



# south perth



# foreshore



# 2013 and beyond

“my foreshore our future”



# feedback survey comments

OCTOBER 23<sup>RD</sup> 2013  
REV A

## FEEDBACK SURVEY COMMENTS

Prepared by:



The draft (1 July 2013 Revision C) South Perth Foreshore 2013 and Beyond document is comprised of three parts:

Part 01: Opportunities and Feedback

Part 02: Context and Background

Part 03: Survey

The Survey was available for submission from 1 July to 30 August 2013, either online or on paper.

The aim of the Survey is to gauge opinions about the Objectives, Nodes and Opportunities listed in the draft Part 01 South Perth Foreshore document (developed from previous consultation undertaken during the earlier stages of the project, which commenced late 2012). This feedback will be used to assist in finalising the South Perth Foreshore 2013 and Beyond document.

This document provides the survey comments.

All survey results are available on the City of South Perth website.



# **SOUTH PERTH FORESHORE 2013 AND BEYOND**

## **MY FORESHORE OUR FUTURE**

### **1 JULY TO 30 AUGUST 2013 FEEDBACK RESULTS ALL COMMENTS**

**We asked the people of Perth to share their views on the South Perth Foreshore – through our My Foreshore-Our Future feedback and Online Survey 1 July - 30 August 2013**

These are the comments that were received as part of the South Perth Foreshore 2013 and Beyond Survey.

Refer South Perth Foreshore 2013 and Beyond 'My Foreshore Our Future' Feedback Survey Results 1 July to 30 August 2013 for the results of the survey.

<b>South Perth Foreshore 2013 and Beyond Survey</b>
<b>Do you have any further comments?</b>
<b>Response Text</b>
<p>Thank you for this opportunity. Re: Objective 6 Access - I have noted the DAIP and there are some good structures in place for people with disabilities. People with disabilities have a variety of impairments - consider the viewing desk - it has one central solid path - but the remaining deck is 'open mesh' - that can be highly problematic for those with visual, sensory and balance issues. To be able to facilitate, nurture and truly flourish a community - I feel, is a wonderful and essential part of the life experience. To be able to look beyond the 'boundaries' of the City of South Perth, to our wider community and nurture a wider sense of belonging and engagement is further evolution of the life experience and offers ever more layers of true flourishing....the wisdom and art is in the detail of how we navigate this journey, this process - always keeping in mind 'sensitive engagement with our natural environment' - it must be at our centre. Some specifics: 2.1.5 I would like to suggest that the existing parking facilities are well maintained and whilst it is essential to continue to maintain them - an integrated public transport network would reduce our need to rely on cars and parking and better link to the vision of 'living &amp; travelling in ways that nurture our environment.' 2.2.2 Overall, safety and lighting are excellent, so overall a low importance, as it already exists. If certain pockets have been identified as lacking and if night access of these areas is appropriate, then the importance must also rise in line with this. 2.1.3 No segway unless in a designated zone and on purpose-built pathway. 1.1 Old Mill Developing this area via the many ways suggested and for the reasons outlined appears a great option to enhance community and its various facets...but to have the Mill swallowed up by 'concrete' surrounds would</p>

be a travesty. It would be great to retain a natural environment around the Old Mill that gently connects to the new man-made structures - the option to sit on the earth, beneath the Mill - a wonderful option to retain. Overall: The reticulated turf is incredibly green & lush. Does it need to be watered that much? Increase shade (tree planting). Selected areas can be re-vegetated with flora native to that area, 'drought resistant' and water sensitive design. Increase BBQs, bins, shaded picnic areas, shaded areas, especially shade from trees; seating, especially with arm rests -especially useful to assist people with lowering onto the seat and rising from the seat eg. painful arthritic knees; toilets and quality of toilet area; water drinking fountains, native planting. Create a greater narrative of the foreshore through information panels, plant life, education centres, walking tours and so on. Re Objective 7 Economic development - the balance of the Vision of the City of South Perth with Reserve status, with 'economic sustainability of the park'...let us call on true wisdom to guide us.

The Sir James Mitchell Park is a unique area - used by people from the wider areas of Perth - It should be preserved for future generations. The lakes particularly. Removal of reeds, have also lost us the Black Swans - who came here to nest from Mungers Lake. They mate for life  
No Pelicans anymore. I know the area since - The War!!

Improve the facilities that are there e.g. Playgrounds - look at what other councils are doing with their parks and playgrounds.

Upgrade playground facilities, family areas (Barbecues) and lighting. These should be Council priorities.

Improve the lawns, no trees, maintain river walls.  
Leave us alone, no developments in front of properties.

Our view of Elizabeth Quay has been obliterated by an ugly palm tree whose prickly fronds are a hazard to pedestrians and bikes on the path and a haven for rats. Please do not devalue our property by planting as per your plans more trees in front of us. The park is a great open space the public enjoy. Please its not broken don't try to fix it! We have been fortunate to live at 99 for 60 years

Retain the uniqueness of the parklands by focusing on passive recreation rather than commercialisation - this is what draws people to South Perth.

Fixing river wall as most important of all things to do.

Try to keep South Perth as it is.  
Avoid built up areas as is happening on the City side of the Causeway.  
Don't sell our parks - we'll finish up just like a million other places. .

1. Perth waters and Sth Perth foreshore is sacred!
2. Rate payers must never sell or lease.
3. Create a linked history/ culture info, along entire foreshore.
4. Must be an environment for everyone/anyone - e.g. home made picnic and waterbottle not development of expensive exclusive facilities.
5. Opportunity for world class area  
Bris/Melb pathetic!

There is a need to preserve the existing peace and ambience of the foreshore which is becoming lost in other cities with situations like our foreshore.  
No need for commercial permanent structure or large density areas. Seeing how the City of Perth has handled their foreshore is an example of how you can ruin what you have. Tourists are amazed that they can walk along the river and view the City in such a lovely safe environment. No cars or busy roads. Lets take it slowly.  
Once lost it will never come back.

There is no provision for items that are not required. Please note that I have added a "Not Required" column,  
There is nothing wrong with the foreshore and this area should not be the subject of commercialization. Just keep it for peaceful use!! .

Most visitors enjoy the existing openness and water vistas and lake views.  
More small cafes / kiosks, low level in inconspicuous, that blend in. not infringing views!  
The lakes area is well utilised by families having picnics.

The foreshore is perfect the way it is and I believe there is no need to change anything!  
It is very important to maintain the lakes as they are; as currently there is an abundance of wonderful wildlife and there is NOT an issue with mosquitoes. I strongly wish to maintain the lawns from the Jubilee strip of homes to the Swam River as it is a great area to play with friends and family! It is very important to also maintain the peacefulness of the area. I strongly oppose any building being erected from the strip of homes on Jubilee St to the River as I believe buildings being erected would ruin the foreshore. .

Leave it as it is - Natural!

Congratulations - well thought out and with options. Emphasis ought to be on greater use of foreshore, making it attractive a fun. Small children are well catered for in South Perth. The criticism I hear is that S.P. planning is focused on young children and "old farts". There is nothing much for the 16 - 30 year old group. While I am a trained historian, I have to acknowledge that most find interpretive centres to be boring and there is little interest. Put more emphasis on creating the foreshore as a place of outstanding beauty. Introduce a greater array of plants and trees, consider deciduous trees - they are more attractive, provide shade in summer and do not interfere with visitors in the winter and therefore have less chance of being cut down or poisoned by residents concerned about their vistas. Cyclists are already very well catered for. Priority ought to be given to others.

Perth is a growing city, with unique river and foreshore parks and access. This is unique and special not found in other cities in the world. Why does Perth have to be like anywhere else? Keep the open space, simple activities in family friendly space.\* This survey is badly designed and ambiguous

The Council should preserve the foreshore. The current infrastructure is lovely and should be sufficient without further development except ensuring better lighting and signage. Keep it the way it is. Preserve the heritage and history.

Have strong negative view towards making a permanent entertainment hub at ellam st or on James Mitchel park The park should be reserved as a dog exercise area and for the occasional exercise area for community groups

My highest priority is the provision of a larger number of cafes along the foreshore, where I could drink coffee and admire the view.

The foreshore is a fabulous open space that should be maintained for public and community use, and this should be its main focus.

I moved to Perth 5 and a half years ago, staying in South Perth for 3 weeks. We ended up living there for almost our whole time in Perth (moved end of May to East Victoria Park) as we LOVED South Perth, largely due to the spectacular foreshore with wonderful views. We were lucky enough to live very close to the river and walked, cycled and jogged there almost daily during our time there. In document 01 it says that there is a desire to make Perth's foreshore like other waterways in cities in the world. My comment would be, be careful what you wish for - other waterways that I have personally visited are in Shanghai, Boston, New York, London, Paris, Melbourne, Osaka, Doha, Dubai, Venice and various other smaller towns and they all have one thing in common - they are very highly developed, leading to crowding and pollution. The MAJOR attraction of Perth is the spectacular natural beauty and the Swan River is the jewel in the crown. The incredible, unrivalled access to the river from Sir James Mitchell Park is a remarkable feature for a capital city (albeit a State capital) and while I feel that there are great opportunities for development such as increased transport, pop-up food stalls, increased shading and educational awareness, it would be a very great loss for the natural beauty to be done away with for the sake of "progress". The things that I do find frustrating however, are that as someone who enjoys regular jogging, the whole set of lights is never working, which makes running in the dark unsafe or intimidating for a lone female. In addition, I have seen several of the watering jets squirting directly in to Mill Point Road, and one has even been known to be pointing straight back into the river! Not so good for being waterwise!

Please leave something of our central CBD river unspoilt unlike the Elizabeth Quay development across the water. This is a very important public space for exercise and community without needing formal development. It is one of the most charming aspects of Perth and to over develop the commercial interests would be to damage the city's charm.

I can see the popularity of a restaurant that Perth doesn't have. Many years ago; I walked from the Warwick Resort (in the midst of the South Fijian coast) directly off the shore, along a stone pathway (with concrete facade that led to a hut of a restaurant. Each side of the pathway was lit with those fires on the ends of thick, wooden sticks. We could implement the same idea off the South Perth Foreshore somewhere, where there is a great view of the city lights and a place where ample car-parking could be developed. It might start as only a night-time thing but be an al-fresco type arrangement; that is a great upper-market lunch meeting venue.

There were some fantastic ideas in the brochure. I especially like that CoSP would like to maintain the character of the foreshore -- which makes it great for recreation, picnicking, and nature appreciation. It would be a shame to overdevelop this site and destroy its magic. CoSP should not invest further in car parking at the foreshore because this primarily benefits non-ratepayers. The foreshore is also an important, safe and direct cycling link for residents and non-residents. The cycle path should be straightened. At intersections with the car parks, right of way should be given to the most vulnerable users first -- 1. pedestrians, 2. cyclists, 3. motor traffic.

I think the South Perth foreshore is grossly underdeveloped and needs to be upgraded to provide greater utility in all areas. The parklands are a disgrace when compared to areas such as Kings Park and the city foreshore. The public should have access to and use of the water which means more shade, seating and BBQ facilities are required. Reasonable commercial development of the foreshore is essential to maximize utilization. This should be done using a licensed based system via leases granted by council. They can be long term and definitely operated by the private sector. It needs to be done this way in order to have a chance of gaining public support or else the public at large will feel that the commercial benefit is being given away. Classic Australian mentality, and yes you can sense my disdain. The park areas and esplanade need serious landscaping to make them useful, particularly during the summer months. Plant a few trees for God's sake and prosecute the idiots across the road who poison them because it buggers up their view. After all, it is a park! The cycle ways are adequate and may need a little upgrading but not much. The connection to Herrison Island could do with improvement and the cycle across the causeway is sometimes a bit tricky. I have used the cycle ways extensively and find them generally very good but certainly adequate. Don't waste money on them and put it into access to the water and landscaping. Finally, don't get side tracked by the morons who want the place left untouched so it stays just the way it is, for everyone. Tell them to piss off to Rottnest which they've managed to maintain at the standard of a prison colony. Use my comments as you see fit and if they are of no interest or relevance just hit the delete button. Regards, [Name Supplied] (ratepayer)

Ferry to service Coode Street Jetty.

Good luck for everythings

I Love to see people enjoying our beautiful foreshore.

Can't wait to see what happens in the future!! Good luck with planning and designing!  
Cheers George

1. Plant the carpark medians with native river foliage plants to provide visual integration - and once planted leave it to grow naturally. The medians at the Narrows jetski carpark are good but the Coode St ones are continually planted then ripped up.
2. Move the Coode St carpark on the West of the Boatshed to the other side of the road where it floods every winter. This would enable the area to then be grassed as a picnic area which would be already fully shaded - shady spots in summer are at a premium.
3. Carparks should be located back from the river as at Ellam St.
4. Get some serious revegetation happening - the beach nodes are flourishing and look really good. The foreshore along the Mt Pleasant is a good example of what can be done, even with a very small footprint.
5. Plant more substantial native trees on the West side of the Narrows - it won't obstruct views and would provide visual tie in with Kings Park.
6. Put speed humps on both lanes before and after where Coode St crosses the cycle path - in fact where any road and cycle path intersect..
7. Put the Ranger contact numbers on all signs throughout.
8. The last tree planting programme was hardly worth the effort - for example the footprint of the melaleuca grove could easily have been increased without affecting views. More very tall, thin canopied, trees could have been planted.
9. At least double the area of the Mends St jetty.

I use the South Perth foreshore 2-3 times per week for exercise and activities. The

<p>current facilities are excellent and I would be opposed to any major changes in access and any reduction in the current open spaces and trees. I would support planting more trees.</p>
<p>Basic infrastructure like the river wall is critical - looks shabby in current condition. Efficient cycling routes and connections need to work for commuters and organised cycle groups - not just family outings. The connection across to Herrison Island needs to link with Perth City because the Causeway bridge is inadequate for commuting.</p>
<p>I don't support commercial buildings, mooring and fish-cleaning facilities around the Old Mill. They are just not appropriate for the environmental and heritage values of the Mill Point precinct.</p>
<p>I support work to restore Miller's Pool but I don't want to see commercial development around the Old Mill.</p>
<p>I believe with the development of the Perth city foreshore, South Perth foreshore has the opportunity to host events of international significance. I also believe the spaces would be well suited to 'pop up events' whether cultural, culinary or sporting.</p>
<p>With respect to the Mill Point precinct and Old Mill, I believe it is best left as an open area for public enjoyment. Landscaping and rehabilitation of the area in that regard would be good, but I do not think it is appropriate for commercial buildings or activities in this area.</p>
<p>I would be strongly against any commercial or residential development along the foreshore, the area needs to be kept as natural and environmentally sound as possible for future generations to enjoy as we have had the privilege to do in our childhood.</p>
<p>I would like to see the foreshore remain as a great green open space! not become clogged with highrise residential type buildings. please keep our beautiful foreshore special!</p>
<p>I think the Cycle and Walk path onto Heirisson Island is an excellent Idea and should be given a high priority</p>
<p>I am concerned that any possible 'over' development may diminish the value and attraction the are already has for the local residents, as well as visitors from outside our immediate area.</p>
<p>Please maintain the feeling of openness of the foreshore space and do not clutter it with unnecessary structures, facilities and signage. The foreshore is an important escape from being cooped up in an office all day and being crowded by people and buildings during the week. Our twice (or more) weekly walks along the foreshore are crucial to our mental and physical well-being and coping with stressful working lives. The work already done on re-sculpting the Coode (?) beaches, headlands and foot path is a very good start to the revitalisation work and greatly appreciated by us, walking along that stretch as frequently as we do. The ANZAC Peace Park in Albany is very tasteful example of what can be achieved by way of low impact memorial, signage and landscaping. Will you be adding the Vic Park foreshore section to the planned area now that a council merger is on the cards?</p>



I do not understand what is meant by a 2.1.1.How can COSP have influence on public transport?

b1.1 A cafe or refreshment opportunity is needed at the Old Mill to generate visitor numbers and provide services to walkers, cyclists etc.

b4.4 What is intended by Integrated transport? Can the City have influence on that?

b5.2 Melaleuca Grove needs attention it is very neglected.

I love the park as it is and am opposed to large scale commercial development and large events for which the park is too small and too close to residences.

There should be no more apartments or residences allowed on the park. None of the park should ever be sold but retained always for public space. It is our "Communal Backyard" and is precious, performing an important ecological role for our City and its residents.

I am anxious about the statement "Doing nothing is not an option" if that implies more emphasis on commercialisation. \$ 800,000 maintenance is not a huge amount in terms of Council revenue and should be accepted as a low price to pay for a "Jewel" in the City's assets.

Thanks to all staff who care for our parks, they do a great job.

Connect Kings Park and South Perth foreshore via chair lift

There should have been an option to say Do not agree with some of the suggestions.

The key pedestrian link from the ferry terminal to the Zoo via Mends Street requires additional acknowledgement, even though it is somewhat outside the scope of the foreshore. An activation strategy for Mends street should include strategies to connect with the Zoo.

Windsor Park and Heritage House have potential for additional activation in this regard. What about a pop-up Cafe/Gallery in Heritage House and urban agriculture project in Windsor Park.

Key factors which I believe need to be addressed:

1. Water play opportunities - although we live close to water, opportunities to get relief from summer heat are non-existent. A children's water playground like Hyde Park would be a huge asset to the local community and visitors. Coode Street would be the logical placement for this.

2. East-west transport options poor at the moment. Our potential use of the ferry is prevented by being unable to get 2 small children to walk to Mends Street. I believe the ferry would be far greater patronised, if there was then a tram or some way of getting from the jetty back along the foreshore. I'm sure this would be utilised by city workers as well as for pleasure. A tram which ran back and forth in line with existing pedestrian/cycle routes would be amazing, and would ease the demand on car parking all along the foreshore. This would also become a tourist attraction - I think the views across to the city from South Perth are the best in Perth.

3. Increased opportunities for roller skating and roller blading. I understood that these activities were prohibited on the foreshore.

4. What about a small dedicated cycleway or circuit for small children learning to ride? At present, they get cleaned up by the speeding cyclists on the proper paths and are not meant to be on the pedestrian paths. Kids love the independence of being able to ride, scoot or whatever and a dedicated area on the foreshore would be brilliant.

no

I found that some of the questions were a bit 'loaded' and it was unclear what I might be saying was high or low importance. e.g. What does "provide diversity of food and beverage outlets" at the Coode St node mean? Is this a carte blanche for full-on development of a restaurant area? Similarly 'upgrade family recreation facilities'. Is this a full-on 'fun park'? There is a lack of clarity about what the Council might do with the responses and how mischievously (or responsibly) they might be interpreted. The key issue is that I would not like to see 'heavy-duty' commercial (or other) development in the area and that future development has to be low-key. Keeping the 'simple beauty' of the place is important and irreplaceable. There will be Elizabeth Quay (and there is already East Perth) for heavy duty infrastructure and development. The aim here ought to be to complement the 'green space' because it is such a rare thing and it would be a shame to lose it through over-development and 'tizziness'. So, simple, low-infrastructure enhancements at low density should be the approach.

I would prefer to see a second commercial hub with businesses, food outlets and a promenade/market place toward the east end of Sir James Mitchell Park along the west side of Coode street. The Mend St area is already very congested and parking is difficult at the best of times. There is ample parking around Coode St and the area could do with a bit more variety.

Two rows of buildings parallel to Coode St set back a reasonable distance from Coode St (and Mill Point Rd) could create a second commercial hub without impacting views to a great extent. The setback would allow a promenade/ market space along Coode St and a similar space could be mirrored on the west side of the buildings. A lane between the two rows of buildings from the river car park to the north could be set up to provide service access.

If restaurants, cafes and shops were set up in these buildings they could also service the events that are hosted at Sir James Mitchell Park. Maybe an office space could be provided for use by an events co-ordinator or Council staff.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

Regards,

(Name Supplied)

Please consider community events run with sustainable technologies that incorporate the environment. There is a significant number of bird and fish life that will return to this part of the river if environmental measures can be taken. I live 10km away on the Canning and the dolphins, ospreys, cormorants and pelicans are all present here in good numbers with minimal natural reserves.

Over the years we have been increasingly subjected to antisocial activities during and after private functions held on the reserve immediately in front of our property. At the conclusion of these functions, people usually congregate on the road outside our home waiting for taxis – which can be a long time coming! I am constantly faced with cars parking on the verge, with occasional breakage of reticulation fittings. Council provided me with an after-hours phone number for the ranger, but he has not once turned up to issue an infringement notice. People are not prepared to walk an extra 100 metres from the car park, and become abusive when requested to move. I regularly collect cans and bottles from the park after these functions.

Although I accept that some private usage of the park is appropriate, I object to a wholesale increase in these functions to generate revenue for the council.

I also register my strenuous opposition to any proposal that will result in permanent structures being built on the South Perth foreshore parklands. The occasional fencing of specific areas to accommodate a special event is acceptable.

I do not support the issuing of long-term commercial leases on part or all of the park.

I reject utterly any suggestion that part of the park be sold to developers for the construction of apartments. I realise this is highly unlikely, given the public outrage that would result; nevertheless, the point is worth making.

Council seems more interested in “monetising opportunities” than reining in its expenditure. We have just suffered the worst economic downturn in living memory, yet council rates have just kept climbing. Rates should have stayed steady or decreased during this period.

I strongly object to the arrogant and dismissive statement in the draft “Doing nothing is not an option”. This implies that council will implement some or all of these proposals regardless of ratepayer input. Council should not be telling ratepayers what is or is not an option.

Have separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians in the foreshore instead of shared path.

City of South Perth well done on giving the members of the Community to let you know our thoughts.

Please repair river banks and remove fences! Love the paths and views. Would be nice to have a few more clusters of Palm trees (or other architectural trees) such as those near Mends street to enhance the views. Please don't allow any more residential to be built on the foreshore land, but a sympathetic cafe/bar near Coode st (lower key than the boatshed restaurant which is way too \$\$\$) would be a real draw to the river precinct.

More informal cafes, boardwalks and dog exercise areas not to be reduced. The current restaurant Boatshed at Coode St needs a larger casual cafe section or a better arrangement. Perhaps license some coffee/ food vans to service the flagpole area, under the Narrows Bridge, down towards Heirisson Island region and near the scented gardens region.

Fun cats at Coode St to be placed in a manner that doesn't take up all of the existing beach area. Maybe a double stacking or two deep placement but at the moment they occupy in the sailing season too much prime beach space.

<p>1. Improved transport is meaningless unless there is the demand. This is the problem with the night ferry service; there is no need for it.</p> <p>2. So, what is most important is creating activity - which is achieved by creating places where people want to go. Congratulations, there are many examples proposed. So let's do some of them. Importantly, lets do some which are unusual, quirky, unique or iconic!</p> <p>3. We desperately need more water fountains, bins and shade.</p> <p>4. We need judicious commercial facilities to suit the area. Not large development. The Shelley foreshore cafe is extremely well used. We should also look for businesses with diversity (NACS - Not Another Coffee Shop).</p> <p>5. The bike path must be carefully managed and speed limited (30-40km/hr cyclists should be on the road; 50-60km/hr cyclists should be at the velodrome). The City has a duty of care to make the paths safe.</p>
<p>Plant more trees. Need shade and more picnic facilities. Need cafes and wine bars.</p>
<p>I am all for more cafes restaurants and activities on the foreshore and also more shade and seating. Too much grass and not enough trees - more trees needed. Better ferry service needed and also go to coode st again.</p>
<p>At Mends Street provide additional 2H free parking on foreshore.</p>
<p>South Perth foreshore , is presently magnificent as is! Why would council wish to further interfere with a beautiful and well used and appreciated part of South Perth. Just maintain existing.</p>
<p>This foreshore survey is worded in such a way that it does not reflect what we really want (hence the added 'not wanted' column) which is basically to leave the foreshore alone. It is an open space for the peaceful enjoyment of all, not just South Perth residents. It is not for Councils to balance their budgets with moneymaking schemes. The effective repair of the concrete foreshore retaining wall should be paid for by the State Government, with advice from the Swan River Trust.</p>
<p>No high buildings</p>
<p>There are seems to be no plans for CAR PARKING. Plans for public toilets in the park - yes - and yet we still do not have public toilets in Angelo Street. Leave the park as it is, with just small improvements because with all the high rise in South Perth we need these open spaces for people to relax and enjoy its beauty and space.</p>
<p>South Perth foreshore is a great space and would benefit from introducing shade, shelter and more family events. Great place to live. New signs look great.</p>
<p>Need to ensure that off lead dog exercise areas are still maintained in all nodes.</p>
<p>I love South Perth Foreshore because it is a very simple natural space. I do not want to see it littered with dozens of food outlets and car parks. `If it isnt broke, dont fix it`. A small amount of `Tweeking` is all it needs.</p>
<p>Hi, please don't plaster big portions of the foreshore with horrible pink concrete paths like you have at McDougall Park! Please also make sure you bust any selfish residents who try poison trees to 'enhance' their view. Foreshore is a lovely and valuable space, thank you for its ongoing management.</p>
<p>I represent Rowing WA (I am a member of the Rowing WA advisory board. Rowing WA is very interested in assisting the City with respect to the Coode St precinct. As you</p>

<p>know, there is already a boat house in this area. We think Rowing WA can make a real contribution to the City's vision of activation for this space and the provision of water based activities that can promote a healthy lifestyle.</p>
<p>In general terms I believe the South Perth Foreshore should maintain it's existing area of parkland with minimal development of new permanent facilities. I also strongly oppose any of the foreshore being utilised for commercial type events (shows, concerts, etc. but excluding charity events and annual Skyworks). I am totally opposed to any of the river-side walk paths or cycle paths being closed for the purpose of a commercial event. I agree with the practice of having separate paths for pedestrians and cyclists however, I believe improved signage is needed to designate path type and who can use it.</p>
<p>The biggest most important thing to improve is access to public toilets. There are not may toilets on the foreshore and those that are normally disgusting and filthy that i don't myself nor my kids to use them. <b>PLEASE ABOVE ALL ELSE IMPROVE TOILET FACILITIES AND MAINTENANCE</b></p>
<p>No to commercialisation and increase density to node 10. It will change the landscape and scar sir Mitchell park indefinitely</p>
<p>I would like to see night markets, weekend markets for fresh foods &amp; produce. More cafes, a wine bar for casual outings without being forced to the Windsor. A bit of life in general into this area would be great. More exercise equipment &amp; tidier bins (crow proof). It's such a beautiful area with lovely views to the city, unpolluted with dolphins in the river. I think we should be proud &amp; make it better &amp; promote to tourists to show it off.</p>
<p>We are residents on Mill Pint Road. We frequently make use of the outdoor space provided by James Mitchell Park. The park is not only visually appealing and invaluable for recreational purposes, it also provides important feeding/nesting grounds for a variety of wildlife including migrating flocks of cockatoos that gather for courtship. The idea of developing this park is appalling. It would be detrimental to both human and non-human inhabitants in the area. We therefore strongly urge you to consider continuous protection of this valuable heritage.</p>
<p>I would love to see water taxis operating in the area. It would be so good to be able to take a water taxi to East Perth or Nedlands. Not only would it be scenic it would reduce traffic and be a nice way to have a drink without driving.</p>
<p>It is wonderful that some time and energy is being spent and subsequently utilised for a better outcome for this particularly beautiful part of South Perth!</p>
<p>It is encouraging to see all the development taking place, and the new improvements that are planned. A wonderful part, and great asset, to the Perth foreshore.</p>
<p>Make the south perth foreshore have its own identity and a place where people want to go and enjoy themselves. Provide further opportunities for economic development through the provision of cafes and like.</p>
<p>Thanks for asking us as I use the Coode St foreshore at least twice a week sometimes more!</p>
<p>One of the most important issues for me is maintaining the free, open space. I would be against any of the public space being sold for residential development. I would also be against any large commercial developments that limit access to the general public. The plan looks to promote community engagement rather than exclusivity, which is great to see.</p>

You should focus on the parts of the foreshore that are neglected. Its quite weird going from Mends st jetty to say, swan river trust area which is basically left to ruin.

The Mend Street Promenade is very uninspiring and the choice is limited driving people to other locations. Coode street doesn't offer much either. As a whole South Perth doesn't have anywhere near the appeal cafe strip/social life of a Mount Lawley or Leederville despite the fantastic natural landscape. Mend Street could be a fantastic asset for Perth and a lively community centre.

The South Perth foreshore is relatively unique in its stance as a green open space within the confines of a city. Maintaining that should be a priority, once lost it can never be recovered. There appears to be significant scope for utilizing the areas as event spaces in a similar way as Supreme Court Gardens has been in the past; with the development of Elizabeth Quay maybe there is more opportunity for South Perth in this regard. I don't see the need for commercial development on the South Perth Foreshore, Elizabeth Quay on the north side is providing that for the city and duplication will not be sustainable in a city the size of Perth.

Survey should not be used to push INCREASED RESIDENTIAL DENSITY ! ALL NODES should have VISTAS retained. Ellam Street could have a Café, Toilet Block, Bike Racks, extra Parking etc., WITHOUT intruding on the Vistas of Residents of Lamb Street. This seems like a logical place for more social engagement as there isn't anywhere along the Foreshore, east of Mends Street, other than the Boatshed Kiosk, if you want to obtain a Coffee and Snack whilst out walking or cycling. Ellam Street would also cater for the Swan River Trust, and Southgate Centre employees as well as Locals. I believe that Pop-up type Catering should be introduced right along the Foreshore on an experimental basis, especially east of Coode Street. What is NOT needed is more Boatshed style venues, where there is a very small Kiosk and people refer to the Boatshed Restaurant as a Wedding Reception Centre. It is the masses which need to be catered for.

Using the 1 to 10 grading for the zones was difficult for me because my mindset when starting the survey was "apply these criteria to all of the foreshore".

I chose Neutral in many cases because it meant (for me) "This is not something I would use but I would not deny other foreshore users the opportunity of having these facilities."

Where I said "Low importance" didn't necessarily mean "I don't want this" either The negative (but valid response) was not obvious from the survey "scale". My impressions only.

This leads to the unfortunate issue that you've had to "quick step" around where survey questions say "(while maintaining vistas)". I suppose it's coming from my own values of sustainability over facade that I'd prefer to see residences that were smaller and had money invested in solar panels and subsurface rainwater tanks (that no-one could see) over the large structures that are mostly empty but an eyesore (AFAIC) when looking back into the residential area from the foreshore. How much of the vistas is about "Look at me" as it is "values" attributed to a view of the CBD. Please stand fast in your considerations of the foreshore as a space for everyone and ideas for shade and meeting spaces not being compromised for a few. Thank you for the depth of consideration given to the investigation process. A point on the survey of little consequence at this late stage but for future surveys. The question: "I wish to subscribe to receive email communications from the City of South Perth" Only had Yes and No and not "I'm already subscribed" which means these possible mis-communications:

1. I said No because I'm already subscribed (not that I -don't- want to receive communication),

2. I said No because I'm already subscribed to one list at CoSP but I may have missed an opportunity to subscribe to a list specific to the foreshore development (what was I being asked to subscribe to)

3. I said Yes and I've just doubled my subscription on the same list (although most maillist servers will handle this)(I'm 2. in that list of uncertainties)

I've seen people that have brought a deck chair and stumped it down in the middle the large green spaces; just to "feel" space I guess. I think that is an important part of the foreshore.

I'd like to see any interpretive material on the foreshore have a link -directly- to a web page for that particular location/signage at the CoSP web site. If CoSP have or can develop a close association with the historical society, I think it would be a valuable archival record to host as much material as possible on CoSP servers (too often on-line material is lost when organisations slip and lose server space, domain registration, etc). CoSP will have backup regimes for the City IT facilities so their is minimal media cost or administrative overhead in maintaining a repository of historical material. Calling for more photographic, oral history, and other resources as part of the project would be an opportunity for both organisations. Obtaining the material, converting it to digital form (if not already) and adding any explanatory text may be something the historical society members would want to assist with. In summary, keep foreshore signage simple and unobtrusive, offer richness of content and minimal cost (and "physical" maintenance) in the "virtual" foreshore. Also consideration of links from CoSP to external resources that have reasonable permanency (Google Maps for example).

I'm interested in the idea of mobile vendors who can operate under licenses from the City that require sustainable foreshore access; site power and water points, re-useable (returned) containers. These licenses can be revoked and provided to another vendor if City conditions are not adhered to. I would prefer that the City avoided significant infrastructure investment (buildings) or allow commercial participants to erect their own buildings and instead maintained the idea of the foreshore as open space with very "lightweight" commercial activity. A mobile food vendor doesn't mean they can't set up chairs and small tables to create their space and remove it each day.

I've participated in the consultative process so you know my views on sustainable living. As I've already said about the massive laminar air flow off the river across the peninsular (the reason the Old Mill's existence) what energy, water, food, and other resources are available from the foreshore "Commons" and how can the City share those across the Cities occupants ? Thank you.

Develop the Mends Street and jetty area, maintain the public open spaces west and east of the jetty - particularly Sir James Mitchell Park.

Increased people access is at odds with maintaining nature at the Lakes. Maintaining and developing breeding bird sites and increasing diversity of species at the Lakes will be adversely impacted by increased people access. With the further development at Burswood it is even more imperative to protect this habitat in City of South Perth

Any substantial developments should be part of an overall plan for Perth Water, from King's Park to Burswood, in cooperation with the City of Perth and the State Government. In particular the important Mill Point site should have an ambitious long - term plan which would involve removing the road from the bridge to the Esplanade,

introducing a ferry service to the city, establishing a significant museum ( Aboriginal/ Colonial history ?) rehabilitating Miller's Pool and introducing restaurants etc.
The present parking facilities are already under pressure and to encourage extra vehicle usage is detrimental to the very reason for wishing to live in South Perth.
Great Survey
Would like to see Ellam St end used more. Junior playing fields, club rooms. Beaches along the rivers edge BBQ's areas and shelters
Leave large open grass areas for activities. .
Speed limit signs (even the electronic clock which tells you your speed) on cycle paths - may be even signs saying "This is not a speed trial path" initially. No paid parking Temporary art only
Do not plant trees along South Perth Esplanade, 9 months of the year people love to have a foreshore area in which they can enjoy the sunshine and the panoramic views. For the remaining 3 months there is probably 10 days of which it is too hot to sit in the sun, don't come here on these days - go where there is shade if you to go out in the heat of the day, or go to the beach! .
Basically, the area is beautiful, and any "improvements" or "development" must not detract from this. I am opposed to commercialising the area. Its wonderful as it is. Keep up the good work.
I would like to see more shade on the foreshore. An extended walkway or harbour just like South Bank in Brisbane is very nice on a hot day and it makes the whole area nice to be in. At present there is very little shade which is surprising considering Perth summers are very hot. Also I would like to kiosk or small coffee shops and eateries along the walkway. We have a magnificent riverscape which is under utilised at present.
Please do not spoil the foreshore with too many activities and amenities and commercial enterprises.
1/ Many of the opportunities are too general. 2/ I would like the opportunity to consult on specific proposals. 3/ Concerns remain about the City of South Perth selling foreshore land vested for parks and recreation. 4/Need to preserve and conserve valued vistas and landscapes and not over commercialise. 5/How much will our rates go up as a consequence of these possible developments? Is there a target budget limit?



<p>Still hoping to have a rotunda in Windsor Park before I croke it.  More shade, more trees, more seating, more lighting.  Food carts or temporary food caravans depending on weather, with seating and tables.  More ferry boats on river up to Coode St, Casino UWA and Fremantle.  Could a multi storey car park be built so people could drive to South Perth and have somewhere to park, but not to expensive to park.  Open all shops Saturday and Sunday as they do in other Cities all over the world.</p>
<p>Priority - repair / re-design undermining of river wall  Priority - Esplanade West heading east - where not already existing - dedicated cyclist and pedestrian paths with improved and obvious signage - dual use paths are highly dangerous.  Bins in area from BBQ's (east of ferry terminal) to boatshed cafe.  Esplanade West - most visitors enjoy sitting on rugs/ folding chairs to enjoy vista of water and city lights. Suggest low - Japanese style tables for picnics, more bench seats and bins. Duplicate the impressive viewing deck. Minimal low line modern landscape gardens and feature paving. Any development should be minimal to this narrow lawned area, that is magnificent vista for visitors, photographers, bridal parties to enjoy.</p>
<p>I don't want to see lots of fast food vans. I would like some nice cafes, restaurants with outdoor area and picnic area ,plus improved facilities for dogs (like dog beach). it is important to keep lots of open space. I don't to see this becoming residential.</p>
<p>I like to see better use of foreshore through cafes/ bars and restaurants with al fresco, while maintaining some open space. Currently there is too much unused open space.  Thank you.</p>
<p>I would be very opposed to permanent marquees in any areas.</p>
<p>The Council should be keeping Mends St and Mill Point Road areas free from litter. Also the Mill Point, Esplanade West and Ellam Street Nodes should be given high priority upgrades as well as Mends Street. There is enough coffee shops and food outlets in Mends Street.</p>
<p>Bike hire is a great idea</p>
<p>Foreshore areas along S.P.Esplanade should be made attractive with well-designed landscaping treatment resulting in quiet and passive environment and retaining the wonderful views to the City.  East of the Esplanade there is space for considering more activity and providing well located parking and avoiding disturbing nearby residents.</p>
<p>Please minimise destruction of native flora and fauna.</p>
<p>Not enough car parking.  Urgent repairs before new proposals</p>
<p>No major development needed</p>
<p>Maintain what we already Have. Already have beaches, picnic areas and great areas for families to have fun - exercise.  Extra ferries and bus would be a bonus.</p>

<p>From an access point of view adding a couple of stops onto the existing "cat Link" would be good.</p> <p>From Mill Point to Mends St increase shade and seating.</p> <p>From Mill Point Rd escarpment eastward where there is greater access to space add shade, seating, more BBQ's, activities, Boating etc.</p>
<p>Upgrade the Mends St Jetty to incorporate shelter for ferry users. re-establish ferry service to Coode St</p>
<p>Do not introduce or encourage commercial food and beverage as it encourages "take away" and More litter.</p> <p>Keep as much open space for events like "Australia Day" but plant clumps of trees to provide shade, shelter and prevent soil erosion. Maintain vistas and the actual river edge from ongoing erosion with suitable plants. .</p>
<p>South Perth in need of swimming pool!! .</p>
<p>Fix Wall a "priority" Getting worse each day will cost more as time goes by.</p> <p>Parking there is none!!</p>
<p>I am strongly opposed to increase residential density in Ellam St precinct.</p> <p>I believe this is unacceptable and contrary to the aims of accessibility and enjoyment of the area.</p> <p>Enhancing flora and fauna and the natural environment should be a priority.</p>
<p>What's with the increase residential in Ellam Street? I don't like the sound of that.Keep buildings away from this unique &amp; fabulous strip of nature along our beautiful Swan River.</p>
<p>I do not want public open space sold or leased. I want to maintain the Lakes as they are as there is an abundance of bird life that use it as there own home and many people like to picnic on there rug in nature and watch the wildlife. Not everyone can afford the cafes but can bring there drinks and food for a day out with their family. The trees and plants in this area are beautiful and full of birds and other wildlife. I don't want any changes to the lakes area.</p>
<p>I do not see any need nor justification to sell any public open space nor do i see any need to commercialise any public open space. I believe council needs to retain the POS it has and modestly improve them without affecting any residents amenity. South Perth is what it is because of its tranquilly, it is not about what it could be!!</p>
<p>Ensure there is no land development to the open space in South Perth, particularly Sir James Mitchell Park which is part of the fabric of our community.</p>
<p>I would NOT like the foreshore area to become over commercialised! There are many cafes in Mends St and Coode St,! May-be 1 - 2 ice cream/drink Kiosks, but NOT restaurants! (There are two already!)</p> <p>Maintenance of river walls, footpaths and cycle ways plus beach, picnic/BBQ and play areas should have high priority. However, the MAIN PRIORITY should be the maintenance of the beautiful, green parkland!</p> <p>However, the main priority is maintenance of the beautiful park lands!!</p>
<p>other than objecting to proposed "theme park" at the Old Mill and any attempt to sell-off any of the existing parkland, no...</p>
<p>Put the survey link on the home page. Fix the paths and foreshore wall</p>

<p>Retain as much open space and vistas across the river to the city as possible. Studies show people who live near open space are healthier (physical and mental). Retain the current off lead areas for dog exercise, but reduce the amount of grass. Substitute low water use plants more suited to hot dry summers. A footbridge to Heirisson Island would enable much better use of this public open space for passive and active exercise. Thanks for the opportunity to comment!</p>
<p>We have lost BBQ's and seating at junction Hurlingham Lake /pedestrian path - would like to see more seats and trees planted for shade along pedestrian path, especially for older walkers. Thank you.</p>
<p>No</p>
<p>Please upgrade playground at Mend st jetty. It is outdated and uninspiring. Please consider international examples for inspiration. not more boring plastic.</p>
<p>As a resident for the past 4 yrs. The foreshore was the main reason for moving to the area. I love the foreshore mainly for picnics, for walking and ferry access. I believe playgroup areas are adequate. The majority of people using the foreshore for pleasure, exercise, it allows us all to walk, run, exercise the dog and enjoy the open spaces and water vistas. With the many development apartments its important to maintain the foreshore.</p>
<p>Fantastic idea of having South Perth Foreshore Plan. I suggest you form small public consultation working groups for each of the nodes that are a combination of membership from local residents and people with recognised expertise in the specifics for the nodes and the lakes(My local Community) Fantastic idea with the partnership with Perth Zoo (Consider Swan River Trust as another partner)</p>
<p>See Attached</p>
<p>I think the foreshore is lovely as it is. People can use it as picnic area or just walk and cycle.</p>
<p>don't destroy the heritage and village atmosphere of our area in the ill conceived notion of economic development. The city will a dance and provide council with significantly more kudos and utility with less 'modernisation' follies.</p>
<p>I realise you would like to upgrade the foreshore, but please consider the people who already live here around Mendis St area and opposite the building where I live the grass area is narrow. I am opposed to any sale of current parking land plus land behind the newsagency and the Windsor Hotel.</p>
<p>the recent improvements such as dual paths, beaches and foreshore vegetation areas are excellent. more improvements like these spread along the whole foreshore would be most welcome. With a few environment sensitive cafe establishments along the eastern end. The area has extraordinary natural beauty and does not need to be "enhanced" by trashy tourist developments or polluted by noisy intrusive jet ski type activities - it does need support and maintenance to be ecologically sustainable tho.</p>
<p>Its important to have a good mix so it becomes enjoyed by all including those who live on its doorstep.</p>

Community consultation and advertising should be engaged before any changes are made/
I have just purchased into the suburb of South Perth. The biggest influence on my decision was the parks and cycle facilities available here, compared to other near city suburbs .Many of the Northern inner city suburbs have quite possibly many advantages over South Perth but the parks and open space here are fare superior.
I have a 100 year connection with South Perth (my father was born here in 1913) and I have seen the sprawl of 'uncontrolled' and ugly super high density development of the Mill Point precinct. I grew up near Queen Street so have 'experienced' the changes at first hand. The one area left that acts as a 'buffer zone' to the whole Mill point area is the 'jet ski' area and parklands to the west of the Narrows bridge. Please leave this last undeveloped area as a sign of respect for what once was and a lovely 'nature' retreat that could be improved by more native tree/shrub planting and maybe a limestone retaining wall along the edge of the grassed area where the 'lawn' meets the beach (similar to the Esplanade). A lot of wading birds use this beach and surrounds during the quieter times and it could equally be shared/maintained as a sanctuary and low key recreational area as it is now, but better looked after and some more revegetation. Kind regards and thanks, 100 years of loving and feeling for South Perth, [Name supplied] and family.
I like the idea of regular night markets at Mends street. What about a weekly farmers market with traffic closed off on Mends street every Sat/Sun morning? Pop-up bars/cafes along the foreshore would be a great way to better use the city views. A water development, similar to Brisbane's South Bank, would be fantastic either close to Mends street or Coode street - you could add in weekend markets at the same location to encourage use. One note for kayak launch facilities, is that you will need to make sure there is parking (or pick-up/set down) right next to the launch facility.
Recommend alternate cycleway for commuter/training bike use at back of reserve and/or on Mill Pt Rd separate from speed limited tracks for slow bikes and paths for pedestrians. Facilitate mobile food/drink vans and outdoor settings during the high use seasons. May be opportunity with Vic Park for permanent food facility at Ellam St.
As I do a lot walking around the river I would like to see more hygienic water drinking facilities such as the one that I have seen in Murray Street Forrest Place. I sampled the water on Monday whilst they were testing it and it was fantastic.
the vista is so unique in the world that it should be left unspoiled
My opinion is that the Council should leave the South Foreshore as it is - the vista should be left undisturbed
I absolutely oppose any sale of current parking land Car bays are critically short and will be crucial in the revitalisation of Mends ST Commercial development adjoining residential areas must comply with the Council criteria and regulations: noise, parking, lighting, building size. Lack of parking bays seriously interferes with access for the elderly and frail in our community to Drs and pharmacists
Markets are very well received, see Mindi Beach at Darwin, Ulladulla Beach market for example.. Brisbane south beach is a good example of integrated facilities with food, recreation and events provided for. Mends beach desperately needs shade and BBQ

<p>facilities. Wider variety of food outlets required, especially family friendly. Having travelled all round Australia this is still the best location of all, just underutilised.</p>
<p>Prefer to see commercial activity in the Coode St. to Ellam St area as either "pop-up", or low key. Rubbish from these facilities makes its way into the river. Commercial Water activities should be limited to the current sailing or canoe only, i.e. no paddle boats!</p>
<p>One of the greatest aspects of South Perth and all river side suburbs is the retention of open green spaces for local residents and wider population of the Perth Metropolitan region. This is a unique gift that has been protected and provided to the people of Perth, and which many other cities in Australia have lost through commercialisation and residential development. It differentiates us from other places in Australia and around the world and it is critical that we ensure that these spaces are retained for our children and grand children and generations to come so that they can simply fly kites, run around with a dog, kick the football, chase seagulls and spend time with family and friends. If they are lost they can never be recovered.</p>
<p>First of all I would like to say what a great job the south perth city are doing on this project. The planning and set up of this project with the interaction of the community and stake holders is comendable. Let me tell you a bit about my business, The Squid Shack. We are located in Albany at the Emu point boat ramp carpark . The squid shack caters for locals and travellers in a casual atmosphere It is very popular because the building resembles a large gazebo with seating of 65 patrons at park like wooden benches. With plastic curtains which can be rolled up on a good day to let the air in or closed to keep it warm on a cool day. we have a simple menu with fish &amp; chips, squid, burgers being our main components. Our locals send the tourists to us because the locals say we are the best fish &amp; chips. I have been thinking of setting up a second squid shack on the swan river for some time. I would love to set one up at Mends st foreshore or at coode st as I believe it would fit in perfectly. Thankyou for the opportunity to give my feedback</p>
<p>no</p>
<p>I am totally opposed to any residential or industrial development on St James Mitchell Park or any selling of the park area. This area should be valued and cherished by the council and considered as an area for relaxation, recreation and family activity for the people of Perth to enjoy. I would encourage South Perth Council to focus on repairing the river walls which are very unstable in parts. I would also like to see more street lighting in some darker areas to improve safety and more trees planted for shade (for future generations to enjoy).</p>
<p>We really enjoy using the South Perth foreshore for exercise and walking our dog. Having swampy ground adjacent to Ellam St is a real turn-off.</p>
<p>I oppose any sale of current parking land. Carbays are critically short and will be crucial to the revitalisation of Mends Street. Commercial development adjoining residential areas must comply with the Council criteria and regulations: noise, parking, lighting and building size.</p>
<p>Serenity within the south Perth precinct is the most valuable assets for anyone who lives there and these people should not be deprived of such privilege and enjoyment for a long, long time. The present state of tranquility and unconstructed view should be preserved and maintained at all costs.</p>

In defence of my comments at The Lakes area, this is already such a lovely and pristine spot and an area I often go to for it's unique tranquillity. I would hate to have it commercialised and 'overused'? I'm sure the area could use some improvement, but doubt that offering a café strip or commercial venders would add amenity to this lovely and probably very environmentally sensitive area.

Great if the following could also be considered:

- Greater control over littering (BBQs, etc) - often left in very untidy conditions
- CCTVs for improved security
- More ferry services (Freo, Burswood, etc.)

One of the most urgent activity that I would like the Council to do is to make Mends Street an exclusive pedestrian area.

I would love to see alfresco cafes or small bars appear along the foreshore so you can sit with family and relax and look at the beautiful views and surrounds. Even something like the Cairns foreshore with mudlarks playground and cafe would be really good.

I am very worried about having more traffic through Mill Point Rd and less parking spaces everywhere, specially at the weekend and weekday evening and night.

I commend any improvements along the South Perth Foreshore to make it an enjoyable and attractive place for visitors to our state and families to visit and do fun things. Some weekend afternoon/evening market stalls would be an exciting addition. Much like what the Gold Coast and Mindil Beach in Darwin have. These places are a buzz and draw people to the area. Better use of our foreshores south AND north of the river are long overdue. You may get opposition much like the North Bridge Tunnel but who would be without it now. Those who oppose ANY improvement are denying future generations the enjoyment of potential facilities. It was alright for past generations to give them what they have now but how selfish to deny future generations something better than what we have now. Perth needs to move forward and it needs people of vision and courage to move it on. Any development should be in sympathy with the area, residents and the well being of the community in mind. Any multi storey building should be discouraged to help keep the integrity of the area. It would be a real draw card for South Perth and Perth in general. Not only that, it's about time it happened.

I feel the council should be keeping the car bays behind the Windsor hotel and making them 2 hour free parking as there is a vast shortage of parking in the mends street area , also remove the raised pedestrian walkway that has been blocking ratepayer access to the council car park from the street that was placed by the council 6 months ago

I enjoy living in South Perth and appreciate the opportunity to participate in a survey such as this. I also appreciate very much, the time and effort that is put into keeping the foreshore in such an immaculate state. It is also always a pleasure to attend any of the activities that occur on the foreshore and it's surrounds throughout the year. I feel the Council does a fantastic job in servicing the South Perth Community as a whole and I wish to offer my gratitude to them. I have been a resident here for the past five years and would not consider moving to any other suburb.

I am disgusted that the council is even considering expanding residential zoning into current park lands. Your role should be to protect and conserve the natural ambient so precious to the area. If you want more buildings on the foreshore, move to the Gold Coast and develop your heart out there.

No is low importance and yes is very high importance is yes. No 99 year lease or do not

sell iconic park. Keep river and city view . The view from the escarpment in mill point rd , is the best in perth . It shows off the entire city not just a section. Improve the look out at mill point road. No high density buildings, no residential like Burswood area. Do not spoil the park which is the green belt do the city and swan river

No means very low importance and yes is very high importance is yes. I do not want any public open space sold or long term leasehold of 99 yrs. only leaseholds of 40 years. Keep all river and city views from mill point road. It is the only section which you have an expanse view of the whole of the city kings park to Burswood. What an expanse uninterrupted view. No high rise or high density in public open space. Keep infrastructure similar to current buildings in the park.

I would love to see fitness equipment added along the foreshore, near the Mill Point end (not spread out, but in one location so that a workout which involves using the same piece of equipment can occur, so all of it close together).

The Swans (and other birds and wildlife) along the river at present can not get out of the water and on to land with how the foreshore river wall is currently constructed. This may lead to adverse outcomes like Swan chicks becoming trapped in the water, becoming exhausted and drowning. Planning for the new foreshore development should account for wildlife interactions with their surrounding environment so that a concrete wall running for hundreds of meters doesn't become the norm (by default). Expert opinion should be sought to ensure that a suitable solution is found that includes the needs of the local and iconic river wildlife.

Thankyou for the opportunity to partake in this survey....

It would be a shame to see the City of South Perth sell the foreshore land for commercial development. Rather I would prefer to see the City retain ownership and lease to retail commercial activities.

Avoid residential development on freehold land owned by the City of South Perth

I would like to see much more development on the city end of the esplanade - between the Narrows bridge and Mends St, including a pedestrian foot & cycle bridge direct to the city (you can link it to the new Elizabeth Quay?). Please keep as much of a green area as you can and plenty of trees as they keep an area cooler. Paving the whole area might just be unnecessary and is very environmentally unfriendly! I'm referring to the artist's impression sign in front of the Old Mill. I'm happy for that area around the old mill to be filled with shops, cafes and businesses as it is currently under-used, but please consider if the courtyard in the middle really needs to be paved?? On the sign it looks hot and there's no shade. It could be a garden area like Central Park in the city and would be much more inviting that way! I also like the Miller's pool please look after that.

The block of Mends St where all the shops are would be a good pedestrian street and if pedestrians had right of way over traffic crossing from the jetty to the Mends St pedestrian mall then I think that would greatly improve flow-through between the ferry and the shops and Zoo. I'm picturing lights in arches above the street, trees along the middle, café tables and umbrellas. It would be a great place for markets - a regular farmers market like the one at Subiaco primary school. I want music and dancing eg tango lessons or displays, theatre eg shakespeare, folk dancing, acrobatics, local performers with a guitar sort of music, jazz etc. Wellington Botanic gardens has a really successful summer program of music and theatre at their 'Sound Shell' a permanent

stage is set up and it's used every weekend throughout summer, in front of the stage its just a field surrounded by gardens so the stage is unobtrusive when not in use.

Wellington also has a beautiful foreshore with markets and cafe's and shopping, boating, culture (maori waka house), theatre house, right in the city, they've made an industrial space work. We have more space and its parkland so we should be able to get all that and more.

Mends St beach area could also be a good spot for some markets and outdoor movies. Please, please can we have a train station?! Its a huge nuisance when you are taking the train to have to get off at Esplanade and then wait around to transfer to a bus (takes a long time at night). Especially when you are coming from the south and have to travel past your home and back. A train station at South Perth could save me an hour of travel time some nights. South Perth is not very far from Esplanade but neither is Esplanade very far from Perth Underground and they built that one. A lot of people live in South Perth I'm sure it would be well used. They say South Perth is already well serviced by public transport but I beg to differ! 10 years ago I lived in a village half an hour's drive out of Lyon, France. The public transport there 10 years ago was better what we have here today and I only live 5 minutes from the city! There are few busses on Sunday nights and they stop very early. This means I have to rely on taxis, although usually it just puts me off going out. I estimate that helping young people who live within a stone's throw of the city go out at night will do a good deal for the local economy.

I would like to see kayaks for hire, but I don't think its necessary to have concrete launch pads for them. Its very easy to launch a kayak or canoe from the beach and also concrete is very environmentally unfriendly and an eyesore on a beach.

Past Mends St I would like to see nature areas being preserved; for picnics, walking, cycling, going to the beach. Sure some new facilities would be good such as toilets, water fountains and shade but on the whole the area is lovely at the moment. Please not too many food outlets - one or two is enough here and no other kinds of shops please. But please do upgrade the river wall its looking very dangerous! Also do what needs to be done to control the storm water and improve water quality and conservation of the lakes. A footbridge to Heirrisson island could be nice, I've still never been across there - because I would have to walk to the Causeway too get across and its quite far when you've already walked from Mends St.

Please no limo/bus tours!! (One of the suggestions earlier in the survey) Its a lovely outdoor area we can do without filling it up with dirty noisy busses thanks.

It's an exciting time ahead & I'm looking forward to seeing what you make of the area.

Thanks

I would like to have avenues of trees and more paths in the area between the river and mill point road

As a 50+ year resident of South Perth and the daughter of South Perth pioneers (who owned a large amount of land in the early 1900's) PLEASE acknowledge the history and people (of all races) who first settled the area. Preserve what is left of the old buildings & historic records. Increase public awareness of early South Perth Pioneers ( ? monthly Southern Gazette expose) . PLEASE DO NOT build the proposed modern structure at the Old Mill site. It will ruin the site and overpower the antiquity of the place. Build reproduction 1800 & early 1900 buildings instead that can provide the needed facilities. I have travelled extensively and seen how well reproduction buildings of the area can meet the need for modern facilities when existing old buildings have been thoughtlessly



<p>destroyed. While I have your attention....PLEASE can we go back to randomly coloured lights on the large pine at The Old Mill. Coloured 'layers' just doesn't look festive enough. Who puts bands of colour on a Christmas tree ?! Thank you.</p>
<p>I believe that a small low key cafe, museum &amp; improved gardens at the Old Mill Windmill would be an instant win with the local residents and improve the Mill Point area. Another instant win would be the upgrading of Mends St - remove all the retail foot path signage - select a theme for the location and require businesses to conform to the theme - this would attract people and improve business.</p>
<p>Can you please introduce a system which enables runners to calculate how far they have run by marking out kilometers from various points around the river. For example showing the distance in kilometers from Mends Street to Coode Street, and so on. This could be by signage or markings on the pavements.</p>
<p>Park areas after heavy rain are in numerous places waterlogged and unsuitable for increased residential density unless high cost footings are put in place which make park areas unviable for building</p>
<p>Yes the selling of the car bays behind the Windsor &amp; news agency would not be of a benefit for these businesses as It is hard to find car bays as it already difficult to get into these establishments. And to pay someone for the benefit of parking whilst getting there paper will be a bloody nuisance. As well as roj kebabs fine foods.</p>
<p>[Name supplied]... I have surveyed the areas and agree that the whole of the foreshore is really nice but needs a freshening. I think that a squid shack situated either at mends st or coode st foreshore would be a great asset to the area because of its relaxed atmosphere. We are very stringent on supplying good food at a fair price</p>
<p>I support most of the objectives and specific aspects within the proposed foreshore plans. These have provided a very good basis for longer term thinking. I particularly like the incorporation of sustainability principles and a balance between essential commercial interests and availability of open space for people now and a long way into the future. Key areas that I believe need special attention include: (a) We urgently need adequate funding to protect the river/land interface. This is reaching a critical phase. Water levels in our river are increasing at a higher rate than global average sea level rise. The costs of addressing this are going to be considerable, but much less than not addressing it now. The City of South Perth must be innovative in sourcing funds through Commonwealth and State government funding; Lotteries Commission, philanthropists, and major companies (including the casino) that can be recognised publicly by appropriate sponsorship signage; media stories. The zoo has 'adopt and animal' funding, why couldn't a sponsor "adopt a kilometre of river frontage" by donating a proportion of funds to the Swan River Trust for restoration works. If there were more commercial ventures attracted to the river front, they could also contribute to a levy that they could pay for river frontage protection. They would be benefiting from the views and use of the land- why couldn't they pay into a fund to help restore the river itself and the foreshore? This should apply to short term and long term establishments on a 'per square metre' basis. The City of South Perth should not be doing this on its own. There are other Councils that face the same problems all along</p>

the river. We need a united front to build support for saving the Swan River. Its general health is known to be declining rapidly and many kilometres of foreshore are being eroded, with trees and other habitat disappearing with it.

(b) I support the notion of enhancing the 'Old Mill' area and the foreshore on the other side, but I am very concerned that as our population is growing rapidly, and the number of cars is increasing very rapidly as well, we are in danger of putting wonderful infrastructure into an area that is going to be impacted by traffic and limited parking space. Its not that long ago that the Narrows Bridge was duplicated and a train line put in. We must get a much clearer picture of how the traffic volume will grow and how the traffic coming off the freeway from the north, will impact on the Old Mill area, and what is likely to be done in the not-too distant future, to further widen the narrows bridge, and/or install a tunnel in the same general area. I would very much like to see exactly what work has already been done on this issue and what ill need to be done to make sure that the development in the Old Mill area is not seriously affected by it. It is also essential that 'through' traffic does not impact heavily on the safety of people, and especially children enjoying the otherwise free and open spaces as indicated in the artist's impression. For some reason, we don't do enough to keep pedestrians and vehicles apart, like other cities do. In the type of environment we are trying to build, we need to make sure that pedestrians (and cyclists) are our priority, and not make them subservient to vehicles. Let's get the traffic studies done to a high standard, communicate it to the local community and develop the right strategies to take account of future pressures in this area. The traffic study should also take account of the impact of additional traffic along Mill Point Road to the Labouchere Road intersection, because the population and therefore the number of cars along this section is also increasing, as taller apartment buildings are being established.

(c) It would be good to have a special interpretative centre to educate people about the river dolphins, and the river itself. The dolphins are a fantastic attraction- few cities in the world have the privilege, yet our dolphins are constantly under threat from impact with fast moving jet skis and speed boats, pollutants entering the river, and the loss of fish habitat due to algae blooms and deadly low oxygen levels in all but the shallow areas of the river.

I'd be very happy to add further to my comments above and would appreciate the opportunity to be further involved in reviewing plans and discussing options for improvement. I can be contacted via [supplied]

I find the format of so much of this survey to be very restrictive and the language used very obscure -especially in Part 1 - what does "foster and promote sustainability" actually mean? Or "improved car park Management"? Does this mean charging more? Who Knows!

For me an absolute priority for the foreshore is some "cheap and cheerful" food outlets - not everyone wants to go to Coco's or the Boatshed Why not a foodhall as they have in Darwin?

I feel the current situation is very elitist and much more could be provided for families in addition to the existing Barbeques

It is paramount in my opinion to plant more trees along the foreshore west of the Bellhouse cafe. Looking at the strand in Townsville would be a great place to start. The area as it is very boring and walking along it is very very hot in the summer months without any shade. Get rid of the English rose gardens and plant trees! In summer our

foreshore is barren of people as opposed to Point Walter where there is plenty of shade and the river activities attract heaps of people. South Perth needs more activities on the river perhaps holding skiing events to watch or let the jet skis back in or hire canoes up this end. Also the car park east of the Bellhouse near the Flag pole should have been at the back and not in the front area where it is. As it is now our cars have lovely views of the river and the people sit back behind them?
In general, I would like the South Perth Foreshore space to retain/maintain its open nature. That is, not be 'cluttered' with activities/events/entertainment. There are very few cities that people have free access to the river foreshore that is open and free.
the foreshore development is a great opportunity to connect all the other perth city developments such as elizabeth quay to create a greater sense of culture and interest in perth.
What has been planned sounds wonderful if we can afford it. Shade which does not ruin the vista in the summer (perhaps can be removed before our milder winters) is very important to me, especially for the fabulous Fiesta concert. Walking in the late afternoon towards the west is particularly hot. Some shade along the way would be great. It is a pity so much of the foreshore is inaccessible to the public because of the huge tents on Australia Day. However the daytime events are very exciting and cater to many and the big open tent is very well utilized. Good luck.
I feel it's important to maintain natural/environmental areas, but there seems to be so much grassland that rarely gets used (except day Australia Day). Carefully planned integration of environmental, cultural and commercial (cafe's etc) space, coupled with good transport facilities is what I'd like to see. Also - what about a pedestrian bridge from Mend St to Barrack St with cultural/environmental features (sculpture, gardens etc)? That would be a great link from the new hub on the South Perth foreshore to the new Elizabeth Quay! Thanks.
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. increase shade trees for amenity and environmental sustainability</li> <li>2. eliminate pesticide use to protect public health, improve water quality and wildlife habitat</li> <li>3. better river "wall" design to mitigate erosion and enhance amenity eg. see Japan or other</li> <li>4. Do not spray chemicals near children's play areas and around trees where people sit for shade.</li> </ol>
More restaurants/cafes that have access to the city views.
Is there still consideration for a rail station at South Perth to reduce the traffic over the bridge to the City? If not what plans are there for the Mill Point exit bridge?
How about an open air swimming pool with beach as Brisbane South Bank?
Like the idea of a pool similar to Southbank in Brisbane located in Sir James Mitchell part. Need better playgrounds. Why not have the "worlds best playground" for families on South Perth foreshore? Weekend markets/stalls at Mends st are also a good idea.
Create more shade,seating,picnic areas. Put in more lighting along the west side of Mend Street and fix the retaining wall on the river.
Please do not destroy the lovely foreshore. When I see what is happening on the

Esplanade (land held in perpetuity!) it can only be hoped that South Perth is not ruined by greed and that open spaces and low-level improvements are enjoyed by all.
I am strongly opposed to the Council's plans to develop the Old Mill precinct and any plans it may have to sell off foreshore park land.
Fix the wall Improve the parking particularly at mend st -2 hrs free minimum
Pay parking 7 days a week until 6pm at SPE 11 parking station is resulting in this large facility being totally under utilised. The two hour free parking should be re-introduced immediately to encourage people to enjoy Mends St., foreshore walks and play ground facilities
poor show. arrogant in its implication
Develop develop develop! Increase PT, walking and CYCLING!
Retain our parkland for future generations. No Commercialisation no residential expansion.
Create a pedestrian Mall on Mends St from the Esplanade to Mill Point Road. This will enhance the gateway appeal, encourage visitors to use out door cafes and eliminate the traffic hazards in this area. Access for delivery vehicles to service the retail outlets is available at the rear of either side of Mends St at Harper Terrace & Ray St/parking area rear of Windsor Hotel.
Please keep our beautiful park for passive use and recreation. We would be opposed to any sale or lease of land or use for economic development apart from some cafes etc.
Not part of this survey but could we please have more 'buzz' in Angelo Street? A wine bar would be a pleasant addition in my books.
Great job so far. Keep going with the good work.
Mends St from the Esplanade to Mill Point Rd should become a pedestrian mall. Commercial vehicles will still have access to retail facilities from the rear, on both sides. The traffic congestion on this section of Mends St is hazardous for pedestrians. Tourists leaving the ferry to walk to the Zoo will have a more pleasant experience and a much better impression of the gateway to the city. It may also encourage more visitors to stop and enjoy the outside dining options.
Generally opposed to sale or lease of public open space. Improve Boatshed area space - open up cafe more like Deep water point. Improve take away coffee/ice cream facilities. Make people feel welcome there. Put pull off parking on Mill Point Road To increase visitors to different parts of the park. Put more and better rubbish bins in, especially between Boatshed and Ellam Street, especially for dog waste. Better bins in Coode St/Boatshed car park.
I believe the South Perth foreshore is one of Perth's greatest assets, however most of it is underutilised. I think the area around the 'pole' has a lot of potential but is currently poorly used as it is just a large grassed area (and the grass is dead most of summer). Also I believe access particularly through upgrading cycle routes is vitally important.
I am in favour of certain additional commercial activities, development along the foreshore as long as it is tasteful and improves enjoyment of the area... I am not in favor of significant components of this wonderful area being sold to residential developers.

<p>Please respect the native flora and fauna as a priority. If you must add 'children's play equipment' why not plant some trees that in future years will become good climbing trees for children so that they can have a hint of a natural existence (and lots of fun)!! Commercial activity should be kept to a minimum. Respecting the natural beauty of the area a priority.</p>
<p>Please consider a pedestrian crossing near Meadowvale shopping centre in Mill Point Rd. It is very dangerous as a result of high traffic and no safe crossing location.</p>
<p>improve scented garden and surrounding area</p>
<p>The best thing about South Perth foreshore is it's elegance through simplicity and nature. This is rear to find and seems to get lost in pursuit of commercialisation and money as cities 'develop'. The worst thing that could be done to the foreshore is to remove it's natural beauty through commercialising it and losing that great space that promotes a healthy life, peacefulness, a place to exercise, spend time with family and friends and a great space amongst a city; it would be very sad to see it lost through over development... IT'S GREAT FOR WHAT IT IS TODAY.</p>
<p>We use this area when we come to Perth and it is just a joy to be able to get away from the density of the city . Please keep the developers away and leave the area for the people and the wild life . The river is being choked with development. Travellers from all over the world enjoy the river from the South Perth side and envy us the wonderful space to just enjoy the view . Please please don't follow Perth and destroy our uniqueness. You now have the chance to listen to the people and be different so future generations will be able to enjoy this wonderful area due to people of vision.</p>
<p>River transport to and from the city from Coode St and Mend St needs to improve/be installed. Better cafes that are more kiosk than expensive restaurants.</p>
<p>I do not want to see canals and high-rise development along the Swan River foreshore. I love the fact that South Perth is one of very few Councils that still allows public access to the Swan River for family's to enjoy (particularly now that the average home block size has been reduce so much that children no longer have a space to play in). It is also enjoyable to participate in events such as Australia Day Sky Works. I also love the fact that the City of South Perth has been looking at the environmental aspect of the Swan River and realising how import it is. People from overseas love using the open space and are always commenting on how lucky we are to have it and to be able to use it in the way we do. They love that the river foreshore has not been destroyed by high-rised buildings that come right up to the waters edge with no access for the public.</p>
<p>All node are very important. It is nonsense to rank the nodes. It is very very important to keep all nodes as it is for our future generation. Once we lose them by comercial developments we can't recover them forever so we shoud protect the current precious park as Perth's heritage.</p>
<p>Please leave the foreshore undeveloped, as it is a fantastic asset to all of Perth, with intangible value that way exceeds any short term financial gain. I remember sitting there on summer days with my grandparents, and I hope to do the same with my grandchildren.</p>
<p>Like to see a facility to hold plays and music an amphitheatre or permanent facility. Delecourt theatre in Central Park as an example.</p>
<p>Would especially like to see Ellam Street locality and Mill Point locality improved. Both</p>

<p>are currently devoid of any character and feel very hot and desolate in summer and cold and empty in winter.</p> <p>Please plant more shade trees as skin cancer is a high concern to our population - prefer trees that offer some character and respect our local environment (ie not plane trees or pines)</p>
<p>Strong supporter of food gardens as a practical, educational and cultural heritage recognition initiative.</p> <p>The park needs more native vegetation as it will increase native bird food and habitat, once established not require the expense of irrigation and provide more shade.</p> <p>Linkage with rest of Perth water surrounds to increase usage and hence justify expenditure from sources other than City of South Perth/Vic Park</p>
<p>I believe that the foreshore is an oasis from the city and as they say ,If its not broken dont fix it !!</p> <p>Families come to visit ,for a tranquil atmosphere ,a safe haven for their family.</p> <p>Therefore no need for more cafes ,ice cream parlours etc which will only attract more litter ,vermin and lets be honest undesirable influences. We have trouble enough with discarded syringes and beer bottles now, God help us if other "activities" are introduced.</p> <p>There is more to having a good time than \$\$\$\$which I believe the South Perth Council is becoming obsessed with at the moment.</p>
<p>We have kilometres of beautiful riverfront on our doorstep but all the cafes and restaurants seem to have tried incredibly hard to avoid water views. Cocos is directly on the river but few tables have a view and the designers of the Boatshed kiosk decided that the children's play area should get a river view and that diners would prefer to look at the car park. Please can we just have one cafe with a view?</p>
<p>Yes</p> <p>1. Build a cable car/ Chair lift from Kings park to Mend street via the Bell Tower.. could be extended to the Zoo. This would be a hit for tourists and revitalize the ZOO</p>
<p>It may be a pipe dream but would love South Perth to have its own recreation centre similar to Melville. I take my toddler to gymbakids in Melville because we don't have anything like that in South Perth.</p> <p>Also very supportive of additional food outlets / cafes along the foreshore, with some at least being family friendly.</p> <p>A couple of enclosed playgrounds would be great. Definitely need more shaded areas too, both for winter and summer.</p>
<p>Yes now the good bit. So a big waste of my rate money. Ridiculous long winded waffle of a document, who the hell would read this! Lovely use of graphics and keeps many in a job I am sure. What about health, education and maybe our refugees!!!</p>
<p>How about a mono rail around the river</p> <p>Water park would be great</p> <p>also consider a recreation centre (Pool &amp; Gym)</p>
<p>Im very concerned about any open space including Sir James Mitchell Park that might being considered for develepor on the forshore and also believe proposed flame trees along the Esplanade are a total waste of time and would only destroy the look of our forshore ascoss to the city.</p>
<p>The best shade structures around are beautiful trees. Plant more</p>
<p>Congratulations to the City of South Perth for outstanding recent improvements e.g.</p>

<p>beaches, paths, signage, lighting, bbqs. The major asset I would like to see expansion/protection of is the melaleuca grove/boardwalk. The ecosystem supports beautiful wildlife and should be better protected - I'm happy to volunteer to help!</p>
<p>Please keep the whole area a dog free zone.</p>
<p>Do it well!! Select your supplier wisely and review what has worked/not worked for other cities. It is such a great space and it needs to be brought to life properly. Do not mess it up like Perth tends to do.</p>
<p>I'd very much like to explore the opportunity for a dragon boat paddling/racing club to be established on the river in the bounds of the City of South Perth.</p>
<p>Shade - There are many questions in this survey that relate to shade but seem to imply artificial structures to provide shade. The best shade option for public open space is to plant trees. Trees will also maintain vistas as they are part of the natural environment and will provide habitat for birds and help maintain healthy soil/drainage etc. A few gazebos with picnic tables are nice to be scattered across the foreshore but natural shade options should be implemented wherever possible.</p> <p>Healthy lifestyles - the foreshore currently receives far too much chemical weeds spray. I have rated outdoor activities and running/cycling paths very highly in the survey however I am concerned about my exposure to chemicals when using these areas. The concept of 'healthy lifestyles' referred to in this survey should be considered holistically. I encourage the council to put strong emphasis in the future plans around enabling people to get out to enjoy this open natural space however some responsibility must be taken by the council to consider any negative impacts to people's health from councils weed management activities when they use these areas. Particularly, the survey asks about children's play equipment but I notice that the current play equipment is frequently surrounded by dead rings of grass which I assume are from weed killers. It seems very strange to me that the council would spray areas that it is encouraging children to play at. 'Public Health' requires a much broader consideration in this survey and in all future planning.</p> <p>Toilets - the picnic facilities, water fountains etc. are very well maintained but, by way of new/improved infrastructure, the area could do with more toilets and an upgrade of the existing toilet blocks.</p> <p>General comment - I very much enjoy these open spaces of grass, trees, lakes etc. and find it a very relaxing place to visit 3-4 times per week. I would love to see some well considered improvements to the area but definitely not large scale changes that remove the natural feel of the foreshore. I strongly discourage business/structural development as a focus of the planning as the SP foreshore currently provides a lovely contrast to the built up city areas on the other side of the river. I would love for all future works to maintain that vibe.</p> <p>Many thanks for the opportunity to comment.</p>
<p>Opposed to any public open space land sale or long term lease term.  Opposed to high density commercial in public open space parkland.  Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and tranquility of the park.  Increased access to dog areas with additional bins and dog bags.</p>

Create a new South Perth yacht and power boat club. Instead of re-doing the old mill, dig-out and create a large mooring area with boardwalks, a function centre/ clubroom, restaurant and cafe which has its back to the freeway and faces north east to the city. The City could make income from many residents who could moor their boats, it creates a sense of Perth as a water playground, would bring people to an underutilised area (and they can still see the mill while in the vicinity).

The proposed old mill development, sitting on the freeway is not the place for people to enjoy the cafe culture. This mistake has been made with the redevelopment of the playground at Como Jetty - no-one likes to sit next to the freeway or take their children there and the area is rarely used.

The proposed moorings would also provide a great commercial opportunity, modelled on the Walsh wharf in Sydney and Canary Wharf in London. With its back to the freeway, the building would block the noise and the boats would be well protected. The views would also be spectacular and a place where people want to enjoy coffee, food and wine.

I believe this idea would generate a great deal of community support. I gravely fear the old mill redevelopment is a white elephant in the making, and at an enormous cost to residents.

I support the revitalisation of the precinct as long as the amenity of the existing residential infrastructure and residents is not impaired in order to benefit outsiders coming into the area.

We need better integration of bus and ferry services. I believe that the bus services should deliver passengers to ferry for transport to the city, much like the buses feeding the trains on the northern/southern rail lines, but to a lesser degree!

More seats required along paths - sometimes the gaps are huge, sometimes they are well placed closer together.

I notice that migrant Muslim-dressed families tend to come after work and would like to see them assimilate better - I suspect that they come when the father is not working.

Does this mean that the women and children do not come at the best time for the children, during the day?

Dogs are fine - encourage friendly dogs everywhere.

Historical interpretation is interesting - whatever happened to Rotary's suggestion of a Chinese Garden?

I think that most users enjoy recreating on the South Perth foreshore because it is relatively undeveloped and leaves a lot of open natural space for everyone's enjoyment. I would love to see the natural environment enhanced by the planting of more native gardens and a variety of plant species. I would love to see the foreshore maintained as a natural space which harbours birds and other fauna. I would be very sad to see the foreshore developed with more commercial activities, and would recommend that if these are seen as desirable, they are concentrated in the Mends St area. The Lakes area is alive with birds and I think it would be a bad idea to establish a cafe which concentrated human activities around The Lakes - whereas the Mends St area is already full of people and could probably handle some more commercial development. Thankyou for the opportunity to comment.

It's great that residents of South Perth have been asked for their views, great! Maybe some surveillance cameras in car parks to deter thieves and also at the public restrooms. Could these be monitored by ranger services? Two 24 hour rangers to be on call for



South Perth would be great too!
Remember people live in this area and there is a limit to what they should put up with. One Australia Day per year is OK, add another large event and it is too much.
The latest seating on the foreshore is badly designed. The aluminium and grey recycled plastic ones. In summer most are in full sun - only two seats out of 10 in the shade by my count. In winter water collects on the aluminium panels and is the last to evaporate so the aluminium is wet until midday. This happens in summer too but is not so serious. Old fashioned wooden seats drained better and dried quicker. Poor design in practice but they look good. Make the architect/landscaper sit on them in winter. The result - wet bum! Regards Mike
No.
"if it aint broke don't fix it"
Please provide the opportunity for storage for Dragon Boating, such as rowing clubs have it. A shared facility with other water based sports would be suitable as well.
A fantastic initiative of south Perth local govt - wonderful and hope you gain the community's support to progress the ideas presented. Thankyou!
your job is to empty the rubbish bins and mow the parks, not to pander to the environmental interests and minority interests
Whilst there are a few more cafes popping up in the commercial strips there needs to be increased utilisation of the foreshore, particularly around the Mends Street area, for small pop-up bars, cafe's and events. With the large number of apartments and high-density housing throughout the South Perth and Como area there is a significant youth population whose needs for nightlife, shopping and dining are not currently being met. The South Perth foreshore is probably the single best location in Perth for unique and interesting attractions/development and something similar to the inner city 'beach bars' of Berlin and Vienna would be well suited - particularly with city views. ( <a href="http://www.strandbarherrmann.at">http://www.strandbarherrmann.at</a> , <a href="http://www.visitberlin.de/en/keyword/beach-bars">http://www.visitberlin.de/en/keyword/beach-bars</a> ) If some cheaper, alternative retail space with relaxed development requirements could be opened up along the Mends Street (and other commercial districts) to encourage some more creative options, along with easier access from the city (the ferries stop extremely early, even in summer) and continuing along the same theme as the Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority has taken with Perth and Northbridge is well overdue.
Dear Sir/ Madam, Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment. To improve Sir James Mitchell Park I would for the Rio De Janeiro designed cafe/ bars with large fixed umbrellas provided at the beach areas and at various other strategic locations. See hyperlink <a href="http://www.rio.com/restaurants/kiosks-at-copacabana-beach">http://www.rio.com/restaurants/kiosks-at-copacabana-beach</a> The design of the structure is not intrusive. The cafe/ bars each had large circular sliding doors to secure the bar area and sufficient space was available inside the building to store the out door furniture after closing hours. At specific locations along the foreshore underground public toilet facilities were also provided. Where canoe / small boat hire venues are proposed please provide large floating pontoons which can also be used for families to relax at a cafe/bar area similar to what has been provided on Lake Zurich, Switzerland. This large pontoon had a cafe bar/ area

with small change rooms and sufficient space on the pontoon to enjoy the area. See hyperlink [http://www.lago-zuerich.ch/fileadmin/slideshow\\_lago/index.html](http://www.lago-zuerich.ch/fileadmin/slideshow_lago/index.html)  
 In the past outdoor swimming baths use to be found along the length of the Swan River and to bring one back into the City of South Perth would be a significant for the residents of South Perth who currently do not have an outdoor public swimming pool. Recently the City of Copenhagen built an outdoor swimming baths. See hyperlink <http://jdsa.eu/bad/>  
 The South Perth foreshore is often used for entertainment events. To increase the use of the River I'd suggest that floating pontoon stages be provided in the river similar to what they have done in on Lake Bregenz in Switzerland where they have incredible events. See hyperlink <http://twistedifter.com/2011/08/outdoor-opera-on-the-lake-stages-of-bregenz/>  
 I also noted that the draft concept plan presented does not illustrate that Coode Street Jetty. Can this jetty please be shown on future drawings and identify the need to re-establish the Coode Street ferry service and ensure that the car park is designed to provide connecting Bus drop off and pick up services.  
 I would be keen to receive feedback regarding my suggestions  
 Regards [Name supplied]

I think you should not just be focusing on the foreshore but also look at getting the interest from across the river to entice people to come across, looking over from barrack street jetty and whats soon to become a hive of activity in the new quay the South Perth foreshore is extremely bland, so there should be information and signs on the other-side that will bring people across, this will create more use of the ferry service and spread the people out, both sides of the river should be working in unison with each other and looking at it as a whole package, not this side and that side which is what i feel is happening now, think "yin and yang" - a little bit of this side in that side and a little bit of that side in this side

Please consider the current multiple uses that the foreshore provides for people when redeveloping this area. There are a multitude of people who use it from children who are learning to ride and scooter, walkers, joggers, picnics, more structure fitness groups, and high speed cyclists. Each should be catered for with a safe separation between these users, so as to reduce the potential for conflict. I am also highly in favour of higher density living near the foreshore to cater for a growing population and good public transport links (I am thinking free cat buses) with the city.

Less grass and more signs and interactive things

Systematic improvement of facilities could make this a competitive tourist venue for WA

The Sir James Mitchell Park needs to be preserved for the reasons that Sir James Mitchell donated it to the City of South Perth. The whole of the Area under discussion should be to promote family recreation and not for the support of commercial enterprise.

If anything, widen the pedestrian paths, increase free parking and make a softer surface for runners.

I would like to see some sort of night markets setup along the foreshore. In Darwin there are the Mindil Beach markets which work really well during the dry season and have lots of people coming for the food, arts and crafts. I think a location somewhere on the foreshore would be ideal to create a similar sort of environment. Perhaps it would be

best on a night during the week, maybe Thursday during Summer period (maybe the festival timing?) and have foodstalls and arts/crafts set up along the foreshore. Maybe along the stretch from Mends St. Parking would be the issue though. Alternatively could try and do a weekend market during the day time on a Sat or Sun morning until midday. Also have this arrangement at various locations in Darwin eg. Parap and Nightcliff. Only issue would be conflict of the cycling paths with the markets. If you have a defined area though on the grass, so you limit the size of the markets, would be good. During the morning, it may encourage people to ride down there to partake. To my knowledge we don't seem to have these kind of markets setup in location such as these in Perth, and I think this would be a good tourist attraction as well, taking in all the various cuisines from metropolitan perth, along with art/craft which should improve cultural aspect that the city is trying to go for.

Please fix the deteriorating waters edge as a priority it is dangerous and an embarrassment

Minimise commercial exploitation, enhance natural beauty and sustainability - think long term, not short term. Stay natural, avoid plasticity and racket (noise-wise). It is not an area that will survive and thrive by being trampled to death .

Yes, most of these questions are hard to interpret with any accuracy. I don't want to see any sort of commercial developments on the foreshore as the uniqueness of will be lost forever.

There needs to be more separation of paths for cyclists and pedestrians as on weekends it can be quite dangerous with both using a single path. More visual signage needs to be installed for the existing separating paths as pedestrians ignore the "cycle only" signage. Another tea room/cafe could be situated in the Ellam street area as if you walk the bridges there is a long gap between the Boatshed Cafe and the cafes on the Barack Street Jetty.

Other than maintaining the foreshore as it is I don't want to see other development. Remember there are a number of ratepayers who invested heavily in property right on the foreshore and morally it is not right to expect them to have to lose their right to peace and quiet while in their homes.

South Perth has gained a reputation for crime and it's Public Facilities are rapidly deteriorating. A community vibe needs to be rebuilt for families and others to make the place not only feel safer and nice, but to be established as a safe and nice Community.

Do not expand the swamp in the park (melaleuca grove). no one uses it. It is unsafe to walk through.

Please improve bike path / pedestrian interaction at mends street jetty. I don't feel safe riding on the bike path there because of the poor layout so instead I cycle on the road through that section.

Most important is to have more to ha e breeding areas for Fowl also instead of large expansion s of lawn plant some shrubs  
Not necessary tall tree's as you seem to want to do.  
Thanks

Nope...

The temporary kiosk near the Narrows Bridge on Mill Point was an excellent facility a few years ago. Could it be upgraded?

I love the lakes area just as it is. The cycle path separated from the pedestrian path is

<p>excellent. It is wonderful cycling around Perth Water and I really don't want it changed but maintained to a high standard. Unfortunately, the council is not in charge of the Causeway but the dual use for pedestrians and cyclists is woeful and dangerous.</p>
<p>I think it is a beautiful area for the public to have outdoor activities and to socialise. Need to take in to consideration effect of too much traffic on the environment of the area.</p>
<p>I liked the old playground at the end of Coode street better than the new one (sorry!). It looks fancy, but isn't much fun. The people who updated the park in Manning down Ley Street did a much better job. Please encourage more development and places of interest to visit. More should be made of this beautiful area that is so close to our capital city..</p>
<p>Thank you for the opportunity to respond however I must say that many of the questions were not specific enough so the actual meaning was blurred.</p>
<p>Improve public transport to reduce peak hour congestion on millpoint road e.g. Coode street ferry, train station, more busses. Walking bridge into the city. Water playground for summer months around Coode st area.</p>
<p>The Mends st precinct in particular has so much potential. On the city edge, it could be such a wonderful hub. At the moment the shops are generally so disappointing and there is not much to entice the hoards of people passing through to the zoo to stop and browse.</p>
<p>More public transport including a ferry to Coode Street. Water playground near the fun cats catamarans. Have the fun cats provide more water activities including paddle boats and kayaks. Walking bridge to the city to improve peak hour traffic. Solar fairy lights on tree lined and main streets such as Angelo, Douglas and Mends. I saw this done in Portland USA and it looked incredible!!</p>
<p>Council is doing a great job with its existing infrastructure and creating opportunities for events for general public to enjoy. Keep up the good work.</p>
<p>I love living in South Perth and enjoy proximity to the water, the Angelo St and Mill Pt precincts. I use the library a lot and would like to know more about groups and learning opportunities in South Perth. I do not want a train station in Richardson Park as I am concerned it will lead to more crime. I can get a bus every 15 minutes to the city.</p>
<p>We don't want more houses or commercial business high rises wrecking our foreshore ... Leave it for our future generations to enjoy!!!!</p>
<p>The areas of the foreshore reserved for open fields and grassed areas is far too large and definitely underused. The excessive tracts of grassed open space, particularly behind the flagpole and near The Lakes, would have a far more beneficial purpose if restored to pre-European wetlands, with boardwalks, information and visitor facilities. The area between Mends St and Mill Point is also underutilised, the thin strip of grass not doing justice to the views of the site. Better landscaping might revitalise this area.</p>
<p>I am very concerned about any development that would result in - a loss of views, increased traffic, parking congestion, increased noise levels, loss of personal amenity, unsociable behaviour. I do not support commercialisation of the foreshore.</p>
<p>Foreshore development of low rise retail - restaurants, food and beverage - a 'café strip' by the water development would dramatically enhance these areas - particularly in relation to nodes closer to the causeway.</p>

Many individual people use the foreshore 5 to 7 days a week. Cyclists and pedestrians need to remain separated through appropriate paths and path markings. Regular painted path markings every 50m with direction and type (eg. pedestrian or cyclist) would likely allow for a significant reduction in infrequent users placing themselves on the incorrect path and creating hazardous situations and potential for harm. Signage and lighting is important. Some dark areas should be retained along the foreshore (e.g. near the Swan River Trust buildings) for use by nocturnal fauna that have been observed to have continued presence in the entire foreshore area over multiple years. Melaleuca trees on the foreshore should have hollows and short dead stems retained for fauna habitat value. The practice of the City of South Perth staff/contractors heavily cutting back these trees each year reduces this environmental value that can be achieved by doing less with no real negative implications. It is recommended that the City should extend its yearly replanting programs for Melaleuca, sheoak, flooded gum etc. along the foreshore to allow for eventual replacement of existing mature and senescent trees along the entire foreshore over the coming decades. This particularly applies for the areas immediately east and west of Coode Street.

I wish to advise that a lot of cyclists use the designated pedestrian walk-way along the foreshore. They have their allotted area not far from the pedestrian walk-way. I feel more signage should be made available on the walkway to advise that no cycling is permitted. I regularly go down to the walkway near Coode St. and advise many cyclists that cycling is not permitted in this area. Most times my words are met with a "could not care less attitude"

Thank you for my opportunity to make these comments, [Name Supplied]

Yes.

As a long-term South Perth resident, and a long-term future South Perth resident, I do not want ANY parkland under ANY circumstances to be either sold on a freehold basis or leased on a long-term basis. I am opposed to the sale of parkland, particularly any part of Sir James Mitchell Park and the greater South Perth foreshore area. Thank you.

Development and maintenance of playgrounds, BBQ's, and picnic shelters should be a high priority.

A playground similar to the Rio Tinto Naturescape in Kings Park would be ideal. It would also be great to have a playground similar to the Lake Macquarie Variety Playground (Speers Point Park, Lake Macquarie) which was awarded "Best Play Space in Australia" by Parks and Leisure Australia in 2011.

Any developments to the foreshore should not detract from the views of the Perth skyline.

The foreshore is a place to be enjoyed by everyone, including birds and other wildlife. It would be a shame if it became overdeveloped with too many buildings. It should be kept as natural and environmentally friendly as possible.

Something needs to be done to revive Mends Street and make it a focal point of South Perth for dining (of all levels). It seems to be dying a very slow and painful death over the last few years and something needs to be done to turn this around and make it the showcase of South Perth that it once was and still can be. I believe Mends Street is a wonderful and unique site in our wonderful city and we have one of the best views in Perth!

No

The green, open spaces are SO important to the liveability and attractiveness of South

Perth. Please do not urbanise or clutter them any more. Please allow dog access to the river.
Take note of foreshore development in Townsville, Qld. They have developed some sophisticated and enticing water features and playgrounds. South Perth children's facilities, play areas and parks do not reach the standard of many other local government areas - e.g. City of Belmont
If we could have more facilities for children to explore and play. perhaps different themes for the various nodes
I am very concerned about accessibility in that I don't want the Perth/South Perth foreshore to be segmented off and owned by a few people, with restricted access to the rest of the community, as can be seen in areas of Brisbane, Mandurah etc. I feel it is very important for people to be able to cycle or walk safely around the river as they can do now.
Within the South Perth Foreshore 2013 and Beyond investigations, freehold land held by the City of South Perth has been incorrectly annotated in your diagrams as regional parks. For transparency of your processes, would you kindly publish an erratum to your reports and make the necessary adjustments to the documents, on-line.
Most cities in the world from my travels have cafe other outlets near the river the park is safe and acessable at night which attracts walkers familys etc. .there is nothing here to attract familys or walkers the park feels unsafe at night lots of dark corners. There is vast oportunities for all here to transform the southern side of the perth river into a vibrant safe family orientated park with facilities for all at the moment there is just a slab of green grass that attracts noone
Wish to commend Council and the consultant team on the project. Unfortunately, like many of these its 10 years on from the earlier foreshore plan with no clear direction on implementation. So much time has passed that we repeat the exercise of ideas and visions. What local residents and the wider Perth community need is a clear "Part 4" Implementation Plan with priorities and cost estimates across the various nodes. The survey doesn't allow this to be fully commented on and without it the many recommendations of "Prepare, Investigate, Conduct etc etc" will result in yet more studies. We risk a further decade of limited implementation and directionless one-off studies such as that released previously for the Mill precinct. Very pleased to see the investigative work by the consultants as an excellent foundation, but the foreshore projects need to be costed and prioritised for the community to comment on. The many images used in the study show highly active, vibrant foreshores and my fear is that either a lack of priority now, or another decade of studies will see us continue to have one poor café at Coode Street and not a lot else. Strongly suggest NS Projects and Urbis be appointed to produce implementation plan for real funding and to engage with the Town of Victoria Park for a combined foreshore result. We need a better balance between open amenity and real foreshore development and activity. Southbank is a logical and real comparison.
Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback. It has been great to see the foreshore developments that have taken place over the last few years.
Please continue planting native trees for our native species such as the carnaby cockatoo, the beauty of south Perth is its environmental beauty so close to the city.
I think the ideas suggested here are great, however are they feasible financially? The

city is a great place to live, and getting the foreshore more interactive will increase peoples interaction with the space. Is it worthwhile linking with Victoria Park to have a complete flowing area?

I am so pleased to have the opportunity to have input in this Survey as our family has lived in the area for 50 odd years. I really would like to see more of the historic background of the area spelt out & celebrated ie Chinese Market Gardens & the fact that the area between Richardson Park & Mill Point was known as Booryulup the place of the Booryul aboriginal people "Magic people". Also the Old Mill site was the birthing place for Aboriginal women & a significant site. It was like a "hospital for them to go there & have their babies amongst the soft undergrowth of the area. "

By comparison to other recognised Shire Councils e.g Noosa Shire Council, the standards already established are almost as high. .  
There is a tendency to disparage what has already been achieved. Incremental beautification is always necessary but the idea of spending millions to compete with what's occurring on the Perth City side of the river is fallacious thinking.  
The parklands are beautifully maintained by conscientious groundsmen. Some more signposting to separate walkers from cyclists and significantly more historical perspectives perhaps attached to the proposed shade structures would be welcome.

I am very much opposed to additional building on any of Sir James Mitchell park or permanent fencing of any of this area thus inhibiting free movement and recreation in this area. In particular I oppose selling or leasing any parcels of land for private commercial purposes apart from where it provides improvement in amenity value of the park to all users.

I suggest the implementation plan for the project is clear, able to be implemented with set review dates and key performance indicators.  
Generally the foreshore is designed well, but it is lacking activation i support "short term wins" in and around Mends Street.  
Ability for property owners to split leases to allow additional tenants to move in in retail/commercial building in and around Mends Street.  
New residential development sites identified along the foreshore for affordable youth housing (for example 100m2 apartments).  
Please find a intelligent way to balance feedback from the community. Their is a vocal minority who will most likely address issues that don't reflect the aspirations of the younger generations.

I fully support making South Perth more vibrant, it's long overdue!!, but please respect the environment in the process. Too many trees are already cut down in the city, with fauna adversely affected. As a resident, it's nice to have some open space where we can kick a soccer ball etc. The foreshore is also our local park. So it would be nice if the area west of the Mends St jetty is left untouched to leave us with some natural/quiet space to enjoy away from the tourist areas. Thanks.

Do not commercialise the area.

I found this survey difficult to complete as it was impossible to have a full understanding of exactly what was meant by the questions. There were many ways of interpreting them. For example what does ' improve parking management actually mean' does it mean more parking meters? in which case my answer would be no or does it mean more free parking or maybe it means a multi storey, I found it difficult to have an opinion

when there is no indication of what is actually being proposed.  
Commercial activities and facilities, what would that entail? are we talking a marina or McDonalds overlooking the city? Who knows?  
The answer to most of these questions is 'it actually depends on what is proposed'

I would like to stress the importance of maintaining the size standard and accessibility of dog off leash areas. Also remember the foreshore is not just a SP facility, but a National treasure. Development must be in keeping with the low key park and recreational surrounds, we dont want a Melbourne or Brisbane South Bank, but an occasional cafe is fine with access for the elderly and tourists. eg The Boat Shed restaurant is a great facility and very popular for weddings, that is wonderful use of the foreshore. What about a statue park? Good luck with nimby.

It would be good to give more prominence to environmental interpretation - rather than just cultural - along the entire foreshore - acting as a sort of trail. The environmental centre might be better situated in a more visited area such as Coode St or proximal to the melaleuca grove where it can have maximum educational impact.

we need to work on one area at a time and completely finished that said area. To me Mends Street is so important. The link from the City of Perth to the City of South Perth( THE GATEWAY) Show old aspects of the old ways ie: statues like in the Midland CBD.

Although there are issues with water use, the beauty of the foreshore is the wide green spaces. Care needs to be taken with the idea of reintroducing native vegetation that it doesn't look scrubby, ugly and dry. With the issue of shade, we have lived here since 1986 and in that time here have been many trees planted which provide plenty of shade. In winter it is wonderful to walk along in large patches of grass with warm sunshine. Natives are not deciduous - no sun in winter! Esplanade west does not have trees but it would be a shame to see them there. That is the strip that provides such a wonderful view of the city.

Coode Street Boat Ramp - Minimal upgrade otherwise the boat ramp use could increase from current levels and thus traffic issues within the parking area may increase (limited parking areas are available anyway)

No finger jetty at the ramp - these tend to create a backlog if you have people that are launching and retrieving at the same time, the small beach area adjacent to the existing ramp is suitable for boat queuing This area should be kept as a small beach as this will allow people to feel the sand with their feet - touching our natural environment when getting into small boats

If jetty access is required the existing Coode Street Jetty is very suitable as it allows for different heights for boarding

If the beach effect is kept children that are going out boating with parents can have a little play whilst waiting also

No finger jetty also means less intrusion's into the river

The activation page is very informative and I really like the suggestions on it (i.e. cafes, markets etc). It would be great for South Perth foreshore to become a vibrant place with activities for younger people and for families.

I also believe that fostering and promoting sustainability is important in conjunction with facilitating economic development.



<p>The South Perth foreshore is an integral biodiversity corridor as well as a public open space of major importance for the entire greater Perth community.</p> <p>Plans to maintain and manage the foreshore MUST recognise that at present the remnant vegetation has a finite lifetime and no ecological systems in place to allow for new seeds to germinate naturally and trees be replaced. In order to maintain the open space aspect tall endemic trees should be strategically placed so that they do not detract from streetscapes or views to the city, and are best placed to provide shelter, food and roosts for endangered local birds such as Carnabys cockatoos. This practice should be applied to all appropriate POS sites (including Ernest Johnson Oval) to ensure existing trees are not lost completely during upcoming storms etc.</p> <p>The linkages between bold park, Kings Park, the foreshore and Curtin University/Salters Point remnant vegetation should be celebrated, and encouraged and supported by a formal multi-stakeholder management plan (led by COSP, involving nearby LGAs, the DEC/DER, CSIRO and technology park precincts, Swan River Trust, Clontarf, Curtin University &amp; Primary School and Collier Golf Course).</p> <p>ALSO - COSP needs to recognise what a terrible problem introduced rainbow lorikeets and Correllas are becoming, and work with DER to support a culling and palm tree management position ASAP.</p>
<p>Commercial opportunities could include a beach club, where people can hire sunbeds and umbrellas, be able to buy food and drinks and enjoy access to the beach and spend the whole day at this club.</p> <p>Many suburbs now have weekend markets attracting a huge range of food stalls. St Kilda has seafront markets where local handcrafts are for sale. A combination of these markets on a weekend on the river front would be very inviting to the public and encourage walkers &amp; cyclists to stop on their travels around the river.</p>
<p>We have a wonderful resource in the foreshore. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</p>
<p>The South Perth foreshore is a beautiful place that I have enjoyed in many ways over many years.</p>
<p>Re the Lakes Node: The Council has been requested to consider supporting radio controlled model yachting on the lakes (which occurs already) but it does not appear in the study or survey as an option. The lakes are already man-made and can be enhanced to add facilities and features that support RC sailing even better than now. Such enhancements would meet a number of objectives for the node - increased outdoor activity, social interaction, tourism attraction, family-oriented, while also still allowing for the environmental aspects of the proposals - RC yachting has minimal impact on its environment and is routinely carried out in natural environments with no impact on flora or fauna - ducks and swans peacefully co-exist. RC yachting on the lakes is an activity that should be considered for support as part of the Foreshore Strategy - it can only enhance the final outcome.</p>
<p>I regularly cycle and walk along the paths and it would be good if cyclists had priority over cars where they cross the entry into the car park. It would also be good if the cycle path could be upgraded between Mendes Street jetty and Mill Point Road so that a dedicated path could be provided for cyclists so they don't have to use the road.</p>
<p>Overall any focus on commercial activities will ruin what is a unique river front footage (and I've visited many cities around the world). We don't need more buildings, development, money generating activities, leave that for the bloated City of Perth.</p>

Focus on community, physical activities, the river and the great view/aspect will maintain this as the pleasurable place it currently is, and for future generations.
Please consider the multidimensional impact of security, traffic access and negative social consequences 'development' will encroach on residents.
More commercial and residential development at Coode St forget the Mill development or at least get a quality contemporary facility.
It would be great to see the foreshore upgraded with more shade and better pathways etc for all the residents of South Perth to enjoy.
please don't reduce off leash dog areas!
Whilst I am in favour of improving and developing the South Perth foreshore, an area which I live in and hold dear, I believe that it is of significant importance to ensure that the development protects the environment and continues to allow for open space. The best part about the foreshore is that it is an area for all and that no matter your circumstances, you can enjoy a walk, picnic, family time or day out with the most amazing views and in a lovely outdoor space. I simply hope that with the introduction of more commercial spaces that the essence of this area is not lost.
I commend the City of South Perth for conducting this survey in an endeavour to determine how the residents of South Perth would like to see the foreshore area developed and improved. I believe that too many Local Authorities fail to adequately consult with their ratepayers before introducing significant changes to their local landscape.
I would like to see it maintained for families, walkers, bike riders. I like the exercise equipment. Don't want it to become more commercial than it is at present. Keep for passive recreation please!
Thankyou for the opportunity to comment.
I especially look forward to having a choice of affordable and quality eateries available in the redevelopment to enable us more options for enjoying our wonderful Perth weather.
I would like to see wine bars in the city of South Perth, namely Mends street, Preston Street and Angelo Street. They attract a much better cliental than the pubs! Aimed more at the 30 age group.
Next time I've give us a survey where we can give the answers that we want to give. This so called survey gives us no flexibility in our answers. It is almost an insult to fill in such biased questions. Development for a lot of these areas should be kept to an absolute minimum. Keep so called heritage issues out of it. Provide good pedestrian access and maintain the cycle ways and save the rest of the money.
Would be useful in investigate the use of volunteers for the cultural heritage aspect of the foreshore - guided walks, etc
must maintain the uninterrupted vistas already existing at the SPF, that is the best thing about the area. nothing should be done to effect this. Clear views of the city are needed, no trees.
We have had events in front of our house on the foreshore which have caused considerable problems - with people coming into our yard (and surrounding yards) during events, rubbish everywhere, noise. We would object to any such events being on the foreshore. Also, traffic congestion is becoming more and more of a issue, parking is limited at

Mends street - this should be addressed before any works are done to attract more visitors to the area.
I would like to see Mends street become a pedestrian mall with parking facilities available to make the mall readily accessible (if this is possible)
I am really glad to hear that there are plans on improving our community. South Perth Admin/Councillors are doing a great job!
Just keep it as passive recreation we dont ever want organised sport it would be an intrusion on our lifestyle and was never meant for that, we dont ever may I repeat ever want a concert again it is too close to houses and was an intrusion our our security and privacy
There should be better transport facilities to the Old Mill other than the very slow route 33 bus that loops around Mill Point Rd needlessly. Also, I think the Esplanade West area is already good as simply an open area.
yes Do we Want more TOURISM to SOUTH Perth ????? BUT WHER CAN THEY STAY?????? What about a tourist Motel / Campervan / Caravan / PARK/ 5 STAR/ YES on IT WILL WORK
I think it is a fantastic idea to have a survey to decide the changes of the Swan River for 2013 and beyond, but I think there are still some amazing things that are part of the Swan River already that should not be changed.
I would love a dog swimming area between Coode St Jetty and the Wesley boatshed. This would integrate well with the off leash park, and had been previously gazetted according to vets and fellow dog walkers. I would also love to see a restoration of Coode st jetty and the other derelict jetties at Swan River trust. What about a circle ferry? The current service is so short and less useful. What about Barrack, Mends, Coode, Burswood, Hyatt/east Perth, barrack? Clockwise and anticlockwise? Thanks for all the improvements so far, it's a lovely place to live and so many more people walk than not. Incidentally, what about a small bar/cocktail lounge in the Angelo St precinct? I was hoping that Halo might apply for a licence....
I believe we need to avoid further development on the foreshore. We do not need more Cafe's, pop up eateries, shops and carparks. There is more than enough of this being developed on the city side of the river. The South Perth foreshore is important for its unique environment, vista, open grassed recreational area and heritage. These encourage a healthy use of the foreshore, not more cafes and microbreweries!
I exercise a lot with friends along the south perth foreshore and would like access to better quality drinking fountains to fill our bottles!
Can't we do something about the connectivity of the ferry service to bus terminals in South Perth. The connections to the Perth side of the river provide access to the railway stations and bus services which assist the aged and infirmed to gain access to the Perth foreshore but upon arrival in South Perth its walk or get back on the ferry and go back home. What about revitalising a Zoo Safari train to provide not only get on get off access to the Zoo but to the mall shops in Mend Street but also foreshore picnic areas and for the future access to the South Perth rail Station by Richardson Park. Perhaps the drivers

may also provide commentary on the areas history and heritage. It could even take visitors to the Old Mill site to make that a commercially viable development. Also can we return to the days when some of the less dangerous animals at the Zoo could walk the Mall and meet visitors at the South Perth ferry terminal. Wouldn't that enliven our City's tourist precinct and increase passing trade for the Mends Street commercial centre.

Great ideas, hoping to see something come of them.

The use of pop-ups / mobile (interesting) food options would go a good experiment.,

If the outcome is similar to Brisbane's South Bank, but with appropriate free open space for community use and access, the council will have done well.....

There are plenty of people using the foreshore to picnic and relax because it is a peaceful place. Where can families go to chill out and let their children run and play freely without them wanting money for organised activities? They are organised every weekday and most Saturdays perhaps it is healthier to wind down in parks. The boat ramp at Coode St is underutilized because the trailer parking bays are occupied by cars without trailers and boaties have to go elsewhere.

As i recent resident and having started to walk along the paths and have a very strong interest in environmental care, i would love to see developed over a period of time the removal of the river walls and beaches with river edge plantings of native flora along the inbetween nodes along the whole stretch of the foreshore similar to the beaches already existing with their native flora plantings. Ther is heaps of room to develop new shoreline and this will assist in the whole ecosystem of the river from plants, to animals and birdlife rehabilitation as well as water quality for the river. It will enhance the river/water experience but still allowing other changes and developments within the nodes. Thanks.

The improved integrated public transport changes are particularly important. Especially the ferry service to UWA.

The foreshore is magnificent as it is. Please don't destroy it. I would hate to see canals (as rumored). Don't follow Elizabeth Key development. A mosquito management plan is of the highest importance as it has been a real problem in recent years.

I have lived in South Perth for around 11 years, it is the best place in Perth to live, the trouble is it is too sterile and boring it needs cafe's even a Yacht Club open to all people other than Mends st the boat restaurant you can not sit by the side of the river and have a coffee till you get to the other side, it is about time you people put some life back into South Perth.

The rest of the country know how to utilise their water we have no ideas, you bow down to the do nothing brigade.

[Name Supplied]

Ps I wish we had a councillor I could vote for

I would love to see an outdoor roller skating rink. Perth has the perfect climate for such a quiet and healthy activity. If it was surrounded by picnic benches and shaded picnic areas it would be a wonderful way to increase fitness. Studies have shown that obese children who do not like to exercise because of weight issues embrace roller skating much more readily than other sports.

I would also like to see allowing an italian style gelato van visiting rights at the foreshore. Generally gelato are healthier than traditional soft serve icecream.

My top priority for the foreshore is to attract family groups. Primarily good shaded areas, less unnecessary signage (nothing spoils a view more than too many signs), definitely no more car parks around Mends Street. Would like the council to consider building a multi storey car parking facility between the Windsor Hotel and South Shore Shopping Plaza. Would like also to see another beach east of the Mends Street Jetty where the sea wall is crumbling. The installation of the other beaches was a brilliant idea and works so well. Definitely love to see more trees and shade, particularly west of Mends Street. I am sure it could be done and still maintain views but at the moment looks like a desert.

Recently, whilst visiting Cairns, was blown away by the wonderful job they have done on their foreshore, especially the children's water playground that is very low key, meandering, with not much height, very leafy and green, overlooked by a cafe facility that was well used by parents and families. Could we perhaps include something of that nature west of the Mends Street, Jetty?

My son and family stayed with me in South Perth over Xmas  
One day I looked after the kids and decided to walk down to the beach only to find it was far to hot and there was no shelter. Im not saying shelters that I think would create antisocial behaviour but maybe a few large trees that wouldn't totally block the residences views but give of shade.

As I am a local I notice over the summer the park is not utilized over that period of day

There have already been some great improvements to those "nodes" of our foreshore. The Millpoint area is looking much better then it did when my primary school would take us there to plant trees, and right from mends st through to coode st the foreshore is a much more enjoyable place for picnics and exercise. It really makes me appreciate living in the area and being able to get outdoors! Thankyou

A lot more important issues for the City to address and spend its dollars on - or perhaps even seek to retain monies, lower costs instead of pursuing "interesting" projects at the ratepayers expense

I believe Coode Street Jetty should be developed as a hub for mixed beverage and meals options with a few small cafes and wine bars along a promenade.  
Also a pedestrian/bike bridge to link with Heirisson Island would be a great idea to encourage use of the Island

I am very impressed with the South Perth councils prompt response to fixing facilities on the foreshore eg fixing the footbridge over the lakes and removing fallen tree earlier this year.

I'd suggest having a water play park similar to Townsville's Strand and Muddies Playground on the Cairns Esplanade to be located near the Coode Street playground as there is parking and a café nearby. Improved toilets / change rooms would be required. Near the Ellam Street open space I'd suggest having a kids playground similar to Donnybrooks Apple Fun park with adult exercise machines close by so both adults and kids get exercise in the glorious Perth sunshine:)

Very much like the idea of a café near Hurlingham lakes :)

In the whole area covered by the survey there is an inadequate and limited amount of seating; there should be more cafes and food outlets particularly in the Ellam Street area where there is already power and water to the Water Dept and Swan River Trust; the river wall should be replaced by a higher wall in some places and beaches in other suitable areas with more consideration for bird life.

<p>Whatever development happens I hope a balance can be maintained. Logistically the issue of crossing Mill Pt Rd needs to be addressed - there are not currently many places where it is safe to do that.</p>
<p>Just to say that I have been living in Perth for 9 months and think the city is stunning. I love the foreshore and walk it most days. I love the way you have preserved your parks &amp; recreational areas and would hate to see it commercialised.</p>
<p>Keep it open and exclusive for residents</p>
<p>As a cyclist I am pleased that there are bike-only paths along the foreshore, however there are almost always pedestrians using the bike paths, rather than the pedestrian paths (despite signs and painted markings at the start of the path). There needs to be increased education that pedestrians (including runners, skaters, etc) are not to use the bike paths, and that the reason for this is their own safety.</p> <p>It's possible that many such offenders join the path part-way along, and so do not see the signs. Perhaps there should be clear markings painted at regular intervals along the path.</p>
<p>Current facilities like toilets / drink fountains / bbq / lighting / seating needs URGENT upgrade. This should be done before spending on wasted things - Like, what is the purpose of those dozen or so bike racks near the lakes where nobody ever use / need them?</p>
<p>Our foreshore is a complete treasure. The challenge is to keep on upgrading the "nature" side of the park including bringing back more paperbarks etc (well done on work so far) AND to keep it accessible to all....not just we lucky people who live in South Perth. Having regular and integrated public transport and limited car access to the park will be essential into the future. As a very regular park user, rubbish management remains an issue. Thanks for the opportunity to comment.</p>
<p>Our open, natural spaces are diminishing, very fast....If diverse water activities are required let them be at distance from relaxation seeking public, especially as these activities will degrade the water edge even faster than natural rising waterlevels. The green of trees, shrubs, and wide expanses of grass is so important for purity of air at this wonderful spot. Keep the "eateries" etc where they are, most families bring picnics. Casual Tourists peruse shops and cafes as part of their day out, and family exercise is usually a walk to icecream venders after a picnic. Nature is the best beauty for South Perth Foreshore.</p>
<p>Thank you for keeping the park in such good condition. Please keep the area as a people centre. The speed limit should be reduced for roads that go through the park. 20kph would be much safer for people, animals and cyclists.</p>
<p>None</p>
<p>Introduce recycle bin facilities near bbq and picnic areas.          Improve water quality of the Swan River.          Introduce Sunday music session like the small amphitheatre in the City's Culture precinct.</p>
<p>The low lighting that is now between Coode St and the car park close to the Scented Garden needs to continue all along the foreshore walk paths.</p> <p>I think it's important to have the Aboriginal flag, the WA flag as well as the Australian flag flying on the foreshore and to tell the story of the first meeting between European and Aboriginal people on the South Perth foreshore. An annual commemorative event</p>

would be significant.

Please do not keep retaining the river with those ugly big slabs. I know the ebb and flow of the river needs to be taken into account but wherever possible sand and reeds the way the Vic Park Council have done it on the Casino side is much more natural and beautiful.

There is also a need for shady trees near the walking paths. It's very hot in the warm months.

I've witnessed a black swan being killed by an unleashed dog near the Coode St Jetty. We should do everything we can to encourage birds. We need more information about the birds that visit our lakes and foreshore and explain their flight habits etc. We need to elevate the status and the value we place on birds.

I often walk in the late evening or night and it's such a joy to see families of every nationality picnicking, playing ball games, bbqing etc. young and old romancing, photographing the city, jogging, walking together. it's a wonderful facility as it is, thank you, but it will be fabulous when we make these improvements.

Let me begin by saying that I have read the two documents and was very inspired by the ideas put forward. It would be wonderful to see some or all of these initiatives being put in place. Perth would be a better city for them.

My main concerns arise from the potential for there to be an over-reliance on City of South Perth rate-payers to fund the planning and implementation of the proposed projects.

The council has been putting off installing underground power lines in Manning for ages, even though some wealthier areas of the City have had underground power for years. Also, today I received a notice saying there are going to be more restrictions on our bulk kerbside collections. These are just examples to illustrate my point that the council should begin with the basics and get it's priorities right. Our own rate payers should come first - not broader Perth residents who just want to swan in for the day and enjoy facilities that we have made sacrifices for. I live in Manning and little or no thought seems to have been given to setting up public transport from, say, Manning Road, to the foreshore. And yet the council is keen to improve access for the broader Perth population (eg UWA students and Nedlands residents by ferry, northern suburbs residents by bus and rail). Unfortunately, I can imagine rate-paying residents from Como, Karawara, Waterford and Manning continuing to use their cars to get there only to be hit by ever increasing parking fees.

Parking is also another consideration. There doesn't seem to be much focus on that in the existing documents, but hopefully it will be considered properly later. The reality is that no matter how good the public transport access is, the majority of people wanting to use the foreshore will still be reliant on their cars. Unless you live very close to an efficient bus route, you end up using your car because otherwise it takes too long to get anywhere. If you have medical problems or disabilities preventing you from walking long distances to get to bus stops and train stations the situation is even worse. The council needs to think about this. I would hate to see Wilson's parking or another profit-making high-rise parking company come in and try to fleece the South Perth ratepayers who paid for the initiatives in the first place.

Lastly, I think it is a little futile to put water-play facilities at the foreshore if river water is going to be used (eg the pontoon - which I presume is envisaged to float in the river itself). The reason I don't use the South Perth Foreshore beaches is that I'm concerned

about swimming in the polluted Swan River. Adding water-based activities won't overcome my concerns or convince me to swim. If I have children in the future, I will not allow them to swim or play in river water either - regardless of how attractive the facilities look. Since there are no reasonable prospects of improving water quality to the point where the majority of people consider swimming in the river to be safe, I don't think those particular proposals have merit. If the plan is to use clean water for, say, an outdoor water playground then the proposal would have my support provided that South Perth rate-payers aren't going to shoulder the burden of the ensuing public liability costs (I am thinking here about the Ascot water playground that had to be closed down due to public liability concerns).

Please let me conclude by congratulating the team behind the project for its vision. Some wonderful ideas have been put forward. I believe it is important to focus on obtaining federal, state and commercial funding before proceeding.

I encountered African folk celebrating with an open fire next to the Scented Garden - maybe there should be more security on the park. As it was a warm night and if the fire 'took hold' many units could have come under threat. I was unable to get anyone to answer a phone as it was after hours and I had to call the Fire Brigade.

Why don't you just leave it the way it is.

are there any art clubs ?

Please don't "commercialise" our river edge with food outlets and fancy recreational pursuits. I would like to see the foreshore used for picnic/dog exercising/walking/cycling/boating/kayaking activities. Good car parks are essential in all areas to provide access to the river.

Increased parking required especially around mends st.

(1) The built environmental plans for the Mill Point Precinct are over the top. The scale and baulk of the proposal competes too much with the Old Mill. If any structures are built they should be located well away from this iconic structure. I would prefer softer landscape with more natural lines rather than the sharp lines planned.  
(2) We should replace the problematic river wall wherever possible with shallow limestone rubble, sand and river vegetation embankments (ie with gentle slopes to slow the water down (as utilized by Dutch and Chinese engineers). Easier, and probably cheaper, to maintain and allows for the height of the embankment to be increased with a minimum of effort. Perpendicular walls are always going to be subjected to the full force of currents and wave action. Areas nearby which don't have river walls and which work well can be seen at Frasers Point, Heirrisson Island and near the Casino. The removal of the walls beautifies the rivers edge and removes the barrier so there is greater interaction between the shoreline and river (ie removes the barrier for kids and people).

A few more drinking fountains and more shade areas would be good.

maintaining the open space in globally unique on a foreshore so close and overlooking the city - dont mess with it - keep it in its pristine natural but well maintained condition. Dont look for revenue opportunities off it as that is what has ruined so many vistas like this.

Please don't turn this part of South Perth into a rowdy night club, pub, cheap café style area. It should be maintained as is, with free parking & for heaven's sake reduce the rent for the shopkeepers so that the shopping centre can stay alive. It is this problem



that's making them all close down. Water sports are noisy so we don't want those.

Yes. It would be very nice to create a Basketball Court in the Sir James Mitchel Park, because it's far from the houses and the noise will not disturb neighbors and it will become a landmark in the region.

Other than that, a lot of people from South Perth go to Canning HWY for basketball and if you provide this facility in here, all the people will be attracted to this place.

The last reason: I LOVE Basketball and I really appreciate if there is a court nearby :-)

My great, great Grandfather was Sir James Mitchell and donated the area of Sir James Mitchell Park for recreational purposes only. I would like to be kept updated about your plans for this area. Your survey suggests that the council has plans to change this area of land. I would like more information in regards to development plans as to my understanding, this would be contradictory to what the land was donated for.

We have a magnificent foreshore space - but it could be have more commercial (cafes etc) opportunities whether only pop up or permanent.

I like the open space. Wouldn't like to see it chopped up like they're doing on the other side of the river.

More affordable (ie not high end) cafes, restaurants and retail outlets that caters for families and tourists along water's edge will make the area more vibrant and people friendly ( eg Brisbane, Melbourne and Sydney foreshores).

I applaud the Council for seeking local input into the development of the South Perth Foreshore. I have seen all of the comparative developments in other capital cities referred to in the Council's documentation and still believe the South Perth foreshore is very special and unique in its current openness and character. This is not to say that improvements cannot be made and more/interesting events cannot be held. On the other hand I would not wish it to become cluttered with yet retail outlets and cafes etc. We have more than enough of these facilities at Mends/Angelo/Preston etc. I like the idea of incorporating more historical info into the foreshore and enhancing the current spaces in a low impact manner.

I use the south Perth foreshore to walk dogs at least once and often twice a day. As a type 2 diabetic the benefit of this activity to my health is immeasurable. Given the rapidly increasing numbers of diabetics in our area the Council really needs to consider the significance of the foreshore as a low profile exercise space for the community. As a dog owner I cannot stress the usefulness of retaining large off-leash exercise areas for dogs. I like the idea of a dog beach but I fear I will not be able to use it as I cannot let our dogs off leash anywhere near the water's edge because of fisher-people leaving dead blowies around. This has cost me several hundred \$ in vet bills.

The city needs to engage with the Swan River Trust to repair the walls. They are an eyesore.

The City must not forget that the foreshore and the whole of South Perth is a RESIDENTIAL AREA. The foreshore should be kept quiet for contemplation and "time out" for people. In today's busy world it is vitally important that peace be available somewhere.

As a south perth resident and city commuting cyclist I think overall the cycle paths are quite good, I would like to see a greater density of residential, commercial and tourist development, done with the correct planning I think there is more than enough open space to allow this to be done without greatly impacting on the current look and

usage of the foreshore areas
There should be a law in south perth that every owner of a cat should leave them in house at night or they are going to get run over or killed
Thank you for compiling this survey very worth while.
I would like to see the development of the South Perth train station, and further development from the eastern side of the peninsula (train station area) all the way past the zoo to the Mends Street Precinct.
I feel that the Mends Street area doesn't necessarily need MORE development, but rather that any development be of a higher quality. Importantly any development needs to be about place Activation - attracting people to it for not just the restaurants or cafes - but for great and accessible activities which are more than just commercial enterprises.
Please improve garden vista south of the scented garden . it always looks untidy . I know its a steep slope but with a little effort it could complement the scented garden
Continued supply of council plan information is a must.
The river area is a vital part of the south perth area. The area is visited and utilised by high numbers of residents and non residents. I am keen to see the area developed and improved but with sustainability and access ranked high in the planning stages. I don't believe that economic development should play much of a part in the development. The area should be kept as natural as possible - this does not preclude building or other such structures but any development should be low key, low lying and able to be utilised by everyone.
Ferry system needs to be improved. How come we dont have a river ferry network like Brisbane and Sydney and most other major cities? Ferry should go all along the river to key locations.
South Perth foreshore is the gateway to the city, it is also the vista seen from the city so its critical that it becomes a destination of choice for all visitors with a community feel and modern services. For too long this area has remained dormant, the last 13 years that we have been here has seen little if any improvement in amenity or services. The council has to get this right other wise we will become the poor cousin to East Perth, Burswood, Leederville and the Elizabeth Quay development. Would it not be great to have wind protected eateries and a board walk with the city as a vista !!!!! Choice of venue is what is required, with uninterrupted city views day and night. Nothing less should be considered. Good Luck. [Name Supplied]
It seems fair, although the briefs are top heavy on process and big words and a bit short on detail, which I gather comes with more consultation. As I live nearer the Canning than the Swan, I ask that it not be forgotten that one runs into the other. I also point out that as a regular walker along the river, I am amazed at the amount of rubbish there is and to trend this down it must be getting to the point where fines and cameras that work at night, are installed in key locations.
Please no more commercial facilities.
Spending money does not necessarily improve the experience of the park and foreshore. (eg the two cycle parks which are not used). I am totally opposed to any form of

commercial development in this area. Most particularly events such as pop concerts where admission charges apply. The park is a great facility and the less interference (as opposed to maintenance) the better. After all it is a PARK not a showground.
Please don't plant trees on Esplanade where people have spent a lot of money to live there to enjoy the view of the water and skyline, not trees.
None
The improvements already completed in the last few years are great (separation of bike and pedestrian paths, beach constructions and native plant reinstatements). I would love to see more social activity near the foreshore and would be so pleased if there was better quality and more availability of food and drinks options to encourage that. Having a view is boring without enjoying it with your family and friends! We live near Wesley College work in the city - a Cat service connecting to the ferry would be most welcome.
There is a lot of the foreshore that has space for parking and minimal impact on residents, this should be a priority. Residents should not be hostage to transient councillors looking for a cause. Once basic amenities such as paving, parking and cycle ways have been mastered then by all means start to dream. It is disturbing that the pictures used for the foreshore show it flooded, an occurrence of maybe once or twice a year. Why not choose Aust day or valentines day when hundreds of people enjoy the city vista.
Please expand the dog exercise areas.
I believe the South Perth foreshore is one of Perth's great attributes. It should be developed and maintained for the greater population for use that encourages healthy lifestyles.
Commercial activities must be kept as low impact to the residents in the area having a commercial events company such as mellan events would be highly inconsiderate. Entertainment should be only charitable or free to all for such things as fiesta, million paws and so on. The park belongs to all so any events held in the park should be accessible to all not just those who can pay. The park should not be turned into an events venue. The park should be accessible to all at all times!
I really believe City of South Perth should pay more attention to the environment of South Perth foreshore and be sure not only this project won't affect the existing nature but to develop the security and safety for animals and nature of this area. Secondly, considering possible pollution increment in this area such as "noise" should be considered carefully.
The Esplanade is dangerously over parked and bikes are not using bike paths. It is only a matter of time before someone gets killed. Get the bikes off the road and eliminate some of the car parking spots where the road narrows to less than a comfortable lane either way. As a peninsular resident I am sick and tired of suffering restricted access to my home caused by the City's obsession with closing roads and the freeway ramps for event after event. Residents first, not residents last as it currently is!
What about a public baths?
maintain city views - do not ruin r views by planting trees along the river and be careful with shade structures Upgrade old mill area urgently

<p>We need a good mix of park land, tourism/cafeteria/restaurant facilities and natural river frontage. Other areas of river in our City should be considered also.</p>
<p>All improvements should be undertaken with an appropriate level of environmental planning and sustainability management.</p>
<p>I believe that keeping the foreshore as it is - with perhaps a few gazebos for shade and improving the jetty to include shelter would be the only improvements necessary. If it ain't broke - don't fix it!</p>
<p>It is essential that the widespread use of herbicide to control weeds be discontinued in favour of a non-toxic method or methods of controlling weeds, such as using steam, as was done in the past. If we want more people to use the foreshore and we want to protect children, pets and the fauna, we need to use non-toxic weed control even if it costs more.</p>
<p>As a relatively new resident i have noticed that tree shade is an issue when walking dogs on the esplanade. Also there is a lot of grass that is not well utilised. Hydrozoning with native plantings in strategic areas will improve local biodiversity within that space.</p>
<p>I work for the local Public Health Unit with local food outlets to improve food available to local residents. This sounds like a good time to plan healthy options into the redevelopment of Mends Piazza, pop-up food outlets and for events along the foreshore. Feel free to call us for help with this - 9251 4100.</p>
<p>South Perth should be the number in spot for summer (and even winter) events such as monthly markets, outdoor cinemas. Kind of like Northbridge events but in a prettier spot.</p>
<p>I don't think there should be any development on the foreshore if it spoils the views. There is already a parking problem along the foreshore and more developments will increase this problems.</p>
<p>A pump track (small mountain bike/bmx track) would be awesome, perhaps in conjunction with learn to ride facilities for young kids. Pop up street food would be nice. Swimming pool on the rivers edge so you feel like you are swimming in the river (but you are not), with the city skyline on one side.</p>
<p>What about introducing an outdoor public pool that seems like a beach - and could be landscaped so that you would feel like you were swimming in the vastness of the river, with an amazing view.... but without the slimy water, jellyfish and bull sharks! Southbank in Brisbane is fantastic and so well utilised in summer. South Perth "beach" could be equally successful and draw people to the area. Cafes, ice cream stalls, changerooms and picnic areas nearby could allow for a family day at the beach with all the amenity, 5 minutes drive from the city!</p>
<p>I'd like to see the spaces able to be used by a wide range of people (including age groups), so it's important to have shade, picnic areas and space available for outdoor leisure activities. It also needs to retain its natural beauty, so should not have too much commercial development. The idea of farmers / weekend / arts markets or temporary food markets is a good way to use space without changing the area on a permanent basis.</p>
<p>We use to take our kids to SOUTH BANK ,QLD. ,when we lived in Toowoomba ,they loved the days there. Our son sent some pics on twitter last week, he walks thru the park when he stays over night in the course of his job. He says it is still a fantastic place</p>

to visit.

Hi,

I would consider how we treat the edge between the Swan river and the shore- in many parts it is a concrete hard edge, and in other places a "beach"- if we are really serious about the upgrade to the foreshore area this I feel needs to be resolved and become a primary driver to the overall design. In so many places along the Swan it is treated like a canal with concrete or limestone retaining sea walls that do little to encourage any interaction with the river, and whilst I understand the necessity of these in places, there overuse at the hands of engineers has led to more problems than solutions. Whilst we cannot return the river to its "original state" perhaps a different approach that combines amenity with ecology at the waters edge is more suited rather than the previous efforts that have simply attempted to contain and divide the edge between the river and land. Perhaps a merging, or "blurring" of this edge with be more in sympathy with the natural rhythms of the river.

My final comment relates to the use of turf- I feel any response to this site needs to make a decision as to how much is actually needed - we seem to hold turf as sacred at the expense of local species of flora. Whilst I understand the enormous benefits of open space I feel the foreshore development should showcase local flora, and demonstrate that beautiful landscapes that are appropriate for Perth are not simply a few trees dotted across swathes of turf. Across the whole foreshore there are numerous areas that are turfed for no apparent reason, when appropriate dry-land plantings can help not only minimise resource use on maintenance but also build a local identity with the use of the beautiful local flora.

Kind regards,

[Names supplied]

Thank you for the survey.

We need more trees to make the whole park more usable during the hot summers. Vistas should not be a consideration. A grass desert is useless without shelters and trees. Unfortunately a few people living on the foreshore are selfish enough to continually block development and usability for all.

The foreshore was at its best when it wasn't managed! Decent upkeep such as the riverbank walls and some good paths that aren't all cracked and that are wide enough for 2 people to walk abreast in each direction are much more important than developing commercial interests. The little kiosk selling coffee at Coode St jetty with access to all was much better than the high-dollar, poor-service Boat Shed which serves the wealthy. A good river ferry from Coode St and many other points along the river is essential - can't believe there isn't one operating full-time from Coode St. Just leave it all alone, every time you put something new in, vandals come and destroy it anyway e.g. pathway lighting that's been out for months now - every since I reported it. Let's get some decent access to the City via the river ferries and leave our side natural. Would support some night markets that go away by day however.

South Perth Train Station together with on-site parking is needed now - ie before attracting more people and traffic into congested streets within survey-related zone...

The Foreshore would be an ideal place to hold Market Garden Sales and also any type of Charity markets and also Multi Cultural Food Celebration type events. Please make these a priority and not put on 'the too hard basket' shelf and forgotten.

<p>There are so many more young families in South Perth now and our playgrounds need serious improvements! Would love to see more family friendly activities, shops, cafes etc along the foreshore. Mends St as a gateway to South Perth for tourists who use the ferry for day trips needs a massive upgrade, something to make us all feel proud of :)</p>
<p>I think the structure of the survey has limited the quality of the information from it. In my opinion using the Likert scale for the majority of questions in the survey will fail to identify the key priorities. More variety in the type of questions was needed as there was no opportunity (until now) for respondents to give their own suggestions for improvements or comments on the proposed plans for the redevelopment of the Foreshore. It felt as if the survey was designed to validate existing concepts with little regards to getting new ideas and suggestions from the community on their thoughts of how they want the Foreshore to be in the future.</p>
<p>The lighting along the footpaths from the Lakes heading towards Coode Street is poor. The city vista at night is beautiful but seniors are often frightened to go out for an evening stroll because of the poor lighting. The Mends Street end is adequately lit.....we need the same at the other end. Thanks</p>
<p>THE RIVER WALL DEFINITELY NEEDS TO BE REPAIRED. IT WOULD ALSO BE NICE TO HAVE A "CAT" BUS SERVICE COMING TO SOUTH PERTH. IT COULD BE A CIRCULAR ONE COMING OVER THE NARROWS BRIDGE AND THEN RETURNING TO THE CITY OVER THE CAUSEWAY OR VICE VERSA. LEEDERVILLE, EAST PERTH, WEST PERTH AND THE CITY ARE ALL PRIVY TO THIS SERVICE. ALSO, A FERRY SERVICE TO COODE STREET AND CONNECTING SOUTH PERTH TO THE BURSWOOD AND EAST PERTH WOULD BE MARVELLOUS.</p>
<p>I feel that any future enhancements should reflect the current atmosphere and values of the foreshore, ensuring that they do not detract from the pedestrian/ cyclist paths, recreational use and relaxing/ peaceful atmosphere. I'd be supportive of increased natural/ environmental areas and reduction in turf, with more intensive recreational, commercial or other economic activities limited to specific nodes (ie. Mends St &amp; Coode St).</p>
<p>Some great ideas listed eg night markets, more stalls/cafes, beaches (including dog beach), shade and pedestrian/cycle path upgrade. Hopefully some will come to fruition.</p>
<p>Would be a good idea to allow a dog grooming business near a designated dog exercise area. Perhaps with a coffee van too?</p>
<p>It would be good to have ferries running from Coode Street jetty to Barrack Street jetty, as this might help ease the traffic congestion along Mill Point Road.</p>
<p>More shelter please</p>
<p>Our foreshore is beautiful and peaceful. Please don't destroy it with too much concrete and too many people!! We need to look after our river.</p>
<p>I am very grateful to be living in such a beautiful area and love taking visitor to the fore shore. However I am most concerned that the fence has been erected where the river banks are at risk of breaking. I feel that if this is not fixed now, it will only get worst, making it more costly to fix in the long run.</p>
<p>you are doing a great job---but keep expenses in mind ---thanks</p>
<p>I have for a long time thought that mobile coffee / Hamburger/ icecream vans should be</p>

encouraged to set up in the car parks near Coode St and Ellam to supply food and drinks to the public
Interesting Project look forward to seeing it develop
A well thought out proposal
This was a difficult questionnaire to answer. Many of the questions called for further qualification - "Depends to what extent ...", "Depends on what exactly ...", "Depends on how much money you want to spend on ...". Also, I am not sure how useful these answers will be, if when you average all the data, every question produces a Neutral Importance total. We all have different interests. Boating and fishing are of no importance to me, but I would love a restaurant ferry boat up and down in the area. I am not interested in commercial development in general, but would love a couple of new cafes or coffee shops. Signage is already adequate, but with more complex development, you may need to think of further signage and lighting. You can see the sort of contradictions the survey questions raise.
I would be passionate about developing the Western Esplanade area into attractive gardens similar to the Bell Tower area while retaining vistas. Beautiful trees and paths would greatly enhance the feel of the area. The Old Mill area is severely under-utilised. Controlled development to stimulate the Mends Street precinct as a cafe strip with more late night restaurants and wine bars would bring much needed energy to this important node.
Very Excited!!
The foreshore should be left uncluttered maintaining a pristine vista for all living and people walking along these areas. Parking requirements should be looked at stringently with respect to any new development on the foreshore since parking is a problem as it is now.
It would be a great idea to change the current bike racks situated around the City of South Perth for people to lock their bikes up against... to ones that are more interesting and fun looking. The City needs a "younger" look and the use of public art, especially in subtle ways, would make a huge difference.
It is crucial to get the balance right to maintain a beautiful area of the city without over-commercialisation but still attract more people to ensure it is utilised.
The large open area at Coode St is currently off the lead dog exercise area yet this has not been included in the current review - this is presently used by many dog owners wanting to exercise their dogs and enjoy the surrounding open spaces, river and city views. With increasing dog ownership numbers and recommendations for dog ownership to prevent burglaries the city needs to provide adequate accessible open spaces for dog exercise areas.
Although the foreshore needs some development, it must be remembered that the beauty of the wide open space IS it's attraction. It is unique. Too much development and too many facilities could 'crowd' it's natural beauty. Hopefully, there will be something inbetween that will satisfy everybody and provide finances necessary for it's maintenance.

<p>I found it quite difficult to discern any specific proposals in "SPF-Part-01.pdf" and "SPF-Part-02.pdf". The documents contained far too many bland generalisations. To give just two illustrations, firstly, there are many 'motherhood' statements about ferry transport, but no mention of sensible specifics such as dredging a channel from Coode St jetty to the existing north bank dredged channel (to allow a circular route for restaurant/tourist boats, suitable for night navigation); or the duplication of Mends St jetty to allow (among other things) expansion of the Bellhouse Cafe. A second illustration relates to informal cafe/restaurant facilities (e.g. similar to Deepwater Point, Mt Pleasant) at appropriate intervals along the foreshore (one potential location being Coode St jetty), to cater for cyclists, walkers, parents of young children in the playgrounds, etc. Thus upon coming to the Survey, I felt that to a large extent I was guessing about what was really meant by the the questions. To complicate matters, there is an element of confusion created by all questions being on an "importance scale". To illustrate, I tended to rank signage or "wayfinding" as low importance simply because this aspect is already well catered for, and therefore further expenditure or "revitalising" is unimportant. What is really meant by "revitalisation"? Suppose there are some or many aspects which are fine as they are now, and "revitalisation" could therefore be quite inappropriate?</p>
<p>The foreshore should be better acknowledged as part of the Swan River catchment, and better connected to the river itself. There should be more fringing native vegetation pockets, such as the small areas created near the beaches, to provide habitats for river fauna and to assist with water quality. These areas also provide a nicer outlook than concrete walls.</p>
<p>Improved lighting along the foreshore would be a great benefit for both running / walking along at night or in the morning when it is dark</p>
<p>We need more street lights on south perth foreshore.....</p>
<p>There is a very attractive signage board at Mill Point showing future development. When is this likely to happen?</p>
<p>Improvement on lighting along the south perth foreshore is 100% required to ensure safety of cyclists/runners as it is very dangerous at the moment.</p>
<p>I agree there is no longer an option to do nothing now is the opportunity to make a positive change to the South Perth Foreshore in a planned way to the benefit of all users and close-by residents</p>
<p>1. Any changes should avoid committing the City to providing ongoing support to 'pet' commercial activities, such as an interpretative centre with cafe'. 2 The City should keep front &amp; centre that it owes a primary obligation to residents in the vicinity and that their amenity should, at a minimum, be preserved and hopefully enhanced by changes.</p>
<p>Keep planting trees a vital importance to the sustainability of our climate</p>
<p>I think the foreshore is a fantastic asset as it is ( save for some river wall repair work required). I think the council should think long and hard before changing the status quo for short term gain. The city's greatest asset is the lovely stretch of park from the Narrows to Ellam Street and it will be worth it's weight in gold in years to come. It is easily destroyed by changes that are not in the city's residents interests but a perceived need to "develop" . I thought the City's fathers in previous days gone by gazetted the whole area a park to ensure this sort of development never happened. With regards to the survey, I think asking people if they do or don't want things changed</p>



would be more appropriate than " of low importance or high importance" . This is not a proper way to get a proper reflection of people's wishes and concerns.

**1. MORE CAR PARKING NEEDED**

Human nature will always favour cars... Our foreshore is already showing signs of not enough bays provided on weekends.

- a. Provide more 'side by side' bays along 'the esplanade' from the jetty areas, west towards narrows bridge...to replace the parallel parking.
- b. With a future train station, commuters will be looking for park and ride facilities...where?? The streets will be overrun by cars along nearby roads, if some sort of multilevel parking is not provided near Richardson park.

**2. CONVERT MEND ST TO PEDESTRIAN ONLY - NO TRAFFIC!!!**

Close traffic access thru this short shopping street. Cars here are unnecessary and its often too congested. The pedestrian crosswalk is dangerous. Cars often don't stop for peds!!

**3. A FEW MORE RESTAURANTS/BOARDWALK AREA along the RIVER'S EDGE.**

We have such a lovely river area...lets have a few more decent waterside cafes connected with a public boardwalk - as opposed to junky pop-up shops and food vans polluting the views...uuugh!

**4. A regular FARMERS MARKET along the foreshore/park. (Once a fortnight/month)**

Put signs everywhere. The foreshore already has a lot to do and use but no one knows where because there is no signs. Sign everything and point signs to next thing.

A facility like 'The Beach' at South Bank in Brisbane CBD is what South Perth is waiting for!

[http://www.bcl.com.au/brisbane/views/g027\\_b.htm](http://www.bcl.com.au/brisbane/views/g027_b.htm)

So many tourists, great links to the CBD via excellent ferry service, fantastic commercial opportunities and an awesome time for our kids.

Re Ellam Street - the pedestrian / cycle link should be to Heirisson Island and on to the City at Point Fraser, with better connections to the northern side of the Causeway bridge than currently exist.

Please don't listen to the naysayers and the people who think, "well if it ain't broke then don't fix it". The plan has grand ideas, but most importantly we need to increase the density of housing in the area - with more people living in the area there will be more people to patron the businesses and events/activities.

I think its important to identify one of the nodes (maybe Coode St or Ellam Street) as an area where urban development can come down to the waters edge. Whilst the foreshore is fantastic is does lack that one element of urban activation at all hours, where good public transport can effectively be provided. I'd like to see a precinct be developed where commercial activities along with high density residential development can be integrated with the parklands and good public transport be provided. The precinct would have entertainment, bars, cultural recognition and the like. This would provide that 24 hour function right up to the water's edge. It would be the 'Southbank' precinct of Perth, although a more unique name should be developed.

Thank you for putting this survey together. A great insight to what you are considering upgrading in the area, especially given we are new residents.

Prioritise sustainable transport over motorised transport. as a quick fix, install speed humps, raised intersections at conflict points (at Coode Street Jetty, Mill Point Rd at the

Narrows Bridge, etc)
All these questions are repetitive. Why do we pay so much for these properties? Yes, for the Iconic View of lawns, river and city. So, NO loss of views, NO TREES. No extra traffic/ congestion. No noise. No loss of amenities. In other words No commercialisation FOR GOODNESS SAKE, LEAVE ALONE particularly in front of properties, the Esplanade (Esplanade West, Mends St beach & Mends St)
1. Please maintain the lawns to golf club standard, they need aerating and fertilising and should not be cut so short, in the summer. 2. Maintain the river wall, it doesn't need to be higher, the water rarely goes over. As owners of 6 properties, 3 on the foreshore, we pay a great deal of rates. Foreshore ratepayers are the only people you should be consulting and not encouraging young people, mini l pads and survey on cycle paths etc.
Maintain the lawns and river walls. Develop Coode Street area, where its not in front of properties. Leave everything else, as is.
We have beautified/ spent enough on this area & it's perfectly good enough and well utilized. I want NO MORE RATES INCREASE EITHER!
Just visit (the Councillor's) see how happy people are as thing are. We don't want congestion – Mend St cant cope now with traffic.
Stop finding ways to spend residents' rates on minor issues and concentrate on the larger issues such as rubbish collection; safe footpaths; street lighting; and safety and security. How about a survey on how to reduce rates instead of on spending on facilities for non-rate payers who leave the foreshore covered in litter and vandalism!

**Comments re sale of carpark land:**

I oppose any sale of current parking land. Carbays are critically short and will be crucial in the revitalisation of Mends St.
I oppose any sale of current parking land as carbays are short on the South Perth foreshore. On weekends many people come to it for picnics, walk along the foreshore and to enjoy the park. Therefore it is necessary to have carbays for them to park park their cars. Commercial development adjoining residential areas must comply with the council criteria and regulations i.e. noise, parking, lighting, building size and height.
The Car park behind Windsor hotel that is said to be developed is ridiculous. There isn't enough parking as it is in South Perth and yet again someone wants to take more of it away.
I am against the sale of any current parking land. Car parking is critically short in the Mends St. precinct, and is crucial to its revitalisation.
The land behind the news agency and Winsor hotel which is currently being considered for sale is the worst idea ever taken!!! 1. There is a critical shortage of parking in South Perth generally. 2. If this car park is sold it will cause problems with the vendors who occupy the shops 3. It could be possible that the exiting car park will be developed for high rise buildings which definitely spoil the appearance of the area and also be very crowded as it is and will block off access to the residence in ray street. 4. The general noise and disruption will be appalling. Please consider these points seriously as the majority of residents are not at all in favour of this proposal. The residents have faith that their council will not let them down. Thanks

## Comments re sale, lease, commercialisation/ commercial development of land

1) ABSOLUTELY OPPOSED to any Public Open Space (POS) sale or long term lease. With increasing housing densities, the only relief from confinement, especially for children, is access to local parklands. It would be disgraceful and short sighted in the extreme to destroy the open space we have.

2) OPPOSED to high density commercial in POS.

3) I find the line of questioning provided in this survey, especially at the start somewhat ambiguous and, with good reason, I mistrust its design. The question about sustainability for example is vague and open to interpretation.

4) Regarding the planting of trees, I find the objections to this, I suspect lead by local foreshore land-owners, hypocritical and incredibly selfish. The presence of trees is far more important than a vista to the river and city. The increased amenity provided by the increased wildlife and shelter for ALL users far outweigh their concerns. Further I suspect they would find their private vistas, for which they should already consider themselves extremely fortunate to enjoy, given the majority of citizens have a road or a building to look at, will actually be improved by the presence of trees. They can then write "Natural River Bank Views" into their real estate sales pitches instead of the rather tiresome usual blurb.

5) \*\* MOST IMPORTANTLY OF ALL \*\*, from my own perhaps selfish point of view, (but not for real estate prices [because I intend to restore (not disfigure by 2nd storey addition) and never sell my Californian bungalow] nor for vistas [because I am on the wrong side of Collins St anyway] is the preservation of the parks in Kensington, especially the extensive Morris Mundy Reserve. This not only facilitates formal sporting events, it gives local and not-so-local children (to whose population I hope someday to contribute) a chance to kick a ball around and run at full speed for a decent distance without going around in circles or ending up on a road. This is incredibly important and something children (and adults for that matter but we can drive) are losing access to in Greater Perth. CSP have already let most of its 1930s and 40s architecture go be destroyed - lets at least keep something about Kensington truly awesome.

Cheers, [Name supplied]

Would not like to see commercial development along the foreshore. Mends St and Angelo St precincts offer many cafes/ restaurants. Absolutely opposed to establishment of markets along foreshore either permanent or temporary.

1/ I would like our foreshore to stay unspoilt. It is a attractive and tranquil area. I think the beaches are an asset.

2/ I oppose any sale of current parking land. Crucial for Mends Street traders.

3/ Commercial development adjoining residential areas should comply with council criteria and regulations i.e. building size, parking, noise, lighting.

1) Opposed to any Public Open Space (POS) land SALE or long term LEASE term. Lease of POS to be a maximum of 25 years for ALL PARKS particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 Proposal.

2) Opposed to HIGH DENSITY COMMERCIAL in Public Open Space parkland. Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep with the amenity of the Park areas.

3) Retain the beauty of the VISTA river and city views & tranquility of the Park.

<p>I am opposed to any sale or lease of Public Open Space. The open vistas along the South Perth Esplanade MUST be retained for all West Australians as it has iconic vistas across to Perth City and Kings Park. this is the only place that it is possible to get these city views. Anyone who watches news reports sees that political interviews about Perth always have this backdrop. It MUST be preserved for future generations.</p>
<p>1/ All questions are very ambiguous.  2/ Noise along the narrow piece of foreshore near the Mends St jetty is a disturbance to all residents in this area. We do not want any sort of activities which create a disturbance. Leave this area alone.  3/ I am opposed to any sale or long term lease of parkland.  4/ I am opposed to commercial parkland development.  5/ I am opposed to council selling our park land.</p>
<p>This is somewhat nebulous as a survey - the range of active /"improvements" are not identified adequately. Are these commercial? To what might we be giving potential agreement(or Otherwise) in "development"/ "Activation" terms? It might have been useful to see sketches or more concrete terms for each site in proposal, I am not in favour of changing the character (No Matter how temporary), including more activities / events, given the noise and traffic implications. No Sale or lease of parkland (Particularly Sir James Mitchel Park) should be contemplated. Parks should be maintained for passive recreation by individuals / families, without commercial encroachment.</p>
<p>No commercial activities on foreshore than now  No organised sport  Maintain as passive recreation for families  No building on any park area.</p>
<p>No sale of Foreshore public open space. No long term leasing of any Foreshore open space beyond 20 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 25 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 25 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 25 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 25 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 40 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 40 years.</p>
<p>No sale of any foreshore lands.  No long term leasing of Public open space beyond 30 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 40 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 25 years.</p>
<p>No sale of foreshore public lands. No leasing of any foreshore open spaces beyond 21 years.</p>
<p>Please don't sell off any parkland or open space.</p>
<p>There should not be sale of any part or area of Sir James Mitchell Park to fund any of these proposals. Sir James Mitchell Park should always be maintained as public open space.</p>
<p>No sale of public open space, or leases over 25 years. Low commercial density only cafes.</p>
<p>No sales of foreshore public lands. No lease of foreshore land beyond 21 years</p>

No land sale of public open space. No lease over 25 years, for commercial activity.
NO SALE OR 99 YEAR LEASE (LONG LEASE) MAXIMUM LEASE OF 21 YEARS. WHY CAN'T I ANSWER NO TO THE QUESTIONS
NO SALE OR LONG LEASE (99 YEARS) OF PUBLIC OPEN SPACE . WHY CANT I ANSWER NO
NO COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL HIGH RISE OR HIGH DENSITY IN PUBLIC OPEN SPACE WHY CANT I ANSWER NO , I WANTED TO ANSWER NO TO SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS
1. OPPOSED to any Public Open Space (POS) land SALE or long term LEASE. Lease of POS to be maximum of 25 years for ALL PARKS particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 proposal. 2. OPPOSED to HIGH DENSITY COMMERCIAL in Public Open Space Parkland. Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep within the amenity of the Park area. 3. RETAIN the beauty of the VISTA River and City views & tranquility of the Park.
Essential to retain the beauty of the Vista of the river areas and city views and the tranquility of the park in particular Sir James Mitchell Park. I am opposed to commercial development within our parks, especially high density commercial in public open space, land sale or long term lease. Lease of POS to be maximum of 25 years for all parks particular south perth foreshore and beyond 2013 proposal. We want the open spaces, basically don't want commercial development. Leave the views.
Maintain open spaces. No commercialisation or increased residential density. More transparent activity by council.
I oppose to any Public Open Space (POS) land SALE or long term LEASE term. Lease of POS to be maximum of 25 years for ALL PARKS particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 Proposal. I oppose to HIGH DENSITY COMMERCIAL in Public Open Space parkland. Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures, which keep within the amenity of the Park area. Please retain the beauty of the VISTA River and city views & tranquility of the Park.
I live at [X SUPPLIED]/ 181 Mill Point Rd, where there are 24 apartments and I can promise you if build in front of this building obstructing the view in any way, legal action will be taken. Opposed to any public open space (POS) land sale or long term lease term. Lease of POS to be maximum of 25 years for ALL PARKS particularly in the south perth foreshore and beyond 2013 proposal. Opposed to high density commercial in public open space parkland. Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep within the amenity the park area. Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views & tranquility of the park.
I am TOTALLY opposed to the SALE of any PUBLIC OPEN SPACE within the City of South Perth. If lease options (either LONG or SHORT TERM) are negotiated, an absolute maximum of 25 years for all PARKS, particularly in the SOUTH PERTH FORESHORE and beyond 2013 proposal. I am opposed to any NEW HIGH DENSITY COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT on any current Public Open Space parkland. If new commercial developments are allowed, any new Commercial property should be made as inconspicuous as possible. From all vantage points in the City of South Perth, the VISTA of the Swan RIVER AND PERTH CITY

and the tranquility of the POS should be savagely defended.  
As much as any the other internationally recognised vistas are ICONIC, (Eifel Tower, Statue of Liberty, Sydney Harbour Bridge, etc) the views from vantage points in South Perth across the Swan River are recognised as unique!

Basically we are happy with existing use of parks/open spaces as they stand. We are not in favour of commercialisation of these assets and will want them to remain as they are for future generations. We are opposed to any land sale or long term lease and also opposed to high density developments in public open spaces. All structures should be kept low and inconspicuous and the existing vistas and tranquility of the park be Maintained.  
The one big event 2 yrs ago was a disaster in terms of safety and environmental pollution.

1. I am opposed to any Public Open Space (POS) land sale or long term leasing . I have concerns that the developments being discussed are just the beginning of substantial commercial developments on the South Perth foreshore.  
2. I am opposed to high density commercial developments in South Perth Public Open Space parkland. Commercial development should comprise low inconspicuous structures which keep within in the amenity of the park areas.I believe we need to retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and the tranquillity of the park.

No land sale of public open space,.  
No long lease of 99 years, only 25 years and under

I am opposed to the sale or long term lease of Public Open Space. Leases of Public Open Space to be a maximum of 25 years.  
I am opposed o high density commercial in any Public Open Space parkland.  
I am opposed to any tree planting, and building of any structures which may in any way interrupt the Vistas from South Perth Esplanade to the City of Perth and Kings Park. These panoramic vistas belong to the people of WA. They are iconic and should not be interfered with.

No sale of foreshore land. No leases of foreshore land greater than 40 years

I am opposed to any Public Open Space Land Sale or Long term Lease term. I am opposed to High density Commercial in public open Space Parkland.  
I would like to Retain the beauty of the Vista of the river and city views and the tranquility of the Park.

I am opposed to any Public Open Space land sale or long-term lease.  
I am opposed to high density commercial development in Public Open Space parkland. The parks are precious open space for all Perth residents. Public Open Space should be protected and not exploited, so generations to come will enjoy their beauty and tranquillity.  
I would wish that the South Perth Council take a policy decision to protect all Public Open Space. Local Governments will be remembered by their actions to protect and conserve the land entrusted to them, or their willingness to exploit it.

Opposed to any Public Open Space (POS) land sale. Lease of POS to be maximum of 25 years for all parks particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 proposal. Opposed to high density commercial in Public Open Space parkland. There is enough of that on the other side of the river. Commercial should comprise of low structures which

<p>keep within the amenity of the park area.. Retain the beauty of the vista, river and city views and tranquillity of the park.</p>
<p>I am opposed to any public open space land sale or long term lease term. I am opposed to high density commercial in public open space parkland. Commercial ventures should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep the amenity of the foreshore parks area. It is of utmost importance that the beauty of the river vista and city views and tranquillity of the foreshore park areas be retained.</p>
<p>Strongly opposed to any Public Open Space land sale or long term lease. Strongly opposed to any commercial developments in Public Open Space parkland. Retain river and city views. Retain the tranquillity of the park.</p>
<p>No sale of land no 99 years leasehold. Only short term leases for commercial 35 years. If short term is good enough for kings park then it should be good enough for sir James Mitchell park. Do not have any high density buildings in the park. Retain as a park around the swan river and retain views of river and city. The view from the look out is the best view, but the lock,out needs upgrade to look better. Buildings should be low single storey like the current cafes boatshed and provide additional interesting things. Sculpture art and adult exercise equipment in the park as well as play grounds.</p>
<p>For all my answers no is very low importance and yes means very high importance. No sale or 99 years lease for any public open space. Only short term leaseholds to developers. Not more than 40 years leasehold. Views of the river and city are the most part of sir James Mitchell park, especially the view from the escarpment as you drive down mill point road. I take all my visitors there and show them the city and point out all the area. Kings park. Perth city council. The tallest building in the city. The casino, the Wacca oval, causeway, and of course the swan river.</p>
<p>Very low importance is no and very high importance is yes. I do not want the sale of any public open or long term lease 99 years for any public space. Make sure you keep city and river views. That is iconic. Best in perth. No commercial or residential high rise or high density in the public open space. Low density structures in the perth.</p>
<p>FOR ALL THE ABOVE ANSWERS- VERY LOW IMPORTANCE MEANS NO. VERY HIGH IMPORTANCE MEANS YES NO SALE OR 99 YEAR LEASE FOR ANY PUBLIC OPEN SPACE. RETAIN VISTA OF CITY AND RIVER. NO COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL HIGH RISE OR HIGH DENSITY IN PUBLIC OPEN SPACE</p>
<p>FOR ALL ANSWERS ABOVE ; VERY LOW IMPORTANCE MEANS NO. VERY HIGH IMPORTANCE MEANS YES. NO SALE OR 99 YEAR LEASE FOR ANY PUBLIC OPEN SPACE. RETAIN VISTA OF CITY AND RIVER NO COMMERCIAL/ RESIDENTIAL HIGH RISE OR HIGH DENSITY IN PUBLIC OPEN SPACE</p>
<p>1. Opposed to any Public Open Space land SALE. Long lease max 25 years. 2. Opposed to HIGH DENSITY COMMERCIAL building in POS. Should be LOW and inconspicuous. 3. Retain the beauty of the VISTA; river and city views &amp; tranquillity of the foreshore</p>
<p>We are opposed to any public open space or the sale of long term lease term. To a max of 25years of all parks in South Perth foreshore and beyond the 2013 proposal. Highly opposed to high density commercial in the public open space park land.</p>

Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and magnificence of the park/foreshore.
1. Opposed to any public open space land sale or long term lease. Lease of public open space to be maximum of 25 years for all parks, especially in the South Perth Foreshore. 2. Opposed to high density commercial or residential in public open space parkland. Emphasis must be on retaining the beauty of the river and tranquility and space of the park.
1) Opposed to any Public Open Space (POS) land SALE or long term LEASE term. Opposed to lease of POS more than 25 years and leasing of POS entirely, for ALL PARKS particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 proposal. 2) Opposed to HIGH DENSITY COMMERCIAL in Public Open Space parkland. Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep within the amenity of the Park area. 3) Retain the beauty of the VISTA river and city views and tranquility of the Park. THINK ABOUT OUR KIDS - NOT OUR DESIRE TO CONSUME!!!
1. Opposed to any Public Open Space (POS) land sale or long term lease term. Lease of POS to be maximum of 25 year for all parks particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 Proposal. 2. Opposed to High density commercial in Public Open Space parkland. Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep within the amenity of the Park area. 3. Retain the beauty of the Vista river and city views & tranquillity of the Park.
I do not favour the selling off of any part of the current reserves, and am strongly opposed to any high rise building whatsoever along the river.
I am against any high density commercial activity and structures in parkland and am also against any sale or long term lease of public open space along the foreshore and Sir James Mitchell Park. Please retain the beauty of the current park area with its river and city views and not allow any more 'busy-ness' into our lives. Thankyou
Opposed to any public open space land sale or long term lease term. Lease of public open space to be maximum of 25 years for all parks particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 proposal. Opposed to high density commercial in public open space parkland. Commercial should be low inconspicuous structures which keep with the amenity of the park area. Retain the beauty of the vista, river and city views.
lets not sell off our foreshore.
Im opposed to any Public Open Space (POS) land sale or long term lease. Lease of POS to be a maximum of 25 years for all parks particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 Proposal. Im opposed to high density commercial in public open space parkland, Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep within the amenity of the Park Area. Retain the beauty of the Vista river and city views and tranquility of the Park.
opposed to any POS land sale or long term lease opposed to high density commercial in POS parkland retain the beauty of the VISTA river ,city views and park tranquility
Opposed to any Public Open Space land sale or long term lease.



<p>Opposed to any high density commercial development in Public Open Space parkland and Old Mill precinct</p>
<p>I am opposed to any Public Open Space land SALE or long term LEASE term. Lease of POS to be maximum of 25 years for all parks particularly in the SOuth Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 proposal. I am opposed to high density commercial in public open space parkland. Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep with the amenity of the Park area. Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and tranquility of the park.</p>
<p>Opposed to any public open space (POS) land sale or lease term. Opposed to any commercial outlets or residences along any part of the South Perth foreshore Wish to retain the current open public spaces as they are for the future enjoyment of all residents and visitors to the area.</p>
<p>Opposed to any Public Open Space land sale or long term lease term Opposed to high density commercial in public open space parkland</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposed to any public open space land sale or long term lease.</li> <li>- Opposed to high density commercial in public open space parkland.</li> <li>- Maintain the scenery of the river &amp; city views and the beauty of south perth parks.</li> </ul>
<p>I am totally opposed to any Public Open Space land sale or long term lease term. :ease of POS to be maximum of 25 yrs for all parks, especially South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 Proposal. Also object to high density commercial in Public Open Space parkland. Commercial should be low inconspicuous structures that remain within the amenity of the park area. Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and tranquillity of the Park that tourists and locals rave about. Sir James Mitchell Park was created by Sir James Mitchell to remain a public open space for ALL past, present and future visitors/residents NOT to be a commercial money making environment.</p>
<p>I am opposed to the sale of any of the public open space on the foreshore or any long term lease of greater than 10 years. I am opposed to any high density residential or commercial premises along the foreshore. I know there is some concern over the cost of maintaining the foreshore but at a quoted cost of around \$800k this is less than 1% of the total of the South Perth Government budget. I consider this a reasonable cost balance and it is therefore not necessary to promote any commercial activities. The park in its current state is the envy of many other cities and to change its nature would be a major disservice to future generations.</p>
<p>Opposed to any Public Open Space land sale or long term lease Opposed to High Density Commercial in Public Open Space Parkland Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and tranquillity of the park</p>
<p>I oppose high density commercial development in all public open space within this precinct.</p>
<p>Opposed to any Public Open Space land sale or long term lease term. Opposed to high density commercial in Public Open Space parkland. Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and tranquillity of the park.</p>

<p>Opposed to any Public Open Space land sale or long term lease term.  Opposed to high density commercial in Public Open Space parkland.  Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and tranquillity of the park.</p>
<p>Opposed to any Public Open Space land Sale or long term lease.  Opposed to High Density Commercial in Public Open Space parkland.  Retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and tranquility of the park.</p>
<p>I am opposed to any sale or long term lease of parkland, particularly Sir James Mitchell park and commercial parkland development</p>
<p>This is a very ambiguous survey!  Yes I strongly oppose the selling of any of the foreshore, including Freehold lots owned by or invested in South Perth Council.  I believe it important to maintain tranquility.  I strongly want to maintain the Lakes node.  I oppose the commercialization of the foreshore, if this means it can be sold off now or in the future. I believe the open space should not be reduced at all.  Most importantly i wish the lakes to remain as they are and surrounding land to remain as is. I do not wish any buildings to be erected in this node.(The Lakes)</p>
<p>I'm opposed to leasing or selling any of the public open spaces. Lease of public open spaces should be limited to a maximum of 25 years, specifically in the south perth foreshore and beyond 2013 proposal.  The south perth foreshore affects not just south perth, but perth as well. What we do with our parkland will set a precedent for the rest of perth. Many people who come to perth comment that it is so beautiful and spacious and green. If we don't value that and ensure it is sustained in the future, we won't have it anymore.</p>
<p>This is a park for all WA people. I very strongly oppose any selling or leasing of land. I strongly oppose any fencing off of land and any large scale development- I do not oppose 1 or 2 only cafes in appropriate place.</p>
<p>All areas are Important  I am opposed to High density commercial open space parkland.  It is important to retain the beauty of the vista of the river and city views &amp; tranquillity of Park.</p>
<p>I am strongly opposed to any further commercial or residential developments on the South Perth foreshore. This is one of the nicest parts of perth due to the open green spaces, lakes and fauna so close to the river. I am horrified that this is even being considered and don't believe this has been announced publically enough to give everyone an opportunity to voice their concern. It feels as though it has already been decided without enough consultation with not only South Perth residents, but the wider community. I am a resident of South Perth and believe we pay high enough rates to be able to enjoy the benefits of having such a beautiful part of the city close to our doorstep.</p>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I oppose to any public open space land sale or long term lease.</li> <li>2. I oppose to any high density commercial in public open space and parks.</li> <li>3. I want to retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and the tranquillity of the parks.</li> <li>4 Save Sir James Mitchell Park as a grand open space.</li> </ol>

<p>1. I oppose to any public open space land sale or long term lease.</p> <p>2. I oppose to any high density commercial in public open space and parks.</p> <p>3. I want to retain the beauty of the vista river and city views and the tranquility of the parks.</p> <p>4 Save Sir James Mitchell Park as a grand open space.</p>
<p>I am opposed to any sale or lease for ALL parks in South Perth and to any high density commercial development on any parkland. The current open space should be fully preserved for the enjoyment of everyone and not the object of commercialisation .</p>
<p>1) Opposed to any Public Open Space (POS) land SALE or long term LEASE term. Lease of POS to be maximum of 25 years for ALL PARKS particularly in the South Perth Foreshore and Beyond 2013 Proposal.</p> <p>2) Opposed to HIGH DENSITY COMMERCIAL in Public Open Space parkland. Commercial should comprise of low inconspicuous structures which keep within the amenity of the Park area.</p> <p>3) Retain the beauty of the VISTA river and city views &amp; tranquillity of the Park.</p>
<p>I am opposed to commercial parkland development</p>
<p>Opposed to any sale or long term lease (greater than 10years), of public open space, particularly Sir James Mitchell Park</p> <p>Opposed to commercial parkland development- Public Open Space parkland should not be comprised for development. Very low density structures which keep within the amenity of the Park and do not take away from the beauty of the river and city views would be acceptable.</p>
<p>Opposed to any sale or long term lease (greater than 10years), of public open space, particularly Sir James Mitchell Park</p> <p>Opposed to commercial parkland development- Public Open Space parkland should not be comprised for development. Very low density structures which keep within the amenity of the Park and do not take away from the beauty of the river and city views would be acceptable.</p>
<p>Opposed to any sale or long term lease (greater than 10years), of public open space, particularly Sir James Mitchell Park</p> <p>Opposed to commercial parkland development- Public Open Space parkland should not be comprised for development. Very low density structures which keep within the amenity of the Park and do not take away from the beauty of the river and city views would be acceptable.</p>
<p>Opposed to any sale or long term lease (greater than 10years), of public open space, particularly Sir James Mitchell Park. Opposed to commercial parkland development- Public Open Space parkland should not be comprised for development. Very low density structures which keep within the amenity of the Park and do not take away from the beauty of the river and city views would be acceptable.</p>
<p>Opposed to any sale or long term lease (greater than 10years), of public open space, particularly Sir James Mitchell Park</p> <p>Opposed to commercial parkland development- Public Open Space parkland should not be comprised for development. Very low density structures which keep within the amenity of the Park and do not take away from the beauty of the river and city views would be acceptable.</p>
<p>Opposed to any sale or long term lease (greater than 10years), of public open space,</p>

<p>particularly Sir James Mitchell Park. Opposed to commercial parkland development- Public Open Space parkland should not be comprised for development. Very low density structures which keep within the amenity of the Park and do not take away from the beauty of the river and city views would be acceptable.</p>
<p>Opposed to any sale or long term lease (greater than 10years), of public open space, particularly Sir James Mitchell Park</p> <p>2) Opposed to commercial parkland development- Public Open Space parkland should not be comprised for development. Very low density structures which keep within the amenity of the Park and do not take away from the beauty of the river and city views would be acceptable.</p>
<p>No sale or long term lease of parts of park.</p>
<p>I oppose sale or lease of public open space in the strongest possible terms of any area of foreshore or the Swan River, particularly the South Perth area. It is unique.</p> <p>I oppose high density commercial development.</p> <p>Both these issues will assist in maintaining the beautiful tranquil views of the City as distinct from the chaos that exist on the northern banks and riverside precincts such as Elizabeth Quay eyesore.</p>
<p>I found the framing of the questions about 'facilitate economic development' and 'foster and promote sustainability' misleading as the common use of these terms, compared to the context of this survey are different, so I'm not sure how valid the feedback you get on those questions will be.</p> <p>To be clear, I am opposed to any sale or long term lease of any of the South Perth parklands, particularly the Sir James Mitchell Park and I am opposed to any commercial parkland development.</p>
<p>I m opposed of sale any or long term lease of parklands.</p> <p>Im opposed to commercial parkland development</p>
<p>Please do not sell off any of the land bordering the river from Narrows Bridge to Ellam St. It is for the public. I do not want to see high rise buildings on the foreshore.</p>
<p>NO commercialisation of park</p>