can also assist here.

Whilst the 2025/26 Advocacy Strategy and Priorities document listed a specific public transport item, that being South Perth train station, I believe it is important to broaden the City's advocacy of public transport for the entire district. Needs, proximity and available options vary across the City from Salter Point, to Manning Road and Canning Bridge, along Canning Highway to the much talked about possibility of a South Perth train station. Council and Admin can therefore advocate as appropriate in the best interests of the City's residents.

I believe inclusion of this advocacy item will strengthen Pillar 5 of the Council Plan as it appears to be simply an oversight post Workshop 3.

The amendment was put and declared CARRIED (8/0) and formed part of the substantive motion.

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Amended Substantive Motion AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/248

Moved: Councillor Kathy Lees
Seconded: Councillor Gavin Denton

That Council endorse the draft Council Plan 2027-2037 as contained in **Attachment (a)** for public consultation, subject to the following amendments:

1. Move the 'Develop a sustainability strategy' Strategic Priority from 'Pillar 3: Climate and Environment' to 'Pillar 5: Leadership and Governance';
2. Insert a new Strategic Priority under 'Pillar 2: Economy' as follows:

	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31
Manage the City's property portfolio to ensure it delivers social, economic, financial and environmental outcomes for the community.	x	x	x	x

3. Under 'Pillar 3: Climate and Environment', amend the Strategic Priority 'Develop a tree planting program for the South Perth Foreshore' to 'Develop a long-term tree planting program for the South Perth Foreshore that considers the interests of local residents, the wider City of South Perth community and visitors';

4. Under 'Pillar 4: Connected Places and Spaces', amend the Strategic Priority 'Introduce resident parking permits' to 'Prepare and present a Parking Plan for adoption, including consideration of the introduction of resident parking permits'; and

5. Insert a new Strategic Priority under 'Pillar 5: Leadership and Governance' as follows:

	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31
Provide opportunities for the City to engage with Aboriginal Elders, First Nations organisations and other stakeholders for relevant City projects and initiatives.	x	x	x	x

6. Insert a new Strategic Priority under 'Pillar 5: Leadership and Governance' as follows:

	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31
Advocate for high-quality public transport across the district.	x	x	x	x

CARRIED (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Officer Recommendation

That Council endorse the draft Council Plan 2027-2037 as contained in **Attachment (a)** for public consultation.

Background

In accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995* (the Act) and the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* (the Regulations), the City of South Perth (the City) has developed an Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework (IPRF).

The objective of this framework is to guide the City's planning and reporting processes to ensure alignment to, and delivery of the community's expectations. Section 5.56(1) of the Act states that a local government is to "plan for the future of the district", and section 5.56(2) states that the plans are to be made "in accordance with any regulations made about planning for the future." Division 3, 19C and 19DA of the regulations require a local government to prepare two documents to address section 5.56(1):

a. A 10-year strategic community plan that identifies the vision, aspirations and objectives of the community in the district (Regulation 19C).

b. A four-year corporate business plan that sets out how the local government will respond to the vision, aspirations and objectives identified in the strategic community.

The City of South Perth's (the City's) Strategic Community Plan 2021–2031 (SCP) is the key document in the IPRF and sets out our community's visions, aspirations and objectives over a 10-year period. The SCP informs other plans and strategies including the Long-Term Financial Plan, Corporate Business Plan (CBP) and Asset Management Strategy.

A major review of the SCP, which included extensive community engagement was undertaken in 2021/22 and adopted by Council at its meeting held 14 December 2021. A minor review of the SCP was undertaken in early 2024 and a revised SCP was adopted by Council at its meeting held 23 April 2024. The CBP 2024/25 – 2027/28 was adopted by Council at its meeting held 25 June 2024 and is reviewed annually, with Council adopting a minor review with no modifications at its meeting held 30 June 2026.

In May 2023, the *Local Government Amendment Act 2023* was enacted, which includes provisions that will require all local governments to prepare, adopt and publish a Council Plan to plan for the future services and facilities that are to be provided by the local government for the district. Council Plans are intended to replace the requirement for SCPs and set high level objectives over an eight-year period.

Whilst the provisions are not yet in effect, the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries has expressed support for local governments to transition early to this format. The City has therefore progressed this format.

Comment

Draft Council Plan 2027-2037

Whilst Council Plans are intended to replace the requirement for strategic community plans over an eight-year period. the draft, given the Regulations are not in effect, the draft Council Plan contains a renewed 10-year community vision for the district consistent with the timeframe for a SCP.

Supporting the vision, the Council Plan has strategic pillars reflecting priorities for the community, environment, economy, and the organisation. Each pillar is supported by strategic objectives, intended outcomes, measures of success, and the key projects and services that will deliver the intended outcomes for the community. These measures will form the basis of annual performance reporting to be provided as part of each Annual Report, commencing following the first year of Council Plan implementation.

The Council Plan also outlines how the City will provide the resources to deliver on its objectives and considers the strategic uncertainties which could impact on strategic delivery, which are monitored through the City's existing risk framework.

Community Scorecard Survey

Development of the draft Council Plan has been informed by a consultation process, involving both internal and external stakeholders. The 2025 Community Scorecard survey provided a strong baseline to capture the community's perceptions of the City's overall performance and priority areas for further focus. The Community Scorecard survey identified the following broad priority themes:

- Community safety, crime prevention and amenity (including lighting and anti-social behaviour);
- Parks, open space, recreation and sporting facilities;
- Climate action, urban greening and environmental sustainability;
- Walking, cycling and shared path safety;
- Events, activation and community connection; and

- Waste management, parking and everyday amenity services.

Following the 2025 Community Scorecard survey, contextual information related to the development of the Council Plan has been collected and analysed. This includes a review of past achievements, the current state of service delivery and future plans, as well as performance data (qualitative and quantitative). The following are the 10 highest priority community survey areas (out of 40 categories):

1. No.9 - Festivals, market and community events
2. No.11 – Sport and recreation services and facilities
3. No.13 – Safety and crime prevention
4. No.19 – Environmental management and conservation
5. No.21 – Climate action
6. No.22 – Waste management
7. No.27 – Parks, playgrounds and reserves
8. No.28 – Streetscapes, trees and verges
9. No.32 – Footpaths, trails and cycleways
10. No.34 – Parking
11. No.35 – Economic development

The results of the survey have been used to shape actions contained within the draft Council Plan.

Proposed Projects

The following key projects are proposed:

Project	Description
Community	
Home Safety and Security Equipment Rebate	Increase home rebate scheme funding and continue to implement program.
Karawara Greenways Lighting Improvements	Implement lighting improvements throughout the Karawara Greenways
Provision of CCTV in hot spots	Providing CCTV to hot spots in consultation with the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group and WA Police.
Undertaking a Crime Prevention Through Environment Design Assessment	Undertake CPTED assessments of hot spots in consultation with the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Advisory Group to inform future infrastructure delivery and improvements.
George Burnett Park Precinct Masterplan	Review and update the George Burnett Park Precinct Masterplan to record completed/current projects; and plan for future infrastructure.

Heritage Areas	Investigate the adoption of heritage areas based on location of places of cultural and heritage significance.
Undertake detailed design for Challenger Reserve Pavillion	Funding allocated for detailed design to progress future development of Challenger Reserve Pavillion.
Construction of the Challenger Reserve Pavilion	Following detailed design and subject to available funding, construct Challenger Reserve Pavilion.
Economy	
Community Grant Funding - Activations	Provide community activation funding to assist local organisations to undertake community events and precinct activations.
Local Centres Improvements	Infrastructure and placemaking improvements to local centres throughout the City.
Land Utilisation Plan	Prepare and present for adoption a land utilisation plan to guide strategic use of the City's land assets.
Climate and Environment	
South Perth Foreshore Tree Planting Program	Develop a tree planting program for the South Perth foreshore.
Water-wise Verge Program	Grant funding towards creation of a water-wise verges for residential premises.
Develop a Sustainability Strategy	Prepare a strategy that provides direction for the City's actions to address climate change, addressing the challenges and risks the City faces and taking advantage of identified opportunities to strengthen the City's approach to mitigating and managing the effects of climate change.
Climate Change Implementation Plan	Prepare a Plan to implement the Climate Change Strategy following adoption of a Sustainability Strategy
South Perth Foreshore Riverwall Renewal	Prepare design and seek grant funding for asset renewal of the river walls.
Coode Street Foreshore Riverbank Restoration	Deliver the Coode Street Foreshore Riverbank Restoration project in 2027/28.
Connected Places and Spaces	
South Perth Esplanade Principal Shared Path connection to Mends Street Jetty	Undertake detailed design for this project at Node 5.

Update the City of South Perth and Town of Victoria Park Joint Bike Plan	Prepare a City of South Perth specific Bike Plan, following a review of the City of South Perth and Town of Victoria Park Joint Bike Plan.
Introduce Resident Parking Permits	Following review and adoption of the Parking Plan, implement a resident parking permit program.
Douglas Avenue / Hayman Road / George Street Intersection Upgrade	Advocate for funding for the Douglas Avenue / Hayman Road / George Street Intersection Upgrade for safety improvements.
Leadership and Governance	
Advocate for indoor multi-sports courts	Implement Advocacy Plan for indoor-multi sports courts.
Governance and Integrity training	Provide training to Council Members on good governance, working relationship and integrity in decision making.
Internal audit program	Prepare and deliver a new internal audit program.

Upon adoption of the Council Plan, the final project list will be used to inform the draft annual budget to ensure Council can deliver on its priorities.

Consultation

Community Scorecard Survey

The City engaged CATALYSE® to conduct an independent MARKYT® Community Scorecard to assess community perceptions of service levels, community priorities and aspirations. CATALYSE® mailed scorecards to a random sample of 2,000 households in the City of South Perth and emailed invitations to a random sample of 7,523 customers in the City of South Perth's customer databases.

The City of South Perth provided supporting promotions through its communication channels inviting community members to opt in and complete the survey.

The scorecard was completed by 1,159 community members between 4 - 29 August 2025.

Council Member Workshops

Council Member workshops to discuss the process and draft Council Plan were held on 12 May 2025, 16 February 2026, 11 August 2026 and 8 September 2026.

Future Consultation

Should Council adopt the draft Council Plan for the purposes of public consultation, the City will advertise the draft Plan via its usual communication channels, including: City website, *Your Say South Perth* website, and social media platforms.

Following public consultation on the draft Plan, the City will present a further report to Council detailing the consultation outcomes.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Local Government Act 1995

Section 3.1 of the Act provides that the general function of a local government is to provide for the good government of persons in its district. The general function of a local government must be performed having regard to the following —

(a) the need —

(i) to promote the economic, social and environmental sustainability of the district; and

(ii) to plan for, and to plan for mitigating, risks associated with climate change; and

(iii) in making decisions, to consider potential long-term consequences and impacts on future generations;

(b) the need —

(i) to recognise the particular interests of Aboriginal people; and

(ii) to involve Aboriginal people in decision-making processes;

(c) the need to consider collaboration with other local governments.

Section 5.56 of the Act requires a local government is to plan for the future of the district and is to ensure that plans made are in accordance with any regulations made about planning for the future of the district.

Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996

Pursuant to clause 19C(9) of *the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*, a local government is to ensure that the electors and ratepayers of its district are consulted during the development of a strategic community plan and when preparing modifications of a strategic community plan.

Financial Implications

The cost of delivering the actions within the draft Council Plan will be subject to funding through the annual budget setting process.

Costs for consultation on the draft Council Plan can be me within the 2026/27 Adopted Budget.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation. **Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Compliance with the requirements of the Act and Regulations. Preparation of a draft Council Plan aligned with Community Scorecard survey results.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

10.4.3 (a): Draft Council Plan 2027-2037

10.4.4 Youth Plan 2021-2025 - Status Report

File Ref: D-26-17367
Reporting Officer(s): Anita Amprimo, Acting Chief Executive Officer

Summary

This report provides a status update on the actions outlined in the City's Youth Plan 2021-2025, including details of completed, pending, and in-progress actions, and recommends that the Plan be formally revoked given it has expired. It also recommends that Council notes that the City will continue to provide events and services for young people in the City.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/249

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That Council:

1. Revoke the Youth Plan 2021-2025 contained as **Attachment (a)**.
2. Notes that the City will continue to provide programs and events for youth through business-as-usual activities, subject to available funding.

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The City has been undertaking a review of its existing plans and strategies, with the following objectives:

- To develop an internal framework, processes, templates and guides which provide clarity on the City's approach to the development of plans and strategies;
- Consolidation of the plans and strategies and the actions contained within them to enable improved oversight of the implementation moving forward; and
- To develop a tracking system which allows monitoring and reporting of the implementation of the City's plans and strategies and the achievement of the intended outcomes.

The City is progressing a review of its plans and strategies to determine their status, including reporting to Council on each plan or strategy in this respect.

In respect to consolidation to meet the objectives, the City will be developing a new Cultural & Social Strategy, which is intended to incorporate existing plans and strategies under this theme, including the now expired Youth Plan.

The City acknowledges that young people are a unique group, requiring tailored planning and consideration. In 2020/21 the City engaged the Youth Advisory Council of Western Australia (YACWA) and the South Perth Youth Network (SPYN) to undertake a youth plan project, which led to the development of its inaugural Youth Plan 2021-2025.

The Youth Plan (the Plan) was developed to guide decisions on the kind of community programs, services, events, projects and facilities that should be considered for local young people now, and into the future. This includes young residents, but also young people who work, volunteer, study and/or recreate in the City.

In October 2021 the City presented its inaugural draft Youth Plan 2021-2025 to Council. Council subsequently resolved to provide 'in principle' endorsement of the Plan for the purposes of community and stakeholder consultation. Following consultation, the Plan was endorsed by Council at its meeting held 22 March 2022.

Comment

Youth Plan Actions

To achieve the objectives of the Plan, a series of actions were identified and separated into the following themes:

- Youth Programs and Events
- Youth Places and Facilities
- Youth Communication
- Youth Development

Across the four themes listed above, there are 22 actions.

The table below provides the status of the actions under each theme completed to date. The complete details, including action, status of each action and details on how each completed action has been addressed is contained in **Attachment (a).**

Theme	Number of Actions	Number of Completed Actions	Actions Pending Completion/ On-going	% Actions Complete
Youth Programs and Events	8	7	1	87.5%
Youth Places and Facilities	4	4	0	100%
Youth Communication	3	2	1	66.6%
Youth Development	7	7	0	100%
Total	22	20	2	90.9%

In summary, 20 of the 22 actions have been completed (90.9%).

Given the lifespan of the plan has now expired, and the balance of incomplete actions can be progressed through business-as-usual activities subject to funding through the annual budget, it is recommended that Council formally revoke the plan.

Consultation

The City advised the South Perth Youth Network (SPYN) that the plan had expired, and advised that the City was progressing development of a Cultural and Social Strategy that would encompass youth related actions.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Nil.

Financial Implications

Where implementation of outstanding actions requires a budget allocation, such an allocation will be sought as part of the Annual Budget setting process.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The City has been progressing the actions contained within Youth Plan and will continue to provide services for young people as part of business as usual activities.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.4 Maintain a culture of continuous improvement

Attachments

10.4.4 (a):	Youth Plan 2021-2025
10.4.4 (b):	Youth Plan 2021-2025 Status Report

10.4.5 Play Space Plan 2022 - Status Report

File Ref: D-26-17368
Reporting Officer(s): Anita Amprimo, Acting Chief Executive Officer

Summary

This report provides a status update on the actions outlined in the City's Play Space Plan 2022, including details of completed, removed, and in-progress actions.

It is recommended that the Plan be formally revoked for the reasons outlined in this report, and that Council notes that the City will continue to provide play spaces are part of annual budgeting processes.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/250

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That Council:

1. Revoke the Play Space Plan 2022 contained at **Attachment (a)**.
2. Notes that the City will continue to provide play spaces and renewal of existing play spaces are part of the annual capital works program.

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The City has been undertaking a review of its existing plans and strategies, with the following objectives:

- To develop an internal framework, processes, templates and guides which provide clarity on the City's approach to the development of plans and strategies;
- Consolidation of the plans and strategies and the actions contained within them to enable improved oversight of the implementation moving forward; and
- To develop a tracking system which allows monitoring and reporting of the implementation of the City's plans and strategies and the achievement of the intended outcomes.

The City is progressing a review of its plans and strategies to determine their status, including reporting to Council on each plan or strategy in this respect.

During the development of the Community Recreation Facilities Plan it was identified that there is currently no strategic process in place about playgrounds. A recommendation was subsequently included to develop a Play Space Plan to guide the planning, construction, maintenance and operation of the City's playgrounds into the future.

The Play Space Plan 2022 (the Plan) is a guide to help determine the location, facility type/classification and community need for play spaces within the City, including playgrounds, outdoor exercise equipment and adventure playgrounds.

Whilst the Plan has not been presented to or adopted by Council, the City facilitated a Councillor Briefing on 29 March 2022 to invite Councillor feedback into the draft Play Space Plan prior to its finalisation.

At its meeting held 22 July 2025, Council adopted its Asset Management Strategy 2025-2035. In relation to play spaces more broadly, the Strategy identified:

- Como will have a significant shortage of Neighbourhood Play Spaces in the future, which is leveraged by access to a District Play Space at Neil McDougall Park;
- Karawara has above the recommended supply due to the dual play spaces at George Burnett Reserve;
- Kensington has above the recommended supply of Neighbourhood Play Spaces now, and in the future;
- Manning has above the recommended supply of Neighbourhood Play Spaces now, and in the future;
- Salter Point has a slight oversupply of play spaces but is generally at its required target;
- South Perth will have a shortage of play spaces by 2036; and
- Waterford has above the recommended supply of Neighbourhood Play Spaces now, and in the future.

Comment

Play Space Plan Actions

To achieve the objectives of the Plan, a series of actions were identified and separated into the following themes:

- Playground
- Outdoor Sports Courts
- Outdoor Exercise Equipment
- Adventure

The table below provides the status of the actions under each theme completed to date. The complete details, including action, status of each action and details on how each completed action has been addressed is contained in **Attachment (b).**

Theme	Number of Actions	Number of Completed/ Removed Actions	Actions Pending Completion/ On-going	% Actions Complete
Playground	13	9	4	69%
Outdoor Sports Court	2	0	2	0%
Outdoor Exercise Equipment	2	0	2	0%
Adventure	1	1	0	100%
Total	18	10	8	55.5%

In summary, 10 of the 18 actions have been completed (55.5%).

The reasons for the incomplete projects are:

- An analysis of public open space and play spaces in Waterford identified an oversupply in this location and one project for renewal was therefore not undertaken; and
- Lack of available funding, including external grant funding.

It is recommended that Council formally revoke the Plan for the following reasons:

- Council adopted its Asset Management Strategy 2025-2035 which prioritises investment in larger play spaces, including a regional playground, that service higher demand above small play spaces with low usage; and
- The Plan was created in 2022, with more recent analysis of asset condition and public open space more suitable for use in informing renewal of play spaces.
- The Plan identifies that the action plan should be used as a guide online to align with current and emerging community needs and organisational priorities.
- Council Members can consider capital works projects through the annual budget setting process based on asset condition information and community feedback.

Accordingly, it is recommended that Council formally revoke the plan. Renewal and delivery of new play spaces will occur through annual budgeting processes based on the condition rating of the asset of the existing play spaces, available grant funding and through feedback from Council Members.

Consultation

Nil.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Nil.

Financial Implications

Where implementation of outstanding actions requires a budget allocation, such an allocation will be sought as part of the Annual Budget setting process.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The City has been progressing the actions contained within the Play Space Plan. The City will continue to provide play spaces are part of annual budgeting processes.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.4 Maintain a culture of continuous improvement

Attachments

10.4.5 (a):	Play Space Plan 2022
10.4.5 (b):	Play Space Plan Status Report

10.4.6 Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033 - Status Report

File Ref: D-26-17369
Reporting Officer(s): Anita Amprimo, Acting Chief Executive Officer

Summary

This report provides a status update on the actions outlined in the Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033, including details of completed, removed, and in-progress actions.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/251

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That Council notes the status of actions in the Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033.

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The City has been undertaking a review of its existing plans and strategies, with the following objectives:

- To develop an internal framework, processes, templates and guides which provide clarity on the City's approach to the development of plans and strategies;
- Consolidation of the plans and strategies and the actions contained within them to enable improved oversight of the implementation moving forward; and
- To develop a tracking system which allows monitoring and reporting of the implementation of the City's plans and strategies and the achievement of the intended outcomes.

The City is progressing a review of its plans and strategies to determine their status, including reporting to Council on each plan or strategy in this respect.

Prior to 2019, requests for community recreation facility projects were ad-hoc and considered in isolation as they were submitted. A more strategic decision-making process was required that assesses/prioritises works involving all of the City’s community recreation facilities.

The Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033 (CRFP) (**Attachment (a)**) was endorsed by Council at its meeting held 23 July 2019 and provides a strategic framework that guides the planning, construction, maintenance, and operation of community recreation infrastructure. This report presents a review of the actions contained within this Plan.

Comment

CRFP Action Plan

To achieve the objectives of the Plan, a series of actions were identified which included:

- Level of priority;
- Description of actions; and
- Suggested implementation timeframe.

The table below provides the status of the actions for each year of the plan to date. The complete details, including action, status of each action and details on how each completed action has been addressed, as well as actions completed ahead of time, is contained in **Attachment (b).**

Year	Number of Actions	Number of Completed/ Removed Actions	Actions Pending Completion/ On-going	% Actions Complete
2019/20	23	21	2	91.3%
2020/21	12	10	2	83.3%
2021/22	9	2	7	22.2%
2022/23	9	2	7	22.2%
2023/24	3	0	3	0%
2024/25	3	3	0	100%
2025/26	4	0	4	0%
2026/27	2	0	2	0%
Total	65	38	27	58.4%

The reasons for the incomplete actions vary, and include:

- Confirmation of asset condition to determine extent of poor/ failed parts;
- Detailed design/ construction commenced and not yet complete;
- Actions unfunded or included for consideration in later years of the long term financial plan; and
- External funding (Club Night Lights Program and Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund) on hold and not currently available.

Is recommended that Council note the status of the actions in the CRFP to date. Progression of outstanding projects will occur through annual budgeting processes.

Consultation

Elected Member Workshops were held on 2 July 2024 and 2 September 2024 to discuss the then status of the CRFP.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Nil.

Financial Implications

The CRFP is intended to be delivered over a period of 10 years from 2024 to 2033 inclusive. Funds required for the Actions will be sought using a combination of municipal funds, external funding and/or contributions from sport and recreation clubs and stakeholders.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Funding through subsequent budget processes and appropriate feasibility and planning to ensure community infrastructure is delivered.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

10.4.6 (a):	Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033
10.4.6 (b):	Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033 - Status Report

Mayor Greg Milner disclosed a Proximity and Impartiality Interest in Item 10.4.7 and accordingly left the meeting at 7.47pm. Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis assumed the Chair.

10.4.7 Proposed Deed of Variation - Lease of 53 Coode Street, South Perth (South Perth Senior Citizens)

File Ref: D-26-17370
Reporting Officer(s): Anita Amprimo, Acting Chief Executive Officer

Summary

This report presents a deed of variation to the lease of 53 Coode Street, South Perth (South Perth Senior Citizens Centre) to enable the South Perth Senior Citizens Centre (inc.) to receive the operational subsidy approved by Council in the 2026/27 Annual Budget.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/252

Moved: Councillor Joanne Ord
Seconded: Councillor Christine Robinson

That Council:

1. Notes the South Perth Senior Citizens Centre Inc seeks to exercise the extension of lease option provided under the current lease, which provides for a further five year term.
2. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to execute a deed of variation to remove Clause 15.1(d) of the lease agreement with the South Perth Senior Citizens Centre Inc (ABN 53 052 347 209) for Lot 101 (No.53) Coode Street, South Perth.

CARRIED (7/0)

For: Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The South Perth Senior Citizens Centre (Centre) is located at Lot 101 (No.53) Coode Street, South Perth, and is operated by the South Perth Senior Citizen's Centre Association Inc. (SPSCCI). The Centre has been operating since 1959.

The SPSCCI has a lease with the City for the site, which was approved Council at its meeting held 24 November 2020 (Council Resolution 1120/188). The lease commenced on 1 September 2021 for an initial five-year term, plus a five-year extension option (commencing 1 October 2026), and is currently on a holding over arrangement.

At its 18 June 2026 Special Council Meeting, Council included an allocation of $72,000 for an operational subsidy for the SPSCCI for the 2026/27 financial year, which is further discussed in this report.

In response to a Notice of Motion from Councillor Kathy Lees, at its meeting held 30 June 2026, Council resolved as follows:

"That Council requests the Chief Executive Officer tor:

1. *Invite the South Perth Senior Citizens Centre Inc. to participate in an Elected Member workshop, to discuss:*
   - *(i) The ongoing viability, future services offered and facility options;*
   - *(ii) The extent of City of South Perth support required; and*
   - *(iii) Intentions to exercise the option for renewal for the existing lease.*
2. *Should the South Perth Senior Citizens Centre Inc. agree to participate in an Elected Member workshop, schedule an Elected Member workshop by no later than 31 August 2026.*
3. *Advise Council of the outcomes of the above with the South Perth Senior Citizens Centre Inc."*

A workshop was held with Council Members and the SPSCCI in accordance with Council's resolution on 4 August 2026, where the SPSCCI provided an overview of their operations, membership, services and future aspirations, and requested the City provide an employee to manage the day-to-day operations of the centre.

The City wrote to the SPSCCI on 17 August 2026, providing advice on the future lease arrangements and operating subsidy, and requested the SPSCCI confirm in writing whether it seeks to:

1. Enter into a Deed of Variation to the existing lease to extend the lease term; or
2. End the lease.

The SPSCCI responded and advised that it seeks to renew the lease on substantially the same terms as those currently in place, however, advised that it cannot fund the outgoings detailed in the City's letter.

Comment

Extension of Lease

Partnership Agreement

Until 30 June 2026 there was a Partnership Agreement (Agreement) to provide for an operational subsidy between the City and the SPSCCI, which was used assist the SPSCCI to arrange Centre contracted staff to deliver senior's programs and services for people in the local community. The Agreement was created to provide for the equivalent funding for an employee, to reflect that the City no longer provided an employee to operate and manage the centre.

The Agreement was for an initial term in the 2021/22 financial year, plus annual renewal options for four subsequent years subject to an annual review of the Agreement and Council adoption of the operational subsidy funds in the Annual Budget. The SPSCCI was required to submit an acquittal report for the funds, demonstrating programs and services for local seniors.

Whilst the term of the Agreement had expired, in adopting the 2026/27 Annual Budget at its 18 June 2026 Special Council Meeting, Council included an allocation of $72,000 for an operational subsidy for the SPSCCI. Prior to the City being able to provide the funding to the SPSCCI, a new Agreement will be required to be entered into to ensure appropriate use and acquittal of the funds, and the existing lease is required to be modified for the reasons outlined below.

The lease agreement enables the SPSCCI to occupy and use the premises under a peppercorn arrangement for the delivery of senior's programs and services. Clause 15.1 of the lease titled 'Extension of Term' provides guidance on the process for renewing the lease extension option, as follows:

'If the Lessee:

(a) has duly and punctually paid the rent and all other moneys payable by the Lessee to the Lessor under this Lease during the Term; and

(b) has duly and punctually observed and performed the Lessee's Obligations at all times up to the expiration of the Term;

then the Lessee has the option exercisable by written notice to the Lessor given not more than six (6) months nor less than three (3) months before the expiration of the Term of taking a new lease for the Further Term on and subject to the same terms and conditions as are contained in or implied by this Lease, except for:

(c) this provision for renewal, unless there is more than one Further Term in which event the number of Further Terms will be reduced by the Further Term then exercised;

(d) or any incentive or inducement to enter into this Lease or any waiver or abatement of Rent or any other payment to the Lessee or any benefit given to the Lessee, which is expressly excluded and which will not apply to a Further Term.'

Effectively, if the SPSCCI decides to exercise the lease renewal option, then it does so under the proviso that any incentive/inducement/waiver/rent abatement/payment/benefit given to the Lessee will be expressly excluded and will not apply to the further term.

To enable the SPSCCI to exercise the lease renewal option and receive the operational subsidy as per the adopted 2026/27 Annual Budget, a deed of variation is required to the lease to remove Clause 15.1(d).

Given the SPSCCI has advised it seeks to exercise the extension of lease, it is recommended that Council authorises the Chief Executive Officer to execute a deed of variation to remove Clause 15.1(d) of the lease agreement to enable the City to provide the SPSCCI the operating subsidy provided in the 2026/27 Annual Budget.

Consultation

A workshop was held with the SPSCCI in accordance with Council's resolution on 4 August 2026.

Policy and Legislative Implications

P609 Management and Sale of City Property (P609)

At its meeting held 29 September 2026, Council adopted amendments to P609.

Whilst the provisions of P609 are not applicable to existing leases, when SPSCCI reach the end of their lease term, they will be required to submit an expression of interest to the City to enter into a new lease agreement. Any new lease will be presented to Council for consideration.

Financial Implications

The deed of variation will enable the SPSCCI to receive the $72,000 operational subsidy for the 2026/27 financial year. The City will prepare a partnership agreement with the SPSCCI to support the use of the funds and acquittal.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Reputational Damage** Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media.
Risk rating	Medium
Mitigation and actions	Should Council provide advice and assistance to the SPSCCI as to how it can meet its obligations.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

Nil.

10.4.8 Proposed Council Meeting Schedule 2027

File Ref: D-26-17371
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Acting Director Corporate Services

Summary

This report outlines the proposed City of South Perth 2027 Meeting Schedule for the purpose of giving public notice, in accordance with Regulation 12 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/253

Moved: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
Seconded: Councillor Stephen Russell

That Council notes the Council and Committee meeting dates, times and places proposed for 2027 as detailed in Table (1) and Table (2) within this report.

CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The *Local Government Act 1995* and the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* require local governments to give public notice of the dates on which and the time and place at which the Ordinary Council Meetings and Committee Meetings are to be held. This public notice is to be published prior to the beginning of the year that the meetings are to be held.

All City of South Perth Council meetings commence at 6pm and are held in the Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth.

Typically, the City of South Perth holds Council Agenda Briefings on the third Tuesday of each month, and the Ordinary Council Meetings on the fourth Tuesday of each month.

Comment

It is proposed that all meetings for the 2027 calendar year continue to be held at 6pm in the City of South Perth Council Chamber.

Following on from previous years, it is proposed to have a recess in January and for the November and December Agenda Briefings and Council Meetings to be brought forward by one week respectively, to fit in with the Christmas period.

10.4.8 Proposed Council Meeting Schedule 2027

The Proposed Meeting Schedule for 2027 is as follows:

Table 1	
Council Agenda Briefing City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth.	**Ordinary Council Meeting** City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth.
6pm Tuesday 16 February	6pm Tuesday 23 February
6pm Tuesday 16 March	6pm Tuesday 23 March
6pm Tuesday 20 April	6pm Tuesday 27 April
6pm Tuesday 18 May	6pm Tuesday 25 May
6pm Tuesday 22 June	6pm Tuesday 29 June
6pm Tuesday 20 July	6pm Tuesday 27 July
6pm Tuesday 24 August	6pm Tuesday 31 August
6pm Tuesday 21 September	6pm Tuesday 28 September
6pm Tuesday 19 October	6pm Tuesday 26 October
6pm Tuesday 16 November	6pm Tuesday 23 November
6pm Tuesday 7 December	6pm Tuesday 14 December

The 2027 Western Australian Public Holidays as shown below do not affect the proposed City of South Perth Council Meeting Schedule:

Public Holiday	2027
New Year's Day	Friday 1 January
Australia Day	Tuesday 26 January
Labour Day	Monday 1 March
Good Friday	Friday 26 March
Easter Monday	Monday 29 March
Anzac Day	Monday 26 April
Western Australia Day	Monday 7 June
King's Birthday	Monday 27 September
Christmas Day	Monday 27 December

Boxing Day	Tuesday 28 December

Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee

The proposed Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee (ARIC) meeting dates are provided for Council's information. The meeting schedule has been adjusted to bring reporting closer to the end of each quarter, improving the timeliness and accuracy of information presented to the Committee. This information will be provided to the independent ARIC members.

The proposed ARIC schedule is as follows:

Table 2
Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth.
6pm Monday 22 February
6pm Tuesday 11 May
6pm Tuesday 10 August
6pm Tuesday 9 November

Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee

The meeting dates for the Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee are not included in the annual meeting schedule at this time, as they are dependent on the timing of the Chief Executive Officer's performance review process and other relevant considerations. Meeting dates will be determined as required and communicated to Council at the appropriate time.

Consultation

In accordance with Regulation 12 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*, the details for the 2027 meetings will be placed on the City's website before the beginning of 2027.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Sections 5.25 (1)(g) of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

Regulation 12 of the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*.

Financial Implications

Nil.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	An annual report is scheduled to have the Council meeting dates, times and places proposed for the following 12 months endorsed.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.4 Maintain a culture of continuous improvement

Attachments

Nil.

Mayor Greg Milner returned to the meeting following consideration of Item 10.4.7 and resumed the Chair.

10.4.9 Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee

File Ref: D-26-17372
Reporting Officer(s): Anita Amprimo, Acting Chief Executive Officer

Summary

This report seeks the appointment of Elected Members to the Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee for the period 27 October 2026 to 16 October 2027.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/254

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council in accordance with section 7.1A of the *Local Government Act 1995*, appoints the following Elected Members to the Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee for the period 27 October 2026 to 16 October 2027.

a. Councillor Joanne Ord

b. Councillor Christine Robinson

c. Councillor Gavin Denton

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee is established under section 7.1A of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

Comment

The Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee currently meets on a quarterly basis and the role of the Committee in accordance with Regulation 16 of the *Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996* is:

(a) to guide and assist the local government in carrying out —

  (i) its functions under Part 6 of the Act; and

  (ii) its functions relating to other audits and other matters related to financial management;

(b) to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under Part 7 of the Act;

(c) to review a report given to it by the CEO under regulation 17(3) (the CEO's report) and is to —

(i) report to the council the results of that review; and

(ii) give a copy of the CEO's report to the council;

(d) to monitor and advise the CEO when the CEO is carrying out functions in relation to a review under —

(i) regulation 17(1); and

(ii) the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 regulation 5(2)(c);

(e) to support the auditor of the local government to conduct an audit and carry out the auditor's other duties under the Act in respect of the local government;

(f) to oversee the implementation of any action that the local government —

(i) is required to take by section 7.12A(3); and

(ii) has stated it has taken or intends to take in a report prepared under section 7.12A(4)(a); and

(iii) has accepted should be taken following receipt of a report of a review conducted under regulation 17(1); and

(iv) has accepted should be taken following receipt of a report of a review conducted under the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 regulation 5(2)(c);

(g) to perform any other function conferred on the audit committee by these regulations or another written law.

The Committee does not currently have any delegation.

The Terms of Reference (**Attachment (a)**) state that the Committee is to consist of an Independent Presiding Member (External Member), Independent Deputy Presiding Member (External Member) and between 3 to 8 Elected Members.

Mayor Greg Milner, Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis, Councillor Bronwyn David, and Councillor Stephen Russell are on the Committee, as well as two Independent Members (Presiding Member and Deputy Presiding Member).

Whilst no further Council Members are required, it is open to Council to appoint additional Council Members.

Consultation

Nil.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Local Government Act 1995

Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996

Financial Implications

Nil.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	Adherence to the Act and Regulations.

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

10.4.9 (a): Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee Terms of Reference

10.4.10 Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee

File Ref: D-26-17373
Reporting Officer(s): Anita Amprimo, Acting Chief Executive Officer

Summary

This report seeks the appointment of Elected Members to the Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee for the period 27 October 2026 to 16 October 2027.

Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1026/255

Moved: Mayor Greg Milner
Seconded: Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council:

In accordance with the provisions of the *Local Government Act 1995*, Sections 5.10 and 5.11, appoints the following Elected Members to the Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee for the period 27 October 2026 to 16 October 2027:

a. Councillor Christine Robinson

b. Councillor Joanne Ord

c. Councillor Bronwyn David

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (8/0)

For: Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Bronwyn David, Gavin Denton, Kathy Lees, Joanne Ord, Christine Robinson and Stephen Russell.

Against: Nil.

Background

The objective of the CEO Evaluation Committee (the Committee) is to oversee the Chief Executive Officer's performance and undertake an annual performance review

At its 28 October 2025 Ordinary meeting, Council resolved as follows:

"That Council:

1. *In accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995, Sections 5.10 and 5.11, appoints the following Elected Members to the Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee for the period 28 October 2025 to 16 October 2027.*
    a. *Mayor Greg Milner*
    b. *Councillor Kathy Lees*
    c. *Councillor Hayley Prendiville*
    d. *Councillor Bronwyn Waugh*
    e. *Councillor Blake D'Souza*

f. Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

g. Councillor Tim Houweling

h. Councillor Jacqueline Raison

i. Councillor Stephen Russell

2. *Amends the membership section of the Terms of Reference for the Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee from 'up to 6 Elected Members' to 'up to 8 Elected Members.'*
3. *In accordance with section 5.12(1) of the Local Government Act 1995 appoints Mayor Greg Milner as the Presiding Member of the Chief Executive Officer Evaluation Committee.*
4. *In accordance with section 5.12(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 appoints Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis as the Deputy Presiding Member of the Chief Executive Evaluation Committee."*

Comment

The Committee is responsible for:

- Undertaking an annual assessment of the Chief Executive Officer's performance in accordance with the Chief Executive Officer's contract of employment;
- Developing and reviewing contractual performance criteria and performance indicators in conjunction with the Chief Executive Officer;
- Reviewing the Chief Executive Officer's remuneration package; and
- Reviewing the following year's annual performance process.

The Committee does not currently have any delegation.

A quorum for the Committee is three (3).

The Terms of Reference (**(Attachment(a)**) state the Committee is to consist of the Mayor and up to eight elected members.

Mayor Greg Milner, Councillor Kathy Lees, Councillor Blake D'Souza, Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis, Councillor Gavin Denton and Councillor Stephen Russell are currently on the Committee.

Council may appoint additional members.

Consultation

Nil.

Policy and Legislative Implications

Local Government Act 1995

Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996

Policy P639 CEO Recruitment, Performance and Termination

Financial Implications

Nil.

Key Risks and Considerations

Risk Event Outcome	**Legislative Breach** Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation.
Risk rating	Low
Mitigation and actions	The CEO Recruitment, Performance and Termination Policy is adhered to and reviewed in accordance with legislation

Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Strategic Direction:	Leadership
Aspiration:	A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
Outcome:	4.3 Good governance
Strategy:	4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

Attachments

10.4.10 (a): Terms of Reference

11. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil.

12. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

13. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

13.1 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TAKEN ON NOTICE

The responses to previous questions from members taken on notice at the September 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting can be found in the **Appendix** of these Minutes.

13.2 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

Nil.

14. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING

Nil.

15. MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

Nil.

16. CLOSURE

The Presiding Member thanked everyone for their attendance and closed the meeting at 7.56pm.

APPENDIX

13.1 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TAKEN ON NOTICE OCM 29 SEPTEMBER 2026

Councillor Stephen Russell	
[Preamble] *I have another IntraMaps question. I would just like to question whether there are discrepancies in the zoning of the City's sumps. For example, 75 Hobbs Avenue is a below grade sump and it's zoned residential. 148 Gwenyfred Road seems to be a watercourt pumping station that is zoned residential. 26 Talbot Street is predominantly a sump, and that is zoned public open space. 241 Labouchere Road is a sump and that is zoned public open space. I would have thought that these lots, which function predominantly as a sump, would be zoned public purposes infrastructure services.*	
1. Can the City explain these discrepancies and why they are not zoned to suit their function?	An audit of all areas of public open space was conducted as part of the Public Open Space Plan, which has been finalised and was presented to Council at a workshop on the 4th of March 2025. The discrepancies have been identified as part of the public open space audit and were erroneously zoned through the preparation of Local Planning Scheme No. 7 (LPS 7). As part of an action developed by the Public Open Space Plan, these anomalies are noted for normalisation through a future omnibus scheme amendment to Local Planning Scheme 7. The current zoning of drainage sumps does not affect their ongoing operation.

2.	If lot swift sumps are zoned public open space, and in fact they're not, does this effectively underestimate the public open space reserve area that the City has?	Through the development of the Public Open Space Plan, drainage sumps that are incorrectly zoned as public open space have been considered in the effective supply of open space to the local government area. The areas zoned public open space which have a drainage function total 0.94 ha. The City has 186.61 ha of public open space, and therefore the anomaly constitutes for 0.5% of total public open space supply.

DISCLAIMER

The City advises that comments recorded represent the views of the person making them and should not in any way be interpreted as representing the views of Council. The minutes are a confirmation as to the nature of comments made and provide no endorsement of such comments. Most importantly, the comments included as dot points are not purported to be a complete record of all comments made during the course of debate. Persons relying on the minutes are expressly advised that the summary of comments provided in those minutes do not reflect and should not be taken to reflect the view of the Council. The City makes no warranty as to the veracity or accuracy of the individual opinions expressed and recorded therein.

These Minutes were confirmed at the Ordinary Council Meeting held: Tuesday 17 November 2026

Signed _____

Presiding Member at the meeting at which the Minutes were confirmed