

# MINUTES

## Ordinary Council Meeting

12 December 2023

Mayor and Councillors

Here within are the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting of the City of South Perth Council held Tuesday 12 December 2023 in the City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth.



MIKE BRADFORD  
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

15 December 2023

# Acknowledgement of Country

Kaartdjinin Nidja Nyungar Whadjuk Boodjar Koora Nidja Djining Noonakoort kaartdijin wangkiny, maam, gnarnk and boordier Nidja Whadjuk kura kura.

We acknowledge and pay our respects to the traditional custodians of this land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and their Elders past and present.

## Our Guiding Values



## Disclaimer

The City of South Perth disclaims any liability for any loss arising from any person or body relying on any statement, discussion, recommendation or decision made during this meeting.

Where an application for an approval, a licence or the like is discussed or determined during this meeting, the City warns that neither the applicant, nor any other person or body, should rely upon that discussion or determination until written notice of either an approval and the conditions which relate to it, or the refusal of the application has been issued by the City.

# Contents

|            |  |           |
|------------|--|-----------|
| <b>1.</b>  | <b>DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS</b>   | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>2.</b>  | <b>DISCLAIMER</b>  | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>3.</b>  | <b>ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER</b>   | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>4.</b>  | <b>ATTENDANCE</b>  | <b>5</b>  |
|            | <b>4.1 APOLOGIES</b>   | <b>6</b>  |
|            | <b>4.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE</b>   | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>5.</b>  | <b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b>  | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>6.</b>  | <b>PUBLIC QUESTION TIME</b>  | <b>7</b>  |
|            | <b>6.1 RESPONSES TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE</b>  | <b>7</b>  |
|            | <b>6.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 12 DECEMBER 2023</b>  | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>7.</b>  | <b>CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES AND TABLING OF NOTES OF BRIEFINGS</b>   | <b>8</b>  |
|            | <b>7.1 MINUTES</b>   | <b>8</b>  |
|            | 7.1.1 Ordinary Council Meeting Held: 21 November 2023  | 8         |
|            | <b>7.2 CONCEPT BRIEFINGS</b>   | <b>8</b>  |
|            | 7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops  | 8         |
|            | 7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 5 December 2023  | 9         |
| <b>8.</b>  | <b>PRESENTATIONS</b>   | <b>10</b> |
|            | <b>8.1 PETITIONS</b>   | <b>10</b> |
|            | 8.1.1 Opposition to Parklet Proposal at No. 79 Angelo Street   | 10        |
|            | <b>8.2 PRESENTATIONS</b>   | <b>10</b> |
|            | <b>8.3 DEPUTATIONS</b>   | <b>10</b> |
| <b>9.</b>  | <b>METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS</b>  | <b>11</b> |
| <b>10.</b> | <b>REPORTS</b>   | <b>13</b> |
|            | <b>10.2 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 2: ECONOMY</b>   | <b>13</b> |
|            | 10.2.1 Proposed Parklet (Nextdoor and Olsen Butchers) - Angelo Street Road Reserve (abutting No.79 Angelo Street, South Perth) | 13        |
|            | <b>10.3 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 3: ENVIRONMENT (BUILT AND NATURAL)</b>   | <b>26</b> |
|            | 10.3.1 Sport Spaces Investigation/Feasibility Study - Sir James Mitchell Park, South Perth (Ellam Street End)                  | 26        |
|            | 10.3.2 South Perth Activity Centre Plan - Community Benefit Contribution Framework Annual Review                               | 34        |
|            | 10.3.3 Proposed Use Not Listed (Short Term Accommodation) - Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace, Kensington                       | 37        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>10.4 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 4: LEADERSHIP</b>  | <b>48</b>  |
| 10.4.1 Listing of Payments November 2023   | 48         |
| 10.4.2 Monthly Financial Statements November 2023  | 51         |
| 10.4.3 City of South Perth Annual Report 2022/23   | 54         |
| 10.4.4 Tender 8/2023 Golf Course Management Services for Collier Park Golf Course                  | 57         |
| 10.4.5 Policy P669 Elected Member Continuing Professional Development                              | 63         |
| <b>10.5 MATTERS REFERRED FROM COMMITTEE MEETINGS</b>   | <b>65</b>  |
| 10.5.1 Terms of Reference - Audit, Risk and Governance Committee                                   | 65         |
| 10.5.2 2022/23 Annual Financial Statements (External) Audit and Informations Systems Final Reports | 68         |
| 10.5.3 2022/23 City of South Perth Annual Financial Report   | 72         |
| 10.5.4 Internal Audit Report- Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework                          | 76         |
| 10.5.5 Policy Review   | 79         |
| 10.5.6 Audit Register Progress Report Q1   | 87         |
| <b>11. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE</b>   | <b>90</b>  |
| <b>12. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN</b>   | <b>90</b>  |
| <b>13. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS</b>  | <b>90</b>  |
| 13.1 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TAKEN ON NOTICE                                   | 90         |
| 13.2 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS  | 91         |
| <b>14. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING</b>                      | <b>91</b>  |
| <b>15. MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC</b>  | <b>91</b>  |
| <b>16. CLOSURE</b>   | <b>91</b>  |
| <b>APPENDIX</b>  | <b>92</b>  |
| <b>DISCLAIMER</b>  | <b>112</b> |

# Ordinary Council Meeting - Minutes

Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held in the City of South Perth Council Chamber, corner Sandgate Street and South Terrace, South Perth at 6.00pm on Tuesday 12 December 2023.

## 1. DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 6.01pm.

Councillor Mary Choy was authorised to attend the meeting via telephone in accordance with regulation 14C and 14CA of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.

Councillor Mary Choy declared that she was able to maintain confidentiality during the meeting in accordance with regulation 14CA(5) of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.

## 2. DISCLAIMER

The Presiding Member read aloud the City's Disclaimer.

## 3. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER

Nil.

## 4. ATTENDANCE

Mayor Greg Milner (Presiding Member)

### Councillors

Como Ward  
Como Ward  
Manning Ward  
Manning Ward  
Moresby Ward  
Moresby Ward  
Mill Point Ward  
Mill Point Ward

Councillor Glenn Cridland (Arrived 6.04pm)  
Councillor Bronwyn Waugh  
Councillor Blake D'Souza  
Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis  
Councillor Jennifer Nevard  
Councillor Hayley Prendiville  
Councillor Mary Choy (Via audio link)  
Councillor Nic Coveney

### Officers

Chief Executive Officer  
A/Director Corporate Services  
Director Development and Community Services  
Director Infrastructure Services  
Manager Development Services  
Manager Governance  
Communication and Marketing Coordinator  
Governance Coordinator  
Governance Administration Officer

Mr Mike Bradford  
Mr Abrie Lacock  
Ms Donna Shaw  
Ms Anita Amprimo  
Ms Fiona Mullen  
Ms Toni Fry  
Ms Karys Nella  
Mr Morgan Hindle  
Ms Kira Digwood

## Gallery

There were approximately 40 members of the public present.

### **4.1 APOLOGIES**

Nil.

### **4.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

- Councillor Glenn Cridland for the period 11 December 2023 to 30 January 2024 inclusive.

*Councillor Glenn Cridland arrived at 6.04pm during consideration of Item 5.*

## **5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

- Councillor Nic Coveney – Item 10.2.1 – Impartiality Interest as ‘like I did with most business owners on Angelo Street and Mends Street during my election campaign, I briefly met with the owner of Nextdoor. Similarly, like I have with most cafés/restaurants on Angelo Street and Mends Street, I have previously eaten at Nextdoor.’
- Councillor Jennifer Nevard – Item 10.2.1 – Impartiality Interest as ‘some of the local regular customers of the local businesses in the vicinity of 79 Angelo Street and some of the traders are known to me. I undertake to vote in accordance with the interests of the entire City.’
- Councillor Hayley Prendiville – Item 10.3.1 – Impartiality Interest as ‘some of the staff at Wesley College are known to me.’
- Councillor Bronwyn Waugh – Item 10.3.1 – Impartiality Interest as ‘my son attends Wesley College.’
- Councillor Mary Choy – Item 10.3.1 – Impartiality Interest as ‘my husband is a Wesley College ‘old boy’ and our sons attend Wesley College.’
- Councillor Jennifer Nevard – Item 10.3.3 – Impartiality Interest as ‘I am a Ward Councillor for Moresby and Kensington forms part of that Ward. I undertake to vote in accordance with the interests of the entire City.’
- Councillor Hayley Prendiville – Item 10.4.4 – Impartiality Interest as ‘I have a family member who is a member of Collier Park Golf Club.’
- Councillor Jennifer Nevard – Item 10.4.4 – Impartiality Interest as ‘I know a number of golfers and active lobbyists who use Collier Park Golf Course or have campaigned in the interests of the Golf Course. The Course is in Moresby Ward for which I am an Elected Member. I undertake to vote in accordance with the interests of the entire City.’

## 6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

### 6.1 RESPONSES TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

### 6.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 12 DECEMBER 2023

The Presiding Member opened Public Question Time at 6.06pm.

Written questions were received prior to the meeting from:

- Mr Barrie Drake of South Perth.
- Mr Peter Scott of Como.
- Mr David Basell of South Perth.
- Ms Jo Ord of Como.

At 6.22pm, in accordance with Clause 6.7 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007, the Presiding Member extended Public Question Time by an additional 15 minutes to hear those questions not yet heard.

- Mr Bruce Bunton of Salter Point.
- Ms Naomi Smith of Salter Point.
- Ms Elizabeth Urbaniak of Salter Point.

At 6.39pm the Presiding Member called for a Motion to extend Public Question Time to hear those questions not yet heard.

#### COUNCIL DECISION

1223/203

**Moved:** Mayor Greg Milner

**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That in accordance with Clause 6.7 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007, Public Question Time be extended to hear those questions not yet heard.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

- Mr Robinson Eliezer of Salter Point.
- Ms Cecilia Brooke of South Perth.

Written questions were received at the meeting by:

- Mr Keith Shortill of South Perth.

The questions and responses can be found in the **Appendix** of these Minutes.

There being no further questions, the Presiding Member closed Public Question Time at 6.49pm.

## 7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES AND TABLING OF NOTES OF BRIEFINGS

### 7.1 MINUTES

#### 7.1.1 Ordinary Council Meeting Held: 21 November 2023

##### Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/204

**Moved:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

**Seconded:** Councillor Hayley Prendiville

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held 21 November 2023 be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

### 7.2 CONCEPT BRIEFINGS

#### 7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops

Officers of the City/Consultants and invited third party guests provided Council with an overview of the following matter at Concept Briefings and Workshops:

| Date             | Subject   | Attendees   |
|------------------|---|---|
| 21 November 2023 | Infrastructure Services Induction (for New Elected Members) | Councillors Nic Coveney, Hayley Prendiville, and Bronwyn Waugh.   |
| 27 November 2023 | Long Term Financial Plan IPR Presentation                   | Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville, Bronwyn Waugh.   |
| 28 November 2023 | Collier Park Golf Course Tender Briefing                    | Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Nic Coveney, Mary Choy, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville.<br><b>Briefed individually:</b> Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors Glenn Cridland, Bronwyn Waugh. |
| 4 December 2023  | Let's Talk Dogs Workshop                                    | Mayor Greg Milner and Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Bronwyn Waugh.   |



|                 |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--|
| 6 December 2023 | Planning Basics and Local Heritage Framework | Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Nic Coveney, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville.<br><b>Briefed individually:</b> Councillor Bronwyn Waugh. |
|-----------------|--|--|

### Attachments

Nil.

## 7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 5 December 2023

Officers of the City presented background information and answered questions on Items to be considered at the December Ordinary Council Meeting at the Council Agenda Briefing held 5 December 2023

### Attachments

7.2.2 (a): Briefing Notes

#### Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/205

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney

**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council notes the following Council Briefings/Workshops were held:

- 7.2.1 Concept Briefings and Workshops
- 7.2.2 Council Agenda Briefing - 5 December 2023

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

## 8. PRESENTATIONS

### 8.1 PETITIONS

#### 8.1.1 Opposition to Parklet Proposal at No. 79 Angelo Street

The following petition was received from Kaylene Cousins of Angelo Street, South Perth together with 126 verified signatures in accordance with Clause 6.9 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007.

The text of the petition reads:

*'We the undersigned electors of the City of South Perth request:*

*That the Council strongly oppose the application and trial for the Parklet Proposal that will occupy two on-street parking bays located in front of 79 Angelo Street.*

*For the following reasons:*

*Current street parking on Angelo Street South Perth is very limited. There are 33 shop fronts along Angelo Street (this does not include any businesses or shop front in the Coles complex or Angelo Street Market complex). There are only 56 bays to service these 33 shops. To take away another two bays would impact customers' ability to find parking, cause congestion and deter customers from coming to the Angelo Street precinct. Currently the street parking is for all the surrounding businesses and consumers of Angelo Street. The proposed parklet does not meet the needs of any other business or customer besides 79 Angelo Street.*

#### Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/206

**Moved:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

**Seconded:** Councillor Nic Coveney

That Council note the petition received by Kaylene Cousins of Angelo Street, South Perth has been considered by Officer's in Item 10.2.1 of this Agenda in accordance with clause 6.9 (2) of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

### 8.2 PRESENTATIONS

Nil.

### 8.3 DEPUTATIONS

Deputations were heard at the Council Agenda Briefing held 5 December 2023.

## 9. METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS

The Presiding Member advised that with the exception of the items identified to be withdrawn for discussion that the remaining reports, including the Officer Recommendations, will be adopted by exception resolution (i.e. all together) as per Clause 5.5 Exception Resolution of the Standing Orders Local Law 2007.

The Presiding Member advised that the following Agenda Items were new reports and were not presented to the Council Agenda Briefing held 5 December 2023:

- Item 10.4.1 – Listing of Payments November 2023
- Item 10.4.2 – Monthly Financial Statements November 2023

The Chief Executive Officer confirmed all the report items were discussed at the Council Agenda Briefing held 5 December 2023 with the exception of Item 10.4.1 and 10.4.2.

### ITEMS WITHDRAWN FOR DISCUSSION

- 10.2.1 Proposed Parklet (Nextdoor and Olsen Butchers) - Angelo Street Road Reserve (abutting No.79 Angelo Street, South Perth)
- 10.3.1 Sport Spaces Investigation/Feasibility Study - Sir James Mitchell Park, South Perth (Ellam Street End)
- 10.3.3 Proposed Use Not Listed (Short Term Accommodation) - Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace, Kensington
- 10.4.1 Listing of Payments November 2023
- 10.4.2 Monthly Financial Statements November 2023
- 10.4.3 City of South Perth Annual Report 2022/23
- 10.4.4 Tender 8/2023 Golf Course Management Services for Collier Park Golf Course
- 10.4.5 Policy P669 Elected Member Continuing Professional Development
- 10.5.3 2022/23 City of South Perth Annual Financial Report
- 10.5.5 Policy Review

The Presiding Member called for a motion to move the balance of reports by Exception Resolution.

**Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION**

**1223/207**

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney

**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

10.3.2 South Perth Activity Centre Plan - Community Benefit Contribution Framework Annual Review

10.5.1 Terms of Reference - Audit, Risk and Governance Committee

10.5.2 2022/23 Annual Financial Statements (External) Audit and Informations Systems Final Reports

10.5.4 Internal Audit Report- Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework

10.5.6 Audit Register Progress Report Q1

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

## 10. REPORTS

### 10.2 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 2: ECONOMY

*Councillors Nic Coveney and Jennifer Nevard declared an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.2.1.*

#### 10.2.1 Proposed Parklet (Nextdoor and Olsen Butchers) - Angelo Street Road Reserve (abutting No.79 Angelo Street, South Perth)

File Ref: D-23-57186  
Author(s): Jessica Birbeck, Principal Strategic Planner  
Sarah Kahle, Economic Development Officer  
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Director Development and Community Services

##### Summary

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider a licence application for a parklet adjacent of Nextdoor Restaurant and Olsen Butchers. The parklet is proposed to be installed in the road reserve within two existing on-street parking bays outside of the businesses, which are located at No. 79 Angelo Street, South Perth. The City recommends Council issue a 12-month licence as a trial basis.

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor Bronwyn Waugh

That Council:

1. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to issue a licence in accordance with Clause 8.2 (1) of the City of South Perth Public Places and Local Government Property Local Law 2011 for the proposed parklet adjacent Nextdoor Restaurant and Olsen Butchers within the Angelo Street Road Reserve abutting No. 79 Angelo Street, South Perth, subject to the following conditions:
  - (a) The licence is valid for 12 months only following which the parklet and associated infrastructure must be removed at the applicant's cost, and the parking bays reinstated to the satisfaction of the City of South Perth.
  - (b) The Licensed Area is to be shown in an agreed Licence Plan annexed to the licence.
  - (c) The applicant must hold a current Public Liability Insurance Policy with indemnity of not less than \$20,000,000. If requested, the Applicant is to provide a Certificate of Currency to the City of South Perth prior to the construction activity commencing.
  - (d) The applicant is to indemnify the City in connection with the death of or injury to any person or damage to property caused by or contributed to by the installation, operation, testing, maintenance, repair, replacement, alteration, removal or disposal of the Equipment.

- (e) The applicant may not assign, sublicense, share or part with possession of the Licensed Area without the City of South Perth's prior written consent, which is to be withheld or given at the City of South Perth's sole discretion.
  - (f) The applicant must ensure that no damage or obstruction is caused to a manhole, inspection pit, fire hydrant, water, gas, electrical or communications infrastructure, drainage or other service, within the location of parklet.
  - (g) The applicant does not have exclusive or uninterrupted use of the area at all times. The parklet must be publicly available outside the applicant's business hours of:
    - Wednesday and Thursday: 3:00pm – 10:00pm
    - Friday: 12:00pm – 11:30pm
    - Saturday: 12:00pm – 11:30pm
    - Sunday: 12:00pm – 6.00pm
  - (h) The road and footpath pavement areas must be kept clean and free of litter at all times.
  - (i) All furniture shall be durable, waterproof, rustproof and weather resistant, be maintained in good condition and be designed so that corners and fastenings do not create potential hazards for patrons and pedestrians. All furniture must be removed from the public realm at the close of business each day.
  - (j) Pergolas shall be maintained to a high standard and securely anchored in accordance with Australian Standard AS1170.2-2002 – Structural Design Actions – Wind Actions and/or any recommendations provide by the manufacturer.
  - (k) Alcohol consumption shall only be permitted where the necessary approvals have been obtained from the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (Racing, Gaming and Liquor).
  - (l) Smoking is not permitted within the facility. The applicant is required to provide appropriate non-smoking signage to ensure compliance.
2. In accordance with section 6.12 (1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, waive licence fees and alfresco dining fees for the parklet for the duration of the licence period.

**COUNCIL DECISION****1223/208****Moved:** Mayor Greg Milner**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

In accordance with Clause 8.10 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007 Councillor Nic Coveney be granted an additional five minutes to speak.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.**Amendment****Moved:** Councillor Mary Choy**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That the following clauses be amended to read as follows:

- (a) The licence is valid for 6 months only following which the parklet and associated infrastructure must be removed at the applicant's cost, and the parking bays reinstated to the satisfaction of the City of South Perth.
  - (g) The applicant does not have exclusive or uninterrupted use of the area at all times. The parklet must be publicly available at all times.
2. In accordance with section 6.12 (1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, waive licence fees for the parklet for the duration of the licence period.

**Reasons for Change**

1. The application is for a commercial purpose and as such should be dealt with on commercial terms, with the applicant treated the same as every other neighbouring hospitality business, which are required to pay alfresco dining fees for outdoor service.
2. It is the view that the only reason the applicant is not being charged a licence fee is because one does not currently exist for parklets in the City's 'Fees and Charges Schedule'. This could easily be changed early in the new year during the Council budget review.
3. In lieu of a parklet policy, any unforeseen implications or impacts of the trial, including on neighbouring businesses and residents can be minimised with a 6-month trial, instead of a lengthier 12 month trial, with a review and report back to Council at that time.

**The amendment was put and declared LOST (2/7).**

**For:** Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis and Mary Choy.**Against:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**COUNCIL DECISION****1223/209****Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney**Seconded:** Councillor Bronwyn Waugh

That Council:

1. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to issue a licence in accordance with Clause 8.2 (1) of the City of South Perth Public Places and Local Government Property Local Law 2011 for the proposed parklet adjacent Nextdoor Restaurant and Olsen Butchers within the Angelo Street Road Reserve abutting No. 79 Angelo Street, South Perth, subject to the following conditions:
  - (a) The licence is valid for 12 months only following which the parklet and associated infrastructure must be removed at the applicant's cost, and the parking bays reinstated to the satisfaction of the City of South Perth.
  - (b) The Licensed Area is to be shown in an agreed Licence Plan annexed to the licence.
  - (c) The applicant must hold a current Public Liability Insurance Policy with indemnity of not less than \$20,000,000. If requested, the Applicant is to provide a Certificate of Currency to the City of South Perth prior to the construction activity commencing.
  - (d) The applicant is to indemnify the City in connection with the death of or injury to any person or damage to property caused by or contributed to by the installation, operation, testing, maintenance, repair, replacement, alteration, removal or disposal of the Equipment.
  - (e) The applicant may not assign, sublicense, share or part with possession of the Licensed Area without the City of South Perth's prior written consent, which is to be withheld or given at the City of South Perth's sole discretion.
  - (f) The applicant must ensure that no damage or obstruction is caused to a manhole, inspection pit, fire hydrant, water, gas, electrical or communications infrastructure, drainage or other service, within the location of parklet.
  - (g) The applicant does not have exclusive or uninterrupted use of the area at all times. The parklet must be publicly available outside the applicant's business hours of:
    - Wednesday and Thursday: 3:00pm – 10:00pm
    - Friday: 12:00pm – 11:30pm
    - Saturday: 12:00pm – 11:30pm
    - Sunday: 12:00pm – 6.00pm
  - (h) The road and footpath pavement areas must be kept clean and free of litter at all times.



- (i) All furniture shall be durable, waterproof, rustproof and weather resistant, be maintained in good condition and be designed so that corners and fastenings do not create potential hazards for patrons and pedestrians. All furniture must be removed from the public realm at the close of business each day.
  - (j) Pergolas shall be maintained to a high standard and securely anchored in accordance with Australian Standard AS1170.2-2002 – Structural Design Actions – Wind Actions and/or any recommendations provide by the manufacturer.
  - (k) Alcohol consumption shall only be permitted where the necessary approvals have been obtained from the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (Racing, Gaming and Liquor).
  - (l) Smoking is not permitted within the facility. The applicant is required to provide appropriate non-smoking signage to ensure compliance.
2. In accordance with section 6.12 (1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, waive licence fees and alfresco dining fees for the parklet for the duration of the licence period.

### Reasons for Change

#### Reason 1 – Protecting the vibe

1. Nextdoor is a fine dining restaurant. In 2023, Nextdoor was awarded 14/20 chefs hats by the Australian Good Food Guide. To put that into perspective, the highest rating was 17/20 hats and there were only 6 restaurants in WA with a higher rating than Nextdoor, being Wildflower, Hearth, Wills Domain, Vasse Felix, Voyager, and 20 seats.
2. The original motion leaves open a scenario whereby patrons of Nextdoor, who are seeking, paying for, and expecting, a particular vibe, are sharing the parklet with non-patrons seeking and exercising an entirely inconsistent vibe, thus impeding on the dining experience.
3. To illustrate the above point by way of hypothetical – if a group of family and/or friends were to attend the Nextdoor parklet for the purpose of celebrating a special occasion and go to the effort of getting dressed up and the expense of a fine meal, there is nothing stopping a non-patron from sitting next to them in the parklet, in unkept clothing and performing a non-dining task such as scratching a scratchy from the newsagent next door. That inconsistency in use would not be permitted at other restaurants such as those listed earlier, and should not be permitted here.

#### Reason 2 – protecting the purpose

4. The owner of Nextdoor indicated during his deputation that it was his intention the parklet will provide long table service to cater for larger groups.
5. Making the parklet available to the public during the trading hours of Nextdoor will make it more difficult to achieve this purpose if a non-patron takes up ad hoc space on the long table.

Reason 3 – protecting the children

6. Nextdoor is a licensed venue.
7. It would be inappropriate if unaccompanied children under the age of 18 years old were able to use the parklet during Nextdoor's hours of operation.

Reason 4 – amendment still provides for substantive public use

8. The current trading hours of Nextdoor are in the afternoons and evenings. This is a time in which most neighboring businesses are closed anyway, meaning they are largely unaffected.
9. This means neighboring businesses and their patrons (and the public) continue to enjoy the free use of the parklet in the mornings and days in which Nextdoor is not trading.

Summary

10. The amended motion is necessary to ensure that the parklet meets the expectations and needs of the community, the patrons of Nextdoor, and the owner of Nextdoor.
11. Some of the abovementioned scenarios could result in a negative financial implication to Nextdoor by way of loss of business and reputational damage.
12. The amended motion provides that Nextdoor, who is going to the expense and effort of building, installing and maintaining the parklet, have exclusive use during their trading hours.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

**Officer Recommendation**

That Council:

1. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to issue a licence in accordance with Clause 8.2 (1) of the City of South Perth Public Places and Local Government Property Local Law 2011 for the proposed parklet adjacent Nextdoor Restaurant and Olsen Butchers within the Angelo Street Road Reserve abutting No. 79 Angelo Street, South Perth, subject to the following conditions:
  - (a) The licence is valid for 12 months only following which the parklet and associated infrastructure must be removed at the applicant's cost, and the parking bays reinstated to the satisfaction of the City of South Perth.
  - (b) The Licensed Area is to be shown in an agreed Licence Plan annexed to the licence.

- (c) The applicant must hold a current Public Liability Insurance Policy with indemnity of not less than \$20,000,000. If requested, the Applicant is to provide a Certificate of Currency to the City of South Perth prior to the construction activity commencing.
  - (d) The applicant is to indemnify the City in connection with the death of or injury to any person or damage to property caused by or contributed to by the installation, operation, testing, maintenance, repair, replacement, alteration, removal or disposal of the Equipment.
  - (e) The applicant may not assign, sublicense, share or part with possession of the Licensed Area without the City of South Perth's prior written consent, which is to be withheld or given at the City of South Perth's sole discretion.
  - (f) The applicant must ensure that no damage or obstruction is caused to a manhole, inspection pit, fire hydrant, water, gas, electrical or communications infrastructure, drainage or other service, within the location of parklet.
  - (g) The applicant does not have exclusive or uninterrupted use of the area. The parklet must be publicly available at all times.
  - (h) The road and footpath pavement areas must be kept clean and free of litter at all times.
  - (i) All furniture shall be durable, waterproof, rustproof and weather resistant, be maintained in good condition and be designed so that corners and fastenings do not create potential hazards for patrons and pedestrians. All furniture must be removed from the public realm at the close of business each day.
  - (j) Pergolas shall be maintained to a high standard and securely anchored in accordance with Australian Standard AS1170.2-2002 – Structural Design Actions – Wind Actions and/or any recommendations provide by the manufacturer.
  - (k) Alcohol consumption shall only be permitted where the necessary approvals have been obtained from the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (Racing, Gaming and Liquor).
  - (l) Smoking is not permitted within the facility. The applicant is required to provide appropriate non-smoking signage to ensure compliance.
2. In accordance with section 6.12 (1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, waive licence fees and alfresco dining fees for the parklet for the duration of the licence period.

## Background

The City has received a proposal from the operators of Nextdoor Restaurant and Olsen Butchers for a parklet to be installed within two on-street parking bays adjacent to their premises.

Parklets are temporary moveable structures placed in car parking bays, providing publicly accessible seating and amenities for the community and local businesses. They are small pockets of public space that repurpose part of the street, providing open and engaging spaces for people to linger within the street environment.

The parklet will provide alfresco dining opportunities for the businesses and permanent bench seating for the public. Additional furniture (small tables and chairs) will be added to the space during restaurant opening hours to allow for approximately 20 patrons.

The current operating hours of Nextdoor Restaurant are:

- Wednesday and Thursday: 3:00pm – 10:00pm
- Friday: 12:00pm – 11:30pm
- Saturday: 12:00pm – 11:30pm
- Sunday: 12:00pm – 6:00pm

The operating hours of the business may be subject to change should business patronage increase over the course of the parklet trial. The parklet will still be made publicly available outside of these operating hours.

All costs associated with the design, build, maintenance and approvals for a parklet are the responsibility of the applicant. A copy of the parklet plans is contained as **Attachment (a)**.

## Comment

### Policy P402 – Alfresco Dining

Whilst Council does have an existing Policy relating to Alfresco Dining (P402), it does not relate specifically to ‘parklets’. As such, Council is required to consider the application on its merits and have regard to the requirements of the Public Places and Local Government Local Law 2011 (Local Law). The City is currently in the process of reviewing P402 to provide a framework to guide the assessment of parklets, which is also an action contained within the Economic Development Plan.

### Economic Development Plan

The City’s Economic Development Plan (EDP) aims to encourage uses that improve the night-time economy and promote streetscape and public realm improvements for activation.

The proposed parklet is consistent with the envisaged outcomes of the EDP and will support one of the existing (but currently limited) businesses which provide nighttime activation in the area. The proposed parklet is considered to be of a high quality design and including seating for patrons, and will therefore positively contribute to activating the Angelo Street Neighbourhood Centre. The parklet provides an open and engaging space for people to linger within the street environment.

10.2.1 Proposed Parklet (Nextdoor and Olsen Butchers) - Angelo Street Road Reserve (abutting No.79 Angelo Street, South Perth)

Car Parking

The parklet is proposed to occupy two on-street car bays on Angelo Street. The City acknowledges that loss of parking was highlighted as a key issue from community feedback. Should Council approve the parklet, as part of the 12-month licence period, the City will monitor the parking in the immediate area throughout the licence approval period to determine whether renewal of the licence is appropriate. The monitoring of the parking may also influence future changes to parking restrictions in the locality to provide a higher turnover bays, if required.

Design

The City has reviewed the proposal and confirmed that the location of the parklet provides suitable clearance for traffic (including buses) along Angelo Street and for neighbouring on-street parking bays. The parklet has been designed to provide for future lengthening of the adjoining ACROD bay by 1.1m by the City for compliance with the *Disability Discrimination Act 1992*. The parklet is also designed to be flush with the kerb to provide for universal accessibility.

Conditions requiring the applicant to maintain the space and to ensure no damage occurs to the City's infrastructure are recommended, to ensure there are no additional costs to the City as a result of the parklet. Further, a condition is recommended that ensures applicant is responsible for the cost of removing the structure should the licence not be extended.

Duration of Licence

The Chief Executive Officer has the power to issue a licence pursuant to Clause 8.2 (1) of the Local Law. Given a policy has not yet been developed, the significant public interest in the proposal and the parklet being the first of its kind in the City, the matter has been referred to Council for decision.

Licences under the Local Law are only valid for one year from the date on which it is issued, unless otherwise stated in the local law or in the licence, or if the permit is suspended or cancelled by the local government.

It is recommended that the licence be issued for a 12 month period only. Renewal of the licence would be subject to evaluation of the impact and benefit of the parklet and subsequent guidance via an updated Policy.

**Consultation**

The City advertised the proposal for a period of 14 days from 9 November to 23 November 2023. The City sent letters to 158 owners, occupiers, owner occupiers and local business tenants within a 100m radius of the site (including all businesses along Angelo Street outside of the catchment area) requesting feedback on the proposal.

A webpage was hosted on Your Say South Perth (YSSP) which included an overview of the proposal, parklet concept plans, applicant cover letter and FAQs. The YSSP page outlined a number of ways in which a submission could be made to the City, including an online feedback form, by email, or by mail. Hard copy feedback forms were also available at the City's Administration building or on request.

Details of the consultation were also made available on the City's website and one article was included in the Peninsula Snapshot e-Newsletter, sent to 13,405 subscribers.

10.2.1 Proposed Parklet (Nextdoor and Olsen Butchers) - Angelo Street Road Reserve (abutting No.79 Angelo Street, South Perth)

The feedback form included three questions, being:

1. The extent of support for the proposal, including five options being strongly support, support, neutral, oppose, strongly oppose;
2. Reasons as to the response to question 1; and
3. Any other feedback on the proposal.

A total of 120 submissions were received, with 77 submissions (64.2%) in support of the proposal and 43 submissions (35.8%) opposed to the proposal, as detailed in the table below. It should also be noted that a petition with 126 verified signatures was also received on Tuesday 6 December 2023.

| Response                | No.        | % Total Support vs Opposed |
|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Strongly support</b> | 71         | 64.2%                      |
| <b>Support</b>          | 6          |                            |
| <b>Neutral</b>          | 0          | 0                          |
| <b>Oppose</b>           | 14         | 35.8%                      |
| <b>Strongly oppose</b>  | 29         |                            |
| <b>Total</b>            | <b>120</b> | <b>100.0</b>               |

A total of 100 submissions (83.3%) were received from residents, owners or businesses within the City. A total of 20 submissions (16.7%) were received from outside the City.

The table below provides a breakdown of the extent of total support and oppose by suburb.

| Suburb                   | Support   | Oppose    | Total      |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Como                     | 10        | 6         | 16         |
| Kensington               | 4         | 3         | 7          |
| Manning                  | 1         | 0         | 1          |
| Salter Point             | 3         | 0         | 3          |
| South Perth              | 40        | 31        | 71         |
| Waterford                | 1         | 1         | 2          |
| <b>Total within City</b> | <b>59</b> | <b>41</b> | <b>100</b> |
| Other                    | 18        | 2         | 20         |
| <b>TOTAL</b>             | <b>77</b> | <b>43</b> | <b>120</b> |

10.2.1 Proposed Parklet (Nextdoor and Olsen Butchers) - Angelo Street Road Reserve (abutting No.79 Angelo Street, South Perth)

The Schedule of Submissions is contained in **Attachment (b)**, with general matters and the City's comments provided below:

| Matter  | Comment  |
|---|--|
| Lack of parking/Request for monitoring of parking in Angelo Street    | <p>The parklet is proposed to occupy two of the existing 24 on-street public parking bays on Angelo Street.</p> <p>Should Council approve the licence, the City will monitor the parking in the immediate area throughout the licence approval period to determine whether renewal of the licence is appropriate.</p>  |
| Impact on ACROD BAY   | <p>The parklet has been designed to provide for future lengthening of the adjoining ACROD bay by 1.1m by the City to ensure compliance with the <i>Disability Discrimination Act</i> 1992.</p>   |
| Negative financial impact to other businesses                         | <p>Should Council approve the proposal, it is recommended the licence be approved for a 12 month period. Should the applicant seek a renewal of the licence, the City would readvertise the proposal and could consider feedback from businesses on the economic impact of the parklet during the 12 month period.</p>   |
| Safety concerns from cars   | <p>The parklet is proposed to be set 300mm in from the existing parallel parking bay line to provide for sufficient clearance from passing traffic, including buses. The parklet features an enclosed design with a 900mm steel clad wall perimeter. Barriers will be placed either side of the parklet (wheel stops, reflective bollards or similar (e.g. planter boxes) to provide a buffer between the outer edge of adjacent parking spaces and the edge of the structure.</p> |
| Impact to passing traffic   | <p>Angelo Street is a low-speed environment with on-street parking on both sides of the road. This parklet would be positioned within two existing parking bays, making the impact of the parklet on the road environment similar to that of a parked car. The parklet is proposed to be set 300mm in from the existing parallel parking bay line to provide for sufficient separation from passing traffic, including buses.</p>  |
| Precedent for other businesses to use public parking for private use. | <p>The City is currently reviewing P402 to guide the assessment of future parklet proposals across the City. The policy will include considerations such as locational criteria, parking, road network requirements (such as traffic speed, clearance from intersections and safety), and design requirements.</p>   |
| Concern for antisocial behaviour outside of business hours            | <p>The ongoing management and maintenance of the parklet will be the responsibility of the applicant as part of the conditions of a licence. The City considers the parklet will provide greater opportunities for surveillance of Angelo</p>  |

|   |   |
|---|---|
|   | Street as patrons would occupy the space for the evening hours of the restaurant. Any reports of anti-social behaviour should be directed to the Western Australian Police.   |
| Pedestrian access   | The business has an alfresco dining licence from the City for the seating in front of the tenancy. There is sufficient clearance on the footpath for pedestrians between the seating and the proposed parklet.  |
| Inappropriate consultation  | The City sent letters to 158 owners, occupiers, owner occupiers and local business tenants within a 100m radius of the site (and all businesses along Angelo Street outside of the catchment area) as part of the consultation. Details of the consultation were also made available on the City's website, Your Say South Perth page and one article in the Peninsula Snapshot e-Newsletter, sent to 13,405 subscribers.   |
| Public alcohol consumption  | Nextdoor Restaurant has an existing alfresco dining licence and liquor licence for the seating in front of the tenancy which provides for consumption of alcohol. The applicant would be required to seek an extension to the licenced area to serve alcohol within the parklet. A separate application for a liquor license will be required from the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (Racing, Gaming and Liquor) in this respect. |
| Vibrancy and enhancement of the area<br>Support other small businesses in the area<br>Support for evening venues in Angelo Street.<br>Support for use of the parklet for the community outside of business hours. | The City considers the parklet could bring a range of benefits to the area, including promoting vibrancy and night time economy in the Angelo Street Neighbourhood Centre, enhanced walkability, support for local businesses in the area and will encourage pedestrian activity and foster community interaction.  |

In respect to the petition received, the content of the petition has been considered and does not amend the officer's recommendation.

### Policy and Legislative Implications

*Local Government Act 1995*

City of South Perth Public Places and Local Government Property Local Law 2011

City of South Perth Economic Development Plan



### Financial Implications

There is currently no fee prescribed for parklets. The 2023/24 adopted budget provides fees for new alfresco dining licences (\$172.00) and fees per seat (\$69.00). It is recommended that these fees be waived during the 12-month licence period of the parklet, with the City considering an appropriate fee structure (if any) when reviewing P402.

### Conclusion

The proposed parklet is consistent with the EDP and will be used by Nextdoor Restaurant and Olsen Budgets to enhance the dining experience and create interest and activation of a space. The proposed location of the parklet is not considered to cause obstructions to vehicle sight lines or safety to pedestrians. It is therefore recommended that Council authorise the Chief Executive Officer to conditionally issue the licence for a 12-month period.

### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Appropriate conditions imposed on the licence to indemnify the City of ongoing management, maintenance and public liability for the structure. Conditions will be placed which provide for removal of the structure at the conclusion of the licence at the applicant's cost.   |

### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| Strategic Direction: | Economy   |
| Aspiration:          | A thriving City activated by attractions and opportunities that encourage investment and economic development |
| Outcome:             | 2.1 Local Business  |
| Strategy:            | 2.1.3 Encourage and support local business and employment   |

### Attachments

- 10.2.1 (a): Parklet Concept Plans
- 10.2.1 (b): Schedule of Submissions
- 10.2.1 (c): Applicant cover letter

### 10.3 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 3: ENVIRONMENT (BUILT AND NATURAL)

*Councillors Hayley Prendiville, Bronwyn Waugh and Mary Choy declared an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.1.*

#### 10.3.1 Sport Spaces Investigation/Feasibility Study - Sir James Mitchell Park, South Perth (Ellam Street End)

File Ref: D-23-57184  
Author(s): Donna Shaw, Director Development and Community Services  
Patrick Quigley, Manager Community, Culture and Recreation  
Reporting Officer(s): Mike Bradford, Chief Executive Officer

##### Summary

The purpose of this report is to consider a budget allocation for a feasibility study to investigate the use and development of a portion of Sir James Mitchell Park (Ellam Street end) for shared sport spaces. In summary:

- Wesley College is prepared to make a financial contribution of up to \$1.5 million towards development of the sports spaces at the site, including a 50% financial contribution towards the proposed Feasibility Study;
- Development of the site for sports spaces will provide for use by Wesley College and the public; and
- Development for sports spaces at this site is consistent with the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan.

##### COUNCIL DECISION

1223/210

**Moved:** Councillor Glenn Cridland

**Seconded:** Mayor Greg Milner

That Council approves an allocation of \$50,000 in the 2023/24 Budget (Mid-Year Budget Review) as the City's financial contribution towards a Sir James Mitchell Park (Ellam Street end) Sports Spaces Feasibility Study.

##### Reasons for Change

The amendment provides for full (rather than 50%) funding by the City of the feasibility study (to identify the feasibility of the use of the site as a location again for active recreation / sporting uses).

The full funding of the study by the City of the feasibility of use of the site is appropriate given -

- (a) the location is the City's freehold foreshore land, and
- (b) no decisions have been made by the City or Council on the identity(ies) of future users of any such potential future recreation facilities and how any such facilities might be funded.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

#### **Officer Recommendation**

That Council approves an allocation of \$25,000 in the 2023/24 Budget (Mid-Year Budget Review) as the City's 50% financial contribution towards a Sir James Mitchell Park (Ellam Street end) Sports Spaces Feasibility Study.

#### **Background**

Since 2012, there have been various discussions held between the City and Wesley College to jointly upgrade a portion of Sir James Mitchell Park abutting Ellam Street, South Perth (the site) for the use of shared sport spaces given the proximity of the site to the school.

These investigations have identified matters related to geotechnical and civil design, traffic planning, turf and irrigation requirements, as well as the need for consultation with the community and relevant State government agencies.

Preliminary discussions recognised that the area would need to be made available to other groups at various times, and that whilst the school would have priority use should a contribution be made, it would not have sole use of the area.

Whilst the concept was presented to Council at a workshop in April 2014, the matter has not progressed further as the City sought a wholistic approach to the use and management of the Derbarl Yerrigan (Swan River) foreshore, by way of the South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan (endorsed by Council in May 2015). The City then developed the Community Recreation Facilities Plan (2019) to provide an asset management framework to guide the planning, construction, maintenance, and operation of community recreation infrastructure, including sport spaces.

In light of increasing demand for sport spaces, the City seeks to investigate progressing this matter with Wesley College through a Feasibility Study.

#### **Comment**

##### Feasibility Study

The Feasibility Study for developing a portion of Sir James Mitchell Park abutting Ellam Street, South Perth, for the purposes of sport spaces, would include investigating and analysis of all relevant site considerations (including but not limited to) geotechnical, environmental, operational, financial, community and stakeholder engagement etc.

##### Tenure, Use and Condition

The portion of Sir James Mitchell Park considered for the purposes of this report abuts Ellam Street to the east, Lamb Street to the southeast, existing residential properties to the south and west and the Swan River to the north.

The site is currently predominantly an expansive area of lawn, with a pedestrian footpath to the southeast, cycle path running through a portion of the site towards the northern boundary, a car parking area to the northeast and car parking bays along Ellam Street on the border with the Town of Victoria Park.

The site is owned freehold by the City and is comprised of Lot 51 on Deposited Plan 9634 (measuring approximately 56,851m<sup>2</sup>) and Lot 52 on Deposited Plan 9633 (measuring approximately 52,576m<sup>2</sup>).

Sir James Mitchell Park is a regional reserve that is set aside for 'Parks and Recreation' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and City's Local Planning Scheme. The site is currently used for informal recreational pursuits, such as jogging, walking, dog walking (including as an off-leash exercise area), community events and corporate events. The adjacent shared-use path is used by cyclists, scooters and pedestrians. Some sections of the site are subject to flooding and inundation during the winter months, and as such, turf and drainage works would be required to make the site suitable for sport spaces.

#### Demand for Sport Spaces

The Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries, in conjunction with the Curtin Centre of Sport and Recreation Research, prepared the 'Emerging Constraints for Public Open Space in Perth Metropolitan Suburbs (2011)' and 'Active Open Space (playing fields) in a growing Perth-Peel (2013)' summary reports.

These reports indicated that by 2031, there will be an approximate shortfall in public open space throughout Perth of 495ha. The Curtin Centre of Sport and Recreation Research Guidelines recommend at least 6.5m<sup>2</sup> of sport spaces per resident should be set aside for infill development areas, such as within the City of South Perth.

The City has approximately 40.2ha of public open space, including 21.8ha of sport spaces. This equates to approximately 9.2m<sup>2</sup> of green space per resident, but only 5m<sup>2</sup> of playable sport spaces per resident, which is below the recommended sport spaces per resident.

As such, there is a demonstrated need for the City to investigate where future areas for sport spaces can be accommodated within the district to meet demand (e.g. via development of public open space for this purpose and consolidation of existing community recreation facilities to make more sport spaces available etc).

#### Community Recreation Facilities Plan 2019-2033 (CRFP)

There are nine sport spaces within the City, namely: Ernest Johnson Reserve, George Burnett Reserve, Challenger Reserve, James Miller Reserve, Richardson Park, Bill Grayden Reserve, Collier Reserve, Comer Reserve, Morris Mundy Reserve.

In addition to determining the detailed demand for sports spaces, the City is currently reviewing the CRFP to determine community infrastructure that would be required to support sporting and community groups, as well as further opportunities for shared spaces.

Any development of the Ellam Street site for sports spaces would need to be supported by essential community recreation infrastructure, such as: publicly accessible changerooms, public toilets and sports lighting. These infrastructure components could be staged over time and, in addition to Wesley College's financial contribution, would likely attract external funding.

The proposal is consistent with existing recommendations contained within the CRFP, which include shared-use sporting facilities to be explored to develop flexible, sustainable and viable facilities, and for the provision of additional sport spaces, such as cricket pitches. Should Council support the Feasibility Study, recommendations can be incorporated into the review of the CRFP.

Public Toilets

There are no public toilets currently located at the Ellam Street end of Sir James Mitchell Park. The City’s nearest public toilets are located approximately 600m away in a westerly direction adjacent to the Hurlingham Car Park. These public toilets have a poor building condition rating as the toilets are at the end of their useable life. The Public Toilet Plan recommends these public toilets are demolished and replaced within the next five years. Furthermore, the Public Toilet Plan aims to provide toilets that are accessible and inclusive for all and recommends incorporating public toilets within sports changeroom facilities where possible. Additionally, there are other public toilets located approximately 600m away in an eastly direction on Taylor Reserve, owned and managed by the Town of Victoria Park. These toilets were refurbished by the Town in 2018/19.

Sports Lighting

The City has a Sports Lighting Plan that provides operational guidance about the provision of sports lighting within local sports spaces. Sports lighting provides many benefits including:

- Community Participation - increases opportunities for community participation in sport and recreation, including by specific target groups, such as children and females.
- Community Safety - enhances community safety by optimizing lighting and activation of public places and spaces.
- Health and Wellbeing - enhances overall community health and wellbeing through optimising physical activity.
- Social Connectivity – facilitates opportunities for sport and recreation activities for thousands of people per annum to create a more connected community.

South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan (SPFSMP)

The SPFSMP seeks to articulate the vision for the foreshore, as well as providing guidance for the competing demands for use, development and management of various nodes along the foreshore. The Plan establishes ‘nodes’ for sections of the foreshore to which various considerations, strategy and actions have been identified.

The subject site is identified as Foreshore Node N10 – Ellam Street, with the recommended strategies being to develop the node as a high quality junior sport, events, and recreation area with improved access and connectivity to the greater Riverpark and the surrounding residential streets; improved interaction with the water’s edge; and the introduction of food and beverage outlets.

Relevant considerations include limited road access, poor lighting and shade, as well as ground inundation and drainage issues. The strategy also notes that the site is not largely utilised given it is subject to inundation throughout the year.

Actions contained with the Plan and associated comments are provided below:

| Action   | Comment  |
|--|--|
| Liaise with City of Perth regarding the Heirisson Island Masterplan proposal and Perth Waters planning, and possible integration with it regarding a pedestrian/ cycle link. | Approximately 800m to the north-east of the site, the State Government is currently constructing the Causeway Pedestrian and Cyclist Bridges within the Town of Victoria Park. |

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | Should the site be progressed, a review of the location of cycling and pedestrian infrastructure in light of future playing field locations and upgraded path networks to the bridge would be considered.  |
| Geological and hydrological investigation and planning for effective subsoil drainage to allow area to be modified as suitable for winter use.   | Given the land is subject to inundation, geological and hydrological investigations would need to be undertaken to determine any required fill and subsoil drainage needed to accommodate sports spaces.   |
| Investigate the demand and opportunities for junior sport; and the provision of fitness facilities and infrastructure and incorporate into the Node Plan.  | As previously advised, there is significant demand for district level open space to accommodate sports spaces.<br><br>Should the investigation progress, associated facilities such as changerooms/ public toilet, as well as signage, shade, cycling and pedestrian infrastructure and floodlighting would also need to be considered.  |
| Engage the local community and relevant stakeholders to define “appropriate” events for this space, taking into account the ‘whole of foreshore 3’ (increasing activation) recommendations for activation of the foreshore; as well as the provision of food and beverage providers/ outlets (whether permanent or temporary). | The ‘whole of foreshore 3’ activations (i.e. good design, appropriate management, community activation and partnering’ would be regarded in any subsequent investigation and design.<br><br>Whilst food and beverage providers could be considered, the purpose of the investigation is to consider the use of the site for active playing fields as the predominant use.<br><br>It is not intended for this area to be developed to primarily accommodate food and beverage providers/ outlets, given these uses are already accommodated in other Nodes. |
| Develop a Node Plan to consider the above, along with beaches development and provision for water based activities such as rowing; and development of a picnic and passive recreation space near the foreshore; and food and beverage providers/ outlets.  | A detailed plan would be prepared for the development of the area should the proposal progress.<br><br>Passive recreational spaces to support sports spaces would be considered as part of the investigation.  |

Proposed Sports

Wesley College have indicated the space would be used for football, soccer and if possible, cricket. In relation to the demand for these sports within the City, the following comments are provided:

| Sport   | Comment   |
|---------|---|
| Cricket | <p>Junior cricket is increasing demand within the City, with the South Perth Cricket Club currently using every available school oval within the district, as well as ovals within the City of Canning to host home games.</p> <p>The South Perth Cricket Club currently has 227 members, and the South Perth Junior Cricket Club currently has 453 members.</p> <p>Richardson Oval is currently used for seniors with turf cricket wickets, which are not utilised by juniors. Training and games are independent to the junior cricket club.</p> <p>Sport and recreation industry guidelines recommends the provision of one cricket pitch for every 6,000 people within a community (1:6,000 ratio). As the City has an estimated population of approximately 45,000 people, the City requires seven cricket pitches to meet industry guidelines. The City currently only has five cricket pitches, resulting in an under supply of cricket facilities.</p> <p>As at 2022, cricket was one of the most popular sports in terms of participation in Western Australia i.e. cricket was ranked 10<sup>th</sup> for participation involving adults; and 8<sup>th</sup> for participation involving children (including being ranked 5<sup>th</sup> for boys).</p> |
| AFL     | <p>There are sufficient AFL fields within the City. The City is aware that AFL 9s (a fast, free-flowing version of the game that involves nine players on each team playing on a smaller field) are seeking space, however, this has not been identified as a priority for the City.</p> <p>The South Perth Junior Football Club currently has 650 members.</p>   |
| Soccer  | <p>There is an undersupply of rectangular sports fields within the City so the site may be suitable to accommodate for additional soccer pitches. South Perth United Soccer Club currently has 190 members, with the sport expected to experience an increase in participation rates due to the recent publicity and popularity of the Women's Soccer World Cup Tournament.</p>   |

In respect to use by Wesley College, the City could grant a licence for the site, which would provide for use by Wesley College during prescribed days/ times and the public/ other sporting groups when not in use by Wesley College. Wesley College has indicated use would be required during the following days/ times (during school terms):

- Monday to Wednesdays between 3.30pm and 5.30pm
- Thursdays between 1:00pm and 5:30pm
- Fridays between 1:00pm and 5:00pm
- Saturdays between 8:30am and 5:00pm during school Terms 1 and 4; and
- Saturdays between 8:30am and 1:00pm during school Terms 2 and 3.

## Consultation

### Wesley College

The City met with Wesley College on 17 October 2023 to discuss whether the College was interested in jointly progressing the development of sports spaces at this location with the City. Matters considered included types of sports required, incidental facilities, anticipated usage of the space and financial contributions.

Wesley College confirmed:

- It is willing to make a financial contribution of up to \$1.5 million towards development of the sports spaces, including a 50% financial contribution towards the proposed Feasibility Study; and
- It did not seek exclusive use of the space, and that changerooms, public toilets and sports lighting would be required to support sports at this location.

A copy of the letter of support from Wesley College is contained as **Attachment (a)**.

### Future Consultation

Should Council endorse the recommendation to proceed to investigating the use of the site for sport spaces, the City would commence more detailed investigations. Any future preferred use and development of the site would be subject to community and stakeholder engagement, in addition to relevant State Government agencies such as the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions given the site is within the Swan River Development Control Area.

## Policy and Legislative Implications

The following policies are relevant to this report:

- P106 Use of City Reserves and Facilities
- P609 Management of City Property

## Financial Implications

The estimated project cost to undertake a feasibility study is \$50,000.

No budget allocation was provided in the 2023/24 Budget for this proposal and as such, an allocation of 50% of the feasibility study cost would be required at the upcoming mid-year budget review to facilitate the project.

The remaining 50% would be met by Wesley College, who have provided 'in principle' support of a financial contribution of up to \$1.5 million towards a feasibility study and land development costs for the sports spaces proposal.

The ultimate development costs for sports spaces are unknown until such time the feasibility study is complete. Council will be required to consider a budget allocation for this purpose upon completion of the feasibility study.



10.3.1 Sport Spaces Investigation/Feasibility Study - Sir James Mitchell Park, South Perth (Ellam Street End)

In relation to external funding, the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries facilitates two relevant funding programs:

1. *Community, Sport and Recreation Facilities Fund (CSRFF)* – the CSRFF provides financial support of up to one-third of costs for eligible projects, which may include development of sports spaces and changerooms (and can incorporate public toilets).
2. *Club Night Lights Program (CNLP)* – the CNLP is facilitated to provide financial support for the development of sustainable floodlighting infrastructure for sport; and generally, involves grants of up to one-third of the project cost.

**Key Risks and Considerations**

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Reputational Damage</b><br>Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media. |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | The City will liaise with the relevant stakeholders.  |

**Strategic Implications**

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council’s [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

- Strategic Direction: Environment (Built and Natural)
- Aspiration: Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment
- Outcome: 3.3 Enhanced environment and open spaces
- Strategy: 3.3.3 Improve the amenity value and sustainable uses of our streetscapes, public open spaces and foreshores

**Attachments**

- 10.3.1 (a): Letter of Support - Wesley College

### 10.3.2 South Perth Activity Centre Plan - Community Benefit Contribution Framework Annual Review

File Ref: D-23-57187  
Author(s): Jessica Birbeck, Principal Strategic Planner  
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Director Development and Community Services

#### Summary

The purpose of this report is for Council to note the annual review of the South Perth Activity Centre Plan - Community Benefit Contribution Framework.

#### Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/211

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council note the annual review of the South Perth Activity Centre Plan - Community Benefit Contribution Framework.

**CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

#### Background

The South Perth Activity Centre Plan (ACP) was endorsed by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) in December 2021. The ACP includes a Community Benefit Contribution Framework which, along with development requirements contained within Town Planning Scheme No. 6 (TPS 6), make provision for a monetary or in-kind contribution to be made to the City to enable additional building height and/or plot ratio above prescribed primary limits to be approved.

Where a community benefit contribution is to be paid to the City in accordance with TPS 6 the amount payable shall be in accordance with whichever of the following formula that yields the greatest contribution amount:

- (a)  $(3\% \times \text{total contract sum}) \times (\text{number of storeys above the Primary standard} \div \text{total number of storeys});$  or
- (b)  $(3\% \times \text{total contract sum}) \times (\text{m}^2 \text{ of plot ratio area above the Primary standard} \div \text{m}^2 \text{ of total plot ratio area}).$

TPS 6 also requires the City to publish an annual statement in accordance with the ACP, that provides information about expenditure of the community benefit contribution.

As part of the monitoring and review of the ACP, an annual review of the Community Benefit Contribution Project List is also recommended to be undertaken to ensure that the document is current, and all listed projects are accurately valued.

The review is to identify projects that have been completed and should be removed from the Project List; and projects that have been partially completed and therefore need to be updated in the Project List.

It is noted that the ACP provides for a more comprehensive review of the entire Community Benefit Contribution Framework to occur on a five yearly basis.

### Comment

Since approval of the ACP in December 2021, no community benefit contributions have been received by the City. As such, no expenditure is reportable and amendments to the Community Benefit Contribution project list are not required as part of the annual review.

In relation to project valuations, the purpose of the estimated project costs is to ensure that a range of costed projects are included in the list and to assist with prioritisation for delivery once funds are received. There are no changes proposed to the scope of any projects in the project list. Therefore, review of the estimated costs is not considered necessary as part of the annual review.

It is noted that current market conditions have resulted in construction cost escalations. As part of the evaluation process to select projects for delivery (once community contribution funds are received), a more detailed valuation would be undertaken by the City. This would feed into the 'value for money' assessment undertaken in accordance with the Community Benefit Contribution Framework.

### Policy and Legislative Implications

Nil.

### Financial Implications

As noted in the report, as part of the evaluation process to select a project for delivery (once community contribution funds are received), a detailed project valuation would be undertaken. This would address current market conditions as part of detailed planning for the delivery of the infrastructure project.

### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Undertake annual review of the South Perth Activity Centre Plan Community Benefit Contribution Framework.   |

### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Environment (Built and Natural)  |
| Aspiration:          | Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment |
| Outcome:             | 3.2 Sustainable built form   |
| Strategy:            | 3.2.1 Develop and implement a sustainable local planning framework to meet current and future community needs        |

### Attachments

Nil.

*Councillor Jennifer Nevard declared an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.3.3.*

*Councillor Blake D'Souza left the meeting at 7.55pm and returned at 7.59pm during consideration of Item 10.3.3.*

### **10.3.3 Proposed Use Not Listed (Short Term Accommodation) - Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace, Kensington**

Location: Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace  
Ward: Moresby Ward  
Applicant: Shylet Y Maphosa  
File Reference: D-23-57188  
DA Lodgement Date: 3 July 2023  
Author(s): Kevin Tang, Urban Planner  
Reporting Officer(s): Donna Shaw, Director Development and Community Services

#### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to consider an application for development approval for a Use Not Listed (Short-Term Accommodation) at Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace, Kensington.

This item is referred to Council as the proposed land use is a 'Use Not Listed' under Town Planning Scheme No. 6 and therefore falls outside of the delegation to the officers.

For reasons outlined in this report, it is recommended that the application be approved.

**Moved:** Councillor Bronwyn Waugh

**Seconded:** Councillor Nic Coveney

That pursuant to the provisions of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, the application for development approval for a Use Not Listed (Short-Term Accommodation) on Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace, Kensington, be refused for the following reasons:

1. The proposed short term rental accommodation is situated adjacent low-medium density residential dwellings and will adversely impact the residential amenity of adjacent owners and occupiers associated with noise disturbance from guest activity and is not consistent with the object of the zone.
2. The proposed short term rental accommodation is an introduction of a commercial land use in an area that is surrounded by premises used for permanent residential purposes.
3. The proposed use does not identify how carparking and safe ingress and egress will be dealt with.
4. The management plan is not able to ensure the behaviours of guest and visitors will not have an ongoing impact on the amenity of existing residents given the context of the subject site, that will have a consequential impact on the residential use by surrounding residents.
5. The application is inconsistent with the requirements of orderly and proper planning.

**COUNCIL DECISION****1223/212****Moved:** Mayor Greg Milner**Seconded:** Councillor Blake D'Souza

In accordance with Clause 8.10 of the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007 Councillor Bronwyn Waugh be granted an additional five minutes to speak.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.**COUNCIL DECISION****1223/213****Moved:** Councillor Bronwyn Waugh**Seconded:** Councillor Nic Coveney

That pursuant to the provisions of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, the application for development approval for a Use Not Listed (Short-Term Accommodation) on Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace, Kensington, be refused for the following reasons:

1. The proposed short term rental accommodation is situated adjacent low-medium density residential dwellings and will adversely impact the residential amenity of adjacent owners and occupiers associated with noise disturbance from guest activity and is not consistent with the object of the zone.
2. The proposed short term rental accommodation is an introduction of a commercial land use in an area that is surrounded by premises used for permanent residential purposes.
3. The proposed use does not identify how carparking and safe ingress and egress will be dealt with.
4. The management plan is not able to ensure the behaviours of guest and visitors will not have an ongoing impact on the amenity of existing residents given the context of the subject site, that will have a consequential impact on the residential use by surrounding residents.
5. The application is inconsistent with the requirements of orderly and proper planning.

**Reasons for Change****Reason 1 Inconsistency with LPP 3.50.18**

The use is inconsistent with LPP 350.18 to which the Council must have due regard. It is inconsistent in the following ways:

1. the location is not located within a 400 m walking distance of a site of tourism significance or an Activity Centre;

2. the Site does not provide the number of carparking bays required by the Policy;
3. the Policy does not provide a communal area beyond that ordinarily expected of a residence, including kitchen, lounge and dining room; and
4. the scale of the development is for the use on the whole of the lot. It is not commensurate with the surrounding locality given the surrounding locality is all residential.

Reason 2 - Inconsistent with the WAPC policy statement: "Planning for tourism and short-term rental Accommodation"

The proposed development is inconsistent with the WAPC policy statement: "*Planning for Tourism and short-term rental accommodation*" because it does not provide access to areas of social, cultural and leisure attractions our tourism.

Reason 3 - use not listed – inconsistent with the objects of zone

The use is not considered to be listed in the zone. This requires careful consideration for the objects of the zone, TPS 6 expressly set out the objects as follows:

- Maintain the City's predominately residential character and amenity;
- Establish a community identity and "sense of community" both at a City-wide and precinct level and to encourage more community consultation in the decision making process.
- Ensure community aspirations and concerns are addressed through Scheme controls;
- Safeguard and enhance the amenity of residential areas and ensure that new development in in harmony with the character and scale of existing residential development;
- Protect residential areas from the encourage of inappropriate uses

The proposed use undermines these expressly stated purpose that is to be applied by Council in considering whether to support the use in the zone.

Due Regard to LPS 7

Clause 67(2)(b) of the deemed provisions requires LPS 7 also to be considered this expressly sets out:

*"To provide for a range of housing and a choice of residential densities to meet the needs of the community"* and also

*"To provide for a range of non-residential uses, which are compatible with and complementary to residential development"*

Short-term accommodation does not contribute to the needs of the community or provide residential development complimentary to residential development.

The development is inconsistent with the objects of the zone and detracts from the residential character of the locality.

Reason 4 – Amenity

The proposed development negatively impacts on the residential character and undermines the amenity of the locality as follows:

1. the proposed development is inconsistent with the character of the locality with detrimental social impacts for residential purposes that the zone is intended to maintain and enhance.
2. the residential zone is just that, for residents. It introduces a quasi-commercial use into a residential zone, having the effect of diminishing the character of the area, by introducing transient visitors looking for cheaper and / or less regulated accommodation than the current regulated and approved businesses offering accommodation;
3. introduces a use with increased activity such as cars arriving and leaving at different times that will be difficult to regulate, with noise from cars, doors slamming, and vehicle parking on a street where there is limited available parking; and
4. impacts the residential amenity by creating other disturbance in the zone cause by light sweep from vehicles leaving at different times, increased opportunity for litter by persons not connected to the locality, removes the feeling of community safety by introducing unknown people coming and going at various hours of the day and night.

Reason 5 – Car parking and street safety

The area does not have sufficient parking for residents. Additionally the area can be difficult to navigate especially for persons who do not know the area creating a safety concern.

1. Banksia Terrace currently has parking permitted on only one side of the street. In this regard, it is already under catered for in terms of parking and all parking is ordinarily occupied by existing residents or their visitors.
2. The proposed development is located on a narrow laneway that effectively provides a single lane of access for vehicles creating a safety issue for vehicles coming and going that are not familiar with the locality; and
3. The vehicle parking management plan is insufficient to address the likely issues that may arise for ‘unhosted’ accommodation intended to provide for 6 or more guests. For example, there is no stated mechanism for how the parking will be monitored, or where and how the proposed ‘overflow parking’ is intended to be provided.

**CARRIED (5/4).**

**For:** Councillors Nic Coveney, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy and Glenn Cridland.



**Officer Recommendation**

That pursuant to the provisions of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, the application for development approval for a Use Not Listed (Short-Term Accommodation) on Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace, Kensington, **be approved** subject to the following conditions:

1. A maximum of six occupants are permitted on site at any one time.
2. The use must be undertaken in accordance with the submitted management plan dated 17 November 2023 at all times, to the satisfaction of the City of South Perth.

**Note:** The City will include relevant advice notes in the determination notice.

|                          |                     |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Applicant Details</b> | Ms Shylet Y Maphosa |
| <b>Landowner</b>         | Ms Shylet Y Maphosa |

**Development Site Details**

The development site details are as follows:

|                              |                   |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Zoning</b>                | Residential       |
| <b>Density coding</b>        | R80               |
| <b>Lot area</b>              | 282m <sup>2</sup> |
| <b>Building height limit</b> | 14.0 m            |

**Comment****(a) Background**

In July 2023, the City received an application for a change of use from a Single House to Use Not Listed (Short-Term Accommodation) at Lot 1, Unit 1/31 Banksia Terrace, Kensington.

A Request for Further Information was issued to the applicant due to insufficient information being submitted with the initial application. The requested building plans and a management plan were received in August 2023.

**(b) Description of the Surrounding Locality**

The existing development on the site are two (two storey) grouped dwellings. The proposal is contained within the front dwelling as depicted in the site photographs at **Attachment (a)**.

The site is located on the corner of Banksia Terrace and Flax Lane, in close proximity to Canning Highway, and is surrounded by a mixture of single house and grouped dwelling developments to the west, north and east as seen in **Figure 1** below:



**Figure 1:** Aerial image of the subject site

**(c) Description of the Proposal**

The applicant is seeking approval for the grouped dwelling to be used for the purpose of Short-Term Accommodation. The applicant has submitted a management plan in support of the proposal. Details of the proposal are as follows:

- The accommodation will be used by a maximum of six people. The applicant is proposing the use of a smart device to monitor the number of guests to ensure it does not exceed the limit. It is noted that the device monitors guests by counting the number of IOS mobile devices only.
- No unauthorised guests are permitted on the property at any time without written approval from the host.
- The standard check-in time is from 3.00pm to 10.00pm and check-out time from 7.00am to 11.00am.
- The property would be equipped with a noise monitoring device that will notify the owner and guests through an SMS and call alert if the noise level exceeds acceptable levels.
- The landowner will provide contact details to the adjoining neighbours for complaints.
- Quiet hours will be established from 10.00pm to 7.00am.
- Parties or events are not permitted.
- With the exception of service animals, no pets are permitted.
- Two vehicle parking bays are available.

The building plans and management plan are contained in **Attachment (b)**. The proposal has been assessed against relevant Scheme and policy provisions and the following elements require discretionary assessment and are further discussed below:

- Land Use
- Short-Term Accommodation Policy

**(d) Land Use**

The proposed use for the site as Short-Term Accommodation is not considered to meet the definition of any land use listed under the City's Town Planning Scheme No. 6 (TPS6). In accordance with clause 3.3 (7) of TPS6, a use not listed in Table 1 which cannot reasonably be determined as being included in the general terms of any of the uses defined in Schedule 1 may only be approved if notice of the development is first given through advertising the application.

It is noted that a 'use not listed' is considered a 'complex application' for the purposes of Schedule 2 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 (the Regulations) which requires specific consultation requirements, as detailed in Local Planning Policy – Advertising of Planning Proposals and the Consultation section of this report.

**(e) Short Term Accommodation Policy**

Local Planning Policy P350.18 – Short-Term Accommodation seeks to facilitate the provision of self-contained visitor accommodation for short-term occupancy and guide decision making in respect to the appropriateness of various forms of tourist accommodation facilities, as determined by the locality, the appropriateness of facilities and the scale of the proposal.

The proposal has been assessed against the Policy requirements as follows:

| Matters   | Assessment comment   |
|---|--|
| (i) The proximity of the development site to tourist features. The City will generally not support proposals located more than 400 metres walking distance from a site, feature or area considered to be of tourism significance, or located in isolated locations such as cul-de-sac streets or in rear grouped dwellings. Sites and features considered to be of tourism significance include Perth Zoo, regional foreshore reserves, activity centres and Curtin University. | Does not comply. The subject site is not located within 400m walking distance from a site of tourism significance or an activity centre. Notwithstanding, the subject site is within 900m of Sir James Mitchell Park, which may be considered of tourism significance. |
| (ii) The proximity and accessibility to transport infrastructure, including railway station, high frequency bus services as well as cycling, taxi or ride-share infrastructure.   | Complies. The subject lot is within 60m of the high frequency bus route on Canning Highway.  |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>(iii) The potential impact of increased vehicle access demand to a site, namely whether the proposal results in a significant increase in the volume of vehicle traffic and/or movements in the area. The City may request a transport impact assessment for proposals likely to generate large volumes of vehicle traffic.</p> | <p>Does not comply. A maximum of six guests are proposed, with the existing grouped dwelling providing two car parking bays.</p> <p>Notwithstanding, it is considered unlikely that guests would require six separate vehicles. Compliance with the City's Parking Local Law 2017 is otherwise required for on-street parking. The Local Law does not prohibit street parking in this area.</p> <p>The applicant has advised that guests will also be advised at the time of booking that parking is available on site for two vehicles only.</p> |
| <p>(iv) The quality and appropriateness of facilities/communal areas available to occupants of the development.</p>  | <p>Complies. While there is no external communal area for the existing grouped dwelling development, internal communal areas are appropriately provided on the ground floor of the building in the form of kitchen, lounge and dining room.</p>   |
| <p>(v) The scale of the proposed use compared to the scale and intensity of development in the area surrounding the development site.</p>  | <p>The proposal is surrounded by low to medium density housing. Given the proposed use is in an existing grouped dwelling, the scale of use is commensurate with the surrounding locality. The number of guests is considered consistent with use as a residential dwelling.</p>  |
| <p>(vi) Any proposed management controls by the operator that will be incorporated into the management plan required by clause 3.0, which mitigate potential adverse amenity impacts to nearby landowners and occupiers.</p>   | <p>The proposal includes a management plan (refer to <b>Attachment (b)</b>), addressing a range of matters outlined in the Policy including check in/check out procedures, control of noise and other disturbances, complaints management procedure, property maintenance and security of guests, residents and visitors and vehicle parking management. The management plan is considered to be acceptable for this proposal.</p>  |

Whilst the proposal is not within 400 metres walking distance from a site, feature or area considered to be of tourism significance, the site is within an acceptable 900m walkable catchment from Sir James Mitchell Park. The proposal otherwise complies with the requirements of the Policy, subject to compliance with the management plan.

**(f) Western Australian Planning Commission Position Statement: Planning for Tourism and Short-Term Rental Accommodation (Statement)**

The intent of the Statement is to:

- Guide the appropriate location and management of tourism and short-term rental accommodation land uses through the planning framework.
- Facilitate acceptable development of new and evolving tourism opportunities.
- Provide a high-level of amenity in tourism areas; and
- Deliver quality land use planning outcomes.

In accordance with clause 67(2)(e) of the Deemed Provisions, due regard must be given to the Statement when assessing a development application. The proposal is considered to satisfactorily address all relevant considerations outlined in the Statement.

**(g) Scheme Objectives: Clause 1.6 of Town Planning Scheme No. 6**

In considering the application, Council is required to have due regard to, and may impose conditions with respect to, matters listed in clause 1.6 of TPS6, which are, in the opinion of the Council, relevant to the proposed development. The proposed development is considered satisfactory in relation to all of these matters subject to the recommended conditions.

**(h) Matters to be considered by Local Government: Clause 67(2) of the Deemed Provisions for Local Planning Schemes**

In considering an application for development approval, the local government is to have due regard to the matters listed in clause 67(2) of the Deemed Provisions to the extent that, in the opinion of the local government, those matters are relevant to the development the subject of the application. The proposed development is considered satisfactory subject to the recommended conditions.

**(i) Consultation**

Consultation has been undertaken for this proposal to the extent and in the manner required by the Regulations and Local Planning Policy - Advertising of Planning Proposals.

The application was advertised for a period of 28 days between 4 October 2023 and 1 November 2023 in the following manner:

- A total of 378 letters were sent to owners and occupiers within a 200m radius of the proposed development.
- A sign was erected on the subject site; and
- A copy of the application was made available for review on the City's website.

At the close of the consultation period, no submissions were received.

**Policy and Legislative Implications**

In accordance with clause 68(2) of the Deemed Provisions, the local government may determine an application for development approval by -

- (a) granting development approval without conditions; or
- (b) granting development approval with conditions; or
- (c) refusing to grant development approval.

### Financial Implications

This determination has some financial implications, to the extent that if the applicant were to make an application for review of the decision, the City may need to seek representation (either internal or external) at the State Administrative Tribunal.

### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Reputational Damage</b><br>Deals with adverse impact upon the professional reputation and integrity of the City and its representatives whether those persons be appointed or elected to represent the City. The outcome can range from a letter of complaint through to a sustained and co-ordinated representation against the City and or sustained adverse comment in the media. |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Risk acceptable with adequate controls, managed by routine procedures and subject to annual monitoring.   |

### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Environment (Built and Natural)  |
| Aspiration:          | Sustainable, liveable, diverse and welcoming neighbourhoods that respect and value the natural and built environment |
| Outcome:             | 3.2 Sustainable built form   |
| Strategy:            | 3.2.1 Develop and implement a sustainable local planning framework to meet current and future community needs        |

### Sustainability Implications

Being non-residential land uses of a non-sensitive nature, it is considered that the development enhances sustainability by providing local businesses and employment opportunities.

### Conclusion

The proposal has been assessed against the local planning framework. It is considered that the proposed use will not detrimentally impact the residential amenity of adjacent land owners, however notwithstanding, a management plan has been submitted which together with the recommended conditions will address issues of compliance should they arise.

Accordingly, it is recommended that the application be approved subject to conditions.

### Attachments

- 10.3.3 (a): Site Photographs
- 10.3.3 (b): Building Plans and Management Plan

*At 8:30pm the meeting was adjourned for 10 minutes.*

*At 8.40pm the meeting reconvened.*

## 10.4 STRATEGIC DIRECTION 4: LEADERSHIP

### 10.4.1 Listing of Payments November 2023

File Ref: D-23-57180  
Author(s): Abrie Lacock, Manager Finance  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

#### Summary

This report presents to Council a list of accounts paid under delegated authority between 1 November 2023 to 30 November 2023 for information. It also includes purchase card transactions between 1 October 2023 to 31 October 2023 in line with new legislative requirements. The City made the following payments:

|  |              |                       |
|--|--------------|-----------------------|
| EFT Payments to Creditors                  | (499)        | \$5,450,789.08        |
| Cheque Payment to Creditors                | (1)          | \$33.45               |
| <b>Total Monthly Payments to Creditors</b> | <b>(500)</b> | <b>\$5,450,822.53</b> |
| EFT Payments to Non-Creditors              | (84)         | \$351,237.17          |
| Cheque Payments to Non-Creditors           | (25)         | \$27,611.19           |
| <b>Total EFT &amp; Cheque Payments</b>     | <b>(609)</b> | <b>\$5,829,670.89</b> |
| Credit Card Payments                       | (95)         | \$21,347.97           |
| Fleet Card Payments                        | (48)         | \$3,465.78            |
| <b>Total Payments</b>                      | <b>(752)</b> | <b>\$5,854,484.64</b> |

#### Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/214

**Moved:** Mayor Greg Milner

**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council receives the Listing of Payments for the month of November 2023 as detailed in **Attachment (a)**.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

#### Background

Council has delegated to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) the exercise of power to make payments from its Municipal and Trust Funds. In accordance with regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996, a list of accounts paid by the CEO is to be prepared each month and presented to Council at the next Ordinary Meeting of the Council after the list is prepared.



#### 10.4.1 Listing of Payments November 2023

The Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 have been amended. Regulation 13A have been inserted requiring payments made with purchase cards to be included in the list of accounts paid.

#### **Comment**

The payment listing for November 2023 is included in **Attachment (a)**.

The attached report includes a “Description” for each payment. The City’s officers have used best endeavours to redact (in black) information of a private or confidential nature.

The report records payments are classified as:

- Creditor Payments  
These include payments by both cheque and EFT to regular suppliers with whom the City transacts business. The reference numbers represent a batch number of each payment.
- Non-Creditor Payments  
These are one-off payments that include both cheque and EFT that are made to individuals/suppliers who are not listed as regular suppliers. The reference numbers represent a batch number of each payment.
- Purchase Cards  
Purchase card payments are included in the listing of payments as required by the amended Regulations. The amended Regulations requires the City to prepare a list of the payments made with each card and to present it to Council. Due to the time lag between receiving the statements and the successful acquittal of transactions in the City’s system this listing will always be for the month preceding the month for which creditor and non-creditor payments are being reported.

Details of payments made by direct credit to employee bank accounts, in accordance with contracts of employment, are not provided in this report for privacy reasons. The payments of bank fees, such as merchant service fees which are directly debited from the City’s bank account in accordance with the agreed fee schedules under the contract for provision of banking services, are also not provided in this report.

#### **Consultation**

Nil.

#### **Policy and Legislative Implications**

Regulations 12, 13(1) and 13A of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996. Policy P602 Authority to Make Payments from the Municipal and Trust Funds.

#### **Financial Implications**

The payment of authorised amounts is within existing budget provisions.

**Key Risks and Considerations**

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <p><b>Legislative Breach</b></p> <p>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation</p> |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low  |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Monthly Financial reporting timelines exceeding statutory requirements   |

**Strategic Implications**

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council’s [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

Strategic Direction: Leadership  
 Aspiration: A visionary and influential local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community  
 Outcome: 4.3 Good governance  
 Strategy: 4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

**Attachments**

10.4.1 (a): Listing of Payments November 2023

## 10.4.2 Monthly Financial Statements November 2023

File Ref: D-23-57415  
Author(s): Abrie Lacock, Manager Finance  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

### Summary

The monthly Financial Statements are provided within **Attachments (a)–(i)**, with high level analysis contained in the comments of this report.

### Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/2155

**Moved:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis  
**Seconded:** Councillor Blake D'Souza

That Council notes the Financial Statements and report for the month ended 30 November 2023.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

### Background

Regulations 34(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996, requires each local government to present a Statement of Financial Activity reporting on income and expenditure as set out in the annual budget. Regulation 34(3) specifies that the nature or type classification must be used. In addition, regulation 34(5) requires a local government to adopt a percentage or value to report on material variances between budgeted and actual results. In addition to the above Regulation 35 requires a local government to present a Statement of Financial Position. The 2023/24 budget adopted by Council on 27 June 2023, determined the material variance amounts of \$10,000 or 10% for the financial year. The Financial Management Reports contains an Original and Revised Budget column for comparative purposes.

### Comment

The Statement of Financial Position and the Statement of Financial Activity is required to be produced monthly in accordance the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996. The Statement of Financial Activity is a financial report is unique to local government drawing information from other reports to include Operating Revenue and Expenditure, Capital Income and Expenditure as well as transfers to reserves and loan funding.

Ongoing supply shortages an effect of COVID-19 and the war in Ukraine are responsible for continued stubborn inflation worldwide including Australia. The September 2022 to September 2023 Perth CPI of 5.8% and national CPI of 5.4% are still considerably higher than the Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA) target rates of between 2% and 3%.

To curb the high inflation the RBA have been raising interest rates since June 2022 increasing the cash rate target from 0.10% to 4.35%. At its December meeting the RBA decided to leave the cash rate target unchanged. In November it increased the cash rate target by 25 basis points, breaking the trend of the four preceding meetings where the RBA decided to leave the cash rate target unchanged.

The RBA made the following statement at its Board meeting of 5 December 2023: “Higher interest rates are working to establish a more sustainable balance between aggregate supply and demand in the economy. The impact of the more recent rate rises, including last month's, will continue to flow through the economy. High inflation is weighing on people's real incomes and household consumption growth is weak, as is dwelling investment. Holding the cash rate steady at this meeting will allow time to assess the impact of the increases in interest rates on demand, inflation and the labour market.”

In framing the Annual Budget 2023/24, the City considered the continued economic uncertainty. The City continues to prudently manage its finances through this challenging time whilst remaining conscious of the need to provide quality services to our community.

Actual income from operating activities for November year-to-date (YTD) is \$60.03m in comparison to budget of \$58.69m, favourable to budget by \$1.34m or 2.28%. Actual expenditure from operating activities for November is \$28.26m in comparison to the budget of \$29.23m, favourable to budget by \$0.97m or 3.34%. The November Net Operating Position of \$37.51m is \$2.60m favourable in comparison to budget.

Capital Revenue is lower than budget by \$0.92m, \$0.24m compared to budget of \$1.16m, revenue recognition is dependent on capital project completion. Actual Capital Expenditure YTD is \$2.80m in comparison to budget the budget of \$5.24m lower than budget by \$2.44m or 47%. A variance analysis is provided within **Attachment (e)** titled Significant Variance Analysis.

Cash and Cash Equivalents which amounted \$88.89m, \$3.57m more than the prior year comparative, mainly the result of rates falling due slightly earlier. Consistent with previous monthly reports, the Cash and Cash Equivalents balance is contained within the Statement of Financial Position. In addition, further detail is included in a non-statutory report (All Council Funds).

Interest rates are still creeping higher albeit at a slower pace than the preceding 12 months, with banks offering average interest rates of 4.87% for investments under 12 months. The City holds a portion of its funds in financial institutions that do not invest in fossil fuels. Investment in this market segment is contingent upon all the other investment criteria of Policy P603 Investment of Surplus Funds being met. At the end of November 2023, the City held 38.77% of its investments in institutions that do not provide fossil fuel lending. The Summary of Cash Investments illustrates the percentage invested in each of the non-fossil fuel institutions and the short-term credit rating provided by Standard & Poors for each of the institutions.

### Consultation

Nil.

### Policy and Legislative Implications

This report is in accordance with the requirements of the Section 6.4 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and regulations 34 and 35 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996.

### Financial Implications

The preparation of the monthly financial reports occurs from the resources provided in the annual budget.

### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Monthly Financial reporting timelines exceeding statutory requirements  |

### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council’s [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Leadership   |
| Aspiration:          | A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community                                 |
| Outcome:             | 4.3 Good governance  |
| Strategy:            | 4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities |

### Attachments

- 10.4.2 (a): Statement of Financial Position
- 10.4.2 (b): Statement of Change in Equity
- 10.4.2 (c): Statement of Financial Activity
- 10.4.2 (d): Operating Revenue and Expenditure
- 10.4.2 (e): Significant Variance Analysis
- 10.4.2 (f): Capital Revenue and Expenditure
- 10.4.2 (g): Statement of Council Funds
- 10.4.2 (h): Summary of Cash Investments
- 10.4.2 (i): Statement of Major Debtor Categories

### 10.4.3 City of South Perth Annual Report 2022/23

File Ref: D-23-57193  
Author(s): Danielle Cattalini, Manager Customer, Communications and Engagement  
Abrie Lacock, Manager Finance  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

#### Summary

This report recommends that Council accept the 2022/23 Annual Report and endorses the Electors' General Meeting to be held Tuesday 6 February 2024.

#### Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/2166

**Moved:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

**Seconded:** Councillor Jennifer Nevard

That Council:

1. Accepts the City of South Perth Annual Report for the year 2022/23 as shown at **Attachment (a)**; and
2. Endorses the Electors' General Meeting to be held Tuesday 6 February 2024.

**CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

#### Background

Each year Council is required to accept the City of South Perth Annual Report. Once accepted by Council, the Annual Report is to be published on the City's website within 14 days and presented to an Electors' General Meeting within 56 days of Council accepting the report.

#### Comment

The City of South Perth Annual Report 2022/23 provides a comprehensive account of the City's activities throughout the financial year and showcases the City's progress towards the strategic objectives in the City's Strategic Community Plan 2021 – 2031. The Annual Report also contains information on the City's achievements and challenges and key targets for the year ahead.

The Annual Financial Statements will be presented as a separate report for Council's adoption.

During June 2022, a first tranche of amendments to the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 (Finance Regulations) and Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 (Audit Regulations) came into effect. The amendment removed, amongst other things, the requirement for local governments to include financial ratios in annual financial reports and as such for the auditor to audit and/or attest the ratios. The State Government has advised that a new set of ratios are in development, however as yet, have not been mandated.

Similar to the 2021/22 Financial Year and for information purposes, the ratios for the 2022/23 Financial Year as calculated by the City are included in the table below. These ratios, together, provide for an estimated overall Financial Health indicator Score of 90 (2021/22 – 74), which compares very favourably against the benchmark figure of 70. This year's improvement attributed to an operating surplus as opposed to the preceding years operating losses.

| Ratio Name            | Benchmark Ratio | High Ratio | 2022/23 | 2021/22 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|---------|---------|
| Current               | 1.00            | 1.50       | 2.05    | 1.57    |
| Asset Consumption     | 0.50            | 0.75       | 0.75    | 0.64    |
| Asset Renewal Funding | 0.75            | 1.10       | 0.92    | 1.07    |
| Asset Sustainability  | 0.90            | 1.20       | 1.03    | 0.88    |
| Debt Service Cover    | 2.00            | 5.00       | 3.87    | 11.47   |
| Operating Surplus     | 0.01            | 0.15       | 0.04    | -0.01   |
| Own Source Revenue    | 0.40            | 0.90       | 1.00    | 0.95    |

Once the Annual Report and Annual Financial Statements are accepted by Council it is proposed to hold the Electors' General Meeting on Tuesday 6 February 2024.

### Consultation

There is no legislative requirement for the City to consult on the Annual Report, however, the *Local Government Act 1995* requires the Annual Report to be made available to members of the public prior to the Electors' General Meeting.

### Policy and Legislative Implications

Section 5.53 of the *Local Government Act 1995* requires a local government to prepare an Annual Report for each financial year.

Section 5.54 of the *Local Government Act 1995* requires the Annual Report to be accepted by the local government no later than 31 December after that financial year.

Section 5.27 of the *Local Government Act 1995* requires an Electors' General Meeting to be held once every financial year on a day selected by the local government but not more than 56 days after the local government accepts the annual report.

### Financial Implications

The costs associated with the Annual Report and holding the Electors' General Meeting are contained within the City's 2023/24 operating budget.

### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Compliance with the legislative requirements for Annual Reports under the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> .  |

### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Leadership   |
| Aspiration:          | A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community                                 |
| Outcome:             | 4.3 Good governance  |
| Strategy:            | 4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities |

### Attachments

|             |                       |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| 10.4.3 (a): | Annual Report 2022/23 |
|-------------|-----------------------|



*Councillors Hayley Prendiville and Jennifer Nevard declared an Impartiality Interest in Item 10.4.4.*

#### **10.4.4 Tender 8/2023 Golf Course Management Services for Collier Park Golf Course**

File Ref: D-23-57195  
Author(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services  
Abrie Lacock, Manager Finance  
Anita Amprimo, Director Infrastructure Services  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

##### **Summary**

This report requests Council to consider the officer recommendation made in respect to the submissions received from the advertising of Tender 8/2023 Provision of Golf Course Management Services including facility redevelopment and improvement for Collier Park Golf Course.

The report outlines the process undertaken and the evaluation results of the tender process. The recommendation made by officers is believed to provide the best overall outcome for the City and its ratepayers with regard to the long term future of the Collier Park Golf Course.

##### **Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION**

**1223/2177**

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor Hayley Prendiville

That Council:

1. Approves Clublinks Management Pty Ltd as the preferred Tenderer in accordance with Tender Number RFT 8/2023.
2. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate with Clublinks Management Pty Ltd to finalise the Course Controller Agreement and Lease agreement.
3. Before the City enters into the Course Controller Agreement and Lease, authorises the Chief Executive Officer:
  - a. if, and when required, to prepare and advertise a business plan in accordance with section 3.59 of the *Local Government Act 1995* in relation to any major land transaction and/or major trading undertaking contemplated by the Course Controller Agreement and Lease; and
  - b. to advertise the Lease in accordance with section 3.58 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

## Background

Collier Park Golf Course is a 27 hole golf course located off Hayman Road, Como in the City of South Perth. The Golf Course was developed by the City as a public golf course in 1984. Today, the Golf Course is one of the most popular courses in the Perth metropolitan area with its picturesque grounds and variety of local wildlife including the occasional black swan.

It is currently operated on the City's behalf by a third-party golf operator (Course Controller), with the lease and facility management agreements expiring 31 March 2024.

The Golf Course is located on approximately 90ha across two parcels of Crown land, Reserve 38794 (vested in the City for the purpose of Recreation (Golf Course)) and Reserve 36435 (vested in the City for the purpose of Parks and Recreation). The City has the power to lease (sub-licence or licence) for any term not exceeding 21 years subject to the consent of the Minister for Lands. The land is zoned 'Reserve' under the MRS and 'Parks and Recreation' under TPS6.

Course facilities currently include a 27 hole golf course, mini golf, a clubhouse and an operational golf proshop, with golf retail and equipment hire (including electric golf carts). The clubhouse currently operates with a full bar and food service.

Prior to the COVID pandemic the number of people playing golf was in decline however, there has been a resurgence in golf with the number of rounds played at Collier Park increasing from 95,908 in 2019/20 to 119,335 in 2022/23. Approximately 80% of these rounds were played by people from outside the City of South Perth. The Course returned net revenue of approximately \$800,000 to the City in 2022/23.

Whilst the Course has been maintained to a high standard by City staff and an investment of \$2 million was made to establish the popular mini golf offering, there has been little investment into the other supporting assets due to the focus on integration with the previously proposed Recreation and Aquatic Facility (RAF). This proposed \$80 million project would have seen the development of a new technology-based driving range, health and wellness facilities and new food and beverage facilities (including a function centre). This would have not only improved the offering for golfers but also provided an entertainment venue for the wider community.

Given that the RAF development did not proceed, the City estimates that it would need to spend approximately \$15 million over the next five years to replace and improve assets at Collier Park Golf Course ensuring it remains a financially viable community asset.

## Tender Process

A Request for Tender (RFT) 8/2023 for the Provision of Golf Course Management Services including facility redevelopment and improvement for Collier Park Golf Course was advertised in the West Australian Newspaper on Saturday 9 September 2023 and Wednesday 13 September 2023 with a closing date of Tuesday 24 October 2023. A pre-tender alert was also posted via Tenderlink on Friday 25 August 2023 and remained active until Friday 8 September 2023 so that potential respondents were aware of the upcoming release of the tender.

A mandatory site briefing was held on the golf course on Thursday 21 September 2023 at 10.30 am. All subsequent respondents were attendees at the site briefing.

At the close of the tender advertising period, tenders had been received from the following companies:

TABLE A – Tender Submissions

| Tender Submission                          |
|--|
| Belgravia Health and Leisure Group Pty Ltd |
| BlueFit Pty Ltd                            |
| Greenspace Management Pty Ltd              |
| Clublinks Management Pty Ltd               |
| Ten Golf Group Pty Ltd                     |

All tenders were initially reviewed against the compliance criteria before being reviewed by an evaluation panel and assessed according to the weighted assessment criteria outlined in Table B below.

The assessment of the financial elements being the Fee/Lease proposal and the Capital contribution was a complex process that was assisted by financial modelling including cashflows associated with all proposals across the proposed contract term (21 years).

It is noted that the RFT made it clear that the City would continue to be responsible for the maintenance of the golf course in accordance with current practice.

Table B - Weighted Assessment Criteria

| Weighted Assessment Criteria  |   | Weighting |
|---|---|-----------|
| Criteria 1: (25%)   | Fee/Lease Proposal Offer                                    | 25%       |
| Criteria 2: (5%)  | Corporate & Social Responsibility                           | 5%        |
| Criteria 3: (30%)<br><br>Organisational Structural & Operational Experience:<br><br>Demonstrated experience of tenderer and personnel performing the services | Company/organisational profile                              | 5%        |
|   | Golf Course Performance                                     | 5%        |
|   | Management Systems  | 5%        |
|   | Head Office Support   | 5%        |
|   | On-course Operating Structure                               | 10%       |
| Criteria 4: (40%)<br><br>Business Plan, Innovation and Capital Upgrades:  | Business Plan and Forecasts and Business case/ Feasibility  | 10%       |
|   | Proposed Facility and Course Improvements and Redevelopment | 10%       |
|   | Capital Contribution  | 15%       |

|  |                            |      |
|--|----------------------------|------|
| Value add and methodology to deliver the services, including transition planning, business plan(s) and facility upgrades | Golf Course Strategic Plan | 2.5% |
|  | Facility Maintenance       | 2.5% |

### Comment

Based on comprehensive assessment of all submissions received, the offer from Clublinks Management Pty Ltd was determined to represent the best long-term outcome for the City and its residents. It is therefore recommended that Clublinks Management Pty Ltd be approved by Council as the preferred tenderer.

The Clublinks proposal includes a proposed rental amount for the existing proshop/kiosk/function area together with a revenue sharing arrangement for a range of revenue streams. The proposal also includes concept plans for the redevelopment of existing facilities including a two story driving range, retail area, food and beverage facilities, function centre, office area and playground. A padel tennis facility is also proposed. As per the tender request, these proposed upgrades to facilities do not require any change to the layout of any of the three nine-hole courses. The proposed funding arrangements require both a commitment from the City and from the proponent and will be subject to further decisions of Council once the contract negotiations have been undertaken. The development is scheduled to take place in year two and three of the agreement.

As per the tender document Part 27 Process for Contract Award, pending Council approval, the City will enter into negotiations with Clublinks Management Pty Ltd to finalise the offer prior to the award of the contract being the Lease and the Course Controller Agreement. Given the complexity of this contract, legal advice will be sought from an experienced legal firm.

Before it enters into the Course Controller Agreement and the Lease, the City will need to make an assessment as to whether it needs to prepare a business plan as required under section 3.59 of the *Local Government Act 1995* by reason of the Course Controller Agreement and/or Lease contemplating a major land transaction or major trading undertaking for the purposes of section 3.59(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

Entry by the City into the Lease will also be subject to the City first complying with its obligations under section 3.58 of the *Local Government Act 1995* by giving local public notice of the proposed disposition by lease and Council resolving to enter into lease after considering any submissions received in response to the local public notice.

### Consultation

Significant consultation with golf course users was undertaken as part of the previously proposed Recreational and Aquatic Facility (RAF) development. This information was used to inform the Request for Tender requirements.

Council was advised via a Council Bulletin article on Friday 25 August 2023 that the Tender 8/2023 was to be advertised and made aware of the process the tender would follow.

Subsequent to the evaluation process, Council was briefed by officers (Tuesday 28 November 2023) on the tender process, the submissions received, the evaluation process and the contents of the proposal from the recommended preferred tenderer.

The proposed development of new facilities provided by the proponent as part of this process have, at this point, been provided in concept form, however, it should be noted that the proposed layout will not involve changes to any of the three nine-hole layouts.

The detailed design process for the new facilities will be subject to a stakeholder consultation process which will be undertaken by the City in collaboration with the successful tenderer for each stage of the development.

### Policy and Legislative Implications

Section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995* - tenders for providing goods or services:

- (1) *A local government is required to invite tenders before it enters into a contract of a prescribed kind under which another person is to supply goods or services.*
- (2) *Regulations may make provision about tenders.*

Regulation 11 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 - when tenders have to be publicly invited:

- (1) *Tenders are to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division before a local government enters into a contract for another person to supply goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$250 000 unless subregulation (2) states otherwise.*

The following Council Policies also apply:

- Policy P605 - Purchasing and Invoice Approval
- Policy P607 -Tenders and Expressions of Interest

Given that this contract will require a lease, compliance with section 3.58 of the *Local Government Act 1995* is required.

### Financial Implications

This decision has significant financial implications over a 21 year period. Currently the Collier Park Golf Course contributes a net revenue of approximately \$800,000 per annum back to the City. A significant amount of time has been spent in analysing the financial effect of all proposals as part of the evaluation process. The recommended proposal inclusive of City contributions, has a positive net present value (cash flow) over the life of the agreement.

A significant capital investment by the City is also proposed, aimed at ensuring the ongoing financial viability and community amenity of the Course can be maintained. Contract negotiations will consider and reflect the need for Council to make any decisions regarding funding allocations for each development stage as part of the annual budget process.

### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <p><b>Business Interruption</b></p> <p>Incorporates the impact of events which impinge upon the City's capacity to deliver expected services to the community. These interruptions can range from minor inconvenience requiring an alternative method of service delivery being employed through to forced loss of ability to provide multiple services to all or some of the community. Knowledge loss, technological failure and property damage will also contribute to this outcome</p> |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | High  |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Approval of the Officer's recommendation in a timely manner.  |

### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Leadership   |
| Aspiration:          | A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community |
| Outcome:             | 4.3 Good governance  |
| Strategy:            | 4.3.3 Maintain a culture of fiscal efficiency  |

### Attachments

10.4.4 (a): Recommendation Report (*Confidential*)

## 10.4.5 Policy P669 Elected Member Continuing Professional Development

File Ref: D-23-57199  
Author(s): Toni Fry, Manager Governance  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

### Summary

In accordance with section 5.128(1)(a) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, Council must review Policy P669 Elected Member Continuing Professional Development after each ordinary election. The policy has been updated to capture recent amendments to the *Local Government Act 1995* in relation to fees and expenses to be reimbursed when participating in a required course or continuing professional development.

### Officer Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/2188

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council adopts the amendments to Policy P669 Elected Member Continuing Professional Development as contained in **Attachment (a)**.

**CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

### Background

Section 5.128(1)(a) of the *Local Government Act 1995* (the Act) requires local governments to review their policies in relation to continuing professional development after each ordinary election.

### Comment

Section 5.129 of the Act came into effect on 24 October 2023. This amendment requires local governments to pay a fee or reimburse Elected Members for expenses incurred in relation to their participation in a course of training they are required to complete under section 5.126 of the Act or their participation in continuing professional development.

The payment and reimbursement of expenses incurred for training and professional development is to be captured in a Policy and section 5.129 of the Act allows local governments to include that payment and reimbursement of training in their ongoing professional development policy. The regulations do restrict this payment to training and professional development which relates to the role of the council or the role of an Elected Member under the Act or another law. It will also not be permitted in the final three months of an Elected Member's term, when they have resigned or if they are suspended.

#### 10.4.5 Policy P669 Elected Member Continuing Professional Development

As such Policy P669 Elected Member Continuing Professional Development has been updated to capture this amendment.

#### Consultation

Nil.

#### Policy and Legislative Implications

*Local Government Act 1995*

Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996

#### Financial Implications

Reimbursement of expenses will be deducted from the annual allocation provided to Elected Members to attend such development.

#### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Amendments to legislation are captured by officers.   |

#### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Leadership   |
| Aspiration:          | A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community |
| Outcome:             | 4.3 Good governance  |
| Strategy:            | 4.3.4 Maintain a culture of continuous improvement                                       |

#### Attachments

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>10.4.5 (a):</b> | Policy P669 Elected Member Continuing Professional Development |
|--------------------|--|



## 10.5 MATTERS REFERRED FROM COMMITTEE MEETINGS

### 10.5.1 Terms of Reference - Audit, Risk and Governance Committee

File Ref: D-23-57200  
Author(s): Toni Fry, Manager Governance  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

#### Summary

The Terms of Reference for the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee are presented for consideration by the Committee and referral to Council for adoption.

#### Officer, Committee Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/2199

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee recommends to Council that it adopts the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Terms of Reference as contained in **Attachment (a)**.

**CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

#### Background

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Terms of Reference were last adopted by the Audit Risk and Governance Committee on 15 November 2021 and endorsed by Council at its meeting held 14 December 2021 in line with the local government elections.

Following questions around the scope of the Terms of Reference by the Committee in March 2022, at its meeting held 13 September 2022 the Committee noted that an updated Terms of Reference for the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee would be presented following amendments to the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.

#### Comment

The Terms of Reference state that they are to be reviewed in line with the election of new members following the local government election.

As such the attached Terms of Reference have been developed in accordance with the Audit in Local Government Local Government Operational Guidelines produced by the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries.

#### 10.5.1 Terms of Reference - Audit, Risk and Governance Committee

We understand that the reform that will impact the Audit Committee is included in Tranche 2 and recommend that the Terms of Reference as presented be adopted as is by the Committee and when the full extent of reform is known and changes to the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 are gazetted a further review will be undertaken. This approach will ensure that any amendments to the Terms of Reference will align with the new legislation.

#### Consultation

Nil.

#### Policy and Legislative Implications

*Local Government Act 1995*

Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996

#### Financial Implications

Nil.

#### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Officers have processes in place to review the Government Gazette to ensure legislative changes are not missed.   |

#### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Leadership   |
| Aspiration:          | A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community                                 |
| Outcome:             | 4.3 Good governance  |
| Strategy:            | 4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities |

**Additional Information – 12 December 2023**

At the Audit Risk and Governance Committee meeting held Monday 13 November 2023 it was requested that consideration be given to removing the day on which the meetings were to be held and also including some commentary in light of the recent amendments to the WHS legislation.

Following the meeting officers considered the requests and have removed the day on which the meeting is to be held and also added a further dot point to the Areas of Responsibility which reads as follows:

- *Review the quarterly Activity Report which incorporates Risk Management, Business Continuity and Workplace, Health and Safety.*

By including Workplace, Health and Safety in the quarterly Activity Report officers can report on the number of activities employees undertake each quarter to ensure they are aware of their obligations and also that safety is at the forefront of people’s minds.

**Attachments**

- 10.5.1 (a):**                    Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Terms of Reference

## 10.5.2 2022/23 Annual Financial Statements (External) Audit and Informations Systems Final Reports

File Ref: D-23-57203  
Author(s): Abrie Lacock, Manager Finance  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

### Summary

This report tables the 2022/23 Annual Financial Statements Final Audit and Information Systems Audit reports from the Office of Auditor General (OAG), referred to as a Management Letter. Like prior years the 2022/23 Annual Financial Statements Audit included an Information Systems Audit. The Final Audit Management letter includes four findings, risk ratings, recommendations, and management comments. The Information Systems Audit Management letter include 20 findings, risk ratings, recommendations, and management comments.

It is recommended that all the findings are accepted and added to the City's Audit Register, with commentary on progress of resolution to be reported at each Audit Risk and Governance Committee Meeting.

Following the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee meeting held 13 November 2023, the Office of the Auditor General (OAG), through their internal audit review process, concluded that contrary to their previous position, a prior period restatement, should in fact, not be treated as a prior period error in the City's Annual Financial Report. As a result of the removal of the prior period restatement, the contents of **Confidential Attachment (a)** have been amended. **Confidential Attachment (b)** remains as presented. It should be noted that the consequential recommendations and risk ratings have not been affected and remain as is. More details are provided at the end of this report under the heading **Additional Information – 28 November 2023**.

### Officer, Committee Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/22020

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee recommends to Council that it:

1. Notes and accepts the Final Audit Management Letter **Confidential Attachment (a)**;
2. Notes and accepts the Information Systems Audit Management Letter **Confidential Attachment (b)**; and
3. Includes the findings of the Final Audit Management Letter and the Information Systems Audit in the Audit Register.

**CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

### Background

The External Audit, also known as an Audit of the Annual Financial Report is conducted separately from Internal Audit. External Audit focuses on providing an objective independent opinion of the financial statements prepared by the City. In forming that opinion, testing is undertaken of internal controls, systems and procedures that form the basis of the balances that make up the Annual Financial Report.

The *Local Government Amendment (Auditing) Act 2017* made legislative changes to the *Local Government Act 1995* to provide for the auditing of local governments by the Office of the Auditor General (OAG).

The changes also allow for the OAG to undertake various types of audits including Information System Audits. These audits are conducted at a sample of state or local government entities. The Information Systems Audit focuses on the computer environments to determine if these effectively support the confidentiality, integrity and availability of information they hold.

Following on from the last five years, the Office of the Auditor General (OAG) performed the City of South Perth 2022/23 Annual Financial Statements external audit. The external audit occurs in two steps, the first being an Interim Audit which typically tests controls around transactions, with the final stage being the Audit work to attest the balances and notes that comprise the Annual Financial Statements.

The 2022/23 Information Systems Audit was the fourth such audit, with the first being conducted by the OAG for the financial year 2018/19 which included 19 findings.

A second Information Systems Audit was conducted in 2019/20, and it identified 23 findings (14 of which were a replication of previously identified findings).

The 2021/22 Information Systems Audit identified 22 findings of which eight were replicated from previous audits. The 2022/23 Information Systems Audit identified 20 findings of which 18 were replicated from the previous audits.

With reference to the Information Systems Audit it is therefore recommended that the existing open replicated findings be closed and replaced with the 2022/23 findings.

All Audit recommendations are recorded in the Audit Register. Progress will be monitored by management, this Committee and Council.

### Comment

The Final Audit was conducted in October and November 2023, with the Final Audit Management Letter **Confidential Attachment (a)** being issued. It includes four findings, risk ratings, recommendations, and management comments. This report is presented for the Committee's consideration.

The Information Systems Audit was conducted over August, September and October 2023, with the Information Systems Audit Management Letter **Confidential Attachment (b)** being issued. It includes 20 findings, risk ratings, recommendations, and management comments. This report is presented for the Committee's consideration.

Officers recommend all findings are included in the Audit Register.

The WA Auditor General undertake Information Systems Audits at selected local and state government agencies. Findings are presented to Parliament in summary report. The results of the City's audit will be incorporated into the summary report presented to Parliament. A copy of this report will be made available when issued.

It should be noted that whilst a number of findings have remained outstanding across audit years, there has been a large amount of work undertaken to address a number of these however, due to supplier issues and internal resourcing issues, work had not been completed at the time of audit. The City will continue to work through all findings in priority order, within its resourcing constraints.

### Consultation

Nil.

### Policy and Legislative Implications

*Local Government Act 1995* and Regulations.

### Financial Implications

The fee for finalising the External Audit for the 2022/23 Annual Financial Statements is estimated at around \$85,000 (excl GST) an amount of \$73,000 was included in the budget, however a budget adjustment of \$12,000 will be required at midyear review.

The cost of City Officers' ongoing effort to undertake the improvements and report on progress has not been estimated.

### Key Risks and Considerations

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Compliance with the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> .  |

### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Leadership   |
| Aspiration:          | A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community |
| Outcome:             | 4.3 Good governance  |
| Strategy:            | 4.3.4 Maintain a culture of continuous improvement                                       |

**Additional Information – 28 November 2023**

Following the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee meeting held 13 November 2023, the Office of the Auditor General (OAG) through their internal audit review process, concluded that contrary to their previous position, a prior period restatement, should in fact, not be treated as a prior period error in the City's Annual Financial Report. As a result of the removal of the prior period restatement the contents of **Confidential Attachment (a)** have been amended. **Confidential Attachment (b)** remains as presented.

In the original **Confidential Attachment (a)** the OAG raised two matters under the 1<sup>st</sup> finding, the 1<sup>st</sup> matter dealt with the prior period restatement in the City's Annual Financial Report, because the restatement has now been removed the **Confidential Attachment (a)** now only includes a singular matter under the 1<sup>st</sup> finding.

On 24 November 2023, a memo was sent to the ARGC advising it of the changes. The Committee also received the updated **Confidential Attachment (a)**. **Confidential Attachment (a)** to this report has been updated. The officer and Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Recommendation remains unchanged.

**Attachments**

- 10.5.2 (a): Final Audit Management Letter (*Confidential*)
- 10.5.2 (b): Final Information Systems Audit Management Letter (*Confidential*)

### 10.5.3 2022/23 City of South Perth Annual Financial Report

File Ref: D-23-57204  
Author(s): Abrie Lacock, Manager Finance  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

#### Summary

This report recommends that the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee recommend that Council adopt the 2022/23 Annual Financial Report, accept the Independent Auditor's Report and the Office of the Auditor General Exit Brief.

Following the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee meeting held 13 November 2023, the Office of the Auditor General (OAG) through their internal audit review process, concluded that, contrary to their previous position, a prior period restatement, should in fact, not be treated as a prior period error in the City's Annual Financial Report. The City initially included this prior year restatement on the advice of the Audit Director, to avoid a qualified audit opinion. As a result of the above-mentioned change and one more additional change **Attachment (a)**, **Attachment (b)** and **Confidential Attachment (c)** have been updated. The officer and Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Recommendation remains unchanged. More details are provided at the end of this report under the heading **Additional Information – 28 November 2023**.

#### Officer, Committee Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/2211

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee recommends to Council that it:

1. Adopt the Annual Financial Report shown at **Attachment (a)**;
2. Accepts the Independent Auditor's Report on the 2022/23 Annual Financial Report presented by the WA Auditor General shown at **Attachment (b)**; and
3. Accepts the WA Auditor General Annual Financial Audit Exit Brief shown at **Confidential Attachment (c)**.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.



## Background

The Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (DLGSCI) Operational Guidelines Number 9 – Audit in Local Government, describe that the CEO is responsible to ensure the external audit (financial) report is provided to the Audit Risk and Governance Committee (ARGC) and recommending the Committee review the Annual Financial Report. This is the fifth year that the City’s external audit has been conducted by the WA Auditor General (OAG). The City of South Perth was one of the first Councils where the WA Auditor General conducted the external audit as part of the four year staged transition where all local governments are to be audited by the OAG by the 2020/21 financial year.

The 2022/23 Annual Financial Report shown at **Attachment (a)** provides the community with an open and accountable insight into how the City has performed against the adopted Annual Budget 2022/23 as the short term plan informed by the vision and strategic objectives outlined in the City’s Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031.

Included in **Attachment (b)** is the draft Independent Auditor’s Report on the 30 June 2023 Annual Financial Report. The WA Auditor General has presented their findings in the Annual Financial Audit Exit Brief which is provided at **Confidential Attachment (c)**.

## Comment

The Annual Financial Audit Exit Brief **Confidential Attachment (c)** at Part 3 titled “Issues Identified during the Audit” under the heading Reporting and Financial Control Issues references five items, one item relate the Interim Audit and the balance to findings of the Final Audit. It also describes and includes a summary of the Information Systems Audit. Details are reported to the Committee in a separate report forming part of the agenda for this meeting.

Part 4 of the Exit Brief describes audit outcomes relating to risks identified during audit planning. This section confirms that all risks identified were adequately tested and mitigated, issues identified have been included in Part 3 as detailed above.

The Annual Financial Audit Exit Brief makes reference to an “Information systems Maturity Model” at Part 5. As part of the information systems audit a Capability Maturity Assessment is performed. The capability maturity model is a way of assessing how well developed and capable the established IT controls are.

Part 6 of the Exit Brief describes unadjusted errors and misstatements which are immaterial and do not have an impact on the City’s Annual Financial Report. The WA Auditor General has once again issued an unqualified opinion in the draft Independent Auditor’s Report.

Parts 7, 8, 9 and 10 deals with adjustments, next year’s audit, the audit fee and grant acquittals respectively.

Officers recommend the ARGC receive the presentation by officers from the Office of the Auditor General and note the 2022/23 Annual Financial Report including the draft audit opinion and the Annual Financial Audit Exit Brief.

The ARGC may make recommendations to Council, with Council required to accept the Annual Report, including the Annual Financial Report, for presentation to the annual Electors’ General Meeting.

The Annual Financial Report and Independent Auditor’s Report are complete. There may be minor layout and textual amendments (presentation amendments) to the Annual Financial Report prior to it being presented to the Electors’ General Meeting in February 2024.

**Consultation**

Nil.

**Policy and Legislative Implications**

Section 5.53(1) of the *Local Government Act 1995* requires a local government to prepare an annual report for each financial year. Section 5.53(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995* specifies that the Annual Report is to contain the financial report and auditor’s statement for that financial year.

**Financial Implications**

Nil.

**Key Risks and Considerations**

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Annual Financial Report provided in compliance with the requirements of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> .  |

**Strategic Implications**

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council’s [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

- Strategic Direction: Leadership
- Aspiration: A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
- Outcome: 4.3 Good governance
- Strategy: 4.3.4 Maintain a culture of continuous improvement

**Additional Information – 28 November 2023**

Following the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee (ARGC) meeting held 13 November 2023, the Office of the Auditor General (OAG) through their internal audit review process, concluded that a prior period restatement a result of a prior period error arising from the 1<sup>st</sup> time recognition of park infrastructure assets related to Collier Park Golf Course, should in fact, not be treated as a prior period error in the City's Annual Financial Report.

It should be noted that the City had included this prior period restatement on the advice of the Audit Director, to avoid a qualified audit opinion. Subsequently, the OAG has advised a further change in approach. To avoid a qualified audit opinion resulting from the previously (OAG) requested prior year restatement remaining in the City financial statements, the City has now adjusted its financial statements to remove the (previously requested) prior period restatement and restated its Annual Financial Statements to reflect the City's original position - albeit with differing interpretation and justification from the OAG. The OAG provide a detailed explanation of their view on this matter on pages 1 and 2 of their revised WA Auditor General Annual Financial Audit Exit Brief.

The OAG's opinion as presented to the ARGC, as per the initial draft audit report was an unqualified audit opinion that included an "emphasis of matter" to draw attention to the prior period restatement. Given that the prior period adjustment has now been removed, the revised and signed audit report no longer includes an "emphasis of matter", the audit opinion remains unqualified.

The OAG also raised a new matter, disagreeing with an entry the City processed relating to interest treatment on its Underground Power (UGP) debtors. Effectively the City's entry intended to discount UGP debtors with the interest charges relating the WATC loans for the Collier and Manning UGP schemes. Although immaterial, to avoid similar queries going forward, the City removed this entry as well. The OAG amended Items 6 and 7 "Unadjusted Errors in the Financial Statements" and "Adjusted Errors in the in the Financial Statements" of the Exit Brief to reflect this issue.

On 24 November 2023 a memo was sent to the ARGC advising it of the changes. The Committee also received the updated attachments. **Attachment (a), Attachment (b) and Confidential Attachment (c)** to this report have been updated. The officer and Audit, Risk and Governance Committee Recommendation remains unchanged.

**Attachments**

- 10.5.3 (a): 2022/23 City of South Perth Annual Financial Report
- 10.5.3 (b): 2022/23 Audit Opinion
- 10.5.3 (c): Annual Financial Audit Exit Brief (*Confidential*)

## 10.5.4 Internal Audit Report- Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework

File Ref: D-23-57205  
Author(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

### Summary

This report tables the Internal Audit Report – Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework in accordance with the City’s Strategic Internal Audit Plan 2022/2023 to 2026/2027.

The report contains three detailed audit findings, with a number of notations and observations. The audit includes strengths, weaknesses, rating, issues, risk ratings, recommendations and management comments.

### Officer, Committee Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/2222

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee recommends to Council that it:

1. Notes the Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework contained in **Confidential Attachment (a)**; and
2. Accepts the three recommendations contained in Section 5 of the Internal Audit – Integrated Planning and Reporting report be added to the Audit Register.

**CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

### Background

For each Internal Audit completed, the reports are presented to the ARGC and then Council for acceptance of the recommendations. Paxon attend the relevant ARGC meeting to respond to questions relating to the report. Management responds to Paxon’s recommendations, in relation to their findings, and these are listed in the Audit Register.

### Comment

The Internal Audit Report – Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework (IPRF) is a confidential report to be used for internal purposes to assist in improving business processes and systems. The report includes the strengths, weaknesses, rating, issues, risk ratings, recommendations and management comments.

Internal Audit is an essential component of the City’s continuous improvement process and findings are welcome. As many areas have never been audited before, it is likely that each Internal Audit may contain many findings. The IPRF report resulted in three findings to be included in the Audit Register.

**Consultation**

Nil.

**Policy and Legislative Implications**

The Internal Audit function is considered a business improvement process that will assist in compliance with the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 5 (CEO’s duties as to financial management) and the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 17 (CEO to review certain systems and procedures).

**Financial Implications**

The Internal Audit function (Paxon) has a budget of \$40,000 for the 2023/24 financial year, and it is anticipated that a budget of a similar amount is to be adopted for future years. Officers’ effort to undertake the improvements and report on progress has not been estimated.

**Key Risks and Considerations**

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Medium  |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Quarterly reporting of progress on the Audit Register to the ARGC and Council. In the report, Officer comments on action taken and progressive completion of Actions are noted. Actions which are 100% complete are closed out and reported back to the ARGC. There is no future reporting on closed out actions.   |

### Strategic Implications

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council's [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Strategic Direction: | Leadership   |
| Aspiration:          | A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community |
| Outcome:             | 4.3 Good governance  |
| Strategy:            | 4.3.4 Maintain a culture of continuous improvement                                       |

### Attachments

|             |   |
|-------------|---|
| 10.5.4 (a): | Internal Audit Report - Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework ( <i>Confidential</i> ) |
|-------------|---|

### 10.5.5 Policy Review

File Ref: D-23-57208  
Author(s): Christine Lovett, Senior Governance Officer  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

#### Summary

The Terms of Reference of the Audit Risk and Governance Committee include responsibility for reviewing the City's policies. A number of policies are now presented for the consideration of the Committee and referral to Council for adoption.

#### Officer Recommendation

**Moved:** Mayor Greg Milner  
**Seconded:** Councillor Blake D'Souza

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee recommends to Council that it:

1. Adopts the following new policy as shown in **Attachment (a)**:
  - P109 Child Safe Awareness
2. Adopts the following revised policies with amendments as shown in **Attachment (b)**:
  - P101 Public Art & Art Collections
  - P104 Community Awards
  - P107 Access and Inclusion
  - P110 Support of Community and Sporting Groups
  - P401 Graffiti Management
3. Revokes the following policies in **Attachment (c)**:
  - P105 Cultural Services and Activities
  - P113 Community Gardens
  - P311 Subdivision Approval
  - P353 Crossings and Crossovers
  - P354 Stormwater Drainage Requirements for Proposed Buildings
  - P356 Electricity Substations
  - P358 House Numbers on Kerbs
  - P361 Street Addressing

**AMENDMENT****1223/2233****Moved:** Councillor Glenn Cridland**Seconded:** Councillor Nic Coveney

That Policy P110 Support of Community and Sporting Groups be further amended to remove the following dot point under the heading 'Self Supporting Loan':

'loan amount per applicant cannot exceed \$500,000.'

**Reasons for Change**

The purpose of my amendment is similar to a question I asked quickly in passing during the Audit, Risk and Governance meeting and it relates to simply making sure that the policy, which is now much more detailed and comprehensive remains good into the future.

We are currently in a relatively high inflation period in particular in respect of construction and building costs. My intention is to remove a cap on self-supporting loans that may become increasingly less relevant as inflation eats into it.

There have been a number of self-supporting loans that sporting groups and community groups in the City have previously taken out. Some because they wanted to, some because they had to. For example the South Perth Lawn Tennis Club was moved by decision of the Council from down next to the zoo to behind Penrhos. Which cost the club a lot of money to do. A move that they didn't want to do and the club took out a self-supporting loan via the City to help fund their new building and their new club down there and they are doing well.

What removing the stop point does, is simply allows that figure to remain relevant over time in case we get another club whether it's the bridge club or the croquet club or a community group who wishes to seek an amount of money to help them with their needs in respect of a community facility. We can then provide the greatest capacity to assist and not be inadvertently hamstrung by a cap that we inserted tonight.

**The amendment was put and declared CARRIED (8/1).**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Councillor Blake D'Souza.



**Amended Substantive Motion and COUNCIL DECISION****1223/2244****Moved:** Mayor Greg Milner**Seconded:** Councillor Blake D'Souza

That the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee recommends to Council that it:

1. Adopts the following new policy as shown in **Attachment (a)**:  
P109 Child Safe Awareness
2. Adopts the following revised policies with amendments as shown in **Attachment (b)**:  
P101 Public Art & Art Collections  
P104 Community Awards  
P107 Access and Inclusion  
P401 Graffiti Management
3. Adopts P110 Support of Community and Sporting Groups with amendments as shown in **Attachment (b)** with the following amendment:  
(a) remove the following dot point under the subheading 'Self Supporting Loan':  
'loan amount per applicant cannot exceed \$500,000.'
4. Revokes the following policies in **Attachment (c)**:  
P105 Cultural Services and Activities  
P113 Community Gardens  
P311 Subdivision Approval  
P353 Crossings and Crossovers  
P354 Stormwater Drainage Requirements for Proposed Buildings  
P356 Electricity Substations  
P358 House Numbers on Kerbs  
P361 Street Addressing

**CARRIED (9/0)****For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.**Against:** Nil.**Background**

In line with contemporary organisational models, the policy framework aligns policies to the City's Strategic Directions as contained in the Community Strategic Plan 2021-2031.

City Officers having been reviewing policies on a progressive basis during the 2023 calendar year. Each policy has been considered by the custodian business unit having the relevant technical expertise in relation to the policy content and subsequently by the Executive Management Team (EMT) representing each of the City's Directorates.

The review centres on the continuing relevance of the policy and the need to update it in light of any change in the legislative or operating environment. The review may identify a need to revise the policy, revoke the policy or that no change is needed.

Any new policy or policies recommended for revocation or amendment are presented.

## Comment

### New Policy

The policy identified below and at **Attachment (a)** is a new policy which is recommended for endorsement. A small summary explaining the new policy has been provided below:

#### P109 Child Safety Awareness

Following the outcomes of the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse the state government has been assisting local governments to enhance child safe awareness practices within the community.

The Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries has developed a 'Child Safe Awareness' policy template for use/adoption by the sector. This template has been used to create the attached Policy P109 Child Safety Awareness as shown at **Attachment (a)**.

### Amended Policies

The policies listed below and at **Attachment (b)** are recommended with amendments as shown by track changes in each document.

A small summary explaining the amendments has been provided in the table below:

#### P101 Public Art & Art Collections

The policy has been amended as detailed below:

- Reference to City of South Perth Public Art Masterplan 2022-25 has been incorporated into the body of the policy.
- Acquisition of Public Art and Art Collections has been aligned with the State Government's percent for art scheme guidelines. Therefore the contribution towards public art for construction projects with a value greater than \$2 million has been reduced from 2% to 1%. This is also consistent with Local Planning Policy – Developer Contributions for Public Art and Public Art Spaces.
- Management of Public Art and Art Collections has been amended to incorporate the provision for maintenance of the art.
- Further clarification provided in relation to the decommissioning of public art and art collections.

#### P104 Community Awards

The policy has been amended to include the following new awards:

- Primary School Book Awards
- High School Scholarship Awards
- Speak with Confidence Awards
- South Perth Young Writers Awards

It has also been updated to include Library services under responsible business units/officers.

P107 Access and Inclusion

This policy has been amended to reflect the current name of the Access and Inclusion Plan (AIP). The amendments overall are to reflect a more inclusive policy towards the community by removing the word 'disability' throughout the policy.

P110 Financial Support of Community Organisations and Sporting Clubs

This policy has been amended as detailed below:

- Defines the scope of the policy as being inclusive to all non-profit organisations and sporting clubs seeking to develop their community recreation facility.
- Establishing that capital funding requests will be prioritised through its annual budget setting process.
- Addition of the Club Night Lights Funding Program
- Defines the requirements of a 'Self Supporting Loan.'

P401 Graffiti Management

This policy has been amended with the following information:

- Confirming that the City will only remove graffiti on private property if it is safe to do so and if it is external and visible to the public.
- A Liability Waiver for Graffiti Removal Form must be completed before the graffiti can be removed.
- Clarification that the City will not remove graffiti on Western Power, Main Roads or Department of Transport assets.

**Policies to be revoked**

The policies listed below and at **Attachment (c)** are recommended to be revoked for the reasons detailed below:

P105 Cultural Services and Activities

The City has developed a Cultural Plan that provides effective guidance on cultural services and activities for the community. The Cultural Plan was created in alignment with the Strategic Community Plan and the 'Revive' National Cultural Policy, so it negates the need for a separate City cultural policy.

P113 Community Gardens

There is only one active community garden operating within the City and there is low community interest in developing additional community gardens. Guidelines for establishing a community garden are currently available on the City's website if any local groups wish to establish one in the future.

P311 Subdivision Approval

Following subdivision approval from the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC), developers are required to 'clear' the conditions of subdivision approval. The City ensures the requirements of the condition have been fulfilled, before providing confirmation to the developer to enable the developer to proceed to obtaining

further approval from the WAPC and obtain titles for the newly created lots from Landgate.

The purpose of this policy is to allow for subdivision clearance to be undertaken for lot creation in situations where not all of the works can be practically completed in advance, or where the developer seeks to 'clear' conditions at a later date.

A cash payment of 125% of the value of the outstanding work is to be paid to the City by the developer in the form of a bond. The premise behind requiring a bond is such that the developer is unable to have the bond amount returned until the works are completed. This policy does not outline the specific conditions required in order to receive early clearance.

Whilst the premise behind the requirement for a bond is valid, bonds are problematic for the following reasons:

- The value of the bond is often insufficient to encourage the developer to complete the works (i.e. the cost of the works outweighs the return of the bond);
- Where a developer does not complete the works, the assumption is that the bond monies can be used by the City to complete the works. As mentioned above, this amount is often insufficient to cover the cost of the works as time progresses, and the City does not have the resources to undertake the required works.
- Alternatively, should the City not use the bond to complete the required works, the site will remain undeveloped until subdivision clearance is received which is not a preferable outcome;
- In addition to the above, the City may not have the power or authorisation to enter private property to complete the works;
- Whilst Section 6.16 of the *Local Government Act 1995* provides Council the ability to impose Fees and Charges, bonds cannot legitimately be charged against a subdivision application itself; and
- There are administrative processes involved in obtaining, retaining and administering bonds which is not covered by the cost of the bond itself.

For the reasons outlined above, it is recommended that the City no longer collect bonds and instead ensure conditions of approval are undertaken prior to clearance or enforced through the relevant planning legislation.

#### P353 Crossings and Crossovers

The provisions of this policy are more effectively covered in Management Practice M353 Crossing (Crossover) Construction (M353). It is recommended that the policy be revoked and that an Information Sheet be developed in its place.

#### P354 Stormwater Drainage Requirements for Proposed Buildings

Built form development invariably involves an increase in the impervious area on a site, which requires stormwater to be managed in a way that does not adversely affect the subject site, any adjoining landowner or the public realm. Whilst most of the land within the City can accommodate stormwater onsite through standard

#### 10.5.5 Policy Review

infiltration devices such as soakwells, where the lot size is small, or due to the soil conditions, connection to the City's stormwater infrastructure may be required.

Details of stormwater retention are required to be submitted at the time of development/ building permit in any instance and therefore given no specifications are provided in this Policy, it is no longer required.

The City will review whether a local planning policy is required for alternative construction and maintenance for stormwater systems for adaptive reuse of stormwater.

#### P356 Electricity Substations

This policy is recommended for revocation given electricity substations are the responsibility of Western Power and are considered a 'public work' for which exemptions are provided under section 6 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*. Given the City does not determine such proposals, the policy should be revoked.

#### P358 House Numbers on Kerbs

This purpose of the policy is to state the City supports the placement of street numbers on the kerb, either by painting of the number or the attachment of a retro-reflective number.

The standards for street numbering are governed by Australian Standard 4819:2011 (Rural and Urban Addressing) and the *Land Administration Act 1997* and as such, no policy is required.

Given no decision of Council is required, the policy is recommended to be revoked. The City will prepare an information sheet on street numbering and addresses to capture related information.

#### P361 Street Addressing

This policy is procedural in nature and does not provide any provisions to be addressed. It is proposed that an information sheet may be more appropriate.

#### **Consultation**

Nil.

#### **Policy and Legislative Implications**

The reviewed and new policies are consistent with the *Local Government Act 1995*, relevant legislation and guidelines and other City documents.

#### **Financial Implications**

Nil.

**Key Risks and Considerations**

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <b>Legislative Breach</b><br>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Low   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | Review of all City policies on a regular basis.   |

**Strategic Implications**

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council’s [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

Strategic Direction: Leadership  
 Aspiration: A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community  
 Outcome: 4.3 Good governance  
 Strategy: 4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

**Attachments**

- 10.5.5 (a): New
- 10.5.5 (b): Amendments
- 10.5.5 (c): Revoke

## 10.5.6 Audit Register Progress Report Q1

File Ref: D-23-57209  
Author(s): Christine Lovett, Senior Governance Officer  
Reporting Officer(s): Garry Adams, Director Corporate Services

### Summary

This report provides an update on the progress of actions included in the Audit Register. The Audit Register includes all open audit findings that have previously been accepted by the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee and Council.

### Officer, Committee Recommendation AND COUNCIL DECISION

1223/2255

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney  
**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Audit, Risk and Governance Committee recommends to Council that it:

1. Notes the progress recorded against each item within the Audit Register in **Confidential Attachment (a)**;
2. Approves the findings marked as Complete (100%) in the Audit Register, to be registered as closed and no longer reported to the Committee.

**CARRIED BY EXCEPTION RESOLUTION (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

### Background

The confidential Audit Register lists internal and external audit findings and describes the progress of implementing improvements and the percentage completion. This report is prepared for noting the progress and completion of findings since the last meeting.

### Comment

The Audit Register contained in **Confidential Attachment (a)** presents audit outcomes by 'Finding' numbers. Any given Finding may have more than one 'Recommendation' and associated 'Agreed Management Action'.

Only when all Agreed Management Actions related to a Finding are marked as 100% complete, will the report be presented to the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee, with a recommendation that the Finding be closed.

The Audit Register has been formatted to ensure clarity as detailed below:

1. Each Finding which has more than one Agreed Management Action is represented with double lines around that entire Finding.  
**Example:**  
*Finding number FIND149 has three Agreed Management Actions.*
2. Updates in relation to each Finding are displayed in chronological order i.e. latest update appears at the bottom of each Finding.
3. Each Finding that is to be closed (i.e. 100% complete for all Agreed Management Actions) is represented by a purple 'Closed Tally' column on the right and numbered; and
4. All Findings that are being recommended for closure by the ARGC are filtered to the end of the register.

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee is requested to recommend that Council note the progress and officer comments. In addition, it is recommended all Findings marked as complete (100%) in the Audit Register be registered as closed. All closed items will not form part of the Audit Register report for future meetings.

It is requested to note the Audit Register in **Confidential Attachment (a)**.

### **Strategic Internal Audit Plan**

Work has been progressing with scheduled audits which were identified in the Strategic Internal Audit Plan which was presented to the 13 March 2023 Audit, Risk and Governance Committee meeting.

Reports with associated findings, recommendations and actions in relation to Procurement & Contract Management and Integrated Planning & Reporting Framework are expected to be received by the City in the near future.

Work has commenced on the internal audit for Asset Management and Project & Program Management.

### **Consultation**

Nil.

### **Policy and Legislative Implications**

The Internal Audit function is considered a business improvement process that will assist in compliance with Regulation 5 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulation 1996 (CEO's duties as to financial management) and Regulation 17 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 (CEO to review certain systems and procedures).

### **Financial Implications**

The Internal Audit function (Paxon) has a budget of \$40,000 for the 2023/24 financial year, and it is anticipated that a budget of a similar amount is to be adopted for future years. Officers' effort to undertake the improvements and report on progress has not been estimated.

The fee for finalising the External Audit for the 2022/23 Annual Financial Statements is estimated at around \$85,000 (excl GST) an amount of \$73,000 was included in the budget, however a budget adjustment of \$12,000 will be required in due course.



Officers’ ongoing effort to undertake the improvements and report on progress has not been estimated.

**Key Risks and Considerations**

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Risk Event Outcome</b>     | <p><b>Legislative Breach</b></p> <p>Refers to failure to comply with statutory obligations in the manner in which the City, its officers and Elected Members conduct its business and make its decisions and determinations. This embraces the full gamut of legal, ethical and social obligations and responsibilities across all service areas and decision making bodies within the collective organisation</p> |
| <b>Risk rating</b>            | Medium   |
| <b>Mitigation and actions</b> | <p>Quarterly reporting of progress on the Audit Register to the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee and Council. In the report, Officer comments on actions taken and progressive completion of Actions are noted. Actions which are 100% complete are closed out and reported to the Audit, Risk and Governance Committee. There is no future reported on closed out actions.</p>                                |

**Strategic Implications**

This matter relates to the following Strategic Direction identified within Council’s [Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031](#):

- Strategic Direction: Leadership
- Aspiration: A local government that is receptive and proactive in meeting the needs of our community
- Outcome: 4.3 Good governance
- Strategy: 4.3.1 Foster effective governance with honesty and integrity and quality decision making to deliver community priorities

**Attachments**

- 10.5.6 (a): Audit Register (*Confidential*)

## 11. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Councillor Jennifer Nevard for the period 29 December 2023 to 23 January 2024 inclusive.

Councillor Glenn Cridland for the periods:

- 23 January 2024 to 26 January 2024 inclusive.
- 19 February 2024 to 1 March 2024 inclusive.

Councillor Hayley Prendiville for the period 25 January 2024 to 31 January 2024 inclusive.

The Presiding Member called for a Motion to approve the Leave of Absence application.

### COUNCIL DECISION

1223/2266

**Moved:** Councillor Nic Coveney

**Seconded:** Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis

That Council:

1. Approve the Leave of Absence application received from Councillor Jennifer Nevard for the period 29 December 2023 to 23 January 2024 inclusive.
2. Approve the Leave of Absence applications received from Councillor Glenn Cridland for the periods:
  - 23 January 2024 to 26 January 2024 inclusive; and
  - 19 February 2024 to 1 March 2024 inclusive.
3. Approve the Leave of Absence application received from Councillor Hayley Prendiville for the period 25 January 2024 to 31 January 2024 inclusive.

**CARRIED (9/0)**

**For:** Mayor Greg Milner, Councillors André Brender-A-Brandis, Mary Choy, Nic Coveney, Glenn Cridland, Blake D'Souza, Jennifer Nevard, Hayley Prendiville and Bronwyn Waugh.

**Against:** Nil.

## 12. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

## 13. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

### 13.1 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Responses to questions from members taken on notice at the November 2023 Ordinary Council Meeting can be found in the Appendix of these Minutes.

*Councillor Nic Coveney left the meeting at 9.26pm and returned at 9.29pm during consideration of Item 13.2.*

*Councillor Blake D'Souza left the meeting at 9.26pm and returned at 9.28pm during consideration of Item 13.2.*

### **13.2 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS**

- Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis
- Councillor Mary Choy
- Councillor Jennifer Nevard

The questions and responses can be found in the **Appendix** of these Minutes.

### **14. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING**

Nil.

### **15. MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC**

Nil.

### **16. CLOSURE**

The Presiding Member thanked everyone for their attendance and closed the meeting at 9.34pm.

## APPENDIX

### 6.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME: 12 December 2023

| <b>1 Mr Barrie Drake, South Perth</b><br><b>Received: 11 December 2023</b>   | <b>Responses provided by: Mike Bradford – Chief Executive Officer</b>  |
|--|--|
| <p>1. Who is being dishonest with me, is it the Ombudsman or the City of South Perth when the Ombudsman advised me in writing that “the City informed this Office that you lodged this Matter with the State Administrative Tribunal on 29 November 2022.” The City of South Perth advised me in writing that they didn’t?</p> | <p>You have previously been advised that the City has no record of having provided advice to the Ombudsman regarding this matter. To be clear, that means that we are unaware of anyone having spoken to them.</p> <p>As I've discussed with you now on a number of occasions on this matter that you're pursuing around underground power, the proper channel for that is to go through the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) and I suggest that you do that.</p> |
| <p>2. Why did the City charge me eight underground power connection fees for a building that had only 1 connection - was this a mistake?</p>   | <p>That matter has been discussed with the administration on a number of occasions. This question has been answered and as you know the connection charge corrected several months ago, you acknowledged this at SAT as well. You have been charged in accordance with the Council resolution as applied to all the other property owners within the scheme areas.</p> <p>If you want to address this matter further it is with the SAT.</p>                         |
| <p>3. If the answer to Question 2 is yes then is it possible that the same person could have made a mistake in charging flat owners the network charge?</p>  | <p>No mistake has been made and as previously advised, rather than coming to Council meetings, if you wish to challenge your charges, the appropriate forum is via the State Administrative Tribunal.</p>  |

2 Mr Peter Scott, Como  
Received: 11 December 2023

Responses provided by: Mike Bradford – Chief Executive Officer

*[Preamble]*

*In tonight's Agenda Item, 10.4.4 Tender 8/2023 Golf Course Management Services for Collier Park Golf, the Council officers are recommending that Council authorises the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate with Clublinks Management Pty Ltd to finalise the Course Controller Agreement and Lease agreement which among other items, pertains to a 21-year lease of the Collier Park Golf Club. Before this decision is made, I ask the following questions.*

1. Has the City produced a comprehensive risk assessment plan that covers the financial, commercial, legal and reputational risks associated with the proposed lease of Collier Park Golf Course, along with any mitigation strategies that should be provided for in the final contract document and will this risk assessment be made available to Ratepayers before any deals are finalised?

Given the exact details of the proposal are still to be worked through, including the capital funding requirements, the City will work with its lawyers to identify all relevant risks and ensure that the final contract addresses these issues. This is normal practice with regard to contracts of this nature.

*[Preamble]*

*The Officers recommendation includes background notes that claim the City estimates it would need to spend approximately \$15 million over the next five years to replace and improve assets at Collier Park Golf Course ensuring it remains a financially viable community asset.*

2. Can you please provide a detailed account of the items included in this cost estimate and an explanation of why the assets need to be replaced or improved?

The facilities include the driving range currently uncovered, the kiosk, clubhouse, administration facilities and pro-shop. I note that these things that would have been addressed by the RAF development project if that would have proceeded.



*[Preamble]*

*In relation to this item, at the Council Agenda Briefing meeting last week the CEO commented that the golf course is a commercial operation that is run to derive a return for the City and therefore needs to make a commercial return. This appears to be at odds with the fact that the golf course is a community sporting facility, much like our bowling clubs, our tennis courts, our football ovals and other sporting facilities within the City, all of which are provided for the purpose of enhancing community sport and recreation and are not considered commercial operations.*

3. Why should golf be treated differently to other sports and are these other facilities also at the risk of being used to become money making ventures?

I don't think that golf is being treated too differently to other sports. Interestingly, Council's and CoSPRAs position on the RAF was that it should not be subsidised by rates. Similarly, ensuring that golf course is financially viable ensures that City of South Perth ratepayers are not subsidising what we estimate to be the 80% of users who currently come from outside of South Perth.

3 Mr David Basell, South Perth

Received: 11 December 2023

Responses provided by: Donna Shaw- Director Development and Community Services

*[Preamble]*

*I'm referring to the Sir James Mitchell Park/ Wesley Sport, Ellam Street end of the park. In the mid-1990s, Wesley tried to use the same area that is under consideration today for junior sport, namely cricket and soccer/hockey. An artificial turf cricket pitch was constructed. Both were abandoned as the grass surface was unsuitable for both sports and neither the Council or Wesley were prepared to fund the remedial work. The cricket wicket has also been removed.*

1. Given the previous unsuccessful use of the area, what will be different this time?

The area has been used in the past for playing sports, as you have identified. I understand it was largely unsuccessful due to the seasonal inundation of the land. The proposed feasibility study would include a geotechnical investigation to determine any modifications required to the surface, as well as any necessary drainage infrastructure to facilitate development for sports spaces.

2. Has the Council canvassed other areas for sport? - for example. the bottom of Coode Street opposite Wesley or Collier Reserve

The reason that this area is being considered for a feasibility study is that it has been identified as an area for outdoor sporting infrastructure in the South Perth Foreshore Strategy. The node at Coode Street has been identified for informal activities and sports, such as kayak launching, volleyball and swimming. Many other active reserves throughout the City have already developed for sports spaces. This would be an investigation to see whether we could provide an additional space to what's already provided throughout the City.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p><b>4 Ms Jo Ord, Como</b><br/>Received: 11 December 2023</p>   | <p>Responses provided by: Donna Shaw- Director Development and Community Services</p>  |
| <p><i>[Preamble]</i><br/>These questions pertain to the proposed Sports Spaces Feasibility Study. I realise the proposed spend of \$50k is not exorbitant, however during a resident's deputation last week it was stated that this Sports Spaces feasibility study seemed to suddenly appear on the agenda. It is not evident at Item 7.2.1 (Concept Briefings and Workshops) if a workshop on the proposed feasibility study was provided to Councillors prior to appearing on the agenda.</p> |  |
| <p>1. Given that a number of Elected Members are new to Council, could the City advise if it did in fact conduct a workshop to provide all elected members the history of previous dealings in this area, the 'in-principle' proposal from Wesley and the intent of the City's South Perth Foreshore Strategy and Management Plan?</p>   | <p>No workshop specifically on Ellam Street has been held, however, a workshop was held on 19 July 2023 on Community Recreation Facilities, which identified the growing demand for sports spaces within the City. Newly Elected Members were also briefed on this matter during the Development and Community Services Directorate induction on 14 November 2023.</p>   |
| <p><i>[Preamble]</i><br/>The City has stated the feasibility study would include investigation and analysis of all relevant site considerations, including but not limited to, geotechnical, environmental, operational, financial, community and stakeholder engagement, civil design, traffic planning, turf and irrigation requirements. I believe others have raised concerns that a \$50k budget will not produce a meaningful, useful report and I share these concerns.</p>               |  |
| <p>2. Could the City advise if the proposed feasibility study is a desktop study only whereby results provide simply an indicative budget cost estimate and any engineering assessment is conceptual only based largely on assumption and historical data?</p>   | <p>The \$50k is the City's estimate based on recent feasibility studies that have been undertaken throughout the City. The City is confident in this figure given the investigation is for one site only and would not involve attendance and multiple sites with various site conditions. For example, recent geotechnical investigation was undertaken at George Burnett and the figure for that was between between \$6-7k. Just to give an idea about what some of the technical components of the feasibility would include.</p> <p>Should Council approve this allocation in the mid-year budget review, the City will go to market to sure up the actual cost. The City intends</p> |



|   |  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>to undertake a complete feasibility study, not a desktop audit. To do this we would need to engage the services of a suitably qualified consultant to do that work. However, various components of the feasibility study could be undertaken in-house, for example the City intends to undertake Community consultation in-house as part of that.</p>   |
| <p>3. Can the City advise if a prelim order of magnitude for project costs has been established by either party (the City or Wesley) such that it may have assisted Wesley in determining that an ‘in-principle’ contribution of up to \$1.5m would be fair and reasonable given their proposed exclusive use of these facilities during times when other school or community groups would likely wish to conduct the same?</p> | <p>No project costs have been established by either Wesley College or the City. The intent of the feasibility study is to determine the likely costs for development of this site for sports spaces. We would have a better indication through the outcome of the feasibility. Wesley College has advised that it is prepared to contribute up to \$1.5 million, although this would need to be considered against the total project cost following the outcome of the feasibility study in determining whether or not it was appropriate for the City to consider proceeding with development of the site for sport spaces. We don’t know what the actual eventual cost would be, which is the intent of the feasibility. The times that were requested by Wesley in the letter which is contained as the attachment to the agenda are Wesley's preferred times. It would be open to Council to negotiate with them or any other community group for actual usage as part of consideration for any future licence, should the site be developed for sports spaces. It's probably worth noting that any reduction in hours for Wesley, we could assume, would result in a lesser contribution towards the development for sports spaces.</p> |

5 Mr Bruce Bunton, Salter Point

Received: 11 December 2023

Responses provided by: Donna Shaw- Director Development and Community Services

*[Preamble]*

*I've seen a lot of the correspondence from the Planning Department via our local Councillor and one thing that stood out was that the Planning Department seemed to believe that technically speaking, the application was exempt because of conditions under the State Planning and Development Regulations 2015. On that basis I'm concerned that the DA was not subject to the same scrutiny as an application that was not already assumed to be exempt from requiring a DA.*

1. My first question is therefore whether the subject DA was subject to the same scrutiny as an application that was not already assumed to exempt from requiring a DA?

Yes.

The application was not exempt. Clause 61 of the Planning Regulations provides for exemptions where a café or restaurant is up to 300sm<sup>2</sup> and it's a discretionary land use. The intent of providing that information to the elected member was to highlight that under the future planning framework, under draft LPS 7 the use would be permitted or if the area had been reduced to 300 by excluding the storage component, it could be considered exempt.

However the City did not exempt it, we accepted the application and we assessed it in accordance with all the regulatory requirements.

*[Preamble]*

*It is my understanding that there were seven objections lodged after this application was advertised. Given the recent history of the Letchworth Commercial Centre, where there was parking chaos due to an unapproved speech therapy business operating out of the very same premises that the subject DA has been granted for. I am concerned that the orderly operation of the Letchworth Centre is not properly considered.*

2. Why wasn't this application advertised more broadly to the Salter Point community?

Just in in regards to the comment about the previous land use, each development application needs to be considered on its individual merits. If there was occupation of a tenancy there without development approval in the past, that's a compliance matter and we

|   |   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>need to treat this application based on what they have applied for. We don't consider previous occupation of land uses by a separate tenant.</p> <p>In terms of the consultation that was undertaken for the application, the advertising parameters for development applications are outlined in Local Planning Policy - Advertising of Planning Proposals. This application was a standard application, which only requires advertising to landowners in the vicinity that may be affected which is what has occurred.</p>                   |
| <p>3. It is against this backdrop, that we ask whether this particular DA process has been totally compromised when the City' Planning Department has incorrectly assumed it to be exempt from serious DA scrutiny because of the State Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015?</p> | <p>No, as I've previously advised we accepted it as a development application, it wasn't exempt. Current town planning scheme number six provides for certain concessions for car parking. One of those is a 15% reduction where you are in proximity to public transport and I can appreciate that residents may not feel that people would use it but because we have to assess a development application in accordance with the scheme requirements, the applicant is entitled to that concession being applied to the car parking ratios.</p> |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>6 Ms Naomi Smith, Salter Point<br/>Received: 11 December 2023</p>  | <p>Responses provided by: Donna Shaw- Director Development and Community Services</p>  |
| <p><i>[Preamble]</i></p> <p><i>We are very concerned that the DA Approval given for The Coffee Connection: Change of Use to Café/Restaurant, First Floor Addition and Advertising (Signage) - Unit 2, No 20-22 Letchworth Centre Avenue, Salter Point PDDA-2023/1116 has not allowed adequate consultation and the process for the approval has been defective.</i></p> |  |
| <p>1. How can the Council be sure they received all the submissions as there was clearly website issues? Not one person I know of was able to submit by the link on the website as was instructed in the letter.</p>  | <p>The City received seven submissions from website, email and mail during the public consultation period for this application.</p> <p>We went through the back end of the system once we were aware that there was an issue with lodging online with our business systems to make sure that any submission that were in the back end of the system were captured. We provided additional time to make sure that we had received those submissions.</p> <p>We also received a late submission from the Salter Point Community Group and we considered the matters that were contained within that submission.</p> <p>When considering the application, I think it is important to note that when it comes to planning submissions we are not counting, it is not a poll. So it's not based on how many submissions are received, it needs to be based on the content of the submission, relevant to planning considerations. The matters that were raised within those submissions for the most part were relevant planning considerations and they were considered as part of the assessment. Parking being one of those.</p> |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>2. Why does the parking calculations not take into account either the Alfresco dining area nor the Wholesale nor Retail aspects of the DA? Both of which will create further parking requirements.</p> | <p>The parking requirements in the City’s Town Planning Scheme 6 specify that the car parking is based on dining area (1 per 5m<sup>2</sup> of dining area). The City has included all dining areas within the lot boundaries, including both inside and outside of the building when calculating the on-site car parking requirement.</p> <p>Some of the alfresco dining areas for this and the adjacent businesses are contained within the City’s road reserve. However on the site it looks like it’s the car parking bay for the Letchworth Centre, but it is actually road reserve.</p> <p>We do one calculation that is based on the onsite parking provision, which is some of the garages that are provided at the back and some of the bays. Then we also consider the availability of adjacent and on street bays which are those that are contained on the side streets and what looks like its in the car park for the centre.</p> |
| <p>3. How can a correct Acoustic Report for noise be lodged prior to commencement, when there will be nil patrons?</p>  | <p>This is a standard condition that is applied on development approvals. The acoustic report is required to be prepared by a qualified acoustic consultant. The noise impact can be predicted based on noise modelling undertaken by the consultant, which is then assessed for compliance by the City’s Environmental Health Officers.</p> <p>In any instance, the development is required to comply with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997, and the City’s Environmental Health Officers can undertake compliance action if required.</p>  |

| <b>7 Ms Elizabeth Irena Urbaniak, Salter Point</b><br><b>Received: 11 December 2023</b>  | <b>Responses provided by: Donna Shaw- Director Development and Community Services</b>  |
|--|--|
| <p>1. How did the approving delegate determine there was a surplus of five parking bays when there are only 15 onsite bays and the requirement for all tenancies is 40 plus. Being that Raktacino's alfresco dining was not included in the current requirement and also the bays behind the shops which are full of sand were added into the calculation?</p> | <p>The City determined that there was a six bay on-site parking shortfall. The existing café operating on this site has their alfresco area situated in the City's road reserve and was therefore not subject to the on-site car parking calculation.</p> <p>Car parking is considered in relation to on-site bays, as well as available adjacent bays. The City's Town Planning Scheme No. 6 provides discretion to grant development approval for non-residential land uses proposing a lesser number of car parking bays than prescribed in the Scheme, provided the proposed number of bays is sufficient having regard to the peak parking demand generated by the use or other uses and any opportunities for reciprocal parking arrangements.</p> <p>It is quite typical for older centres such as the Letchworth Centre to be developed with no car parking that otherwise supports the tenancies which is not necessarily commensurate to the current scheme requirements for what car parking would actually be.</p> |
| <p>2. Was Salter Point Community Group Inc submission and concerns considered given they were not received before the decision was made?</p>   | <p>The City received a late submission from the Salter Point Community Group, which was received after the application was determined. Whilst the City is only required to consider applications received during the consultation period, it is noted that the submission supported the café/ restaurant, however, raised concerns with parking.</p> <p>Parking was raised in other submissions received and was therefore considered in the assessment of the application in any instance. It is noted that for planning decisions, the City is required to consider the</p>  |

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | content of the submissions and whether they are relevant planning considerations, rather than the volume of submissions received.  |
| 3. Will the City of South Perth guarantee residents' safety when entering and exiting their properties considering that the parking is chaos already around that area? | Any on-street parking throughout the district must be in accordance with the City of South Perth <i>Parking Local Law 2017</i> . Obstructing driveways or parking on a verge without the adjacent resident's consent are offences under the Local Law which can be enforced by the City's Ranger Services. |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>8 Mr Robinson Eliezer, Salter Point<br/>Received: 11 December 2023</p>   | <p>Responses provided by: Donna Shaw- Director Development and Community Services</p>  |
| <p><i>[Preamble]</i></p> <p><i>In the last few months there has been an acute parking problem on Letchworth Centre Avenue. With the approval of this new Cafe "Coffee Connection", it may become untenable for any of my family members or visitors parking in the marked bays opposite my house. They will be forced to park on the side streets away from my home. Also during the evenings and weekends when the cafe Raktacino is operating, there is absolutely no parking available along the entire streets adjoining my house. I would strongly recommend that this approval should be looked at again in its entirety.</i></p> |  |
| <p>1. What is the Council going to do to address my parking concerns?</p>   | <p>A determination on a development application is final, and cannot be reviewed unless the applicant makes an application for review to the State Administrative Tribunal, and the State Administrative Tribunal directs the City to reconsider the application. The applicant has not made an application for review to date.</p> <p>Following discussions with the Salter Point Community Group on Redmond Reserve, the City intends to review parking restrictions more broadly, and will therefore include this location and surrounding streets as part of those considerations.</p> |



|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>9 Ms Cecilia Brooke, South Perth<br/>Received: 12 December 2023</p>   | <p>Responses provided by: Donna Shaw- Director Development and Community Services</p>  |
| <p><i>[Preamble]</i><br/>As a frequent client of the shops in the Angelo Street shopping complex, and after discussing this with many other very concerned people who also frequent these shops, I ask the following questions:</p>  |  |
| <p>1. Mr Olsen states in his letter that “Coles Local provides over 150 public car bays (3 hour free parking) which is only 100 metres from the proposed site.” My Google search tells me that it is 200 metres, which is a 400 metre return walk to the Coles Car Park. Undertaking this on a 40 degree day or in the pouring rain would be a huge inconvenience and would deter people from shopping at the Wesley end of Angelo Street precinct and I am wondering if this aspect of Mr Olsen’s letter has been considered?</p> | <p>All submissions and Officer responses to the content of the submissions have been included in the attached schedule of submissions for Council’s consideration and that includes the submissions from Mr Olsen.</p>               |
| <p>2. Does the City intend to monitor any adverse financial impacts on nearby businesses during the 12 months period not after?</p>  | <p>Should the application be approved and should the applicant seek to renew the licence, the City intends to undertake further consultation seeking feedback on the impact of the parklet, including financial impacts.</p>         |
| <p>3. In relation to fees, when questioned during the Agenda Meeting, Ms Shaw stated that the Councillors can decide to enforce a fee, presumably via an alternative motion. Is this correct please?</p>   | <p>This is correct in relation to alfresco dining fees, however any alternative motion would need to have been submitted to the CEO by 12pm yesterday in accordance with the City of South Perth Standing Orders Local Law 2007.</p> |

| <b>10 Mr Keith Shortill, South Perth</b><br><b>Received: 12 December 2023 at the meeting</b>  | <b>Responses provided by: Anita Amprimo - Director Development and Community Services</b>   |
|---|---|
| <p>1. Is Council aware that one of the tenderers for the redevelopment of Collier Park Golf Course does not require a Council contribution towards the capital expenditure of this redevelopment, and that this tenderer has been informed that it is not the preferred tenderer, and if so, on what grounds does Council select an alternative tenderer?</p> | <p>Due to it being a commercial arrangement that's currently under consideration, I'm not in a position to discuss the details in any particular submission. However what I can say is that Council were given a briefing on all of the submissions and the capital investment proposals and mechanisms in any of those submissions are only one component of what is considered in the evaluation and would not be the sole determinant of what was recommended to Council for approval.</p> |
| <p>2. I don't think that's an answer to my question?</p>  | <p>I have confirmed that Council were given a briefing and I believe your question was 'are Council aware of what was in the submissions' and they were provided a briefing on the submissions.</p>   |

### 13.1 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS taken on notice OCM 21 November 2023

| Councillor Mary Choy  | Response provided by: Fiona Mullen – Manager Development Services   |
|---|---|
| <p>1. Just wondering what the state of the derelict property on the corner of Gwyntred and Canning Highway is please. I know that residents have raised this as a concern before with, I believe, squatters and potential drug use happening in that location. I'm just wondering if there's been any sort of follow up since then?</p> | <p>The property at 14 Canning Highway, which is owned by Main Roads Western Australia, was inspected on 20th September 2023 when it was noted that squatters were living at the premises. A further inspection on the 28th of September indicated squatters were still present on the balcony area, and the City contacted the agent (Colliers International) expressing concerns regarding the condition of the dwelling and activity taking place.</p> <p>Colliers International did not respond to a further request to address the use of the dwelling and subsequently advised they no longer manage the property and Cygnet West are the current agents.</p> <p>Cygnet West confirmed they are aware of the issues and the Police are currently involved.</p> <p>Officers will continue to inspect the property and contact the agent should the issues not be addressed.</p> |

## 13.2 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OCM 12 December 2023

| Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis  | Response to questions provided by: Anita Amprimo – Director Infrastructure Services   |
|---|---|
| <p><i>Preamble:</i></p> <p><i>I've just got a couple of questions with regard to the 'My South Perth' publication that was released a couple of weeks ago with regard to the cockatoo article on page 14.</i></p>   |   |
| <p>1. This article does talk about our cockatoos and the importance of them and it reflects on the Collier Park Golf Course. It refers to one statement here about the replacement of the non-native trees such as pine and macadamia nuts. I'm just a bit concerned about that and I'm just wondering what sort of replacement program is in place with regard to those trees?</p> | <p>Taken on notice.</p>   |
| <p>2. With regard to the pine trees, do we actually have a planting program in place, are we actively replacing pine trees?</p>   | <p>We monitor the pine trees to see where they are in relation to their end of life and we have had previous reports on them. We replace trees with species that are appropriate to the circumstance at the time. So, we don't have a blanket position on one way or another.</p> |
| <p>3. So just to clarify, do we have any planting program for the pine trees themselves and if so, how many have we planted over the last few - two/three years?</p>  | <p>Taken on notice.</p>   |

|   |                         |
|---|-------------------------|
| <p><i>Preamble:</i></p> <p><i>I still have another question on the same article. A different part of the article. It refers to, pine and macadamia provide fat rich food that can lead the birds to be too heavy to take off the ground quickly, contributing to frequent bird injuries or fatalities from predator attacks and collisions with cars.</i></p> |                         |
| <p>4. I'm just wondering, that is a very important piece of information, is there any research that can be provided to me as to where that has come from because I am quite passionate about the cockatoos, and I haven't actually seen that as being a piece of important research.</p>  | <p>Taken on notice.</p> |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>Councillor Mary Choy</b></p>  | <p><b>Response to questions provided by: Anita Amprimo – Director Infrastructure Services</b></p> |
| <p><i>Preamble:</i></p> <p><i>I'm just following on from Cr Brender-A-Brandis' question about the article about black cockatoos. I've also received quite a few phone calls and emails from concerned residents who seem to know a lot more than I do about black cockatoos and pine trees.</i></p> |   |
| <p>1. Who does the City consult with in terms of the care and protection of the black cockatoos?</p>  | <p>Taken on notice.</p>   |
| <p>2. So, my other question is about the shelter bench seat outside Coles. I understand when I last enquired about this, a request had been sent to Windsor Knight regarding the reinstatement of the shelter and bench seat. I was just wondering where we are at with that please?</p>            | <p>Taken on notice.</p>   |

| <b>Councillor Jennifer Nevard</b>   | <b>Response to question provided by: Anita Amprimo – Director Infrastructure Services</b>   |
|---|---|
| <p>1. Following on from the City of Melville’s initiative at the Bicton Baths, some members of the community have expressed an interest in whether the City of South Perth might be in a position that would allow the old Preston Street Jetty area to be repurposed as a shark proof swimming area. It would certainly offer a beachy feel to the area as this had been the case in the past, so I was just wondering if that was a plausible plan?</p> | <p>The water conditions in the Como Beach area are exposed to adverse wind and wave conditions and the depth of the water is also relatively shallow in that area, so it wouldn’t make it a particularly desirable place to make for a swimming area. The water that is immediately around the jetty is deeper; however, that jetty is owned by the Department of Transport. So, we would need to speak with both the Department of Transport and DBCA around any proposal there. It’s a very different location to the one in Melville in terms of suitability. We wouldn’t consider it a particularly desirable location.</p> |

| <b>Councillor André Brender-A-Brandis</b>  | <b>Response to question provided by: Anita Amprimo – Director Infrastructure Services</b>   |
|--|---|
| <p>1. So, we’ve undertaken great initiatives with the watering stations, that are refilling water stations, high off the ground so to avoid predators. Are we planning any more of those and if so, are we planning those sort of strategically around the City to actually ensure that they have got water over the longer and drier summers?</p> | <p>The only other location that we have identified at the moment is to install one on the South Perth Foreshore, Hurlingham Living stream. The locations are dependent on us having a fresh water supply and proximity of where we would locate them. So that is what we would primarily look at. We have installed a number across the City where we have locations.</p> |

| <b>Councillor Jennifer Nevard</b>   | <b>Response to question provided by: Anita Amprimo – Director Infrastructure Services</b>   |
|---|---|
| <p>1. Some community members have displayed disappointment that the street trees at the western end of Angelo Street shopping strip are not lit up. Would the City please explain why this end of the shopping strip missed out on the bling treatment?</p> | <p>When the works were done to upgrade the pavement surface in that area it became apparent that a number of the electrical fittings for the lighting on the trees had failed. We are not able to replace them immediately; however, we are looking to put something for the Councils consideration in the 2024/25 annual budget.</p> |

| <b>Councillor Mary Choy</b>   | <b>Response to question provided by: Anita Amprimo – Director Infrastructure Services</b>  |
|---|--|
| <p>1. Is the City looking, or can the City consider as part of the budget talks, increased Christmas lights throughout the district in all the major precincts?</p> | <p>The City currently has \$30,000 allocated in the budget for Christmas lights. That is all fully allocated to erecting the lights and taking them down from the tree next to the Old Mill and Coode Street and also the lights that are on Preston Street and Welwyn Avenue. So, if we were to expand the Christmas lights, we would be seeking further budget for that.</p> |

## DISCLAIMER

The City advises that comments recorded represent the views of the person making them and should not in any way be interpreted as representing the views of Council. The minutes are a confirmation as to the nature of comments made and provide no endorsement of such comments. Most importantly, the comments included as dot points are not purported to be a complete record of all comments made during the course of debate. Persons relying on the minutes are expressly advised that the summary of comments provided in those minutes do not reflect and should not be taken to reflect the view of the Council. The City makes no warranty as to the veracity or accuracy of the individual opinions expressed and recorded therein.

These Minutes were confirmed at the Ordinary Council Meeting held: Tuesday 27 February 2024

Signed \_\_\_\_\_

Presiding Member at the meeting at which the Minutes were confirmed