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# SUMMARY TABLE

The summary table below provides key statistics and planning outcomes of the activity centre plan. The main purpose of the summary table is to provide a quick reference point to convey the nature and key outcomes of the activity centre plan to facilitate efficient capture of digital information and for clarity, ease of analysis and tracking. The summary table may also be used to assess compliance with policies and targets set in the State and local planning framework and in any relevant high level planning strategy or structure plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DATA</th>
<th>ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN REF (SECTION NO.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total area covered by the activity centre plan (gross)</td>
<td>102.46 hectares (excluding Kwinana Freeway)</td>
<td>Part 2 Section 1.3 Plan Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area covered by the activity centre plan (nett)</td>
<td>41.05 hectares (excluding existing open space and road reserves)</td>
<td>Part 2 Section 1.3 Plan Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of each land use proposed</td>
<td>N/A*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total estimated lot yield</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031</td>
<td>2,750 dwellings</td>
<td>Part 2 Section 6.2 Forecast Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated number of dwellings</td>
<td>4,250 dwellings</td>
<td>Part 2 Section 6.2 Forecast Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated gross residential density</td>
<td>26.8 dwellings per hectare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated (nett) residential site density</td>
<td>67.0 dwellings per site hectare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated population</td>
<td>4,750 people</td>
<td>Part 2 Section 6.2 Forecast Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated commercial floor space (total including Retail)</td>
<td>106,360sqm NLA</td>
<td>Part 2 Section 6.2 Forecast Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Retail floor space</td>
<td>13,860sqm NLA</td>
<td>Part 2 Section 6.2 Forecast Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of high schools</td>
<td>No additional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of primary schools</td>
<td>No additional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated area and percentage of public open space given over to:</td>
<td>40.59ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Regional open space (includes Perth Zoo)</td>
<td>40.453 hectares</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• District open space</td>
<td>0 hectares</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Neighbourhood parks</td>
<td>0 hectares</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local parks</td>
<td>0.132 hectares</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated percentage of natural area</td>
<td>40.59 hectares</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The ACP area is an established inner city precinct which features – and will continue to feature – mixed use development. No areas of discrete land uses are proposed in the ACP. Refer to estimated number of dwellings and commercial floor space, below.

+ As the centre is well-established, and land is extensively subdivided, no additional lots are proposed as part of this ACP. However some lots may be created or amalgamated in the course of redevelopment within the ACP area. The ACP area currently (August 2018) includes 301 freehold lots.

# Represents existing areas of open space. As an established area, no additional public open space is proposed as part of this ACP.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Activity Centre Plan (ACP) follows directly from the Place and Design Report, prepared for the City of South Perth in May 2017. The Place and Design Report was the outcome of workshops and extensive community engagement, exploring the issues affecting South Perth, recognising a broad spectrum of views and interests, and developing a vision and objectives for the future of the South Perth activity centre. As the centre is identified as a District Centre in the State activity centres hierarchy, preparation of an ACP was a major recommendation of the Place and Design Report.

The ACP refines and implements the vision developed through the Place and Design Report. The overarching vision statement is for the South Perth Activity Centre Plan area (ACP area) to be:

A distinctive inner city centre, tourism destination and residential neighbourhood that is shaped by its connection to nature, unique assets, distinctive buildings, and future-forward approaches to sustainable living. Its lively centre and pedestrian friendly tree-lined streets connect locals and visitors to its diverse businesses, transport nodes and local heritage.

The overarching vision statement is supported by four character area statements that address the diverse and varying ways that density, activity and public space will be addressed across the ACP area.

The draft ACP comprises:

- **Part One**: Implementation Section, which includes the ACP area map, plan series, character statements for the character areas and development requirements.
- **Part Two**: Explanatory Section, which is to be used as a strategic guide that provides the background, rationale, design basis and intent of the ACP to support the implementation of Part One.
- **Appendices**: Economic and Demographic Assessment; Transport and Movement Analysis, which provide the evidence base that has informed the preparation of the ACP.

Part One of the ACP is to be read in conjunction with Schedules 9B (for the ACP area with the exception of the Landmark Site) and 13 (for the Landmark Site) of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6. The planning scheme implements key parts the ACP by setting objectives and development requirements to regulate development.

The ACP area is already a great urban neighbourhood, defined by its vibrant and diverse community, exceptional amenities and stunning natural setting in an unrivalled central location. It is therefore not surprising that there is demand for the area to grow, especially as the wider Perth metropolitan area grows. The ACP and town planning scheme set a clear vision and detailed planning requirements to manage the growth of the area to ensure that development builds on its unique characteristics, enhances its economic prosperity and strengthens its vitality for current and future residents, workers and visitors.
The ACP draws on important elements of stakeholder and community engagement, undertaken through the Place and Design project in 2017. This is reflected in the character area-based approach in the ACP, with clear objectives for each character area and development requirements designed to support and build upon the features of each area.

In addition to the detailed development requirements in sections 3, 4 and 7, the ACP provides guidance on Movement and Access, and Public Realm in sections 5 and 6 respectively.

An important component of the future of South Perth is the transport network. Although the development of a train station at South Perth is not a direct objective of the ACP, the development controls and other actions identified in the ACP are expected to strengthen the case for a station to be built.

There is a strong focus on reducing car dependence and use in the ACP area. The ferry and bus services already offer transit oriented development opportunities, and the high quality public realm encourages pedestrians and cycling. Increasing the use of non-car transport modes is important to ensure that the transport network remains efficient and effective as the local area and wider city grow in size.

The public realm is also recognised as both exceptional and important, and is retained and enhanced through the guidance provided in the ACP.

The ACP aims to provide both flexibility and certainty, by setting clear objectives, guidance and requirements for development proposals, including a detailed framework with clear limits for the approval of larger buildings. The public benefit contributions framework in part 7 addresses a specific issue raised by stakeholders: that development in the area should deliver benefits to the users of the area. Any application seeking additional development potential (height or plot ratio) must meet prerequisite amenity and design criteria and provide a public benefit contribution to the City, proportional to the size of the development. These public benefit contributions will be pooled by the City, to be used on items that benefit the users of the area.

The ACP is designed to cater for expected demand to 2041, and potentially beyond. However, it should be subject to review regularly, to ensure outcomes match intent. Therefore key performance indicators have been identified in section 9 to enable ongoing monitoring of progress towards the articulated vision.

The South Perth activity centre is already important - and has substantial further potential. This ACP seeks to harness that potential for the benefit of all stakeholders in the present and future.
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PART ONE
IMPLEMENTATION

This Part sets out the vision for the area and provides guidance for the implementation of the Activity Centre Plan. It is to be read in conjunction with Schedules 9B and 13 of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6.
1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN AREA

This Activity Centre Plan (ACP) applies to the land contained within the inner edge of the line denoting the Activity Centre Plan area boundary on Plan 1 (ACP area).

1.2 ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN STRUCTURE

The ACP comprises:

- Part One: Implementation Section
- Part Two: Explanatory Section
- Appendices: Economic and Demographic Assessment (Appendix 1); Transport and Movement Analysis (Appendix 2)

Part One includes the Activity Centre Plan (Plan 1), plan series, character statements for the character areas and development requirements.

Part Two is to be used as a strategic guide that provides the background, rationale, design basis and intent of the ACP to support the implementation of Part One.

The Appendices provide the evidence base that has informed the preparation of the ACP.

1.3 RELATIONSHIP TO THE SCHEME AND DEEMED PROVISIONS

The ACP is made pursuant to Part 5 of Schedule 2 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 (the Deemed Provisions) and is to be read in conjunction with Schedules 9B (for the ACP area with the exception of the Landmark Site) and 13 (for the Landmark Site) of City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No 6 (the Scheme). In the event of any inconsistency between the ACP and the Scheme, the Scheme shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency.

The provisions of the ACP are:

a. relevant to the application of the provisions of Schedules 9B and 13; and

b. to be given due regard in determining development applications as required by clauses 43(1) and 67(h) of the Deemed Provisions.

Part 2 of the ACP also functions as a strategic guide to the Scheme. As such it may provide guidance for future scheme amendments within the ACP area, and provide additional context for the application of discretion regarding development in the ACP area.

The ACP has been prepared in accordance with State Planning Policy 4.2 Activity Centres for Perth and Peel (SPP4.2), Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) Structure Plan Framework (2015), and with regard to relevant City of South Perth and WAPC planning policies.

1.3.1 Relationship to Policies

Where the ACP is inconsistent with a local government planning policy, the ACP shall prevail to the extent of any inconsistency.
1.4 EXERCISE OF DISCRETION

Where discretion is required to be exercised under this ACP in relation to development requirements, due regard must be had to:

- the relevant provisions of the Scheme; and
- the relevant character area statement in this ACP; and
- the relevant character area objectives in the Scheme; and
- the objectives in this ACP relating to the particular aspect of the application for which the discretion is sought.

Schedule 9B of the Scheme provides for the exercise of discretion regarding a number of development requirements, including building height and plot ratio in Elements 2 and 6 respectively. The Scheme sets out the circumstances under which additional height and/or plot ratio above the base limits may be approved and the limits to the amount of additional development potential that may be approved.

Schedule 13 of the Scheme provides for the exercise of discretion on the Landmark Site regarding a number of development requirements, including setbacks and building height in Element 1, and car and bicycle parking bays in Element 3. The Scheme sets out the circumstances under which reduced setbacks, additional height and/or reduced parking bays may be approved.

1.5 COMMENCEMENT

In accordance with the Deemed Provisions, the ACP shall become operational upon its approval by the WAPC.

1.6 ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN MAP

The ACP map allocates land to character areas and shows the zoning and residential density code of land within the ACP area. The ACP map (Plan 1) and other plans are provided at the end of Part 1 of the ACP.
2.0 CHARACTER AREAS AND OBJECTIVES

2.1 ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN OBJECTIVES AND VISION

This ACP seeks to implement the principles of the South Perth Place and Design Report, prepared for the City of South Perth in May 2017.

The objective of the ACP is to apply the principles of the Place and Design Report, and other sound planning and design principles, to shape and guide development of the ACP area having regard to:

• its role as an inner city activity centre; and
• the outcomes of the demographic and economic assessment, transport and movement analysis and other relevant background studies (see Part 2 and appendices).

The ACP is intended to be regularly monitored and reviewed.

The vision for the ACP area was developed through the South Perth Place and Design project in 2017, and builds on the values and priorities of local stakeholders. The vision is also layered and multi-faceted, with an overarching vision statement to steer the ACP area’s evolution supported by four character area statements (see section 2.3) that address the diverse and varying ways that density, activity and the public realm will be addressed across the ACP area.

The overarching vision statement is for the ACP area to be:

A distinctive inner city centre, tourism destination and residential neighbourhood that is shaped by its connection to nature, unique assets, distinctive buildings, and future-forward approaches to sustainable living. Its lively centre and pedestrian friendly tree-lined streets connect locals and visitors to its diverse businesses, transport nodes and local heritage.

2.2 A CHARACTER AREA-LED APPROACH

The Place and Design Report defined four character areas, as shown in Figure 1 and considered the future of the ACP area in the context of each character area and how each character area can evolve in the future.

A character statement for each character area is set out in section 2.3. Objectives for each character area are provided in Schedule 9B. All development proposals shall be considered having due regard to the character statement and objectives of the relevant character area.
2.3 THE CHARACTER AREAS

The following character area statements are to be read in conjunction with the objectives for each character area contained in Schedule 9B.

2.3.1 Mends

The Mends character area is the cultural and commercial heart of the ACP area; a place where residents and workers enjoy a wide diversity of recreational and commercial offerings, as well as significant amenities including Windsor Park, the Foreshore and Perth Zoo. In the future, the area will leverage these amenities to create a truly great destination where residents, visitors and businesses mix together in a vibrant environment with frequent events and activities during the day and at night.

2.3.2 Richardson

The Richardson character area contains a mix of building styles and land uses. The establishment of a train station near Richardson Street will establish the area as a vibrant gateway to Perth Zoo and the wider activity centre. Future development will enhance the diverse character of the area, building upon the intricacy of its urban fabric characterised by varied lot sizes and building heights, retained heritage cottages and green pedestrian links. Residents will be accommodated within a mix of diverse housing options.

2.3.3 Mill Point

The Mill Point character area is a predominantly residential area characterised by green, leafy streets and buildings set back from the public realm. This significant amenity is complemented by its proximity to the South Perth Foreshore and views to the Perth CBD and Swan River. In the future, this character will be enhanced through upgrades to the public realm and new development that responds to and enhances the special amenities that make the area a great place to live.

2.3.4 Hillside

The Hillside character area is a secluded residential area with a wide variety of building styles and dwelling typologies overlooking the Swan River. Despite its close relationship to the Mends area, it maintains a quiet residential character. In the future infill development will complement and supplement existing residential towers, providing additional public benefit through the creation of small green spaces and new public connections to the South Perth Foreshore.
3.0 ACTIVITY

Land use permissibility and preferred ground floor land uses are contained in Schedules 9B and 13. To complement these provisions, the ACP contains objectives for land use, matters relevant to the exercise of discretion, uses not listed, minimum non-residential plot ratio and housing diversity applicable within the ACP area and individual character areas.

3.1 LAND USE

The provisions of the ACP reflect the need to direct and manage forecast growth for the ACP area to 2041, as set out in Part 2, including approximately:

- 2,309 additional dwellings
- 12,184 square metres of additional retail space
- 47,000 square metres of additional office and other commercial space (excluding retail)

The growth forecast in Part 2 and the land use provisions of this ACP will be subject to regular review.

Land uses should be distributed in a logical manner, in keeping with sound planning principles, the relevant character area objectives set out in Schedule 9B, and the objectives outlined below.

OBJECTIVES

i. To encourage land uses that will contribute to the desired character of each character area.
ii. To ensure population growth is accompanied by employment growth in appropriate locations having regard to the character area statements and objectives.
iii. To ensure residents, workers and visitors to South Perth are well served by a range of appropriate retail and entertainment options.
iv. To locate land uses to best focus activity and vitality in South Perth, generate economies of agglomeration, and create a place of distinction and community value.
v. To direct uses with high employment, residential or visitor intensity around current and future nodes of public transport.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

3.1.1 Residential Density

A density code of R-AC0 applies to the entirety of the ACP area, including the landmark site.

Under Schedule 9B, density of all land uses in the ACP area is measured and expressed as plot ratio. Schedule 9B contains provisions controlling the amount of plot ratio that buildings may contain.

Density controls for the Landmark Site are set out in Schedule 13.

3.1.2 Land Use Permissibility

Land use permissibility within each character area is specified by Element 1 of Provision 5 of Schedule 9B.

Land use permissibility for the landmark site is specified by Element 2 of Provision 5 of Schedule 13.
3.1.3 Exercise of Discretion:

When determining development applications which propose land uses listed as “D” (Discretion) and “DC” (Discretion with Consultation) in Schedule 9B, the local government shall have due regard for the following matters in addition to any other matters which it is required to consider:

- The overarching vision statement for the ACP area
- The character area statement of the relevant character area set out in section 2.3 of this ACP
- The objectives of the character area set out in Schedule 9B and for Special Control Area 2 set out in Schedule 13 as applicable
- The objectives of clause 3.1 of this ACP
- How the proposed land use(s) will contribute to managing the forecast growth of dwellings, residents, visitors, retail space and other commercial space within the ACP area
- For ground floor uses:
  - Preferred ground floor activity and uses for the character area as set out in Schedule 9B or 13 (as applicable);
  - Street type as outlined in Plan 2; and
  - Street frontage type as outlined in Plan 3.

3.1.4 Minimum Non-Residential Plot Ratio

To ensure the ongoing provision of non-residential space and that growth in residential population corresponds with growth in local services and employment opportunities, the minimum ratios in Table 1 apply for non-residential development within character areas across the ACP area. Calculation of plot ratio for this provision excludes car parking and associated circulation space.

Table 1: Minimum Non-Residential Plot Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTER AREA</th>
<th>MINIMUM NON-RESIDENTIAL PLOT RATIO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mends</td>
<td>1.0 or 30% of total, whichever is lesser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Point</td>
<td>No Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillside</td>
<td>No Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson</td>
<td>1.0 or 30% of total, whichever is lesser</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 HOUSING DIVERSITY

OBJECTIVES:

i. To support the growth of sustainable communities and a broad range of household types across the ACP area by facilitating choice in high quality housing

ii. To ensure development of a range of housing types offering variety in built form, size and typology

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

3.2.1 Dwelling Diversity

Development that contains 20 or more dwellings shall provide:

a. at least 20% of those dwellings as studio or single bedroom dwellings with a maximum provision of 50%; and

b. at least 10% of those dwellings as three- or more-bedroom dwellings.

Percentage requirements may be rounded down to the nearest whole unit.
PART ONE
IMPLEMENTATION

4.0 BUILT FORM

4.1 BUILDING ENVELOPE

OBJECTIVES:

i. To define an appropriate space and volume within which development may occur

ii. To ensure lots are able to manage development form in support of the vision for the ACP area and the objectives of the relevant character area

4.1.1 Building Height

OBJECTIVES:

i. To ensure that building heights are consistent with the desired future scale and built form of the activity centre and character area.

ii. To ensure that the interface between character areas is appropriately managed.

iii. To facilitate and manage growth across the ACP area based on population growth forecasts and identified economic and transport capacity, reflecting the Centre’s role as an inner city activity centre.

iv. To establish a consistent and transparent performance-based approval process that accommodates additional development potential in return for public benefit contributions in appropriate locations and development proposals.

v. To locate larger scale developments close to the Mends Street ferry terminal and the future South Perth train station to optimise access to transit services for new development.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.1.1.1 Building Height

• Building height shall be in accordance with Provision 5, Element 2 of Schedule 9B.

• Building height for the landmark site shall be in accordance with Provision 5, Element 1 of Schedule 13.
### 4.1.2 Podium Setbacks, Height and Site Cover

#### OBJECTIVES

i. To ensure buildings contribute to a public realm that creates interest and encourages pedestrian movement.

ii. To provide human-scale development fronting onto a defined hierarchy of streets, as outlined on Plan 3.

iii. To support the development of a human scale, vibrant streetscape experience whilst ensuring that a viable built form siting and access solution can be achieved.

iv. To articulate the base building with high-quality materials and design elements that complement neighbouring buildings and contribute to a pedestrian scale.

### DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

#### 4.1.2.1 Podium Site Cover and Setbacks

Podium site cover and podium setbacks for development shall be in accordance with Provision 5, Element 3 of Schedule 9B.

Podium setbacks for development on the landmark site shall be in accordance with Provision 5, Element 1 of Schedule 13.

#### 4.1.2.2 Podium Street Setback Encroachment and Variation

Where permitted in accordance with Provision 5, Element 3.2 of Schedule 9B, podium street setback controls may be averaged in response to site and context conditions where it can be demonstrated that the variation does not have a detrimental impact on the streetscape character and local amenity.

Where setbacks are averaged, part(s) of the podium may be permitted to have a lesser street setback provided the average street setback for the entire podium is not less than the minimum setback required in accordance with Provision 5, Element 3.2 of Schedule 9B.

In averaging setbacks, the minimum setback permissible is 50% of the setback shown on the Map 3 of Schedule 9B. This provision does not apply to the landmark site.

#### 4.1.2.3 Podium Street Corner Truncations

Notwithstanding podium street setback requirements, all development shall maintain a visual or actual street corner truncation of 3 metres by 3 metres measured from the corner of the lot.

#### 4.1.2.4 Podium Side Setback Variation

Where permitted in accordance with Provision 5, Element 3.3 of Schedule 9B, podium side setbacks may be varied down to nil within the Mill Point, Hillside and Richardson character areas where it can be demonstrated that the variation does not have a detrimental impact on the streetscape character and local amenity.
4.1.3 Tower Setbacks and Separation

OBJECTIVES
i. To ensure amenity for building occupants is maintained by providing adequate separation between towers.
ii. To minimise the potential for closely located buildings to create an effect of cumulative bulk.
iii. To ensure wind impacts are effectively managed by separation of buildings.
iv. To enable sightlines, breezes and sunlight to penetrate adequately between buildings.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS
4.1.3.1 Tower Setbacks

Tower setbacks shall be in accordance with Provision 5, Element 4 of Schedule 9B.

Tower setbacks on the landmark site shall be in accordance with Provision 5, Element 1 of Schedule 13.

4.1.4 Tower Maximum Gross Floorplate Area

OBJECTIVES
i. To ensure that all buildings adhere to the principle that, if a building is taller, it must be more slender in proportion to the overall lot size and have more space around it.
ii. To maintain opportunities for view corridors between buildings, minimise overshadowing and limit building bulk.
iii. To organise and articulate tall building towers to promote design excellence, innovation and sustainability.
iv. To minimise wind impacts arising from bulky or closely grouped buildings.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS
4.1.4.1 Tower Maximum Gross Floorplate Area

The maximum gross floorplate area of each floor of a tower shall be in accordance with Provision 5, Element 5 of Schedule 9B.
4.2 PLOT RATIO

Objectives:

i. To control the amount of development permitted on any development site within the defined building envelope.

ii. To provide sufficient space within the building envelope to encourage variation in building design in response to individual site conditions.

iii. To encourage building designers to consider the best allocation of plot ratio area.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.2.1 Plot Ratio

- Plot ratio shall be in accordance with Provision 5, Element 6 of Schedule 9B.
- There is no plot ratio limit for the landmark site in accordance with Schedule 13.

Figure 6: Plot Ratio and Building Envelope
4.3 OTHER DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.3.1 Street Interface

OBJECTIVES

i. To support pedestrian amenity and activity by ensuring a high level of visual interest and design quality in the building façade(s) addressing the public domain.

ii. To ensure that street level conditions in each character area are enhanced through complementary new development.

iii. To ensure that residential and commercial ground floor uses are provided in appropriate locations.

iv. To create opportunity for activation and passive surveillance of the public domain contributing to a sense of vitality and safety.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.3.1.1 Street Interface Design

Facade categories that apply to the ground floor of new development are as per the Street Interface Type Plan (Plan 3). New development shall be in accordance with the relevant controls contained in 4.3.1.2 to 4.3.1.4 inclusive.

4.3.1.2 Active Street Interface

Active street interfaces are designed to enable direct visual and physical contact between the street and the interior of buildings to encourage casual surveillance of and interaction with the public domain. Clearly defined entrances, windows and shop fronts are elements of the building façade that contribute to an active street interface.

Active street interface design shall deliver:

a. Retail and commercial units shall be individually articulated with a width of between 6 metres and 9 metres that provide direct, universal access to the public footpath.

b. Blank walls or sections of walls that are blank shall not exceed 2 metres in length.

c. Articulation of shop front design in accordance with City of South Perth design guidance on frontage design (for example with appropriate use of stall risers, window design, awnings and other architectural features), and/or the design of existing retail frontages neighbouring the development site.

d. Awnings and canopies for all streets shall be set back 1.5 metres from the kerb line.

e. A minimum of 50% of the width of the street interface at the ground floor shall be clear and un-tinted vision glass.

f. Active frontages with nil setbacks as per Map 3: Setbacks in Schedule 9B require design for active trading frontages, and are preferred to be built to a nil setback, unless otherwise providing for pedestrian amenity.

g. Alfresco areas may be encouraged where there is high pedestrian activity and where verge space is adequate.

h. The minimum floor-to-ceiling height of the ground floor of all buildings shall be 4.0m.

Figure 7: Street Interfaces
DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.3.1.3 Semi-Active Street Interface

Semi-active street interfaces contain active elements (which substantially interact with the street, like retail uses) and passive elements (which do not, like residential uses), even within individual buildings. They provide for interaction with the public realm and a range of uses within buildings that are separated (horizontally or vertically) to provide privacy and amenity for occupants. The definition of private, semi-public and public space is clear in mixed streets.

Semi-active street interface design shall deliver:

a. Ground floor tenancies should demonstrate capability for conversion between residential and commercial uses including:
   • minimum floor-to-ceiling height of 4.0m;
   • accessibility requirements in accordance with the National Construction Code;
   • ability to provide vertical separation from the street of 0.6-1.0 metres; and
   • ability to accommodate servicing requirements.

b. For streets with nil setbacks, awnings and canopies shall be provided for all streets, set back 1.5 metres from the kerb line.

c. Frontages with setbacks may use the setback area for commercial activity such as alfresco dining provided the immediately adjacent ground floor uses are not residential and/or the impact on neighbours’ amenity would be acceptable.

d. Buildings fronting the street shall provide a minimum of 40% of the width of the street interface at the ground floor as clear and un-tinted glass.

4.3.1.4 Passive Street Interface

Passive street interfaces are predominantly residential and do not promote commercial activity except where it is compatible with the residential character and amenity of the street interface. Privacy and clear definition between public and private realms are considered important.

Passive street interface design shall deliver:

a. Ground level apartments that are individually articulated in their massing with a width of between 6 metres and 9 metres.

b. Finished floor level raised between 0.6 metres and 1.0 metres above the adjacent street level.

c. Clear delineation between public space and private dwellings through the use of fences, walls and planters that are visually permeable above 1.2 metres in height.

d. Residential units facing the street shall contain a living space that provides windows, openings, balconies and/or courtyards facing the street to encourage active use within the street interface area and passive surveillance over the public domain.

e. Sites may include an element of commercial activity, such as alfresco dining, within the street setback area provided the immediately adjacent ground floor uses are not residential and/or the impact on neighbours’ amenity would be acceptable, and where providing for an intended non-residential land use in accordance with Schedule 9B.
4.3.2 Heritage

OBJECTIVES

i. To protect and enhance heritage places within the ACP area.

ii. To ensure that new development responds sensitively to places within the ACP area that are listed on the local government’s heritage list and does not adversely affect the character of a heritage place.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.3.2.1 Interface with Heritage Buildings

a. For development on a site comprising or adjoining a heritage place, the local government may require greater setbacks than those specified in Schedule 9B, to protect the visual significance and integrity of the heritage place.

b. The siting and design of any building on a site adjoining a heritage place shall respect the visual significance and integrity and not overwhelm or adversely affect the heritage place having regard to the design, size, scale, setbacks and proportion of the proposed building, particularly as viewed from the street.

c. For any new development on a site that involves additions and alterations to a heritage place, or is on a site containing or adjoining a heritage place, the application for development approval shall be accompanied by a heritage impact statement justifying the appropriateness of the built form of the new development.

d. New development involving additions or alterations to a heritage place shall retain, re-use and maintain the integrity of the existing heritage place within the new development.

4.3.3 Amenity and Design Quality

OBJECTIVES

i. To ensure that building design maintains high levels of occupant amenity within new and established buildings.

ii. To ensure that building design is of a high quality and contributes to the desired future character of the character area and ACP area.

iii. To ensure that development in proximity to road and rail transport noise sources provides suitable noise mitigation measures.

iv. To ensure that buildings do not cast excessive shadows over adjacent properties.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.1 Design Quality

a. The architectural design of any proposed comprehensive new development must be exemplary, sensitive and sophisticated and contribute to the high quality of the inner urban environment being promoted in the locality.

b. In determining whether 4.3.3.1(a) is satisfied, the nominated Design Review Panel must have due regard to any policy or guidelines of the WAPC relating to architectural design quality, and is to take into consideration any policy or guidelines of the WAPC relating to design review principles and practices, e.g. Design WA Design Review Guide (as amended).

c. In determining whether 4.3.3.1(a) is satisfied, the local government or other responsible authority must:
   i. have due regard to any policy or guidelines of the WAPC relating to architectural design quality
   ii. have due regard to the advice of the relevant nominated Design Review Panel, or other suitably qualified consultant(s) appointed by the local government for the purpose of providing advice on architectural design quality; and
DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

iii. be satisfied that the proposed comprehensive new development provides a high level of amenity within the public realm by:
   • being of a scale along the street frontage which is conducive to creating a comfortable pedestrian environment; and
   • minimising adverse wind impacts; and
   • allowing for appropriate levels of sunlight penetration into key pedestrian and public spaces; and
   • contributing to an attractive skyline and outlook from the public realm within the immediate locality and surrounding vantage points; and
   • be satisfied that the proposed comprehensive new development provides a high level of internal amenity within the development itself by providing for appropriate natural light access, natural ventilation, privacy and outlook.

4.3.3.2 Entertainment Noise

Applications proposing any of the following uses shall be accompanied by a noise management plan prepared to the satisfaction of the local government:
• Café/Restaurant (with greater than 50sqm floorspace);
• Cinema/Theatre;
• Club Premises;
• Hotel;
• Indoor Sporting Activities;
• Night Club;
• Reception Centre;
• Small Bar;
• Tavern; or
• Any other use, whether it is listed in Schedule 9B or not, that is considered by the local government to require a noise management plan.

4.3.3.3 Transport Noise

Development affected by noise from the rail line or Kwinana Freeway shall be designed with due regard to the requirements of State Planning Policy 5.4 Road and Rail Transport Noise and Freight Considerations in Land Use Planning.

4.3.3.4 Overshadowing

Development shall not cast a shadow over more than 80% of any adjoining lot for more than 2 hours between 9am and 3pm on 21 June. Shadow diagrams are to be submitted demonstrating compliance with this requirement as part of the development application.
### 4.3.4 Sustainability, Landscaping and Water Management

#### OBJECTIVES

- i. To encourage development that reduces environmental impacts in construction and operation and promotes sustainable lifestyles, reducing energy consumption, water use and waste generation reduced.
- ii. To ensure the environmental performance of new development is of a high standard.
- iii. To reduce pressure on infrastructure and utilities by reducing demand for their use.

#### DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

### 4.3.4.1 Sustainability

All development to which the City of South Perth Local Planning Policy P350.01 Environmentally Sustainable Building Design applies shall achieve and provide certification of at least a four star rating under the relevant Green Star rating tool (or equivalent demonstrated sustainability outcomes), in accordance with the policy.

### 4.3.4.2 Landscaping

Development shall incorporate landscaped area comprising at least 40% of the site area.

Components of the landscaped areas may include ground level landscaping, landscaping on the roof of the podium, rooftop terraces or gardens. Up to a maximum of 5% of the 40% landscaped area may be in the form of vertical planting and planting on walls.

### 4.3.4.3 Deep Soil Zones

All development sites shall include at least 12% of the site area at ground level allocated and designed for deep soil zones, suitable for accommodating mature trees, and with a minimum dimension of 6.0 metres.

This allocation may be reduced to 8% where an existing tree worthy of retention is proposed to be retained.

### 4.3.4.4 Groundwater Management

Where a development proposes basement(s) a dewatering management plan must be submitted with the development application that details the proposed dewatering process and how dewatering issues will be managed. The plan shall address contingencies to be put in place to satisfactorily manage issues that may arise during and after the dewatering process.

### 4.3.4.5 Stormwater Management

A stormwater management plan must be submitted with the development application to demonstrate the appropriate management and disposal of stormwater from a proposed development.

Stormwater shall be connected to the local drainage network or otherwise disposed of in accordance with an approved stormwater management plan.
4.3.5 Adaptability

OBJECTIVES

i. To create robust urban places by ensuring buildings, in particular at the ground floor, are adaptable over time to provide for a wide range of uses and changing demands.

ii. To extend the life of buildings by ensuring flexibility of use.

iii. To attract greater investment in building quality, for longer lasting buildings.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.3.5.1 Floor to Ceiling Height

Development throughout the ACP area is to be consistent with the minimum floor to ceiling heights detailed in Table 2. Floor to ceiling heights for ground floors in active and mixed streets are to be in accordance with section 4.3.1 Street Interface. In the event of any inconsistency, the greater value shall apply.

Spaces designed for flexibility should demonstrate ease of compliance with the National Construction Code for the various uses in the justification of the proposed design.

Table 2: Floor to Ceiling Heights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USE</th>
<th>MINIMUM HEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential uses</td>
<td>2.7 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Ground Floor Flexible or Non-Residential uses</td>
<td>4.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above Ground Floor Flexible or Non-Residential uses</td>
<td>3.3 metres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.6 Detailing and Materials

OBJECTIVES

i. To ensure that building exteriors positively contribute to the desired future character of the relevant character area and streetscape.

ii. To ensure that the quality of design detail in new development is of a consistently high standard across the ACP area.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

4.3.6.1 Facade Materials

Where visible from the street, the podium element of development should include a range of materials to add articulation and visual interest.

Painted unclad concrete should not be a principal finishing material or exceed more than 20% of the area of a building façade.

4.3.6.2 Roof Design

Roof-top mechanical or telecommunications equipment shall be integrated into the design and massing of the upper floors of the building and shall not be visible above roof level.

4.3.6.3 Servicing Design

A waste management plan shall be prepared for each new development and submitted with the development application to ensure refuse collection can be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the local government.

Servicing and utilities elements should be screened from view or, if required to be on the outside of the building, should be integrated into the fabric of the building.

4.3.6.4 Public Art

All development with a value of $4 million or greater shall contribute towards public art in accordance with the City of South Perth Local Planning Policy P316 Developer Contribution for Public Art and Public Art Spaces.

4.3.6.5 Awnings

Where a building has a nil setback to the street boundary, an awning shall be provided over the street footpath. The projection depth of the awning shall be at least 2.5 metres, provided that there is a clearance distance of at least 1.5 metres from the face of the road kerb to the awning.

This requirement may be reduced where necessitated by the local street conditions.

4.3.6.6 Building Entries

Notwithstanding the provisions of section 4.3.1 of this ACP, the primary entry to a building’s upper floors shall be accessed and addressed from the street. The entry shall be a well-lit, clearly identifiable element of the building.
4.3.7 Bicycle Parking and End of Trip Facilities

**OBJECTIVES**

i. To reduce car dependence and facilitate a modal shift towards sustainable transport options, including cycling.

ii. To provide choice of mode of travel to and from the ACP area.

iii. To provide appropriate facilities for cyclists thereby encouraging cycling as a convenient, enjoyable, healthy and sustainable mode of transport.

iv. To encourage an active and healthy community.

**DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS**

4.3.7.1 Bicycle Parking Rates

Bicycle parking bays shall be provided at the rates specified in Table 3.

All bicycle parking is to be secure and conveniently located. Occupant bicycle parking is to be in a lockable space screened from public view, and may be located with storage areas or within the apartment.

4.3.7.2 End of Trip Facilities

End of trip facilities including showers and lockers shall be provided for all new non-residential development in accordance with the rates specified in Table 3.

**Table 3: Bicycle Parking Requirements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Non-Residential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupant</strong></td>
<td>1 per dwelling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visitor</strong></td>
<td>1 per 5 dwellings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employee</strong></td>
<td>1 per 100sqm of net lettable area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visitor</strong></td>
<td>1 per 100sqm of net lettable area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of Trip</strong></td>
<td>1 shower per 10 bicycle parking bays</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facilities</strong></td>
<td>1 locker per bicycle parking bay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.3.8 Vehicle Parking and Access

**OBJECTIVES**

i. To ensure car parking access is safe and convenient, and where possible coordinated between developments.

ii. To reduce car dependence and facilitate a modal shift towards sustainable transport options.

iii. To encourage new development to explore and implement alternatives including car-share schemes.

iv. To ensure parking provides for mobility needs but to also encourage a modal split towards alternative forms of transport.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.3.8.1 Car Parking Provision</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking is to be provided in accordance with the rates specified in Table 4. These requirements may be rounded to the nearest whole number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking may be unbundled from individual tenancies and exchanged within individual developments provided both parties are residential or both parties are non-residential. Exchanges between residential and non-residential land uses are not permitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.3.8.2 Access to On-Site Parking</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossovers to on-site parking shall be limited to one per development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct vehicular access from Mends Street, Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road should be avoided wherever possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.3.8.3 Parking Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking shall be located behind the building facade and screened from public view.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground parking structures shall have regard for groundwater levels and potential impacts of the underground parking structure on groundwater, and root systems of trees and other vegetation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.3.8.4 Car Sharing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking requirements for residential development may be reduced by maintaining a car share scheme to be approved by the local government. Each car share bay/vehicle may be substituted for up to ten residential parking bays, to a maximum of four car share bays. Car share bays shall be designated as common property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.3.8.5 Scooters and Motorbikes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One scooter/motorbike bay shall be provided per 20 car parking bays required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.3.8.6 Cash in Lieu of Parking</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For all uses, cash in lieu of parking may be sought, in accordance with clause 6.3A of the Scheme and any relevant City of South Perth policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4: Vehicular Parking Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USE</th>
<th>PARKING REQUIREMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short stay Accommodation</td>
<td>Minimum 0.1 bays per room or suite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other non-residential uses</td>
<td>Minimum 2 bays per 100sqm of net lettable area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum 3 bays per 100sqm of net lettable area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student accommodation</td>
<td>Minimum 0.1 bays per room or suite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Development: One Bedroom (occupants)</td>
<td>Minimum 0.75 bays per dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum 1 bay per dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Development: Two or More Bedrooms (occupants)</td>
<td>Minimum 1 bay per dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum 2 bays per dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential development (visitors)</td>
<td>Minimum 0.15 bays per dwelling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.0 MOVEMENT AND ACCESS

This section does not impose requirements on development proposed in the ACP area. This section provides guidance for complementary improvements that may take place in the movement network to improve the ACP area. Where possible, this guidance should inform planned improvements made by the City of South Perth or other public agencies.

This section does provide guidance for development to complement and thereby capitalise on planned improvements, and may align with public benefit contributions to be provided in exchange for additional development potential.

The Movement and Access section is intended to:

• Provide guidance for development to be well suited to its immediate public realm interface and designed to support clear, safe and attractive access to development sites.

• Indicate recommended future investments in the movement network to support the vision and objectives for the Activity Centre Plan.

• Provide additional context for discretionary decision-making on development applications.

• Provide guidance for public benefit contributions provided in exchange for additional development potential.

5.1 LOCAL ROAD NETWORK

OBJECTIVES:

i. To improve the design of local roads to enhance their safety and utility for all users.

ii. To manage regional through-traffic and congestion points through recommended improvements to the local road network.

iii. To improve pedestrian safety and amenity by realising a reduction in traffic speeds.

iv. To reduce car dependence and facilitate a modal shift towards sustainable transport options.

GUIDANCE AND INTENDED OUTCOMES

5.1.1 Speed Limits

A posted speed limit of 40 kilometres per hour should apply in the ACP area in accordance with the Movement and Access Plan (Plan 4).

5.1.2 Signalised Intersections

Signalised intersections with pedestrian phases should be added or enhanced at the Mill Point Road/Labouchere Road and Judd Street, Mends Street and Mill Point Road, Richardson Street and Labouchere Road, and Angelo Street and Labouchere Road intersections in accordance with Plan 4.

5.1.3 Left-in Left-out Intersections

Other streets in the Richardson character area intersecting with Labouchere Road should be reconfigured to provide left in-left out movement only.

5.1.4 On-Street Parking

On-street off-peak short-term parking along Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road should be introduced to support businesses and residential uses in accordance with Plan 4. Other on-street parking should be managed in accordance with the relevant City of South Perth parking strategy.

5.1.5 Additional Street Connections

Opportunities to improve connectivity through the creation of additional street connections should be considered in accordance with Plan 4.
5.2 PUBLIC TRANSPORT

OBJECTIVES:

i. To establish the ACP area as a transit-oriented activity centre supported by multi-modal transit services and infrastructure.

ii. To reduce car dependence and facilitate a modal shift towards sustainable transport options.

iii. To support the delivery of a South Perth train station by planning to focus the distribution of forecast growth in a way that contributes to the business case for the South Perth train station as a “destination station”.

GUIDANCE AND INTENDED OUTCOMES

5.2.1 Bus Priority

The Mill Point Road/Labouchere Road and Judd Street intersection should be reconfigured to include a northbound bus priority lane and signal phase to address congestion delays in accordance with Plan 4.

5.2.2 Enhanced Bus Service

Local bus services should be modified in partnership with the Public Transport Authority, in order to establish high-frequency connections to key regional destinations as detailed in Appendix 2.

5.2.3 South Perth Train Station

A new train station should be constructed at the location established within the Kwinana Freeway median, in line with long term strategic planning. Development opportunities within adjoining public land, and associated value capture potential should be investigated.

5.2.4 Ferry Service

Expansion of the local ferry network by either private or public operators should be encouraged to increase access to the ACP area from wider Perth, supported by an additional berth at Mends Street.
5.3 PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLIST MOVEMENT

OBJECTIVES:

i. To improve pedestrian safety and amenity thereby encouraging walking as a convenient, enjoyable, healthy and sustainable mode of transport.

ii. To reduce car dependence and facilitate a modal shift towards sustainable transport options.

iii. To reduce the detrimental barrier effect of busy roads for pedestrians and cyclists, particularly Labouchere and Mill Point Roads.

iv. To integrate the ACP area with the regional principal shared path network to increase access to cycling facilities and encourage cycling as a convenient, enjoyable, healthy and sustainable mode of transport to, from and within the ACP area.

GUIDANCE AND INTENDED OUTCOMES

5.3.1 Footpath Width

Street verges, in coordination with setback controls contained in section 4.1.2 in the ACP, should ensure a minimum footpath width of 4.0 metres is provided for pedestrian use along Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road.

5.3.2 Pedestrian Crossings

All intersecting streets along Mill Point Road north of Judd Street and along Labouchere Road north of Richardson Street should incorporate raised ‘wombat’ crossings and all intersections should be raised along South Perth Esplanade for pedestrian priority, in accordance with Plan 4.

5.3.3 Principal Shared Path

A principal shared path should be constructed on the eastern side of the Freeway reserve along Melville Parade, including a grade separated connection across the Freeway ramps at Judd Street.

The principal shared path north of Mends Street along South Perth Esplanade should be duplicated with a new 4 metre wide cycle path.

5.3.4 Advance Stop Lines

Advance stop lines should be provided for cyclists on Mends Street where it intersects with Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road.

5.3.5 Safe Active Streets

Lyall Street, Charles Street and Mends Street should be redesigned to incorporate the Department of Transport’s “Safe Active Streets” principles in order to establish an integrated and connected cycle network.

5.3.6 Dedicated Cycle Paths

An on- or off-street cycle connection along Labouchere Road should be established to connect with the existing routes along Labouchere Road south of Angelo Street.
6.0 PUBLIC REALM

This section does not impose requirements for development proposed in the ACP area. This section provides guidance for complementary improvements that may take place in the public realm, particularly in relation to green spaces, to improve the ACP area. Where possible, this guidance should inform planned improvements made by the City of South Perth or other public agencies.

This section does provide guidance for development to complement and thereby capitalise on planned improvements and may align with public benefit contributions to be provided in exchange for additional development potential.

The Public Realm section is intended to:

- Provide guidance for development to be well suited to its immediate public realm interface and designed to contribute positively to the public realm.
- Indicate recommended future investments and opportunities for improvement in public open space (including regional open space).
- Provide additional context and direction for discretionary decision-making on development applications.
- Provide guidance for public benefit contributions provided in exchange for additional development potential.
6.1 PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

OBJECTIVES:

i. To create an integrated public open space network that supports public activity and connects local and regional destinations.

ii. To enhance the quality of life for residents, workers and visitors by providing new quality public open spaces including pocket parks, plazas and green links.

iii. To ensure that new development adjoining the open space network complements the landscape character and enhances accessibility and activation of open space.

GUIDANCE AND INTENDED OUTCOMES

6.1.1 Public Space Typologies

Public Space typologies should reflect the desired future character described at 6.1.2-6.1.4. For further guidance refer to Plan 5: Public Realm Plan.

6.1.2 Managed Foreshore

Managed foreshore areas provide spaces for recreational, cultural and community activity along the foreshore of the Swan River. These areas provide spaces for a range of informal and formal activities and events, including active and passive recreation.

Managed foreshore areas should be maintained and enhanced in accordance with the relevant City of South Perth strategy and/or management plan.

6.1.3 Natural Foreshore

Natural foreshore areas are conservation areas attracting less intensity of activity that support local flora and fauna and provide opportunities for interaction with nature through viewpoints and cultural and education elements. They may also support cyclist and pedestrian movement via dedicated paths such as the Kwinana Freeway Principal Shared Path.

Natural foreshore areas should be maintained and enhanced in accordance with the relevant City of South Perth strategy and/or management plan.

6.1.4 Urban Park

Urban parks are multi-functional spaces that appeal to residents, workers and visitors and provide day- and night-time activation. They are the focus of activity within the public realm, with high quality public amenities such as lighting, barbeque facilities, exercise equipment, shade structures and event infrastructure. Urban parks are designed to support and encourage passive and active recreation, including organised sport, and may also provide incidental retail, food and beverage tenancies on a temporary or longer term basis. Urban parks should incorporate high quality design and materials including public art, interactive media, lighting, surfacing and planting to strengthen local character and identity.

Urban parks should be maintained and enhanced in accordance with the relevant City of South Perth strategy and/or management plan.

6.1.5 Pocket Park

Pocket parks are small-scale open spaces designed to enhance local resident and worker amenity by functioning as "outdoor rooms" for meeting and relaxing. Pocket parks may also provide green space, shade and tree canopy cover, mitigate traffic noise and incorporate defined spaces to accommodate multiple users with some separation and privacy, and provide opportunities for occasional activation including food vendors and local events.

Pocket parks should be developed and maintained in accordance with the relevant City of South Perth strategy and/or management plan.

6.1.6 Existing Landscaping

Where public space upgrades occur, existing planting and mature trees should be retained wherever possible.
6.2 PUBLIC STREETS

OBJECTIVES:

i. To create a defined hierarchy of streets that support and encourage pedestrian movement.

ii. To enhance landscape quality and character by retaining and supplementing existing street trees.

iii. To enhance the design of streets in a way that strengthens local character and identity.

GUIDANCE AND INTENDED OUTCOMES

6.2.1 Street Typologies

Public streets are classified as green streets, mixed streets or active streets and should generally accord with the respective desired future character identified at 6.2.2-6.2.4. For further guidance refer to Plan 5: Public Realm Plan.

6.2.2 Garden Streets

The future character of Garden Streets should:

a. Be green and well landscaped, with extensive street trees and continuous shade-tolerant planting along street verges

b. Incorporate low impact seating and lighting to encourage passive use

c. Configure on-street parking to create a meandering carriageway which slows through-traffic and prioritises walking and cycling

d. Incorporate bulb-outs and kerb extensions containing additional planting where possible

e. Provide depth in tree canopy by staggering street trees within both parking and verge alignments

f. Incorporate widened footpaths and extensions in key locations to provide useable public space such as parklets, grouped seating, urban agriculture and play equipment

g. Integrate water sensitive urban design systems wherever practical

h. Accommodate on-street parking

6.2.3 Mixed Streets

a. The future character of Mixed Streets should:

b. Be urban in character and predominantly hardscaped, with a range of pedestrian amenities and street furniture to encourage use

c. Provide depth in tree canopy by staggering street trees within both parking and verge alignments

d. Provide a consistent palette of furniture and materials that reflects the local character area

e. Integrate water sensitive urban design systems wherever practical

f. Accommodate on-street parking

6.2.4 Main Streets

a. The future character of Main Streets should:

b. Be dynamic and urban in nature with a high density of pedestrian amenities such as street furniture, public art and high quality lighting

c. Emphasise pedestrian movement and reduce the impact of traffic and parking on the pedestrian experience

d. Incorporate widened footpaths and extensions in key locations to provide useable public space such as parklets, alfresco areas, busking and event infrastructure and cultural and interpretive installations

e. Maximise street tree planting within parking or verge alignments

f. Integrate water sensitive urban design systems wherever practical

g. Provide a premium palette of furniture and materials which contribute to creating a distinctive, highly activated destination
6.3 PRIVATELY OWNED PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

OBJECTIVES:

i. To improve local amenity by creating additional green space within private land for use by the local community.
ii. To enhance local character by creating visually distinctive points of interest within the urban environment.
iii. To deliver through-site links which function as interconnected greenways around buildings, linking streets with highly landscaped, easily accessible and comfortably surveilled connections.

GUIDANCE AND INTENDED OUTCOMES

6.3.1 Private Pocket Parks

Private pocket parks may be generally located as identified in Plan 5 and must:

a. Be a minimum of 80 square metres in area
b. Allow unobstructed access to the public at all times
c. Reflect a passive, landscaped character in Hillside and Mill Point character areas and an active, hardscaped plaza character in Richardson and Mends character areas.
d. Function as an extension of the public realm with no fencing or other obstructions which create visual or physical separation
e. Be sufficiently illuminated to maintain public safety and encourage activation after dark
f. Provide street furniture, landscaping and planting which address and integrate with the building frontage
g. Be maintained in perpetuity by the landowner or Strata body
h. Where creation of a Private Pocket Park is proposed, formal protection through an easement or other legal instrument may constitute a public benefit contribution as detailed in Section 7.5.

6.3.2 Mid-Block Links

Private mid-block links are to be located as identified in Plan 5 and must:

a. Be of sufficient width and designed to provide a sense of safety
b. Allow unobstructed access to the general public at all times
c. Provide an uninterrupted paved pedestrian path for its full length
d. Function as an extension of the public realm with no gates or other obstructions which create visual or physical separation
e. Be sufficiently illuminated to maintain public safety and encourage activation
f. Appropriately respond to adjoining ground floor facades, with screening of blank or service areas and direct interface with windows, private communal areas, commercial tenancies and other active facades.
g. Provide extensive landscaping comprised of trees and feature planting in deep soil zones, planters or green walls
h. Be maintained in perpetuity by the landowner or Strata body

Where creation of a mid-block link is proposed, formal protection through an easement or other legal instrument may constitute a public benefit contribution as detailed in Section 7.5.
7.0 PUBLIC BENEFITS FRAMEWORK

This ACP and Schedule 9B aim to facilitate variety in the built form of the ACP area, within clear limits. Schedule 9B defines a building envelope through podium height, setback and site cover limits, tower setback and floorplate size limits and total building height and plot ratio limits. Height and plot ratio can be varied, in accordance with Schedule 9B, to allow development proposals that will not have a significant adverse effect on the amenity of the locality, that achieve an exceptional standard of design and provide a public benefit contribution to the local government in return for additional development potential.

The landmark site is subject to the provisions of Schedule 13, including Provision 5, Element 14, which defines the requirements to provide public benefits through the development of the site.

OBJECTIVES:

i. To provide guidance in the exercise of discretion by decision makers under Schedule 9B. A public benefit contribution to the local government is required under Schedule 9B in order to receive approval for the additional development potential provided by the additional building height and/or plot ratio above the base limits.

ii. To provide clear prerequisites to be met for approval of additional height and/or plot ratio.

iii. To provide definitions and upper limits to the variation available through the development requirements of this ACP and Schedule 9B.

iv. To ensure additional development potential corresponds with public benefit contributions.

v. To ensure the approval of additional development potential is fair, transparent and legible.

DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS:

7.1 Qualifying for Additional Development Potential

Under Schedule 9B approval for additional building height and/or plot ratio, where permissible under Elements 2 and 6 of Schedule 9B, can only be granted if the requirements of Element 7 of Schedule 9B are satisfied.

7.2 Design Quality

The architectural design of a proposed building with additional building height and/or plot ratio must satisfy the requirements of 4.3.3.1 of this ACP. The proposal should make a unique contribution to the built form of the ACP area in support of the vision of the ACP and the relevant character area objectives in Schedule 9B.

In determining whether this design quality requirement is satisfied, the nominated Design Review Panel is to undertake its assessment in accordance with the requirements set out at 4.3.3.1 of this ACP.

7.3 Public Benefit Contribution

Where under Schedule 9B a public benefit contribution is required to obtain approval of additional building height and/or plot ratio, the public benefit contribution shall be expended by the Local Government on items that benefit the users of the ACP area including (but not limited to):

- community facilities;
- streetscape and public realm upgrades;
- street trees and landscaping;
- upgrades to public open space
- transport infrastructure;
- infrastructure upgrades; or
- placemaking initiatives.
DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.4</th>
<th>Management and Expenditure Of Public Benefit Contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public benefit contributions shall be deposited in a South Perth Activity Centre Public Benefits Fund, which is to be established by the local government.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The local government shall establish a clear framework for the management and expenditure of funds deposited into the South Perth Activity Centre Public Benefits Fund in the form of a Public Benefits Plan. This Plan shall include (but is not limited to):

- the geographic area within which the public benefit contributions can be expended;
- the timeframe for the Public Benefits Plan and its regular review;
- the items that are included in the Public Benefits Plan and rationale for their inclusion (demonstrate the need/demand for each item); and
- a capital expenditure plan that identifies the capital costs of the included items and any other revenue sources that may contribute to their provision.

The Public Benefits Fund shall be managed and funds expended in accordance with the Public Benefits Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7.5</th>
<th>Developer Provision of Public Benefit Contribution Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A developer may provide public benefit contribution items in lieu of part or all of the monetary contribution required in accordance with Element 7 of Schedule 9B if the need/demand for the items and the cost of providing them are agreed by the local government.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where items are provided in lieu of a monetary contribution the value of the items shall be agreed with the local government and the amount of additional plot ratio being sought shall not exceed the total value of the items provided plus any additional contribution in accordance with Provision 7.3 of Element 7 of Schedule 9B.
8.0 OTHER DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

8.1 DESIGN REVIEW PROCESS

All development applications will be referred to the City of South Perth’s Design Review Panel (DRP) to ensure a high standard of design quality is provided in all proposals and that qualitative design factors are considered in the development approval process.

8.2 STUDIES AND PLANS REQUIRED

The following studies and plans may be required by the local government to provide certainty in considering and managing key issues associated with a proposed development and to ensure high quality development within the ACP area.

Table 5: Supporting Documentation Required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM REQUIRED</th>
<th>STAGE AT WHICH REQUIRED</th>
<th>APPROVAL AUTHORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise Impact Assessment</td>
<td>With Development Application</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport Impact Assessment</td>
<td>With Development Application</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Impact Assessment</td>
<td>With Development Application</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage Impact Assessment (where development is adjacent to or incorporating a heritage place)</td>
<td>With Development Application</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Management Plan</td>
<td>With Development Application</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Management Plan</td>
<td>With Development Application</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on Building Sustainability (in accordance with City of South Perth Policy P350.01 Environmentally Sustainable Building Design)</td>
<td>With Development Application</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Impact Assessment (of any proposed non-residential land uses)</td>
<td>With Development Application</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape Plan</td>
<td>Condition of development approval</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Art Contribution Plan (in accordance with City of South Perth Policy P316 Developer Contributions for Public Art and Public Art Spaces)</td>
<td>Condition of development approval</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Plan</td>
<td>Condition of development approval</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Management Plan</td>
<td>Condition of development approval</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Management Plan</td>
<td>Prior to Commencement of Site Works</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Management Plan</td>
<td>Prior to Commencement of Site Works</td>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.3 PRECINCT STRATEGIES

Other strategies that may be developed or reviewed by the City of South Perth to deliver the vision for the ACP area include (but are not limited to):

- Public Art Strategy
- Parking Strategy
- Public Assets Strategy
- Public Realm Strategy
- Groundwater Management Strategy
- Foreshore Management Plan
- Train Station Development Plan
- Detailed Design Guidance for Retail Tenancies
- Economic Development Strategy
- Community Development Strategy
- Tourism and Destination Development Strategy

Where relevant to particular development proposals in the ACP area, these strategies will be given due regard in determining development applications for these proposals.
9.0 MONITORING AND REVIEW

9.1 TEN YEAR REVIEW AND RENEWAL OF THE ACP

This ACP has been designed to accommodate change to 2041. However, in keeping with ACP requirements and prudent long term planning, the ACP should be reviewed approximately every 5 to 10 years to ensure it remains suited to achieving the vision for the ACP area.

9.2 KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

The following Key Performance Indicators provide the means of monitoring and assessing the effectiveness of the ACP provisions in delivering the vision and desired outcomes for the ACP area. City of South Perth planning processes should support the collection of planning and development data as required to monitor these indicators.

9.2.1 Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDICATOR</th>
<th>MEASURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Floorspace</td>
<td>Cumulative amount of additional commercial floorspace constructed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Floorspace</td>
<td>Cumulative amount of additional retail floorspace constructed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling Completions</td>
<td>Number of dwelling completions and cumulative residential floorspace constructed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Visitation</td>
<td>Net tourism visitation per year. Growth within forecast growth range is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Growth</td>
<td>Population growth relative to forecast growth band. Growth within forecast growth range is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs Growth</td>
<td>Local employment growth relative to forecast growth band. Growth within forecast growth range is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2.2 Built Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDICATOR</th>
<th>MEASURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Sustainability</td>
<td>Percentage of buildings with recognised sustainability certifications. Growth in number of certified buildings, and higher levels of certification as a proportion of total building stock, is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Benefit Contributions</td>
<td>Amount of public benefit contributions provided by new development in exchange for additional development potential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plot Ratio</td>
<td>Average variance between base Plot Ratio entitlement and approved Plot Ratio in new development. No variance or positive variance is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9.2.3 Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDICATOR</th>
<th>MEASURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Mode Share</td>
<td>The percentage of residents using various forms of transportation to travel to work. An improving trend and higher values for public transport, cycling and walking is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian and Cyclist Infrastructure</td>
<td>Extent of recommended pedestrian infrastructure upgrades implemented, for example linear kilometres of infrastructure constructed. More recommended modifications being implemented over time is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transport Infrastructure</td>
<td>Extent of recommended transport network infrastructure and service upgrades implemented. More recommended modifications being implemented over time is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Network Modification</td>
<td>Extent of recommended modifications to local road network implemented. More recommended modifications being implemented over time is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train Station</td>
<td>Progress towards construction and operation of the South Perth train station. Planning and government funding commitments are considered positive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.2.4 Public Realm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDICATOR</th>
<th>MEASURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Realm Enhancement</td>
<td>Number of local streets and public spaces with completed upgrades. Increasing number of streets over time is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street tree planting</td>
<td>Number of additional street trees planted per year. An increasing number of street trees over time is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privately Owned Public Open Space</td>
<td>Number of new privately-owned public open spaces delivered in new development. Delivery of privately-owned public open spaces is considered positive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.0 DEFINITIONS

Unless the context requires otherwise, words and expressions used in this ACP shall have the respective meanings given to them:

a. as set out below; or
b. if they are not defined below:
   i. in Schedule 9B; or
   ii. in Schedule 1 of the Scheme.

ACP area refers to the Activity Centre Plan area shown in Figure 1.

Awnings means a covering attached to the exterior wall of a building for the purposes of shade or shelter.

Building Envelope means the volume on a site within which development may occur, as defined by:
   a. Setbacks and boundary wall lengths for podiums
   b. Other podium controls (height, site cover)
   c. Tower setbacks
   d. Tower floorplate sizes
   e. Tower height limits

Character Area means an area shown as a character area on Figure 1 and Plan 1: Activity Centre Plan area to outline the intended character of development that should occur on that land.

Deep Soil Zone means soft landscape area with no impeding building structure or feature above or below, which supports growth of medium to large canopy trees and meets a stated minimum dimension.


Facade means one exterior side of a building and can mean the exterior on the front, side and rear of the building.

Landmark Site means a prominently located site, with the potential for prominent development that enhances the definition and identity of the precinct.

Mixed Street Interface means street frontages that promote visual or physical connectivity between those spaces in the street and those on ground floors of buildings through a mix of commercial and residential land uses.

Public Space means the area defined as a Street or Open Space on the Activity Centre Plan Map 5: Public Realm

Schedule 9B means Schedule 9B of the Scheme.

Schedule 13 means Schedule 13 of the Scheme.

Scheme means City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6.

Setback Encroachment means a building (or portions thereof) sited within the prescribed minimum horizontal distance between a wall at any point and an adjacent lot boundary, measured at right angles (90 degrees) to the boundary.

Storey means a space within a building which is situated between one floor level and the floor level above, or if there is no floor above, the ceiling or roof above, but does not include:
   a. mezzanines;
   b. rooftop areas; or
   c. basement car parking or storage areas where the ceiling is not more than 1 metre above natural ground level at any point.

Tower Separation means the shortest distance between the outside surfaces of two towers, excluding balconies, eaves, and terraces.

Unbundled Parking means an arrangement by which parking spaces within a development are rented or sold separately, and may be traded between unit owners of a development, rather than automatically included with the rent or purchase price of a residential or commercial unit. Also known as decoupled parking.

WAPC means Western Australian Planning Commission.
11.0 PLAN SERIES

Plan 1: Activity Centre Plan
Plan 2: Street Type Plan
Plan 3: Street Interface Type Plan
Plan 4: Movement and Access Plan
Plan 5: Public Realm Plan

Note – Additional plans regulating development are included in Schedule 9B of the Scheme.
Plan 1: Activity Centre Plan
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PART ONE
IMPLEMENTATION
Plan 2: Street Type Plan
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Plan 3: Street Interface Type Plan
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Plan 4: Movement and Access Plan
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Plan 5: Public Realm Plan
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PART TWO
EXPLANATION

This Part explains the intended effect of the Activity Centre Plan, outlining the analysis and context that has informed its preparation and detailing how the provisions of the plan will deliver the vision for the South Perth Activity Centre.
1.0 INTRODUCTION

The South Perth Activity Centre Plan area (the ACP area) is a place in transition. Over the decade to 2018, the area has experienced significant changes to its planning framework and urban form. These changes were first driven by planning to support the construction of a South Perth Train Station, which commenced in 2006 during the development of the Perth to Mandurah rail line and culminated in the creation of the South Perth Station Precinct in 2013. Over time the overarching planning framework has also matured and changed, including the identification of South Perth as an inner city District Centre in State Planning Policy 4.2 Activity Centres for Perth and Peel.

There is increasing demand for new living and working opportunities close to central Perth and this is expected to continue to drive change in the ACP area into the future. The growth of Greater Perth in general and the inner city in particular, is expected to create considerable demand for development within South Perth over the coming decades and it is therefore important that the area is well planned and carefully managed to ensure that growth builds on the area’s unique characteristics, enhances its economic prosperity and strengthens its vitality for current and future residents, workers and visitors.

The City of South Perth initiated the development of the South Perth Activity Centre Plan (ACP) in 2017. The ACP builds on the South Perth Place and Design process undertaken in 2017 and the resultant report (May 2017), which established a long-term vision for the area to be implemented through an updated planning framework. This ACP seeks to bring that vision to life.

1.1 PLAN PURPOSE

The Activity Centre Plan (ACP) provides the guiding framework (strategic vision and statutory framework) for the planning and development of the area by taking a holistic, long term approach that can be updated over time to respond to current issues and stakeholder aspirations. The Activity Centre Plan will guide decision-making by local and state government, landowners and residents regarding movement and access, land use and built form within the Activity Centre Plan area.

The ACP directly responds to stakeholder issues and concerns related to the area’s planning framework, which was implemented following the preparation of the South Perth Station Precinct Plan in 2011. Since that time, considerable development has occurred in the ACP area and further change is expected into the future. This has underlined the need for a robust planning framework that provides a consistent vision for the area that can be reviewed and updated over time to responsibly manage growth and adapt to changing circumstances as they arise.

The review of the planning framework began in August 2015 when consultants were engaged to review the relevant scheme provisions and procedures. This highlighted a number of issues in the scheme, and provided recommendations based on research into how other planning jurisdictions address similar issues. The study did not involve any community or stakeholder engagement and the report focused on technical statutory planning matters and recommended further amendments to Town Planning Scheme (TPS) No. 6. The findings and recommendations identified the need to undertake a high level, collaborative planning and design exercise in the area to inform future planning and development.

In response to the above recommendation the South Perth Peninsula Place and Design Project was undertaken in 2017. The focus of this project was to review the vision articulated in the South Perth Station Precinct Plan (2011) and to develop approaches for managing the area’s growth in a way that captures the most benefit for the area’s residents, workers and visitors. The project included two introductory stakeholder workshops before an intensive five-day Planning Design Forum, which brought over 100 community members, stakeholders and consultants together to develop a shared understanding of the issues and recommendations for further planning of the area.
The process culminated in the preparation of the South Perth Peninsula Place and Design Report, May 2017. This report provides an overview of the process and sets out a renewed draft vision for the area, as well as recommended goals, ideas and actions to achieve this vision. The report includes recommendations relating to creating a robust planning framework, improving built form outcomes, improving the movement and access network, and improving the public realm and streetscapes. A key recommendation of this report was the preparation of an Activity Centre Plan for the area.

Council considered this report in June 2017 and noted that the report would form the basis of the ongoing planning of the area. The goals and ideas of the Place and Design Report have therefore played an important role in informing the Activity Centre Plan.

The ACP is to provide clarity and certainty for decision-makers, landowners and the community regarding what is considered an appropriate form of development in the ACP area and how growth will be managed. Read in conjunction with Schedule 9B of the Scheme, the ACP responds to identified issues by establishing built form and land use controls based on forecast growth and in support of the vision for the ACP area as articulated in this plan (for example the elements described in the character statements and objectives of each character area). At the same time, the ACP helps to direct and plan for improvements to public space, the transport network, services and infrastructure to support ongoing economic vitality and a high quality attractive environment.

The ACP notionally works towards a ten-year timeframe, while articulating a long-term vision for the area that will not be fully realised within this timeframe. By starting with a long-term view, the plan aims to ensure that development in the short-term supports the ‘bigger picture’ vision.

1.2 PLAN OBJECTIVES

Following the completion of the South Perth Peninsula Place and Design Project in May 2017, the City of South Perth developed a project scope and objectives for the preparation of an Activity Centre Plan. There are five key objectives that underpin the preparation of the ACP:

1. Establish a common vision and robust planning framework that reflects local stakeholder expectations and State Government requirements
2. Ensure that urban development responds to its context and contributes to the desired future local character by providing community amenity and benefit to residents, workers and visitors through well-designed buildings and places
3. Improve accessibility through a comprehensive approach to transport that encourages walking, cycling and public transport
4. Create great public spaces that maximise recreational opportunities, reinforce South Perth’s character and improve ecological sustainability
5. Acknowledge and strengthen the status of the centre as a significant regional destination
1.3 PLAN AREA

The South Perth Station Precinct Plan area was reviewed as part of the Place and Design Project in 2017. Through this process it was recommended to focus on a wider area than that included in the South Perth Station Precinct because the areas surrounding the core Station Precinct area were considered to be closely connected and intrinsically linked. An expanded area, totalling 113.04 hectares, excluding Kwinana Freeway, based on logical and natural boundaries, would allow for community facilities, public realm, built form and character to be equitably considered and managed, as well as capture the area within an 800 metre catchment of the key transport node of the ferry. Within this area, 41.05 hectares is freehold land.

Therefore, the ACP area incorporates land generally within an 800m or 10-minute walkable radius of the Mends St Jetty and future South Perth Train Station, as well as residual areas which form a natural extension of the centre by virtue of their land use, character, or geographic location and boundaries. The South Perth Peninsula is a naturally defined area bounded by the Swan River on three sides and separated from the suburban area of South Perth by Sir James Mitchell Park, Royal Perth Golf Course and Perth Zoo. These features define a logical boundary for the ACP area.

As explored within the Place and Design Report, within the ACP are areas of distinct character, with a variety of land uses and built form. The ACP provides guidance for future development that is intended to support the desired future form and function of four defined character areas within the wider ACP area. This is reinforced by detailed character statements, objectives and requirements that consider both the street and individual site components.

1.4 EVIDENCE BASED PLANNING

Good planning practice requires planning documents to be informed by a sound and robust evidence base, and as such the ACP has been informed by detailed background studies. This evidence base provides the rationale for the plan and is explained in Part 2 of the ACP and the appendices. It is compiled from a range of different data sources including:

• Regional planning strategies, policies and guidelines of the State Government;
• Visioning and stakeholder engagement undertaken through the South Perth Peninsula Place and Design project in 2017;
• Detailed data that identifies population and economic trends, compiled into an Economic and Demographic Assessment (Appendix 1); and
• Detailed investigations and modelling of traffic and parking, compiled into a Movement Network Assessment (Appendix 2).

It is important that the formulation of this ACP considers all of the factors influencing and impacting upon the future development of the City. State Government strategies and policy provide important guidance that is then refined based on other local factors and evidence, such as population and economic trends and forecasts. This information provides high level guidance as to how planning should occur and an outline of what we need to plan for respectively.

It is also important that the key implications identified through researching these factors are appropriately balanced. Greatest weight should be given to data that is robustly researched and locally grounded and less weight given to ‘generic’ standards and guidelines. The aim is to use the most up to date data available to develop a plan that is robust and flexible to manage expected growth and that can be updated over time as new information becomes available.
Figure 1: Activity Centre Plan Area and Boundary
2.0 CENTRE CONTEXT

This section is based on the South Perth Activity Centre Economic and Demographic Assessment report in Appendix 1, which outlines the key drivers and trends affecting growth in Metropolitan Perth and Western Australia and the implications for the City of South Perth and the ACP area.

2.1 REGIONAL CONTEXT

The South Perth Activity Centre is located on the Swan River at the geographic centre of metropolitan Perth, and its proximity to the Perth CBD and other key regional centres means that it will continue to play a pivotal role in the growth and prosperity of the region. The area is attractive for housing, retail and office space, and is growing as an important destination for visitors and tourists. It is therefore crucial to plan ahead to maximise and manage the area’s potential.

From the time of colonisation in the early 19th century to the end of World War II, Perth was a relatively small town and did not develop a dense Victorian core like the eastern Australian capital cities. Following World War II, the city began to grow more rapidly and in 1984 it became larger than Adelaide. Since the early 1980s Perth has grown steadily and since 1992 the population has grown from under 1.3 million to approximately 2 million in 2016. This growth of roughly 700,000 people in 24 years represents a 54% increase over the 1992 population and this growth is forecast to continue, with the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) forecasting that the city will grow to between 3.9 and 5.4 million residents by the year 2051.

The State Government released the Perth and Peel @3.5 Million strategic planning documents in March 2018, which are based on planning for a population of 3.5 million residents in Greater Perth. These documents articulate the Government’s policy of directing a higher percentage of growth towards the central sub-region of Perth, which is discussed further at section 2.3.

Significant growth is expected in neighbouring local government areas and activity centres in accordance with State Government policy and reflective of the attractiveness of the inner city area. Table 1 shows forecast population for the five inner-most local governments in Perth and selected suburbs, containing key activity centres within each. All of these areas are forecast to grow strongly; however, there is a range of growth rates according to local circumstances.

Table 1: Forecast Inner-City Population Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>2016 POPULATION</th>
<th>2031 POPULATION</th>
<th>ADDITIONAL POPULATION (2016-2031)</th>
<th>AVERAGE ANNUAL CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Perth</td>
<td>26,902</td>
<td>38,552</td>
<td>11,650</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Perth</td>
<td>3,615</td>
<td>4,270</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>0.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perth - central</td>
<td>3,175</td>
<td>6,578</td>
<td>3,403</td>
<td>2.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Perth - Riverside</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>4,011</td>
<td>3,129</td>
<td>6.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Victoria Park</td>
<td>36,755</td>
<td>49,913</td>
<td>13,158</td>
<td>1.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burswood</td>
<td>2,518</td>
<td>13,179</td>
<td>10,661</td>
<td>7.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Vincent</td>
<td>35,592</td>
<td>48,244</td>
<td>12,552</td>
<td>1.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of South Perth</td>
<td>44,100</td>
<td>56,879</td>
<td>12,779</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Perth</td>
<td>12,858</td>
<td>18,790</td>
<td>5,932</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Subiaco</td>
<td>17,109</td>
<td>21,312</td>
<td>4,203</td>
<td>1.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subiaco (North)</td>
<td>3,265</td>
<td>4,953</td>
<td>1,688</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: forecast.id.com.au, 18/07/2018
2.1.1 Regional Trends and Influences

The need for the ACP area to grow and change is influenced by environmental, social and economic factors that will shape the way people live and work. As highlighted above, Perth has matured over the last 30 years and grown into a global city that is home to more than two million people and many globally-recognised organisations and attractions. Being located in the centre of this city, the South Perth Activity Centre is both influenced by external factors and also plays an important role as a location for business, tourism, recreation and living. Key regional trends and influences that will impact the ACP area in significant ways and have informed the development of the ACP include:

Table 2: Broader Trends Influencing South Perth

| URBAN GROWTH AND CONSOLIDATION | Long-term population projections show Greater Perth growing to between 3.9 and 5.4 million residents by 2050, from its population of approximately 2 million in 2016.

As in other capital cities, much of this growth will occur in the inner city as more Australians embrace higher density living. This phenomenon is driven by economic and lifestyle choices that prioritise proximity to services and employment. These additional residents and their associated employment and housing needs will change Perth.

Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) policy requires that 47% of this expected growth be accommodated through infill development, primarily within the Central Sub-region within which South Perth is located (see Perth and Peel @3.5 Million, WAPC 2018). This equates to an additional 400,000 people and 215,000 dwellings, and much of this growth will be accommodated within significant activity centres such as South Perth.

These targets are significant but should not be mistaken for anticipated demand. The modelling that informs this Activity Centre Plan is unconstrained by issues such as local land availability, infrastructure capacity, local market expectations and servicing capacity. This approach is critical in ensuring that the assessment examines the full economic and social potential of the area, rather than a future profile that is capped by existing infrastructure and investments. |
| AGEING POPULATION | Australia is undergoing an unprecedented demographic transformation. Today, around 13% of people in Perth and Peel are aged over 65, and this is expected to almost double to 22% by 2050, accompanied by a forecast six-year increase in life expectancy.

An increasingly aged population will have an impact on people’s lifestyles, housing choice, the services they require and the structure and function of the labour market and cities. Perth’s ageing population is a major challenge, with implications for housing, accessibility and the ability for citizens to age in place. |
| THE ASIAN CENTURY | By 2030, two thirds of the world’s middle class will reside in Asia. The growth in population and wealth in the region is generating a significant demand for goods and services, including resources, tourism, and health and education services. This economic shift will build new export markets, trade relations, business models and cultural ties for Australia, and especially Perth, which is poised for prosperity with 60% of the world’s population within two hours of its time zone.

New industries oriented at servicing this market are likely to diversify Perth’s economic base, can have positive implications for South Perth, and should be accommodated and capitalised upon. |
| DIGITAL DISRUPTION | The ever-increasing immersion of individuals, communities, governments and businesses in the online world is expected to create significant disruption to traditional business models, the retail sector and patterns of employment. As online companies are increasingly able to compete with large established industries in the delivery of goods and services, the need for physical offices and storefronts will be challenged.

The retail sector is expected to experience change, notably with shopping and activity destinations relying on unique experiences and attractions to encourage visitors and customers.

Remote online working also has the potential to change how people work, enabling greater decentralisation and a reduced reliance on central offices for businesses of all sizes. |
| TRANSPORTATION REVOLUTION | For decades, the geography of Perth has been shaped by the private car as the primary means of transportation. However, trends in public transit and vehicle sharing, and increasing desirability for walkability, will radically transform transportation. Around Australia, shared mobility services, such as ride-hailing and car sharing have broadened transport options and increased accessibility, while traditional public transport as well as walking and cycling are also increasing in popularity.

Over the longer term, self-driving vehicles will reshape urban transportation, redefining the function of streets and radically reducing the need for public and private parking infrastructure. |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Climate change is already impacting urban environments and will continue to place pressure on urban areas, including increasing temperatures, more frequent extreme weather events and sea level rise. Perth’s inner city is particularly vulnerable, with concentrations of buildings, roads, and other valuable infrastructure.

In addition, increasing temperatures will exacerbate existing “heat island” effects from urban areas. Urban renewal areas need to consider measures to mitigate against these climate change impacts. Ongoing private development and public investment must diligently consider the implications of climate change and deliver appropriate engineering and building responses which ensure lasting resilience. |
2.1.2 Activity Centre Hierarchy

South Perth is one of 35 activity centres within the Central Perth sub-region, as established by WAPC’s State Planning Policy 4.2 Activity Centres for Perth and Peel (SPP4.2). Other significant centres within the region include the Perth CBD across the Swan River, the Victoria Park and Subiaco Secondary Centres, the University of Western Australia and Curtin-Bentley Specialised Activity Centres as well as the Canning Bridge and Burswood District Centres. Together, these centres provide a broad range of complementary employment, entertainment, education and commercial functions which are readily accessible from the ACP area, as shown in Figure 2.

As a district centre within the Central sub-region, the ACP area is expected to conform to a series of typical characteristics and performance targets, as set out in SPP4.2. District centres are defined in the policy as lower-order centres with retail and commercial uses focused on servicing local resident needs. It should be noted that SPP4.2 provisions relating to District Centres are uniform across the metropolitan area. Consequently, the guidance for South Perth as a district centre is not calibrated to its context and further detailed planning is required, beyond the provisions of SPP4.2. The ACP area is centrally-located with high residential densities, major public transport infrastructure, an established commercial office market, strong convenience and experiential retail offering and significant tourist and visitor destinations, providing it with the capacity to support a higher proportion of retail and commercial floor space than other District Centres.

These factors have been considered in assessing the current performance of the centre and the appropriateness of district centre density targets in SPP4.2, with specific assessment of the ACP area’s unique characteristics and economic drivers informing the development of tailored population, commercial and retail growth forecasts. This assessment is provided at Appendix 1.

Figure 2: Regional Context and Centre Hierarchy

LEGEND

- ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN AREA
- MAJOR ROADS
- RAILWAY
- SPECIALISED CENTRE
- ACTIVITY CENTRE
- TOURISM NODE

SOUTH PERTH ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN (DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION) // SEPTEMBER 2018 61
2.1.3 Regional Assets

The ACP area possesses a range of regionally significant assets and infrastructure, which establish it as a significant Activity Centre with greater regional importance than a standard District Centre and underpin its potential for significant future growth.

**SOUTH PERTH FORESHORE**
The expansive foreshore reserve is one of Perth’s most popular and highly utilised parks, providing important connection to the Perth CBD. Extending from the Mends Street Jetty, it provides open space and facilities for local and regional residents and regionally significant events such as the Australia Day Skyshow.

**MENDS STREET JETTY**
One of two major jetties in Perth, the Mends Street Jetty accommodates the second stop in the Elizabeth Quay ferry route. The jetty provides direct access to the heart of Perth CBD’s most significant redevelopment area, and is an important tourism asset.

**KWINANA FREEWAY**
Kwinana Freeway provides the ACP area with direct connectivity to regional centres from Joondalup to Mandurah, and the closer centres of Perth CBD and Canning Bridge. This makes the ACP area one of the most highly accessible centres for regional visitation. This accessibility would only be further enhanced by the construction of the proposed South Perth train station within the Freeway reserve.

**PERTH ZOO**
Established in 1898, Perth Zoo has been a regionally significant tourist destination in inner Perth for over a century. Located at the centre of the ACP area between Mill Point and Labouchere Roads, Perth Zoo ranks amongst Perth’s most heavily visited tourism destinations, attracting 657,000 visitors to South Perth in 2016/17.

**MENDS STREET PRECINCT**
Mends Street is one of central Perth’s most established café, retail and restaurant precincts. Anchored by the Windsor Hotel, it offers a diverse range of convenience and experiential shopping, dining and entertainment, which are of local and regional significance with potential for further growth and enhancement.
2.2 LOCAL CONTEXT

As an existing relatively high-density neighbourhood, the ACP area enjoys urban and natural features not found anywhere else in Perth. Its high quality existing buildings, remarkable natural setting, commanding City and water views and diverse tourism attractions establish it as a highly desirable place to live, work and visit.

With a narrow peninsula spanning Perth and Melville Water, and panoramic views towards Kings Park and of the Perth CBD skyline, the area’s incredible setting is unique.

Figure 3: Local Context
2.2.1 History

Successive waves of development have shaped the ACP area as it is seen today, with its defining buildings and spaces reflecting a century of growth and development. This process continues today, with the area’s current development being just one chapter in a much larger process that will continue to evolve for years to come.

NOONGAR STEWARDSHIP

The Beeloo Noongar people are the traditional owners of land, with the area between present day Richardson Park and Millers Pool being an important camping and fishing area known as Booryulup.

The Old Mill site is a significant birthing place for Aboriginal women. The South Perth foreshore is known by Noongar people as Gaboodjoolup or ‘the place of the shore’.

COLONIAL SETTLEMENT

Following the establishment of the Swan River Colony, the first land grant in the area was awarded to William Shenton, whose Old Mill still stands today as one of Perth’s few surviving links to the first years of European settlement.

Despite its proximity to Perth, the area remained an isolated rural area for much of Perth’s early colonial history. Whilst early European settlers tilled the area’s sandy soils with little success, Chinese immigrants in the late 1800s established market gardens along the South Perth foreshore, supplying fresh produce to central Perth throughout the heady days of the Gold Rush.
PART TWO
EXPLANATION

POST-WAR BOOM

The post-war period brought a new wave of change to South Perth, with the rapid growth of Perth’s southern suburbs leading to the development of the Kwinana Freeway and Narrows Bridge.

Opening in 1959, the Narrows Bridge forged a direct connection between South Perth and Perth’s CBD, spurring significant redevelopment with old cottages replaced with multi-storey towers. Residential developments from the 1960s and 1970s along Mill Point Road remain as some of the tallest residential buildings in Perth.

EARLY 20TH CENTURY

South Perth emerged as one of Perth’s first suburban frontiers in the early 1900s, rapidly growing into a residential area defined by federation cottages. This growth was accompanied by new amenities, including the establishment of Royal Perth Golf Club and the Perth Zoo.

This growth gave rise to the first cross-river ferry service, connecting South Perth with central Perth. After extended delays and debate a tram service was installed in 1922, connecting the suburb to Perth via the Causeway and eventually extending along Mends Street, Angelo Street and Labouchere Road.

Throughout the 1920s and 30s Como was the fashionable weekend retreat of Perth’s younger set. Como Beach was a popular swimming spot, and dances at the Pagoda Ballroom became a rite of passage for teenagers and young adults during the otherwise spartan Depression years.

South Perth sent contingents of men and women to both World Wars, but was notably affected by the Second. American servicemen quartered at the Windsor Hotel brought new ideas and attitudes to the still-isolated peninsula, and the Parks family’s photography business on Mill Point played an understated role in the war effort, processing film from Catalina reconnaissance seaplanes after they landed on Perth Water. Anti-aircraft trenches were dug across every public park and playing field, often by schoolchildren, while the South Perth A.R.P. Group co-ordinated air raid drills and gas mask practice from their makeshift headquarters on the corner of Angelo and Anstey Streets.

TURN OF THE CENTURY

The late 1990s and early 2000s brought yet another wave of development to the ACP area, stirred by demand stemming from the resources boom. This resulted in the development of several multi-storey residential buildings, most prominently north of Judd St along Mill Point Rd.

The 2011 South Perth Station Precinct Plan sought to continue the area’s evolution, encouraging significant commercial and residential growth with the intent of securing a local train station on the Mandurah railway line. Whilst funding for a train station is yet to be secured as of 2018, these changes attracted mixed use, high-density development to the area.
2.2.2 Demographics

Planning for the ACP area must account for the makeup of the present and future South Perth community, and needs of current and future residents, workers and visitors. Further detail regarding the figures presented below are in Appendix 1 to this Activity Centre Plan.

The population of the ACP area has been relatively static over the decade to 2016, with the 2016 residential population of 2,675 residents being largely unchanged since 2006. Census data indicates that the resident population is younger than the Greater Perth average, with 32.3% of residents aged between 20-34 years compared to 22.6% across Greater Perth. The ACP area also has relatively few young families with children, with just 6.9% of the population aged below 15 years compared to 19.1% in Greater Perth. The area also has a high overseas-born population, comprising 53.1% of all residents compared to 38.7% of Greater Perth.

Local residents tend to be relatively affluent, with a higher proportion of residents earning very high incomes (greater than $3,500 per week) compared to Greater Perth. Residents were also more likely to be earning no income, due to a significant retiree population (16.3% of the population aged over 65 years).

Residents of the ACP area are less car-dependant than the Perth average, with fewer residents driving to work and more using the bus or ferry, or cycling to work compared with Greater Perth. Public transport patronage is close to double the Perth average, with approximately 15% of residents catching the bus to work. Meanwhile cycling to work, while higher than in Greater Perth as a whole, is relatively low compared to inner-city areas in other Australian cities at less than 5%.

The information below provides a snapshot of this changing area and its unique demographic attributes.

![Figure 4: Demographic Summary](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dwellings</th>
<th>Peninsula</th>
<th>Greater Perth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of 1 to 2 Bedroom Dwelling</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of 1 Person Household</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion Couples + Families</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Peninsula</th>
<th>Greater Perth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk &amp; Cycle to Work</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk Score</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Peninsula</th>
<th>Greater Perth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jobs within South Perth</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local jobs in Peninsula</td>
<td>2,302</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Breakdown</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional, Scientific &amp; Technical</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation &amp; Food Services</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial &amp; Insurance Services</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental, Hiring &amp; Real Estate</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Figures in Place + Design Report are from Census 2011*
2.3 PLANNING FRAMEWORK

2.3.1 Key Plans and Strategies

The ACP is informed by several State and local government policies and strategies that are relevant to the study area. The ACP is principally informed by State Planning Policy 4.2: Activity Centres for Perth and Peel, which provides the framework and guidelines for activity centre plans and classifies South Perth as a District Centre.

Other key plans and strategies include Perth and Peel @ 3.5 Million, Development Control Policy 1.6: Planning to Support Transit Use and Transit Oriented Development and the City of South Perth Strategic Community Plan 2017-2027. These plans and strategies are outlined below.

PERTH AND PEEL @3.5 MILLION (MARCH 2018)

The Perth and Peel @ 3.5 Million document provides an overarching strategic framework for the Perth and Peel region to grow to accommodate a population of 3.5 million people by the year 2050. The vision articulated in Perth and Peel @ 3.5 Million is for a great, connected city that is globally competitive and technologically advanced; that is sustainable, resilient and respects its natural assets and heritage; that maximises the use of new and existing infrastructure; that offers a mix of housing and lifestyle choices; and that respects and acknowledges the regions’ sensitive natural environments and their respective ecosystems. Key to achieving this vision is for a greater proportion of the city’s growth to occur within the established urban area, particularly activity centres, station precincts and along high-frequency public transport routes.

The overarching strategic framework is supported by four planning and infrastructure frameworks for the Central, North-West, North-East and South Metropolitan Peel sub-regions, which provide guidance on sustainable development over the next three decades to ensure the impact of urban growth on areas of environmental significance is minimised: to protect heritage; and to maximise the benefits of available land and existing infrastructure.

South Perth is located in the Central sub-region. The Central Sub-regional Planning Framework aims to establish a long-term integrated planning framework for land use and infrastructure, with a focus on guiding future infill growth into key locations, including activity centres. The Central Sub-region is expected to provide approximately 213,130 new dwellings by the time Perth’s population reaches 3.5 million, with a minimum target of 8,300 new dwellings to be in the City of South Perth.

Activity centres are described in the Central Sub-regional Planning Framework as focal points well served by transport infrastructure that provide areas for commercial and social activity together with residential population. South Perth is identified as an activity centre in the framework.

STATE PLANNING POLICY 4.2: ACTIVITY CENTRES FOR PERTH AND PEEL (AUGUST 2010)

The main purpose of SPP4.2 is to specify generic planning requirements for the planning and development of new, and the redevelopment and renewal of existing, activity centres in urban areas of the Perth and Peel region. It is predominantly concerned with the location, distribution, and broad land use and urban design criteria for activity centres, and with coordinating their land use and infrastructure planning. The policy reflects the intention of the WAPC to encourage and consolidate residential and commercial development into activity centres.

SPP4.2 provides a hierarchy of centres to guide public investment in infrastructure and promote private development, as well as generic guidance on the characteristics of each type of centre. In the policy, South Perth is classified as a District Centre. Importantly, the guidance in SPP4.2 is intended for all district centres regardless of their location and unique function and characteristics. The requirements in SPP4.2 are intended to guide and complement the detailed planning of activity centres and should be balanced with, and considered alongside, other factors such as; locational context, the existing and ongoing primary role and function of each centre, the centre’s capacity for growth and redevelopment, the growth pattern of the suburb and local government area, and the appropriate level of growth for the centre having regard to these factors.

As highlighted in the Place and Design Report and reaffirmed by the economic and demographic assessment in Appendix 1, the importance of South Perth as a visitor destination and as a highly accessible fringe CBD activity centre elevates it well above a conventional district centre as envisaged in SPP4.2. With this level of retail and commercial floorspace comes a commensurate intensity of residential development.

Given South Perth’s location, visitor appeal, fringe-CBD functions, existing established pattern of medium to high density, character and context, the guidance provided in SPP4.2 is not considered to be sufficient for this ACP in the long term (particularly beyond 2031).
STATE PLANNING POLICY 5.4: ROAD AND RAIL TRANSPORT NOISE AND FREIGHT CONSIDERATIONS IN LAND USE PLANNING (SEPTEMBER 2009)

SPP5.4 provides criteria for the assessment of planning proposals on land adjacent to road or rail infrastructure that generates significant noise impacts. The policy includes principles that ensure sensitive developments are located away from noisy transport infrastructure and, where uses are located adjacent or nearby to such infrastructure, noise impacts are minimised.

The Kwinana Freeway and Perth-Mandurah rail line are adjacent to the ACP area and new noise-sensitive development in the vicinity of these noise sources must therefore comply with SPP5.4.

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL POLICY 1.6: PLANNING TO SUPPORT TRANSIT USE AND TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT (JANUARY 2006)

The purpose of DC1.6 is to set out a position for planning and development around transport infrastructure, primarily aimed at improving access and increasing public transport demand. DC1.6 applies to ‘transit-oriented precincts’ within 800 metres of high frequency heavy rail or major bus transfer stations and within 400 metres of high frequency bus stops.

The South Perth activity centre is very well served by public transport, including four bus routes (numbers 30, 31, 34 and 35) servicing the area, a ferry terminal and potential future train station. The entire ACP area is therefore a potential transit oriented precinct (as defined in the policy) and the ACP must have regard to the recommendations of the policy to ensure that transport infrastructure is supported by suitable levels of population and activity.
CITY OF SOUTH PERTH STRATEGIC COMMUNITY PLAN 2017-2027

The City’s Strategic Community Plan is a high level document containing the broad strategies for governance of the City and facilitation of coordinated growth. The Vision of the SCP is:

‘A City of active places and beautiful spaces. A connected community with easily accessible, vibrant neighbourhoods and a unique, sustainable natural environment.’

The Community Plan is separated into 4 key focus areas; community, economy, environment (built and natural) and leadership. Each focus area has associated aspirations, outcomes and strategies. This Activity Centre Plan contributes to the Community Plan outcome 3.2 Sustainable built form and will also contribute to the delivery of various other strategies as outlined below:

Table 3: Summary of Relevant Provisions of the City of South Perth Strategic Community Plan 2017-2027

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOCUS AREA</th>
<th>OUTCOMES</th>
<th>STRATEGIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Activated places</td>
<td>Facilitate activity centres and neighbourhood hubs that offer a diverse, viable and attractive mix of uses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reinforce the South Perth peninsula as the City’s primary activity centre by reinvigorating key assets and destinations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Connected and accessible City</td>
<td>Facilitate a safe, efficient and reliable transport network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitate a pedestrian and cycle friendly environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Implement and maintain integrated transport and infrastructure plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable built form</td>
<td>Develop a local planning framework to meet current and future community needs and legislative requirements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Promote and facilitate contemporary sustainable buildings and land use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced environment and open spaces</td>
<td>Maintain and improve ecosystem biodiversity of the City.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protect and enhance the City’s urban forest.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improve the amenity value and sustainable use of our streetscapes, public open spaces and foreshores.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitate effective management of the Swan and Canning River foreshore.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource management and climate change</td>
<td>Promote and implement sustainable water, waste, land and energy management practices.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manage the risks associated with climate change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>Advocate for public infrastructure improvements including a South Perth train station and ferry services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CITY OF SOUTH PERTH LOCAL PLANNING STRATEGY

The City of South Perth (the City) Local Planning Strategy sets out the strategic direction for planning and development in the City over the next 10 to 15 years. As well as being an integral document in the local planning framework, the Local Planning Strategy plays a key role in delivering the community’s vision as set out in the City’s Strategic Community Plan 2017-2027.

The Local Planning Strategy is based on the identification and analysis of issues identified through the broad policy framework, collection of census data and community consultation. It provides the strategic basis for the preparation, implementation and amendments to the City’s local planning scheme. The scheme is the primary mechanism to implement the Local Planning Strategy, through various provisions and subsidiary plans like activity centre plans and local planning policies.

The key strategy relevant to this ACP is:

Strategy 4.2.1
Ensure each of the City’s activity centres achieve an appropriate mix of activity, employment, recreational, civic and cultural, and entertainment uses as well as increased levels of residential population to support the ongoing viability and function of each centre. The planning framework is to ensure sufficient non-residential floor space, to meet forecast demand, can be provided in each centre.

At the time of preparation of this ACP in September 2018, the Local Planning Strategy is in draft form and will be finalised following public consultation.

2.3.2 Prior Planning

A complex history of planning studies and strategies, scheme amendments and planning decisions have influenced the development of the ACP area over more than ten years to 2018. This history was considered in detail in developing the ACP, which refines and builds upon the established planning framework.

Key aspects of prior and current planning initiatives that have informed development of the ACP are outlined as follows and in Figure 5.

PROPOSAL OF SOUTH PERTH TRAIN STATION, 2005

During construction of the Mandurah rail line, allowance was made for the location of a future South Perth train station within the Kwinana Freeway reserve near the end of Richardson Street. The station was not constructed with the new rail line, but the allowance for a future station highlighted the emerging role of South Perth as a central city destination.

The allowance for a future station provided impetus to amend the City’s planning scheme to allow for transit oriented development and facilitate investment in the area as an attractive and accessible location on the fringe of the CBD.

SOUTH PERTH STATION PRECINCT PLAN ADOPTED, 2011

The South Perth Station Precinct Plan was prepared by the City of South Perth and WAPC as a framework to guide development in the precinct surrounding the planned South Perth train station. The vision of the plan was to create a vibrant, attractive business location featuring a rich choice of employment, public transport options, pedestrian friendly tree-lined streets, with reminders of South Perth’s heritage.

The plan focused on promoting commercial and other non-residential land uses in order to promote of the planned train station as a “destination station”, rather than a commuter station designed to facilitate “park and ride” usage.
SCHEME AMENDMENT NO. 25 ADOPTED, 2013

The South Perth Station Precinct Plan was implemented via Amendment No. 25 to the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6. The amendment introduced a Special Control Area, and development controls generally consistent with the South Perth Station Precinct Plan.

Amendment No. 25 allowed for more intensive commercial and multiple residential development, including:
- Land use controls, including preferred ground floor uses to encourage non-residential and mixed use development;
- Plot ratio requirements for non-residential development;
- Podium and building height limits of up to 41 metres;
- Street, side and rear setback requirements;
- Parking requirements;
- Other detailed design requirements; and
- Performance criteria for variations from the development requirements for specified properties.

SCHEME AMENDMENT NO. 46 INITIATED AND ADVERTISED, 2015

The intent of Amendment No. 46 was to correct anomalies, clarify ambiguities and strengthen performance criteria for building height variations in the South Perth Station Precinct.

The amendment included additional performance criteria for development seeking variations from the development requirements (including additional building height), caps on the amount of car parking provided in developments seeking additional building height, greater setbacks to certain streets in order to protect existing street trees, and reduced minimum non-residential plot ratio requirements.

SPECIAL ELECTORS MEETING, MAY 2015

The purpose of this meeting was to discuss development issues in the South Perth Station Precinct, including the extent of the precinct, the preparation of a planning strategy for the peninsula area as well as the station precinct, and community concerns with development proposed in the area.

Following the meeting Council resolved to conduct an independent review of the relevant town planning scheme provisions and the geographic extent of the station precinct, separate to the Amendment No. 46 process.

SCHEME AMENDMENT NO. 46 MODIFIED FOLLOWING ADVERTISING, 2016

Amendment No. 46 attracted substantial community interest and Council resolved in October 2015 to make significant modifications. These included limits to the allowable building height throughout the precinct and exclusion of the properties north of Judd Street from the area subject to additional building height.

The modified amendment was advertised for public comment in late 2015 and early 2016 and a large number of submissions were again received.

REVIEW OF RELEVANT TOWN PLANNING SCHEME PROVISIONS AND EXTENT OF THE SOUTH PERTH STATION PRECINCT, 2016

Following Council’s resolution of May 2015, the City engaged consultants to undertake a review of a range of issues including:
- Geographic extent of the precinct;
- Whether there should be building height limit;
- Whether building bulk should be controlled through plot ratio;
- Whether there should be discretion in relation to podium height;
- Whether nil setbacks are appropriate for all streets;
- Whether street setbacks above podium height are sufficient to ensure a comfortable pedestrian environment, especially in relation to scale and sunlight penetration;
- Whether side and rear setbacks are sufficient;
- Overshadowing;
- What community benefits would be appropriate;
- How to ensure buildings are of high design quality;
- Whether and if so which Green Star rating tool/s are appropriate to ensure high quality sustainable design;
- Appropriateness of the application assessment process; and
- Advice on a Development Contributions Scheme.

The review included research into how other planning jurisdictions address similar issues, and utilised a simple 3D model to illustrate potential development outcomes of the existing scheme provisions via massing models. The recommendations informed the Place and Design Project in 2017 and subsequent preparation of this ACP.
CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENT NO. 46 BY THE MINISTER, 2017

Following Council consideration and public consultation, the Minister for Planning considered Amendment No. 46, including all modifications, and resolved to reject proposed changes to the extent of the Special Design Area (the area subject to additional building height), and to also reject limits on additional discretionary height within the Special Design Area. However, the additional performance criteria for development seeking variations from the development requirements, and greater setbacks in certain streets, were included in the amendment when it was gazetted (and therefore given legal effect) in February 2017.

PLACE AND DESIGN WORKSHOPS AND REPORT, 2017

Following the preparation of Amendment No. 46, and the review of scheme provisions in 2016, the City undertook an extensive community engagement exercise to review the existing vision (developed in 2011 with the Western Australian Planning Commission as part of the South Perth Station Precinct Plan) against the community’s current aspirations for the area. The project centred on a week-long Planning Design Forum involving community members, land owners and developers, local business owners, State Government stakeholders, City staff and a team of consultants including urban planners and designers, architects and landscape architects, transport planners and economists. The Planning Design Forum included a site tour and workshop sessions on a range of issues including built form and architecture, traffic, transport and parking, development feasibility and the public realm.

The final report from the project outlines the key findings and presents a revised vision for the area along with goals, ideas and recommended actions for consideration by the City. The Place and Design Report provides the background for this ACP and accompanying amendment to the scheme.

SOUTH PERTH STATION PRECINCT REFERENCE GROUP, 2017

Following the Place and Design Project the City established a reference group to provide the City and key stakeholders with an additional reference point for planning, development and place initiatives and activities in the South Perth Station Precinct and surrounding area. The group includes 17 members representing a diverse range of stakeholders with interests in the area.

The reference group met six times during the preparation of the draft ACP and provided direct feedback to the City on a number of components of the draft plan.
### Planning Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>South Perth Station Proposed</td>
<td>To plan for the future provision of the South Perth Train Station following its removal from the Mandurah Railway Plan in order to reduce travel times from the southern suburbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>South Perth Station Precinct Plan Adopted</td>
<td>To establish a vision and strategic framework for the future development of the area, focused on substantially increasing office and commercial land uses in order to support the development of a train station adjacent to Richardson Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Amendment 25 Advertised + Gazetted</td>
<td>To implement the recommendations of the South Perth Station Precinct Plan, including creation of a Special Control Area over the South Perth Station Precinct, development requirements and a framework for developer contributions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Amendment 46 Initiated + Advertised</td>
<td>To rectify anomalies relating to development provisions and strengthen performance criteria for building height variations within the South Perth Station Precinct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Special Electors Meeting</td>
<td>To discuss the station precinct, its extent, strategic planning and concerns over development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Amendment 46 Gazetted Following Changes by Minister</td>
<td>To incorporate changes requested by the Minister for Planning, as legally required. Now in effect following publication in the Government Gazette on Tuesday 21 February, 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Place and Design Workshops + Report</td>
<td>To review the existing vision against stakeholder aspirations for the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Establishment of Reference Group</td>
<td>To provide an additional reference point for the City for the future of the precinct, including a range of stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.0 PROCESS

3.1 DEVELOPING THE PLAN

The review of the planning framework for the ACP area began in 2015 and culminated in the 2017 South Perth Peninsula Place and Design Project, which reviewed the vision articulated in the South Perth Station Precinct Plan (2011) and outlined approaches for managing the area’s growth in a way that captures the most benefit for the Peninsula’s residents, workers and visitors.

Following completion of the Place and Design Project in May 2017 the City prepared a project plan and scope of works to prepare an Activity Centre Plan to bring the Place and Design Report to life, and provide a means of implementation. Consultants were engaged based on this scope of works in September 2017.

A reference group was also established in August 2017 to provide the City with an additional opportunity to consult with stakeholders through planning, development and place initiatives and activities in the ACP area. The group includes 17 members representing a diverse range of stakeholders with interests in the area including community and sporting groups, local residents and business owners, and developers.

Key stages of the ACP development process are outlined below:

Table 4: Stages of the ACP Development Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT STAGE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project preparation and inception</td>
<td>Preparation of a scope of works, engagement of consultants, and preparation of an outline of the ACP structure and contents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Background Analysis, Literature Review and Technical Studies | Preparation of:  
  • Background report  
  • Draft economic and demographic assessment  
  • Draft movement network report |
| Preparation of Stakeholder Engagement Plan | Preparation of a Stakeholder Engagement Plan to outline the methods to be employed during the stakeholder engagement process.       |
| Preparation of draft Activity Centre Plan  | Including drafting of the ACP document, incorporating background information to develop rationale for the planning controls and modelling to ensure that the forecast demand is met.  
  Preparation of a draft amendment to the City’s town planning scheme to implement the ACP. |
| Council endorsement of draft Activity Centre Plan | The draft Activity Centre Plan is required to be endorsed by the Council prior to public consultation.                                  |
| Public advertising of Draft Activity Centre Plan | The draft ACP and town planning scheme amendment are released publicly for 60 days for the purpose of public feedback.                |
| Finalisation of draft Activity Centre Plan following public consultation | Feedback received during the public comment period is reviewed and the draft ACP and town planning scheme amendment are modified as required in response to the feedback. The updated ACP and town planning scheme amendment are then endorsed by the Council for submission to the Western Australian Planning Commission. |
| Final approval of the Activity Centre Plan and town planning scheme amendment | The Western Australian Planning Commission decides whether to approve the ACP, with or without modifications, and provides a recommendation to the Minister for Planning on the town planning scheme amendment.  
  The Minister for Planning decides whether to approve the town planning scheme amendment, with or without modifications. |
3.2 **KEY STAKEHOLDER MESSAGES**

A series of key messages emerged from stakeholders during the Place and Design project engagement process in 2017 as being important to consider in managing change in the ACP area. These key messages informed the preparation of the ACP, which aims to respond to the issues raised and implement the recommendations of the South Perth Peninsula Place and Design Report (May 2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTER AREAS</th>
<th>Retain the area’s authentic sense of place by strengthening and enhancing areas of distinct character across the wider Peninsula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GREAT STREETS AND SPACES</td>
<td>Revitalise and activate the public realm to create green, useable and enjoyable places for community interaction with a focus on people, pedestrians and cyclists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY LOCATION</td>
<td>Recognise the elevated status of South Perth in Perth’s future as a key activity centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOURISM DESTINATION</td>
<td>Revitalise and coordinate South Perth’s exceptional tourism assets like Perth Zoo and the foreshore, and strengthen links to Elizabeth Quay and Kings Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL COMMUNITY BENEFITS</td>
<td>Ensure development contributes needed civic infrastructure and facilities to support a growing population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFESTYLE CHOICE</td>
<td>Provide housing, employment and activity options for people of all ages, family structures and incomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSTAINABLE LIVING</td>
<td>Ensure environmentally friendly outcomes through sustainable development and green infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN EXCELLENCE</td>
<td>Provide exceptionally-designed development in the right places, with sensitive interface between new and old buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASY ACCESS</td>
<td>Address parking and traffic congestion issues, and deliver enhanced train, bus and ferry services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRONG ECONOMY</td>
<td>Plan land uses to support new commercial development where economically viable, whilst supporting local businesses and attracting jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT GROWTH</td>
<td>Integrate planning with upgrades to transport, education, servicing and other vital infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION</td>
<td>Embed stakeholders in the planning and design process to enable people to have a say in all key decisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.0 VISION

4.1 PLACE VISION

The South Perth Activity Centre is one of the Perth’s defining urban neighbourhoods—a unique residential area, premier business location and exceptional entertainment and tourism destination at the geographic centre of the metropolitan region. It is home to some of Perth’s greatest public spaces, vibrant retail and commercial venues, historic landmarks and unique cultural attractions. Minutes away from Perth CBD by road and water and closely connected to surrounding educational, cultural and commercial destinations, South Perth is a great urban neighbourhood at the centre of it all.

A vision for the ACP area was developed through the South Perth Place and Design project in 2017, and builds on the values and priorities of local stakeholders. The overarching vision statement is for the ACP area to be:

A distinctive inner city centre, tourism destination and residential neighbourhood that is shaped by its connection to nature, unique assets, distinctive buildings, and future-forward approaches to sustainable living. Its lively centre and pedestrian friendly tree-lined streets connect locals and visitors to its diverse businesses, transport nodes and local heritage.

4.2 CHARACTER AREAS

The ACP area is diverse and includes a variety of homes, businesses, tourist attractions and community facilities. The distinct characters of four adjacent and connected areas has informed all aspects of the ACP, with variations in the requirements covering built form, public realm, activity and movement provided in response to the unique qualities of each area and the vision and objectives articulated in the ACP.

This character area-based approach will ensure that the existing and desired future character will be celebrated and enhanced as the area continues to grow and evolve. The following statements articulate the future aspirations for these character areas.

The following sub-sections outline key features of each character area, which inform the character statements in Part 1 of this ACP and the objectives in Schedule 9B of the Scheme.
4.2.1 Mends

The Mends area is the cultural and commercial heart, a place where residents and workers enjoy a wide diversity of recreational and commercial offerings, as well as incredible amenities including a new City Square, the Foreshore and Perth Zoo.

In the future, the area will leverage the opportunities these amenities present to create a truly great, world class destination where residents, visitors and businesses mix together in a vibrant environment with frequent events and activities during the day and at night.

**Mends Street** will function as the urban centre of the ACP area. A street full of energy, character and appeal, it will prioritise pedestrian activity and be active day and night. Its unique retail and dining destinations will spill out into the street and extend through connecting laneways and arcades. From the water, Mends Street will proudly announce arrival in South Perth.

**Windsor Park** will form the cultural heart of the ACP area, with the Old Mill Theatre, Heritage House Cultural Centre, Windsor Park and the south-western portion of Mends Street activated and enhanced through public space upgrades and the addition of modern community facilities, complementing the entry to the ACP area via the ferry and Mends Street Jetty.

**Perth Zoo** will strengthen its status as one of Perth’s premier tourism destinations, with a renewed vision for its long-term growth and improved connections to the surrounding area with active edges fronting surrounding streets and Windsor Park.

**South Perth Foreshore** will provide an attractive landscaped entry to the ACP area and will host a wide range of events and activities throughout the year. South Perth Esplanade will be designed as a low speed boulevard with pedestrians and cyclists prioritised.

**The Landmark Site** bounded by Labouchere Road, Mill Point Road and Mends Street is the most prominent development site in the ACP area. It is uniquely positioned between three major roads at the centre of the ACP area, is triangular in shape and is highly visible from key vantages throughout and beyond the ACP area. The site sits along the key ‘activity link’ identified in the 2017 Place + Design Report and contains two significant heritage places. The establishment of a landmark building on this site is therefore important and encouraged by controls and guidance in the ACP and Scheme.

### ACTIVITY
- Emphasis on convenience shopping and experiential cafes and restaurants for residents, workers and visitors
- Residential and commercial uses to deliver transit-oriented development close to Mends Street Jetty

### MOVEMENT
- Enhanced ferry services with potential for second berth
- Pedestrian priority and traffic calming to create a vibrant people place
- Strengthened connections to regional bike and walking paths

### BUILT FORM
- Landmark development on key sites, while preserving lower scale development along the South Perth Esplanade to preserve views
- More intensity will frame Mends Street while preserving main street character

### PUBLIC REALM
- Significant upgrades to Mends Street and the Esplanade to create a distinctive destination
- A renewed Windsor Park which strengthens connections to the Zoo and accommodates all user groups
Figure 6: Mends Character Area: Vision for 2041
4.2.2 Richardson

The Richardson area is a diverse and varied area with an eclectic mix of building styles and uses. The establishment of a train station at Richardson Street will establish the area as a vibrant gateway to Perth Zoo and the wider Peninsula. Future development will recognise and enhance the diversity of the area, building upon the intricacy of its urban fabric with varied lot sizes and building heights, retained heritage cottages and new green pedestrian links.

Lyall Street will build upon its direct connection to Mends Street, ultimately providing an extension of commercial activity but with a change of character to leafy urban realm with residential development.

Labouchere Road will be a major activity corridor linking Mends Street to Perth Zoo and the future train station, supported by future improvements to the pedestrian realm including traffic calming, footpath widening and tree planting.

South Perth Station will be a major transit-oriented node, with a focus on Richardson Street as a new, additional entry point to the ACP area. This may include commercial uses such as short stay accommodation, and offices with a range of floorplate sizes and configurations to attract both large organisations and small businesses.

ACTIVITY
- Varied and eclectic activity, with an emphasis on office and commercial uses
- Ground floor retail uses limited to Lyall Street, Richardson Street and Labouchere Road

MOVEMENT
- Delivery of the South Perth Train Station
- Controlled access to local streets to improve walkability and manage traffic
- New local and regional cycling connections

BUILT FORM
- High quality, intensive development near a future transit node
- A variety of building heights and uses
- Podiums and building setbacks designed to add amenity and interest
- Retained heritage cottages

PUBLIC REALM
- Landscaped streets with narrowed carriageways and community amenities
- Potential mid-block pedestrian connections between streets
- New pocket parks and plazas provided by new development in key locations
Figure 7: Richardson Character Area: Vision for 2041

- New Development
- Community Vegetable Gardens
- Public Artwork
- Upgraded Seating and Lighting
- Traffic Calming
- Street Setbacks
- Bioretention Basin
4.2.3 Mill Point

Mill Point is a predominantly residential area characterised by green, leafy streets and buildings set back from the street. This significant amenity is complemented by its proximity to the South Perth foreshore and northern views to the Perth CBD.

In the future, this prevailing character will be strengthened and enhanced through upgrades to the public realm and new development which responds to and enhances the special amenities that make the area a great place to live.

Scott Street and areas to the south will define the focus of new development within the character area, with new residential development and complementary commercial development carefully integrated into the area to respect and strengthen its passive, quiet character.

Stirling Street and its surrounds will accommodate incremental development over time that is in keeping with existing development. Connectivity to the Swan River will be strengthened through upgrades to the South Perth foreshore and connecting streets.

The Old Mill is a significant cultural asset that will be enhanced and upgraded through public realm upgrades, the addition of cultural and community facilities surrounding The Old Mill, and the potential addition of cafés and water sport facilities to the west of the Narrows Bridge.

South Perth Foreshore will provide a range of attractive landscaped public open spaces and will host a wide range of events and activities throughout the year. South Perth Esplanade will be designed as a low speed boulevard with pedestrians and cyclists prioritised.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>MOVEMENT</th>
<th>BUILT FORM</th>
<th>PUBLIC REALM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Mainly residential development, reflecting the area’s quieter character  
• Some small shops and cafés to service local residents | • Managed access to Kwinana freeway from Mill Point Road  
• Improved walking and connections at major intersections  
• Better controlled on-street parking | • Similar scale to existing development with certainty of building height  
• Slim towers which preserve views and solar access  
• Towers to the ground, with landscaped setbacks respecting existing character | • Mature street trees protected as a priority and added to where possible  
• Community amenities  
• Garden streets complemented by green, landscaped setbacks |
Figure 8: Mill Point Character Area: Vision for 2041

- Enhanced foreshore
- Expanded Intellibus service
- Upgraded seating and lighting
- 'River of Culture' walking tour
- Realigned Esplanade roadway
- Shared space
- 'River of Culture' walking tour
- Shared space
- 'River of Culture' walking tour
- Shared space
- 'River of Culture' walking tour
- Shared space
- 'River of Culture' walking tour
- Shared space
- 'River of Culture' walking tour
- Shared space
4.2.4 Hillside

Hillside is an elevated area with a diverse range of wide variety of building styles and dwelling typologies overlooking the Swan River. Despite its close relationship to the Mends Street area, it maintains a quiet residential character.

In the future, incremental infill development will complement and supplement existing residential towers, providing additional public benefit through the creation of small green spaces and new public connections to the South Perth Foreshore.

Darley Street will mark a transition from bustling Mends Street, with Ray Street and Darley Street accommodating a mix of uses with a quieter street character. Significant development sites in the area should provide new connections to Mends Street and the Foreshore.

Parker Street and areas further east will reflect a quieter, more residential character acknowledging the separation from the core of the ACP area. Accessibility to the Foreshore is desired and new pedestrian connections to the Foreshore should be provided where new development allows.

South Perth Foreshore will provide a range of attractive landscaped public open spaces and will host a wide range of events and activities throughout the year. South Perth Esplanade will be designed as a low speed boulevard with pedestrians and cyclists prioritised.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Mainly residential development, reflecting the area’s quiet character</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Some small shops and cafés to service local residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOVEMENT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Managed access to Kwinana freeway from Mill Point Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improved walking and cycling conditions, and connections at major intersections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Better controlled on-street parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUILT FORM</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Similar scale to existing development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Slim towers that preserve views and daylight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No street podiums, with landscaped setbacks respecting existing character</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUBLIC REALM</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Mature street trees protected as a priority, and added to where possible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community amenities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Small green spaces and pedestrian connections to the foreshore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 9: Hillside Character Area: Vision for 2041
5.0 PLAN COMPONENTS

The following sections set out the background research and information, key issues identified through engagement with stakeholders, and communicate how the ACP responds to and addresses these issues in line with the Vision.

The contents of this plan (including development controls and strategic guidance) address the vision and the goals summarised in Figure 8. Each point generally relates to an “idea” under that goal in the Place and Design Report.

VISION:

A distinctive inner city centre, tourism destination and residential neighbourhood that is shaped by its connection to nature, unique assets, distinctive buildings, and future-forward approaches to sustainable living. Its lively centre and pedestrian friendly treelined streets connect locals and visitors to its diverse businesses, transport nodes and local heritage.
### GOAL 1
**DELIVER A ROBUST PLANNING FRAMEWORK**
- The ACP was developed with input from community members and stakeholders, both during the Place and Design process and in development of the ACP and scheme amendment.
- The planning framework provided by the ACP and town planning scheme is cohesive, logical, based on evidence and sound planning rationale, and in keeping with the vision.
- A public benefit contribution system is in place to enable development to contribute towards investment in public amenities and infrastructure to support South Perth as a great place for residents, workers and visitors.

### GOAL 2
**IMPROVE MOVEMENT AND CONNECTIVITY**
- Regional traffic congestion is recognised and managed, through the ACP and ongoing dialogue with the state government.
- The development requirements, and focus on reducing traffic and achieving modal shift, towards public transport, walking and cycling provide rationale for an integrated public transport network.
- Car parking has been radically dealt with in the ACP, including caps on parking and inclusion of parking in plot ratio calculations.
- The intensity of development proposed, and in particular its distribution, focus on building a case for the South Perth train station to be built.

### GOAL 3
**ENHANCE STREETS AND GREEN SPACES**
- The public realm (including streets and open spaces under local and state government control) are considered as a whole, providing benefit to the ACP area.
- Opportunities are identified to ensure streets are improved, particularly to become more pedestrian-friendly.
- Guidance is provided on the future role and improvements to parks in the ACP area.
- Incentives for additional green space on private land are provided through this ACP.

### GOAL 4
**ENCOURAGE RESPONSIVE DEVELOPMENT**
- The desired urban form is well articulated in the document, and linked to evidence of future demand in the ACP area.
- The built form proposed is reflective of existing character (including within individual character areas).
- Development requirements are designed to manage the amenity of neighbouring buildings and open spaces.
- Sustainability and adaptability are incorporated into development requirements for future development, and in public realm guidance.

### GOAL 5
**CREATE PLACES FOR PEOPLE**
- Local identity and character is recognised for the ACP area as a whole and individual character areas, with built form and public realm guidance reinforcing existing and desired future character.
- Heritage assets (buildings and spaces) are recognised and celebrated in the ACP.
- The ACP provides strategic context for activation and place management, to generate social and economic benefit.

---

Figure 10: Delivering the Vision
6.0 ACTIVITY

The growth and development of the ACP area to the year 2041 and beyond will require building upon the current characteristics and strengths of the centre, while remaining nimble to adapt to change. This section is based on the South Perth Activity Centre Economic Assessment Report in Appendix 1, and explores the characteristics and trends of the area’s land use and activity, with a focus on the drivers of economic performance and capacity for growth.

6.1 EXISTING ACTIVITY

The ACP area is a significant activity hub within Perth, with local and regionally significant amenities and economic assets, and provides residents and business alike with access to opportunities in the wider region through major road and public transport access and proximity to central Perth. However, in the decade to 2018 the area has seen only low levels of growth in commercial land uses. For example, recent declines in office occupancy indicate that the ACP area is not currently fulfilling its full economic potential and has capacity for growth.

The residential population of the ACP area is also important and supports local businesses as well as providing opportunities to live in a highly sought-after location close to the central business district. The presence of natural amenities (including Perth Water and the foreshore), and the central location and transport access mentioned above, will continue to make the area attractive for residential development. Despite this, the population has not grown significantly in the decade to the 2016 Census and the area contains higher shares of detached and lower density housing than expected for an inner-city river-front location. As is discussed in Appendix 1, growth and demand is projected to be sufficient to enhance and intensify the urban form of the ACP area while growing the residential population to support local businesses and services. However, managing this growth and demand will require a strong focus on urban regeneration and revitalisation, not only appropriately increasing the density of development but doing so in a way that enhances a high amenity environment for new and existing residents, workers, businesses and tourists to the area.

Appropriately managed, increased density can be accompanied by substantial community benefit – for both existing and future residents and visitors. These benefits include a range of community facilities and improved services, better public transport connections, improved streets, a greater range of local retail and businesses, and a more appealing place for local residents.

Figure 11: Existing Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DWELLINGS</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>EMPLOYMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,941</td>
<td>2,675</td>
<td>2,302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RETAIL SPACE</th>
<th>OFFICE + OTHER COMMERCIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8,172 SQM</td>
<td>63,000 SQM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**6.1.1 Land Uses and Clusters**

The predominant land use within the ACP area is residential, which is distributed throughout the area and provided a population of 2,675 residents as of 2016. This is complemented by approximately 71,000sqm of commercial floorspace, with the largest commercial uses being Office (52%), Retail (12%) and Entertainment, Recreation and Culture (9%). The remaining 27% of non-residential floorspace is made up of relatively small amounts of a range of land uses including manufacturing, storage, health and utilities. Commercial office floorspace is concentrated in the Mends and Richardson character areas, with the majority of existing retail, entertainment and recreation uses clustered around Mends Street.

Further detail regarding the land uses within the ACP area is provided in Appendix 1.

**6.1.2 Attractions and Destination Anchors**

The presence of natural amenities including Perth Water and the foreshore, coupled with major attractors, namely Perth Zoo and Mends Street, function as major destination anchors that support considerable tourism visitation.

Tourism is a key driver of growth in the area, with consistently growing visitation numbers to the Perth Zoo, as well as large increases in the number of ferry boardings from Mends Street Jetty. Overall, the tourist visitation to South Perth has grown strongly in recent years, increasing from 63,000 visitors in 2007 to 119,000 visitors in 2017.

**6.1.3 Retail Offering**

There is approximately 8,271sqm of retail within the ACP area, concentrated within the Mends Street boutique retail, café and restaurant ACP area.

This amount of floorspace is less than half that stipulated in targets under SPP 4.2, reflecting static population growth and a failure to translate increases in tourism visitation to increases in activation and vibrancy within the centre.

It is estimated that the total retail expenditure pool in the ACP area from resident, worker and tourist expenditure is valued at $51.7m in 2017, with residents comprising the largest share of that expenditure at $34.8m.

**6.1.4 Employment and Commercial Floorspace Trends**

The ACP area is a recognised boutique inner-city employment hub, with approximately 2,300 jobs in the ACP area as of 2015. There is a significant amount of office floorspace in the centre, accommodating approximately 1,695 office-based jobs or 73% of total employment in the centre as of 2015.

However, the number of jobs in the centre declined between 2007 and 2015. This is likely due to the impacts of the Global Financial Crisis followed by the end of the mining boom and also issues relating to employment diversity and public transport access, which have made South Perth less desirable compared to other activity centres such as East Perth, Northbridge and Subiaco.

There is approximately 63,000sqm of employment related floorspace currently in the ACP area (excluding retail floorspace). While the area experienced moderate growth in the total amount of floorspace over the decade to 2017, the amount of occupied office floorspace decreased as the amount of local employment declined. This has led to an increase in the amount of vacant office and retail floorspace. In 2015, South Perth had an office floorspace vacancy rate of approximately 13%.

**6.1.5 Population Characteristics**

The population in the activity centre has not grown significantly over the 15 year period from 2001 to 2016. A resident population of 2,675 residents in 2016 represents an average increase over this period of 1.8% per annum. This level of growth is significantly lower than the growth in many other major centres elsewhere in Perth, and is likely due to a lack of infill development and declining household sizes during this period.

As detailed in Section 2.2.2, the population is characterised by a mix of younger workers, mature families and retirees, with a high proportion of people born overseas. The diversity of the ACP area population is an attribute that should be supported and encouraged as the area develops.
6.1.6 Dwelling Characteristics

As of 2016, there were 1,941 dwellings within the ACP area. The area’s housing stock is more diverse than the State average with higher shares of flats and units, as would be expected in a fringe-CBD location (44% in the ACP area compared to 8% in Greater Perth). However, conversely the share of detached and lower density housing stock is higher than would be expected for an inner-city river front location.

Much of the ACP area’s housing stock is two or three bedroom dwellings, with low proportions of one bedroom dwellings and four or more bedroom dwellings. It is recommended that a range of dwelling sizes be delivered through future residential developments to support a variety of household types.

The proportion of homes rented in the ACP area was similar to Greater Perth at the time of the 2016 Census (24.2% in South Perth ACP compared to 23.4% in Greater Perth). Home ownership in the area is supported by the older age profile and high proportion of professionals and managers who can purchase their dwelling, while higher density dwellings such as flats or units often appeal to investors and renters.

6.1.7 Development Activity

Substantial development activity has taken place within the ACP area following revisions to the planning framework in 2013. Overall, twelve developments have been approved and progressed to construction as of May 2018. Cumulatively, this development provides for approximately 86,000 square metres of additional residential and commercial floorspace, including 400 apartments. This recently constructed and under construction (as of May 2018) development is expected to drive population growth in the ACP area in the short term.

6.2 FORECAST ACTIVITY

A comprehensive analysis was undertaken to determine the potential future growth of the ACP area, including assessment of independent projections for population, employment, floor space, visitor and retail expenditure scenario testing. This determined the most likely growth trajectory for the area over the 35 years from 2016 to 2041 (refer to Parts 5 and 6 of Appendix 1 for further detail).

This scenario testing and modelling is unconstrained, meaning that issues such as local land availability, infrastructure capacity, local market expectations and servicing capacity have not been considered. This approach is critical to ensure that the assessment recognises and examines the full potential of the ACP area. This evidence base informs planning for new infrastructure and the development of controls on land use and built form that manage and shape the expected growth and demand. These controls, as well as the infrastructure and services that are developed then become constraints on development that shape the actual growth of the area over the life of the Activity Centre Plan.

The potential future growth of the ACP area has been modelled to the year 2041, which is 25 years from the latest Census conducted in 2016. This longer timeframe is consistent with State Government strategic planning including Perth and Peel @3.5 Million, which plans for a Greater Perth population of 3.5 million by the year 2051. Long-term population forecasts are important to provide a sound evidence base in support of the long-term vision provided in the ACP, as well as to:

- ensure sufficient capacity is provided for in the long-term where fragmented land ownership limits capacity for redevelopment and impacts the scale and timing of development, which can increase the risk of underdevelopment;
- align long-term strategic planning with long-term infrastructure commitments and needs (public transport, schools and the like). Plans considering short-term planning horizons (i.e. 5 years) are insufficient for proper infrastructure planning in infill settings; and
- recognise that places evolve over time to respond to changing demographic profiles, technology, social trends and market conditions, including economic cycles.

If future demand and growth is not well understood and reflected in the planning framework, there is a high risk that responses to actual demand and growth will not fit within the established vision, particularly if demand is underestimated at the strategic planning stage, which results in poor planning outcomes.
Further detail on the analysis underpinning the forecasts presented in this section can be found in the South Perth Activity Centre Economic and Demographic Assessment Report in Appendix 1.

The following size, scale and mix of activity reflects the outcomes of the modelling and represents the expected demand to the year 2041, to be managed and directed by the ACP:

**Table 6:** Forecast Growth in the ACP Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDICATOR</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2041</th>
<th>GROWTH BY 2041</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>2,675</td>
<td>4,750</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>4,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwellings</td>
<td>1,941</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>2,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>2,302</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>2,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment-Related Floor Space (sqm – excl Retail)</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>92,500</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>47,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Floor Space (sqm)</td>
<td>8,172</td>
<td>13,860</td>
<td>20,356</td>
<td>12,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourists/Visitors per annum</td>
<td>119,017</td>
<td>177,200</td>
<td>236,800</td>
<td>117,783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 12:** Split of Growth by Character Area

- **MILL POINT**
  - Residential: 15%
  - Retail: NEGLIGIBLE
  - Office: NEGLIGIBLE

- **RICHARDSON**
  - Residential: 45%
  - Retail: 61%
  - Office: 65%

- **MENDS**
  - Residential: 18%
  - Retail: 32%
  - Office: 28%

- **HILLSIDE**
  - Residential: 22%
  - Retail: 7%
  - Office: 7%
6.2.1 Population and Dwelling Growth

Several factors will influence population growth in the ACP area to 2041, both specific to South Perth, as well as more general trends that will affect the area.

Experience from other Australian cities has shown that when cities reach a population of 2-3 million, a second major, intensively-developed business and mixed use district arises, often with a riverine setting and high accessibility to the CBD. South Brisbane and Southbank in Melbourne are both examples of this, and South Perth is considered likely to experience a similar transition in density as Greater Perth grows.

There is strong impetus in policy and practice from the State Government to focus and direct infill development (dwelling growth) within activity centres and along urban corridors. This is reflected in the spatial plan for the Perth and Peel regions set out in Perth and Peel @3.5 Million, a focus on planning policies affecting infill development (for example apartment design, Activity Centre area planning, and transit oriented development), and a renewed focus on investment in public transport. These policies help to encourage prospective residents to choose apartment living. When considering the overarching state policy expectation to focus dwelling growth within activity centres, it is expected that a greater proportion of the suburb growth of South Perth will be located within the Activity Centre.

As Perth grows spatially (as of 2018, Greater Perth spreads over 6,400 square kilometres along 130km of coastline between Two Rocks and Mandurah), commuting time and convenience become greater considerations for many households. The inner-city location of South Perth is attractive for people looking to avoid long commutes from the outer suburbs, and the associated social and economic costs. This trend also underpins demand for well-located apartments. More specifically to the ACP area, several factors provide appeal that readily translates into demand for living in the area, including:

• The proximity of the area to the Perth CBD;
• The established pattern of apartments within the precinct in medium and higher density development form;
• The natural amenity and setting of South Perth, with substantial opportunity for views to water, foreshores, parks and gardens;
• The distinctiveness of the area as a place, with an endearing public realm and opportunities for unique activities;
• As a destination for visitors the area has substantial potential to provide a range of uses and amenities, which in turn make the place appealing for prospective residents;
• The central location with separation from the CBD provides a convenient location for an Australian experience, which is of interest to prospective residents from overseas.

In addition to these attractors to South Perth as a location, the appeal of (and demand for) apartment living will be an important factor attracting people to South Perth.

There is a trend in Australian cities toward a greater range of household types, with smaller households in particular becoming more common, and a corresponding trend towards demand for smaller dwellings, including apartments. In the ACP area lone person households make up over 40% of all households, with family households making up almost 50%. More than half of these family households are couples without children (two person households). The high proportion of both lone person and couple households in the ACP area is likely related to the high proportion of older residents, many of whom may have down-sized from a suburban home to buy into the convenience of apartment living.

There is also growing evidence of a broader market appeal for apartments, including among families with children. Where apartments are appropriately designed and located there is no reason why families with children cannot choose this option and the issues raised above often impact similarly, if not even more substantially, on them.

With increased appeal for apartments, demand will inevitably be attracted to those places that have existing amenities for apartment living and provide high quality apartment complexes. The ACP area is considered to be an appealing area due to the existing high quality apartment buildings, inner-city location and accessibility to the CBD, the amenity provided by the river frontage and an exemplar public realm, and views to prominent landmarks.

Based on state policy expectations, and the key drivers relating to inner city living in general and the ACP area in particular, the growth scenario for residential development within the area is considered most likely to be between the medium and high modelled scenarios outlined in Section 5.2.5 of Appendix 1. This scenario represents a greater proportion of the overall suburb growth of South Perth being located within the ACP area. Currently, one in five new residents of South Perth move to within the ACP area. Under the modelled scenario, this proportion is forecast to double to two in five new residents by 2051.
As of 2016, there were 1,941 dwellings within the ACP area and a population of 2,675 people. The growth described above will result in a total population of approximately 4,750 residents in the ACP area by 2031, rising to 7,500 by 2041. This forecast growth will generate demand for a total of approximately 2,750 dwellings in the ACP area in 2031 (809 additional), rising to approximately 4,250 dwellings by 2041 (2,309 additional). The number of dwellings is based on 1.7 people per dwelling, which is the 2016 occupancy rate and is expected to be maintained over the forecast period as the composition of the population remains relatively stable.

6.2.2 Tourism Growth

Overall, the tourist visitation to the South Perth has grown strongly in recent years, increasing from 63,000 visitors in 2007 to around 119,000 visitors in 2017. These visitors together stayed for over 400,000 days/night in 2007 and this increased only marginally to 417,000 days/night in 2017; however, in 2016 the area recorded a total of over 819,000 visitor days/night. This reflects a sharp decline in the average length of stay of international visitors in 2017 and also shows the volatility of annual visitation numbers.

The total value of sales associated with tourism and hospitality in the City of South Perth was estimated at over $250 million per year in 2018 with a local gross value added of almost $109 million. This supports over 1,100 direct and indirect jobs in the City of South Perth, and overall tourism and hospitality accounts for almost 5% of the City of South Perth economy.

Notwithstanding the volatility mentioned above, the overall trend for tourism is positive and the number of visitors to South Perth is forecast to increase to 177,200 visitors per annum in 2031, and 236,800 by 2041. This growth is expected to be fastest among international visitors, though domestic day trip visitors are expected to account for the largest share of visitors in 2041 at 54%.

This tourist visitation will generate demand for a range of different services and facilities, including the expansion and diversification of tourist activities and businesses including food and beverage providers, greater amounts of both formal and informal tourist accommodation and improved and enhanced transport accessibility.

6.2.3 Employment and Commercial Floorspace Growth

Modelling indicates that the ACP area has significant employment and commercial floorspace growth potential for both population- and visitor-serving sectors and niche commercial office-based businesses (see Appendix 1).

In 2017, there was a total of 2,302 jobs in the ACP area. Growth is forecast to result in a total of 3,400 jobs by 2031, increasing to 4,600 jobs by 2041. This will result in a corresponding increase in commercial and employment floorspace, reaching an expected total of 110,000 sqm by 2041 (excluding retail floorspace).

This outcome represents a low-medium growth scenario, which reflects South Perth’s recognised status as a boutique office market in Perth, with locations such as West Perth, East Perth and increasingly Northbridge playing the primary roles as CBD expansion/overflow of long-term office demand.

6.2.4 Retail Needs Assessment

Analysis has been undertaken on the employment and demand modelling scenarios for the “Shop Retail” land uses in the ACP area. That assessment was based on the potential role and function of the area in meeting the wider regional retail needs.

The retail sector has and will continue to be impacted by a diverse range of generational, fiscal, technological and feasibility factors that have the potential to fundamentally alter the level of retail floor space demand in the ACP area. The Economic and Demographic Assessment at Appendix 1 outlines a number of these trends including the impact of online retail, demographic and generational change (including an increasing share of the population aged over 65 years), and changes to household expenditure and debt patterns.

The total retail expenditure pool in the ACP area from residents, workers and visitors was estimated at $51.7 million in 2017, with residents comprising the largest share at $34.8 million. It is forecast that the total retail expenditure pool in the ACP area from residents, workers and visitors – all of whom will spend money in the area and therefore contribute to retail vitality – will increase to $95.4 million in 2031 and $127.2 million in 2041 (all values are in 2016 dollars).

There was demand for approximately 8,172 square metres of retail floorspace as of 2017. By 2031, demand could support approximately 13,860 square metres of shop retail floorspace in the area, growing to 20,356 square metres by 2041.
6.3 ACTIVITY KEY ISSUES

6.3.1 Key Issue: Site Availability and Development Capacity

Within the ACP area, as with most established urban areas, development site availability is highly constrained. In practice, most opportunities to cater to demand through new development occur through redevelopment of aged buildings, including demolition and renewal. This can be limited by many factors, including the planning framework and the presence of heritage buildings, established infrastructure and the size, layout and ownership of existing properties.

In South Perth, opportunities for growth are constrained by existing levels of high density, strata-titled development. At present, strata titled properties require consent from 100% of landowners prior to redevelopment and it can take many years for this to be achieved. For this reason, strata titled properties tend to redevelop slowly and it has been assumed that, accordingly, a lower proportion of strata subdivided properties within the ACP area will redevelop in the short to medium term. An industry accepted figure for undertaking modelling and forecasting is that 25% of strata subdivided buildings would develop between 2016 and 2051, corresponding proportionally to about 18.5% for the period covered by the ACP projections (2016-2041).

Many of the properties within the ACP area that are not strata titled are relatively small sites, which limits their potential for large-scale redevelopment. In order to assemble a large enough site, more than one adjoining property would need to be purchased and this process can also take a number of years. Alternatively, individual lots could develop well below the density possible under the ACP, effectively not utilising the full capacity and development potential permissible under the ACP development controls.

If Schedule 9A of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6 continued to apply, a “Special Design Area” would apply to part of the ACP area, which would allow for unlimited building height. Outside of the Special Design Area, a building height limit would apply that would not be able to be varied. The very large amount of flexibility in the Special Design Area would act as an incentive to maximise the size of buildings in this area, while sites outside of the area would be highly constrained and could only maximise their development potential by minimising setbacks as much as possible, which results in relatively short, bulky buildings that do not permit visual permeability.

Under Schedule 9A of Town Planning Scheme No. 6, growth would be likely to be accommodated through significant height variation within the ‘Special Design Area’, including buildings greater than 20 storeys in height, and bulky buildings that cover close to 100% of the site and therefore would leave minimal space for landscaping, design features and setbacks to adjoining buildings, and there would be limited opportunity for meaningful public benefit to be provided. This form of growth has been criticised by stakeholders, including during the Place and Design project in 2017, as detracting from the character of the area, impacting negatively on existing buildings, lacking a logic in the approach to permitted development (for example encouraging tall buildings on the edges of street blocks where they block views) and compromising the vision for South Perth’s future.

The capacity of the ACP area to accommodate development has been reviewed and revised through the preparation of this activity centre plan in light of the forecast demand for growth outlined above and in Appendix 1. It is important that planning controls account for anticipated demand, but manage expected growth in a way that is consistent with the vision set out in the activity centre plan, rather than as “ad-hoc” or individually-planned proposals that respond to a specific market need but are not designed with the character of the surrounding area in mind.

6.3.1.1 Plan Response

- Replacement of Special Design Area controls: The ACP and associated town planning scheme amendment replace the ‘Special Design Area’ with a logically distributed set of height and development controls and a consistent approach to approval of additional height. This framework focuses development in areas that meet recognised planning criteria including proximity to major transport, access to services and opportunity for comprehensive redevelopment.

- Alignment of development intensity with capacity: Height and density limits established in the ACP have been developed with reference to analysis of site availability and development capacity, including assessment of: strata titled, or likely strata titled, buildings; sites of local and State heritage significance; small lots; and the likelihood of redevelopment of any individual site by 2041.
6.3.2 Key Issue: Directing Forecast Population Growth

The Economic and Demographic Assessment at Appendix 1 forecasts growth in the ACP area over the 25 years from 2016 to 2041. Understanding the demand that is on the horizon puts the City of South Perth at an advantage; enabling planning controls to be implemented to manage growth in support of a vision and set of objectives.

The ACP is based on a sound evidence base, including data from the most recent Census in 2016, and consideration of the overarching State policy direction for growth in activity centres. This provides a realistic forecast of the growth and resulting demand for development in the ACP area. This also provides the basis for planning controls that manage the expected growth in support of the ACP vision and objectives. The estimate of future growth and demand informs the rationale set out in this document to justify the limits placed on development. Without an evidence base there is a high risk that planning requirements will not be appropriate to manage demand, and this may lead to ad-hoc proposals that do not support the broader vision for the area set out in the ACP.

The forecasts in Appendix 1 anticipate that the ACP area’s population will reach 4,750 people by 2031 and 7,500 by 2041 (from a population of 2,675 people in 2016). This forecast shows that there is high demand for housing in the area and that this will continue into the future. Through this ACP the City of South Perth sets out the requirements for development in the area, which will shape how demand is accommodated. These requirements include limits to the size of buildings, minimum setbacks to streets and adjacent properties, which land uses may be developed across the area and other detailed design criteria.

The WAPC’s policy Directions 2031 and Beyond and subsequent planning including Perth and Peel @3.5 Million have provided additional dwelling targets for each local government area. Perth and Peel @3.5 Million sets a target of at least 8,300 additional dwellings to be accommodated within the City of South Perth by the time Greater Perth’s population grows to 3.5 million people (population in 2016 was approximately 2 million). The document also provides a spatial framework for the location of this dwelling growth (in the case of the City of South Perth, within activity centres and along urban corridors).

It is important to recognise that this is a target, and not a forecast of future growth. It is set by the State Government to provide guidance for how the development of the metropolitan area should be distributed to meet strategic objectives related to infrastructure provision, servicing, environmental protection and other State planning goals. More detailed planning is required to align this overarching framework with forecast growth projections and determine how the targets will be met at a local government and local area level. The ACP provides this for the South Perth Activity Centre. The State policy framework expects that a greater proportion of the suburb growth of South Perth, and the City of South Perth, be directed towards the South Perth Activity Centre.

Under the generic requirements for a district centre in State Planning Policy 4.2, the ACP area is expected to increase its residential density from an existing density of approximately 20 dwellings per gross hectare to a desirable density of 30 dwellings per hectare. To achieve this target an additional 1,059 dwellings is required, to bring the total number of dwellings in the ACP area to 3,000. However, similar to other high-level targets provided by the State Government, this target is not based on a forecast of future growth nor detailed local planning that considers the locational context or unique function and capacity of individual centres. The Economic and Demographic Assessment at Appendix 1 indicates that there is demand and a strong strategic planning rationale for the ACP area to ensure that substantially more than the desirable State Government target dwellings are accounted for. It is important to consider the growth pattern of the centre beyond 2031 to ensure that the Centre can continue to evolve over time with the Perth Metropolitan region as a whole and respond to changing circumstances and needs (such as demographic, economic, and the overarching state policy framework).
6.3.2.1 Plan Response

- **Development Controls Aligned to Population Growth:** The ACP sets building height and plot ratio limits based on the number of dwellings required to accommodate forecast population growth to the year 2041. Setting planning controls based on a growth forecast ensures that sufficient capacity is provided to meet expected future population growth.

- **Weighted Residential Growth:** The ACP varies building height and density controls across the four character areas in order to reflect their differing character and suitability for additional growth and development. Controls have been carefully calibrated to meet the overall growth forecasts weighted by character area, so less development is permitted in some areas and more in others. In practice, this results in less height and density in the Mill Point area and more in Richardson and Mends areas. The Hillside area is expected to have limited growth; however, this area is already home to high-rise development and a similar scale will be allowed for in the ACP.

- **Housing Diversity:** To ensure that forecast population growth supports the growth of a healthy community with demographic diversity, the ACP requires that new development provide a mix of dwellings to accommodate different household types.

- **Public Benefit Contributions to Support Growth:** The ACP incentivises the provision of public benefit contributions in exchange for additional height and/or plot ratio above the base limit, in addition to other planning considerations such as amenity and design quality. These public benefit contributions may be used to fund improvements including community facilities (such as community centres and libraries), streetscape and public realm upgrades (more trees planted and safer streets for cyclists and pedestrians), upgrades to public open space (more amenities and features in parks, better suited to those using it) and infrastructure upgrades. Existing and new members of the community alike benefit from improvements funded by public benefit contributions.

6.3.3 Key Issue: Increasing Commercial Activity and Local Employment

Development activity in the South Perth Station ACP area between 2013 and 2018 has comprised predominantly of mixed use development with a high proportion of residential floorspace, despite the South Perth Station Precinct Plan (2011) being focused on development of mostly commercial and office-based uses. This reflects the complexity of the commercial market and challenges in realising significant commercial development given the area’s appeal as a residential precinct and significant competition with fringe CBD office and employment nodes such as East Perth, West Perth, Northbridge and Subiaco.

Economic analysis (see Appendix 1) suggests that delivery of the rail station would substantially boost the viability of major office development, which would enable the ACP area to develop into a more significant fringe CBD office location enjoying convenient rail access like Northbridge, Subiaco, East Perth and West Perth. A train station would improve the accessibility of the activity centre via public transport and reinforce its status as a central destination outside the main Perth CBD. In the meantime, boutique office commercial uses, entertainment and retail activities present greater potential for employment generation in the short term.

In this context, it is important that commercial floorspace be anticipated and incentivised by the planning framework to ensure that long-term employment potential is not compromised by short-term market cycles, while at the same time allowing flexibility in commercial floorspace provision.

6.3.3.1 Plan Response

- **Land Use Permissibility:** The ACP sets the permissibility of various land uses to control the type and extent of commercial development within the ACP area, informed by the Character Area objectives. This provides discretionary control of particular commercial uses within the area, ensuring the commercial development objectives are realised.

- **Targeted Commercial Growth:** The ACP varies land use permissibility across the four Character Areas in order to reflect their differing character and suitability for commercial activity. Land use controls have been calibrated to concentrate commercial uses in locations with good access to public transport (bus, ferry and future train station), and to focus activity and energy in the existing centre around Mends Street. Commercial activity in peripheral, predominantly residential areas is generally expected to be modest, and this is reflected in the land use controls. Accordingly, the ACP provides for the majority of commercial development to occur in the Richardson and Mends areas.
6.3.4 **Key Issue: Retail Needs and Viability**

As a significant inner city destination, shops and retail within the ACP area do not just serve local residents; visitors (day-trippers, or overseas and interstate tourists) and the local workforce contribute substantially to the viability of local retail activity.

Schedule 9A of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6 includes requirements for the minimum amount of non-residential floorspace that is to be included in developments within some parts of the South Perth Station ACP area. Retail development should not be required or encouraged in peripheral areas that are not conducive to trade, as this dilutes the impact of retail areas and can cause leasing difficulties and inactive non-retail commercial uses to occupy space that was intended for retail. This results in blank building frontages that do not contribute to street activity.

A targeted approach to retail development is needed to realise the ACP area’s full retail growth potential, based on increasing the intensity and consolidating retail uses within the core Mends Street trade area, with extension of ground floor retailing areas in the remainder of the ACP area limited to key areas that support viable retail trade.

6.3.4.1 **Plan Response**

- **Development Controls Aligned to Retail Need:** The ACP sets retail floorspace requirements based on the Retail Needs Assessment forecasts in the South Perth Activity Centre Economic and Demographic Assessment (Appendix 1). Setting planning controls based on the expected demand ensures that sufficient capacity is provided to realise the ACP area’s potential as a retail destination while also ensuring that the positive impact of retail is not weakened or made non-viable by over-provision of retail floorspace.

- **Retail frontage requirements:** The ACP establishes building frontage typologies for certain areas, with two typologies supporting retail use. The ‘Active Street’ typology requires ground floor retail space to be created along important pedestrian and vehicle thoroughfares to support the vitality of the activity centre. The ‘Mixed Street’ typology will deliver flexible floorspace capable of retail or other uses. All forecast retail demand can be accommodated in these areas.

- **Preferred Ground Floor Uses:** The ACP establishes preferred ground floor uses to guide the retail and commercial uses provided at ground level across the ACP area. Character Area variation is accommodated to ensure ground floor uses contribute to the desired character of each character area.

**Figure 13:** Ground Floor Retail Area Extent 2041
6.3.5 Key Issue: Tourism Visitation and Centre Positioning

Tourism is a key driver of visitation to the ACP area, with visitation numbers almost doubling from 63,000 visitors in 2007 to around 119,000 visitors in 2017. Visitation is expected to reach 236,800 by 2041, driven primarily by Perth Zoo and the Swan River Foreshore areas, which attract visitors for leisure, sightseeing, health and wellness activities, and major events.

Despite the appeal of local attractions and high visitor numbers, there is a relatively low level of tourism-related commercial or cultural development within the ACP area as of 2018. Recent increases in Zoo visitation and ferry patronage have not corresponded with significantly greater activation and vibrancy within the activity centre.

Limitations to diversification of visitor types and capturing visitor expenditure include a lack of business conference and event space, a lack of diversity in short-stay accommodation options, poor public amenity between existing attractions and transport nodes, and a limited range of supporting activities, attractions and events.

6.3.5.1 Plan Response

- **Supporting Tourism Growth:** The ACP seeks to proactively address the demand for a range of different services and facilities in the ACP area resulting from tourism growth. Firstly, it supports the expansion and diversification of tourist activities by planning for additional retail and entertainment uses and enhanced streets and public spaces. Secondly, it incentivises the addition of formal and informal tourist accommodation and business facilities to support longer visitation and greater expenditure. Finally, it seeks to increase visitation through improved and enhanced transport accessibility including by ferry, a unique means of traversing the Swan River with particular appeal for tourists.

- **Inclusion of Perth Zoo in the ACP area:** The ACP recognises the tourism value of the Perth Zoo, which is included in the ACP area boundary. This allows the Zoo to be considered as part of the area’s broader development and land use changes. Development and land use controls, including building height and overshadowing requirements, have been developed to ensure the Zoo’s long-term needs are accommodated.
7.0 BUILT FORM

7.1 EXISTING BUILT FORM

The ACP area is defined by the collective impact of its buildings and spaces. For buildings, this includes their scale, age and relationship to the street. These qualities and local variations influence how parts of the area are perceived and used, contributing to the definition of distinct character areas within the ACP area.

7.1.1 Urban Grain

The urban grain of the ACP area is defined by its diversity of lot sizes and widths. As shown by Figure 14, the area north of Judd Street is characterised by large lots with long wide street blocks running in a north-south direction. West of Labouchere Road, five narrower street blocks run east-west, with a diversity of lot sizes including a high proportion of smaller lots. The eastern and north-eastern portion of the ACP area lacks a defined pattern of street blocks and is characterised by more large lots that directly interface with the foreshore.

Figure 14: Pattern of Lot Size
7.1.2 Age and Heritage

The ACP area has a long history of growth, with continual redevelopment for progressively higher density residential use resulting in a diversity of building ages. Strata schemes in buildings, which subdivide ownership into individual apartments, have made consolidation and subsequent redevelopment difficult, and have thereby resulted in older building stock remaining in place in many areas. Figure 15 highlights that most buildings within the ACP area are between 30 and 50 years old, with comparatively little development within the decade to 2018.

The ACP area also contains some heritage places of state and local significance that reflect the historical development and character within the City of South Perth.

Figure 15: Building Age
7.1.3 Height and Scale

Tall buildings are a longstanding and prominent feature of the ACP area, visible across Perth and Melville waters. This prominence arises from a history of high rise residential development through the second half of the 20th century, which has contributed to the development of an identifiable and evolving skyline form.

As highlighted by Figure 16, existing building heights generally increase from low scale development in the north of the ACP area to buildings more than 20 storeys to the south and east. The area north of Judd Street is characterised by bulky mid-rise development of around 8-10 storeys, often with relatively small side setbacks relative to the height of the building. West of Labouchere Road, a variety of buildings exist including single storey buildings, office complexes of different scales, 6-8 storey residential development and new development more than 20 storeys. These buildings range in scale and bulk and frequently feature small side setbacks. In the Hillside area, apartment buildings constructed in the 1960s and 1970s are up to 20 storeys in height but have relatively large setbacks between buildings.

Figure 16: Building Height
7.1.4 Street Interface

Buildings relate to the street differently throughout the ACP area. Figure 17 shows that buildings north of Judd Street are defined by a landscaped setback generally between 6-10 metres, which highlights a shift in character from mixed use development to predominantly mid-rise residential. Buildings fronting Mends Street generally have a nil setback to the street, appropriate for retail activity and creating a vibrant main street environment. Other development along Mill Point Road differs substantially, with large landscaped setbacks from 6m to as much as 40m in some instances. West of Labouchere Road, a range of setbacks are provided ranging from nil to 4 metres and vary from small gardens to paved forecourts, contributing to a diverse urban character.

Figure 17: Street Setbacks
7.2 BUILDING TYPOLOGIES

Grain, age, scale and street interface reflect distinct building typologies featured throughout the ACP area. These building typologies collectively contribute to character and sense of place.

Residential development in the ACP area includes buildings of a variety of heights, sometimes set back from the street but often bulky. Retail areas contain low scale attached buildings, and commercial or office buildings are often low- to mid-rise, and vary in bulkiness. Notably, recent residential and commercial developments are more likely to include towers set above large podiums that are built to all property boundaries. These typologies are summarised below.

Table 7: Building Typologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPOLOGY</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LOCATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tower in Open Space</td>
<td>Large residential towers set back from the street and side boundaries with surrounding landscaping.</td>
<td>East of Darley Street,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larger Format Mid Rise</td>
<td>Bulkier medium scale residential apartment buildings</td>
<td>North of Judd Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street Commercial</td>
<td>Low scale attached commercial buildings with nil setbacks to boundaries and limited tower elements</td>
<td>Mends Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower on Podium</td>
<td>Large residential towers set above three storey podiums built to property boundaries, accommodating a mix of uses</td>
<td>On Labouchere Road and near the corner of Mill Point Road and Mends Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottages</td>
<td>Remnant cottages, mostly of limited heritage value and often converted for commercial uses</td>
<td>West of Labouchere Road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.2.1 Built Form Response to Planning Controls in Schedule 9A of Town Planning Scheme No. 6

Schedule 9A is the section of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No. 6 that applies to the South Perth Station Precinct at the time of preparation of this ACP. The Station Precinct is a smaller area than the ACP area, being the area North of Richardson Street, South of Scott Street and Frasers Lane, and West of Darley Street.

Substantial development activity has taken place within the ACP area following the introduction of Schedule 9 to Town Planning Scheme No. 6 in 2013 (replaced by Schedule 9A in 2017). Approved, under construction and recently completed development as of May 2018 is summarised in Table 7. Overall, 19 developments have been approved, with 11 progressing to construction as of May 2018.

The largest buildings within the ACP area under Schedule 9A are possible within the designated Special Design Area (SDA), where there is discretion over building height. For land within the SDA, it is possible for height in excess of the building height limit to be approved, with no maximum height or size of development prescribed in the Schedule. In contrast, land outside of the SDA is subject to fixed maximum building height limits.

As of May 2018, approved development within the South Perth Station Precinct has achieved an average height of 13 storeys and a plot ratio of 5.5:1. 70% of the approved developments are located within the SDA and these developments have delivered an average height of 16 storeys at a plot ratio of 6.5:1. The eight approved developments outside of the SDA have averaged a height of less than seven storeys and plot ratio of 3.2:1.

In line with current planning controls, development generally includes three storey podiums built to a nil setback to all boundaries, with towers generally set back a minimum of four metres from adjacent properties. In some cases, greater tower and podium setbacks have been proposed in response to local context.
### Table 8: Development Activity as at May 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>SPECIAL DESIGN AREA</th>
<th>STATUS (MAY 2018)</th>
<th>PLOT RATIO</th>
<th>BUILDING HEIGHT (STOREYS)</th>
<th>HEIGHT VARIATION (STOREYS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96 Mill Point Road / 1 Harper Terrace</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 Richardson St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Mends Street</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Heart</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approval Lapsed</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24 (185%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Labouchere Rd &amp; 24 Lyall St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Approval Lapsed</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>30 (333%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 Mill Point Road (2nd DA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Approval set aside</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>25 (278%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Labouchere Rd</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Approval Lapsed</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34 Charles St / 53 Labouchere Road</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11 (122%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 Harper Terrace</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Lyall Street</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Lyall Street</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-23 Mends St</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Stone St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Harper Tce</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-18 Hardy St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Approval Lapsed</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-16 Charles St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Approval Lapsed</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-28A Charles St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-7 Harper Terrace</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Stone St</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Approval Lapsed</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3 BUILT FORM KEY ISSUES

7.3.1 Key Issue: Impact of New Development on Local Amenity

Current and historic planning controls have enabled the following development outcomes, which negatively impact on the private and public realm:

- Buildings can be close together, resulting in limited solar access, reduced privacy, the cumulative effect of apparent bulk on the streetscape, lack of visual permeability, and exacerbated wind impacts at street level.
- Podiums with nil setbacks to all lot boundaries, which can have a high impact on smaller neighbouring properties, and reduce or completely remove areas of landscaping from the site at ground level.
- Bulky tower floorplates, which restrict views from surrounding development, encourage large blank tower façades and limit the amenity and development potential of adjoining lots.
- Poor quality street level environments, resulting from intrusive parking and servicing areas, poorly designed and detailed commercial frontages and inconsistent awning and setback design in new development.
- Building designs that do not reflect and build on the distinct character of the ACP area or achieve design excellence.

The abovementioned issues can be combined in individual buildings, which can compound impacts on local amenity. When replicated in new buildings in close proximity to each other the overall amenity and appeal of the ACP area is negatively affected.

7.3.1.1 Plan Response

- **Separation Distance:** The plan establishes greater separation distances between buildings and from property boundaries through setbacks and floorplate size limits (see below), thereby lessening perceived building bulk and preserving the amenity of existing development.
- **Floorplate Size Limits:** The ACP establishes base tower footprint limitations to ensure that new development provides visual permeability and views between buildings. Through bonus development provisions, the ACP implements the principle that, if a building is taller, it must be more slender relative to the size of the site, and have more space around it. This maintains opportunities for views between buildings, enhancing privacy, minimising overshadowing, and mitigating wind impacts.
- **Podium Design:** The ACP regulates podium design based on local character, with controls specifying podium height, site coverage and boundary interface to ensure development relates to its local context and interfaces appropriately with existing development. Across the Mill Point and Hillside character areas, podiums are reduced to permit detached towers consistent with established use and character. In the Mends and Richardson character areas podiums are to be designed according to the type and character of the street, including streets designed to support retail and mixed retail/commercial/residential uses. Flexibility is also provided throughout the ACP area to allow podium variations that enhance streetscape quality and respond to individual site characteristics.
- **Architectural Quality:** The ACP seeks to improve architectural outcomes by including guidance and requirements for specific design components including façade materials and the design of roofs, services, vehicle entries and awnings. Overall design quality is proposed to be monitored by the City of South Perth Design Review Panel. Importantly, design excellence is also mandated as a prerequisite to all development.
Figure 18: 3D Built Form Comparison Highlighting Change in Controls

**IMPACT OF BULKY TOWER DEVELOPMENT ON SIGHT LINES**

**IMPACT OF SLIM TOWER DEVELOPMENT ON SIGHT LINES**
IN DEPTH: HOW DO FLOORPLATE SIZE CONTROLS WORK?

Floorplate size is the gross area of one floor of the building, expressed as a percentage of the total lot area. Controlling floorplate size limits the width and depth of a building relative to the size of the lot. This ensures that space is provided around a building.

Floorplate size controls are imposed in the ACP in addition to building setback requirements for both podium and tower elements. Combined with a building height limit, this creates a three dimensional building envelope within which a building can be designed.

Floorplate size limits provide benefits in:

• reducing the cumulative effects of wind and the creation of wind tunnels by ensuring that there is space around buildings;
• reducing the impact of overshadowing, as shadows cast by slender towers pass by faster and there is less chance that shadows from adjacent buildings will overlap to provide a large solid shadow; and
• opening up view corridors to provide views for neighbouring residents at all floors, not just those in upper floors that can look over surrounding development.

The ACP sets a base requirement for tower floorplates to be no greater than 50% of the lot area. This figure was based on consideration of the abovementioned issues and a review of landholdings within the ACP area to ensure that most lots would be able to develop with a commercially viable floorplate size. In order to achieve this within the specified limit some properties will require a specific design response and/or land assembly to create larger lots, and this will in turn encourage variety and interest through building design.

A fundamental principle is that if a building is taller, it must be more slender relative to the size of the site, and have more space around it. This is reflected in the development controls, as taller towers must have smaller floorplates relative to the size of the site (the floorplate occupies a lesser percentage of the lot area).

Each diagram in Figure 17 represents progressively taller buildings on larger lots. Note that the tower takes up less of the lot as it gets taller, leaving more space between buildings.
7.3.2 Key Issue: Management of Development Density

Schedule 9A of Town Planning Scheme No. 6 relies on height and setback provisions as the primary means of regulating development and there is no maximum plot ratio. However, in the Special Design Area, there is effectively no building height limit and therefore there is no control over building size or density. This can result in inconsistent and unpredictable outcomes, with no control over the amount of additional floorspace that can be developed. This presents challenges, including:

- A disconnect between the scale of development envisaged in the planning framework (as expressed through building height limits) and actual development outcomes once discretion has been applied to allow additional building height above the limit.
- A differential between development potential within the Special Design Area and outside, where building height limits do apply.
- Difficulty in forecasting potential long-term population growth and land use intensification, as no maximum limits apply. This makes it difficult to plan for improvements to the transport network, public infrastructure and community services.
- A lack of transparency relating to development potential, as there is limited guidance for the approval of additional building height. Development bonuses do not correlate with performance criteria, resulting in uncertainty for developer, community and government stakeholders and decision makers.

7.3.2.1 Plan Response

- **Plot Ratio limits:** The ACP uses plot ratio as a control over building bulk and land use density, by establishing limits on the amount of development permitted on a site. Plot ratio limits provide certainty as to the maximum potential bulk and scale of development, and density of land use. The base plot ratio limit reflects the maximum “as of right” plot ratio permitted for a site, which cannot be exceeded unless public benefit contributions are provided, and prerequisite conditions are met. Bonus plot ratio is only permitted to an ultimate maximum amount, which provides a high degree of certainty as to the maximum potential scale and bulk of development.

- **Distribution of Development Density:** The ACP establishes plot ratio limits for all sites based on the desired future character of each of the four character areas. The distribution of plot ratio controls has been informed by the local condition, current and future land uses, established planning principles, stakeholder feedback, and design principles articulated in the Place and Design Report. In this way, additional development is controlled across the ACP area in support of the vision set out in the ACP and calibrated to the forecast growth.
IN DEPTH: HOW DOES PLOT RATIO WORK?

Plot ratio is the net floor area of the building as a proportion of the total lot area.

Controlling the amount of plot ratio provides certainty over the maximum potential amount of growth and provides a means of forecasting growth to help plan for service delivery, infrastructure provision and character area objectives.

The plot ratio limit is less than would completely fill the building envelope (defined by setbacks, floorplate size limits and height limits), leaving room for development to provide character and uniqueness in form and appearance, or to respond to site-specific issues and constraints without being “penalised” with less development. If building size is only controlled by a building envelope, the developer has an incentive to fill the entire volume of that envelope, which when repeated on neighbouring buildings provides a very repetitive built form. However, when a plot ratio limit is added that limits the volume of the building, the developer must consider how to design the building to make the most of that volume and this encourages variety in the built form and creativity in building design.
7.3.3 Key Issue: Control of Building Height and Discretion

Under the Schedule 9A of Town Planning Scheme No. 6, buildings within the Special Design Area can be approved with variations above the building height limit, where performance criteria are met. There is no upper limit to the amount of variation that can be approved and building heights can significantly exceed the base building heights established for the area. Buildings outside of the Special Design Area are not eligible for any variation to the building height limit.

The amount of height variation permitted in the Special Design Area is not directly linked to the quality or value of public benefit provided, nor do any upper limits or caps apply to the amount of variation. The current planning framework requires that all elements of a Performance Criteria schedule be achieved to realise any amount of height variation, so a minor variation must satisfy the same criteria as a large one.

Consequently, under Schedule 9A:

- Unlimited height is permitted for all sites within the Special Design Area irrespective of their likelihood or suitability for redevelopment. Sites outside the Special Design Area are highly constrained by fixed height limits.
- There is no guidance for the amount of variation above the building height limit that is considered acceptable in the South Perth context, resulting in applications for development approval that are significantly taller than surrounding development. In many cases, this development does not conform to an overall urban design rationale or take into account important considerations such as overall skyline form.
- Performance criteria items listed in Schedule 9A vary in their specificity and value to the community, resulting in significant building height bonuses being permitted for items of unclear or low public benefit.
- The Special Design Area concentrates additional building height on major arterial roads, which have low pedestrian amenity and may present traffic management and access issues.
- No distinction is made between minor and major variation to the height limits. All performance criteria must be met, effectively encouraging significant variations (to account for the added cost of meeting all criteria) over minor variations.

7.3.3.1 Plan Response

- **Limited Building Size Variation:** The ACP establishes clear base (as of right) building height and plot ratio limits and the maximum amount of additional development potential is also defined across the ACP area. By setting firm upper limits on building height and plot ratio, which define how much variation is appropriate, the maximum potential size of the built form is controlled consistent with the desired scale for the ACP area.
- **Additional Bonus development potential, limited by plot ratio:** In selected parts of the ACP area, additional bonus height and plot ratio is permitted. The extent of these additional bonuses is controlled by plot ratio, floorplate size, design quality criteria, and requirements for public benefit contributions.
- **Public Benefit Contributions linked to variation:** The ACP establishes a new Public Benefit Contributions framework that ensures additional development potential can only be approved when prerequisite amenity and design criteria are met, and public benefit contributions are provided to the City. Where additional development is allowed, the town planning scheme provides a methodology to calculate a public benefit contribution based on the value of the subject land and the amount of additional plot ratio being sought. This must be paid to the local government, or provided on site in lieu of a monetary contribution, as a condition of development approval.
IN DEPTH: HOW WERE BUILDING HEIGHTS SET?

The ACP establishes building height limits for the ACP area. These limits represent the outcomes of four separate exercises: the development of an urban design rationale for the ACP area, consultation with local stakeholders, growth forecasts and architectural testing.

All sites have the possibility to achieve at least some additional height above the base (as of right) building height limit in order to encourage variety in the built form. Greater potential for additional height is possible in areas near or within the Special Design Area set in Schedule 9A, in areas with existing tall buildings and areas that are highly accessible by public transport (including areas accessible to the planned South Perth train station).

Heights are calibrated so that forecast demand can be met if a high proportion of sites develop to the base (as of right) building height limits. This is important for two reasons:

• On principle, demand should be able to be met without development seeking additional height
• In practice, not all development will build to the maximum base (as of right) building height limit. In particular, lower scale development is likely on smaller lots. The ability for some sites to use bonuses to balance other sites not developing to their maximum base (as of right) potential is important as it ensures demand can still be met

Finally, additional development potential acts as a buffer should forecast growth be underestimated, enabling further development to be contemplated where it is in keeping with the vision for the ACP area.

IN DEPTH: HOW DO THE PUBLIC BENEFITS CONTRIBUTIONS WORK?

It is important that the limits of discretion are clearly defined, so that there is certainty for stakeholders and guidance for decision makers. Similarly, the benefits obtained by the community from additional development need to be clearly understood and considered as a reasonable balance between public and private benefits.

To this end, the ACP establishes a new Public Benefit Contributions framework that ensures additional development potential can only be approved when prerequisite amenity and design criteria are met, and public benefit contributions are provided to the City. The amount of additional floorspace that may be approved is directly related to the value of the public benefit contribution provided.

For each site in the ACP area, there are thresholds identified for additional development potential: a “Tier 1” and, for some areas, a “Tier 2”. Additional development potential is limited in location, generally to those areas near or within the previous special design area, those areas with substantial taller buildings already, and those areas which will be particularly accessible by public transport.

To be eligible to achieve the additional development potential, criteria must be met including reduced floorplate size, consideration of amenity impacts and exceptional building design. A development then needs to provide a Public Benefit Contribution proportional to the amount of additional plot ratio proposed. For example, a development with a plot ratio of 10.0 that is proposed on a site with base plot ratio limit of 8.0 would need to provide a contribution calculated using a formula provided in the City’s town planning scheme to allow the additional plot ratio of 2.0 (i.e. 10.0 less 8.0).

A transparent and understandable system for approving additional height and/or plot ratio that provides meaningful community benefit, combined with detailed development controls that provide improved amenity for the community, ensure that the growth of the ACP area is managed for the benefit of all stakeholders in the future of South Perth.
7.3.4 **Key Issue: Response to Existing Development and Local Character**

The urban character of the ACP area is defined by distinct character areas with differing built form characteristics, land uses and streetscapes. The ground plane element and how a building presents to the street is the most important factor in establishing a desired local character.

The requirements of Schedule 9A of Town Planning Scheme No. 6 promote a tower-on-podium form that is quite uniform across the ACP area and does not reflect local character at the ground level. This results in the following outcomes:

- A lack of consideration for the impact of new development on existing buildings, with new development having minimal setbacks and presenting poor-quality interfaces to abutting lots
- Nil-setback podium development that is out of scale with existing built form context and streetscape character
- Development at different scales in close proximity with no consideration of transition in height and setbacks
- Poor design of ground floors and street setback areas which do not reflect local streetscape character

7.3.4.1 **Plan Response**

- **Frontage Design:** The ACP establishes three street-level design categories that new development must conform to based on location. These categories, ‘Active’, ‘Mixed’ and ‘Passive’, set differing design requirements based on the intended function of the public space they address, ensuring that street level conditions are enhanced through complementary new development. Refer Part 1, Section 4.3.1
- **Establishment of Character Areas:** The ACP creates four distinct character areas, which have informed the preparation of built form controls, particularly for elements that affect the ground plane. Podium design, boundary setbacks and frontage design vary between each character area to ensure that new buildings fit with existing and desired future character as appropriate. Height controls are also varied across the character areas. Refer Part 1, Section 2.2.
IN DEPTH: HOW DOES BUILT FORM CHANGE BETWEEN CHARACTER AREAS?

The ACP development controls seek to deliver built form outcomes that relate to areas of local character and contribute to the desired future character of those areas. The general approach to each design element by character area is summarised as follows:

Table 9: General Approach to Design Elements by Character Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESIGN ELEMENT</th>
<th>MILL POINT</th>
<th>MENDS</th>
<th>HILLSIDE</th>
<th>RICHARDSON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GROUND FLOOR LAND USES</td>
<td>Residential, Small Local Shop</td>
<td>Mainly Retail and Commercial</td>
<td>Residential, Some Commercial</td>
<td>Commercial, Retail and Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRONTAGES</td>
<td>Generally Passive</td>
<td>Generally Active</td>
<td>Generally Passive</td>
<td>Mix of Active and Passive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STREET SETBACKS</td>
<td>Larger</td>
<td>Smaller or Nil</td>
<td>Larger</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STREET SETBACK DESIGN</td>
<td>Greenery</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Greenery</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PODIUMS</td>
<td>Generally behind tower</td>
<td>Close to street</td>
<td>Setback or not present</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIDE SETBACKS</td>
<td>Encouraged</td>
<td>Generally Nil</td>
<td>Encouraged</td>
<td>Encouraged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC REALM</td>
<td>Greenery</td>
<td>Provide for Activity</td>
<td>Greenery</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3.5 **Key Issue: Environmentally Sustainable Development**

Good design of buildings can contribute to social and environmental sustainability, while poorly designed development can create large environmental impacts, such as by increasing private parking provision and vehicle use, contributing to the urban heat island effect, and reducing tree canopy coverage and deep soil zones. Environmentally sustainable development reduces demand for raw materials and minimises energy and water usage. Socially sustainable development fosters social interaction and creates inclusive, cohesive and resilient communities by accommodating a diverse range of people and household types.

Under Schedule 9A of Town Planning Scheme No. 6, development seeking to vary the building height limit is required to implement environmental sustainability measures, with a 5-star rating under the relevant Green Star or equivalent rating tool required. The City’s planning policy P350.01 Environmentally Sustainable Building Design also applies to the ACP area, and sets out environmentally sustainable building design requirements for new developments.

7.3.5.1 **Plan Response**

- **Sustainability Certification:** The ACP sets high sustainability standards for all development within the ACP area. By requiring all residential and commercial development to meet sustainability standards, the plan ensures that new development will reduce energy consumption, water use and waste generation.

- **Landscaping:** The ACP requires that all development provide a landscaped area not less than 40% of site area, which may be achieved through ground level and podium landscaping, green roofs, green walls and vertical gardens. By mandating landscaping in new development, the ACP promotes an overall increase in urban greenery to support biodiversity, provide an attractive urban environment, and mitigate the urban heat island effect.

- **Deep Soil Zones:** The ACP includes requirements for deep soil zones. By requiring deep soil zones, the ACP supports mature tree retention and accommodates new planting to expand the local tree canopy and support biodiversity.
8.0 MOVEMENT

A robust transportation network will be required to support growth of the ACP area to 2041 and beyond. Great urban neighbourhoods are built upon networks that support transport choice, providing quick and convenient access to jobs, services, and amenities. As the ACP area grows, improvements to the levels of access and connectivity into and through the area will be necessary to ensure it remains an accessible and functional place.

This section is based on the South Perth Activity Centre Movement Network Report in Appendix 2, and explores the characteristics and trends of the area’s transport and parking, with recommendations for the movement system to be implemented through the ACP.

8.1 EXISTING MOVEMENT

As a location across the river from Perth’s CBD and at the centre of the metropolitan area, the ACP area’s movement network is not that of a typical district centre in a suburban context. In addition to its close proximity to significant locations and institutions, the area is highly accessible via major transport infrastructure that makes it a focal point on the movement network. In addition, its status as a significant tourism destination and employment centre brings large numbers of people to the centre as visitors, customers, workers, and residents and generates high levels of travel demand with implications for the local movement network.

Analysis of trip duration and convenience, summarised in this section and set out in detail in Appendix 2, highlights that private vehicle trips are currently the fastest means of transport for the ACP area, with bus travel times generally the slowest. This contributes to cars being the most popular transport mode for residents and visitors to the area, especially for journeys to work, with bus, bicycle and walking accounting for much lower proportions of all trips.

8.1.1 Regional Accessibility

With its unique location at the centre of the metropolitan region, there are a number of points of arrival to the ACP area. The most notable and identifiable of these is Mends Street Jetty, where Perth’s only commuter ferry service runs to and from Elizabeth Quay. Kwinana Freeway, which carries well over 180,000 vehicles per day as of 2018, serves as a point of arrival for regional car traffic, and also as a barrier limiting points of access from the west, particularly from the principal shared path for cyclists and pedestrians that runs between the freeway and the river. From the south and east, main points of access are from Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road respectively.

There are a number of key sites that influence the movement network within the ACP area. These include:

- major attractors of trips such as the Perth Zoo and Mends Street;
- significant transport infrastructure including the potential future South Perth Train Station, Kwinana Freeway on/off ramps and Mends Street Ferry terminal; and
- sites with potential for major new development including the Landmark Site bound by Mends Street, Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road.

The combination of central location, key sites and entry points to major transport infrastructure makes the ACP area a focal point on the movement network that is accessible via a range of transport modes. As a result, South Perth had fewer car trips and more bus, bicycle and walking trips than Greater Perth in 2016. However, Census data for journeys to work indicates that private cars account for well over 60% of journeys to work as of 2016. Cars are the dominant mode of transport in the metropolitan area more generally and the on-ramp to the freeway attracts a substantial portion of regional traffic travelling through the ACP area without stopping. This adds to local inconvenience, especially for pedestrians and local traffic at peak times.

Census data indicates that many people commute to the ACP area from the Cities of Melville, Gosnells and Canning, while many residents of the ACP area commute to the CBD, or elsewhere in the City of Perth, and to Curtin University.
In order to better understand the transport mode preferences shown in the Census data, travel times from the ACP area to common local destinations via car, public transport and bicycle were analysed and compared (see Appendix 2). Five locations were examined – South Perth, Canning Bridge, Curtin University, the Causeway and Perth – and the modes of Car, Bus, Bike and Ferry were all examined, including some multiple options. The travel time comparison showed some obvious patterns, which help explain overall peak hour travel patterns, including:

- Travel times for car trips are fastest.
- Bus travel times are generally highest, reflecting impact of stops, winding suburban based routes and lack of priority.
- Bicycle trips are competitive in travel times with cars although that is qualified by lack of attractive infrastructure along routes such as Canning Highway.
- Where there were fast, direct and frequent bus services (such as those along Canning Highway), buses were very competitive in travel times during the morning peak.
- The Ferry and Walk trip between Mends Street and Central Perth is highly competitive compared with car trips.

Cars are the dominant mode of transport in the ACP area, as they are for the greater Perth region. The area’s position on the road network, especially the freeway on ramp, and its central location mean that it is affected by regional, as well as local traffic. However, the presence of public transport and cycling infrastructure and the proximity to major destinations provide potential for non-car transport to grow in mode share, especially if a train station is constructed.

### 8.1.2 Local Road Network

The local road network in the South Perth Activity Centre is mainly comprised of access streets, controlled by the City of South Perth. The ACP area also contains three higher order roads, being Kwinana Freeway and its access ramps, Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road. These higher order streets carry traffic to and from outside the ACP area and are the only points of vehicular access to the ACP area (refer Figure 3).

### 8.1.3 Pedestrian Movement

Pedestrian movement within the ACP area reflects important desire lines related to leisure and tourist activity. Most pedestrian desire lines include either the Perth Zoo or the Recreational Shared Path that runs along the foreshore, reinforcing these places as major attractors within the area. Pedestrian movement is also prominent along Mends Street in the core entertainment and retail area.

Pedestrian accessibility within the ACP area is somewhat compromised by the barriers caused by heavy and higher speed traffic along Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road. However, many local streets have high levels of pedestrian amenity.

Even with excellent infrastructure and generally good environments for walking, the lack of local destinations contributes to relatively low walk scores for an inner city area. Just 1-5% of residents walked to work in 2016, which is significantly lower than comparable inner city areas and has remained stagnant or decreased over the decade to 2016.

### 8.1.4 Cyclist Movement

Two major routes carry cyclists along the edges of the ACP area, particularly recreational cyclists and commuters to Perth CBD. These routes, running along Melville Water west of the Kwinana Freeway and north-east along the South Perth Foreshore, are significant regional routes rather than solely serving the activity centre itself. Access to the centre from these routes is limited, particularly the western route which is on the opposite side of the Kwinana Freeway.

Between 2011 and 2015 the number of cycling trips has been growing along both the regional paths. Peak times for cyclists are in morning and afternoon on weekdays (which reflects commuting patterns) and in the morning on the weekend (which reflects recreational cycling).

Local streets and connections within the ACP area tend to lack dedicated space for cyclists, particularly key routes such as Labouchere Road, Mill Point Road, Mends Street and Richardson Street. These streets carry high volumes of car traffic, which makes them difficult cycling environments.

Cycling accounted for 3.5% of trips in South Perth in 2016, which is higher than in Greater Perth but less than the comparable inner-city area of Subiaco. This reflects that South Perth is located within cycling distance of major destinations, including workplaces, which makes cycling to work possible. However, there are gaps in the cycling network that discourage cycling as an everyday mode of transport for many people.
8.1.5 Public Transit

Overall use of public transport within the ACP area is low, with 13% of journeys to walk by bus or ferry in 2016. Analysis of ridership indicates that bus patronage in the Activity Centre has fallen between 2011 and 2017 on a like-for-like basis, including patronage at the busiest bus stops within the activity centre. Overall use of buses in the ACP area remains very low, particularly for a fringe-CBD site. This may reflect circuitous, suburban nature of current bus routes, and the relatively poor amenity of bus stops in the ACP area. 

Ferry patronage has increased substantially with the opening of Elizabeth Quay (albeit off a low base). Average patronage for both weekdays and weekends at least doubled between 2015 and 2017, which may indicate an increase in use by visitors and commuters. 

The future development of South Perth train station has long been incorporated into strategic and land use planning within the South Perth Activity Centre. The development of a station as an addition to the movement network would improve the accessibility of the centre by public transport and support higher urban densities within the ACP area.

8.1.6 Vehicle Movement

Vehicle movement within the ACP area is subject to delays and congestion in important areas, primarily related to high levels of regional traffic on approach to the Kwinana Freeway. The intersection of Labouchere Road, Mill Point Road and the Freeway ramps is a congested intersection and will continue to be so in the future. The corridor along Labouchere Road and the Freeway is the highest volume traffic corridor and carries the highest volumes in peak hour and throughout the day. 

Recorded traffic volumes on Mill Point Road, Labouchere Road and the Kwinana Freeway ramps indicate that annual growth in vehicle movements equated to around 2.63% between 2010 and 2015. Movement on Labouchere Road is asymmetrical, with daily northbound traffic (presumably accessing the freeway) approximately double southbound traffic. 

Although traffic attracts attention at these major intersections, the vast majority of the network is comprised of local streets that experience minimal traffic congestion or delay issues in the peaks. Outside of peak periods, the network does not sustain any congestion of note, although special events (such as Australia Day fireworks) and school holidays result in higher levels of traffic, parking occupancy and congestion.

8.1.7 Parking

Analysis of available on-street parking within the ACP area undertaken in 2016 identified that there is available parking capacity within a reasonable walking distance of the key parking generators within the ACP area. However, existing parking management is inconsistent and inefficient, with conflicting management strategies between on-street and off-street, public and private, as well as between adjacent parking zones. 

The provision of off-street private parking bays has contributed to a net increase in car ownership within the ACP area over the last 15 years, as evidenced by census data. This increase in car dependence is supported by high ratios of bays to parking provided for dwellings in new development, which tend to generate private vehicle trips rather than use of transport alternatives.
8.2 MOVEMENT FORECASTS

8.2.1 Network Capacity

A substantial amount of traffic modelling has been completed for the Activity Centre, which has highlighted that increased regional traffic in combination with further local development will contribute to increased traffic volumes in the Activity Centre, resulting in a need to examine the capacity and configuration of some intersections.

Outputs from the traffic models were reviewed and inputs interrogated to ensure that the models themselves reflected the impacts of the Activity Centre Plan. Overall, the street network in the ACP area performs well under forecast growth scenarios and its configuration supports existing and future development as well as use by all transport modes.

Analysis of Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road, the key routes subject to peak hour congestion, indicates that there is sufficient midblock capacity available for the forecast traffic volumes to be within accepted boundaries from a strategic level.

None of the links within the Activity Centre network approach a practical capacity of at least 85%. However, traffic forecasts show that the majority of road links in the ACP area in 2031 would be operating at or over capacity during peak hours, considering forecast traffic volumes and assumptions about levels of private car use.

In practice, this assumed car use is unlikely to materialise, as inconvenience for drivers will translate into other modes of travel (such as walking, cycling and public transport) becoming more appealing, mitigating increases in traffic.

The pressure on the local road network will continue within the forecast period, however the wholesale widening and increase in capacity of the road network through the Activity Centre would result in attracting more vehicle trips from further afield rather than ringfence vehicle capacity for local development sites.

Construction of substantial regional links in the area has been canvassed with Main Roads WA and rejected.

Main Roads WA and the City of South Perth have taken the approach of managing vehicle capacity within intersections and the overall network with improvements in operational function of the network – signal timings, priority at intersections and targeted changes to intersection configurations. This approach has seen success over the past few years and will likely continue through the forecast period in order to accommodate additional vehicle trips generated through the development of land uses within the Activity Centre.

Furthermore, improvements to streets in the ACP area, and better convenience for other modes of travel, should be an explicit aim of public investment in the ACP area to ensure other transport modes are appealing and to reduce traffic impacts. Controls and measures in the ACP itself are aimed at hastening a shift away from car use and towards walking, cycling and public transport use.

8.2.2 Train Station Demand

The development of South Perth Train Station has been incorporated into strategic and land use planning for the ACP area since the construction of the Perth to Mandurah line in 2007. Longer term development within the ACP area will support the addition of this station to the overall network.

It is estimated that a baseline daily boarding in 2026 of between 4,365 to 5,447 could be expected for the South Perth station. If the higher end projections were to come to fruition, it would be 30% higher than the boardings expected at the Redcliffe Station in 2031 (which has been included in the under-construction airport line) and be similar in boarding levels to Rockingham, Midland, Leederville and Subiaco. With the progression of planning for the Cockburn to Thornlie Line link, the addition of South Perth Station need not result in impacts to overall operations of the network.

A decision by the State Government on a future South Perth station has not been made yet, however there would appear to be a strong business case justification for the station to be established.
8.3 MOVEMENT KEY ISSUES

8.3.1 Key Issue: New Development and Trip Demand Generation

A substantial amount of traffic modelling has been completed for the Activity Centre, all of which highlights a number of key issues for vehicle movements:

- The intersection of Labouchere Road, Mill Point Road and the Freeway ramps is a congested intersection, drawing both local and regional traffic, and will continue to be so in the future.
- The corridor along Labouchere Road and the Freeway is the highest volume traffic corridor and carries the highest volumes in peak hour and throughout the day.
- Local development will contribute to traffic volumes in the Activity Centre in the future, resulting in the requirement to examine the capacity and configuration of some intersections.
- Further information relating to trip generation and growth to be provided.

8.3.1.1 Plan Response

- **Integrated Land Use And Transport Planning:** distribution of development potential has been set with reference to transport modelling, which demonstrates that planned growth can be sustained by the local transport network if improvements are made to encourage walking, cycling and public transport use. Refer Part 1, Section 5 and Schedule 9B of the Scheme.
- **Distribution of Growth Linked to Transport:** The ACP facilitates transport oriented development, including current (ferry, bus) and future (train) transport nodes. This is applicable both for residential development (trip origins), and commercial and tourist (trip destination) development. Refer Part 1, Section 5 and Schedule 9B of the Scheme.
- **Encouraging Less Car Dependence:** Whilst most vehicle traffic in the ACP area is regional in origin, the ACP establishes a number of incentives for transport alternatives and disincentives for car use, including creating an appealing walking environment, providing for more services and destinations locally, including car parking in plot ratio calculations, providing maxima on car parking and encouraging cash-in-lieu of parking, to be used to improve all modes of transport. Refer Part 1, Section 4.3.8.
8.3.2 Key Issue: Barriers to Walking and Cycling

As population increases, increasing walking and cycling for local movement is essential to maintaining transport network efficiency and supporting the creation of a connected and vibrant urban neighbourhood, by reducing the need for cars on the road.

Despite the ACP area’s proximity to major regional walking and cycling infrastructure, walking in the ACP area (to travel to work) remains low. This lack of uptake in active transportation is influenced by:

• A lack of dedicated cycle paths and facilities within the ACP area, particularly east to west connections between regional shared paths, which makes local movement difficult and unsafe for cyclists
• Poor quality pedestrian crossings on major roads including the Judd Street freeway on-ramp
• Limited footpath capacity on Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road, exacerbated by recent nil-setback development, which hinders pedestrian movement throughout the area
• A lack of multi-modal integration with public transport, including limited cyclist facilities and poor pedestrian connectivity to Mends Street Ferry.

8.3.2.1 Plan Response

• Enhanced Cycling Infrastructure: The ACP identifies a range of cycle network enhancements to significantly improve access to cycle infrastructure and enhance its convenience and safety as a mode of transport. This will encourage more cycling, and reduce the number of people using private cars. The proposed enhancements include the addition of new shared paths, on-street cycling infrastructure and cross-peninsula links to make cycling safe and easy.

• Increased Pedestrian Amenity: The ACP sets out recommended actions for improving pedestrian connectivity, safety and comfort in order to improve the walkability of the area. It identifies additional footpath crossings and recommends footpath widening to prioritise pedestrians over vehicle traffic and reduce barriers to movement, particularly Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road. These actions are supported by a recommended reduction in vehicle speeds within the ACP area to 40 kilometres per hour, increasing safety and reducing traffic barriers.

• Streetscape Enhancements: The ACP identifies opportunities to enhance the design quality of public streets and sets out principles for improvements including increasing street trees, providing amenities such seating and lighting and enhancing local character. By creating enjoyable and engaging street environments, the ACP seeks to make walking and cycling more attractive. To realise this aspiration, the ACP incentivises developers to upgrade the public realm and provide awnings where appropriate as part of redevelopment.
8.3.3 Key Issue: Public Transport Availability and Usage

Public transport patronage in the ACP area is reduced between the 2011 and 2016 Censuses, highlighting a disconnect between actual travel behaviour and the ACP area’s status as an inner-city activity centre with significant public transport infrastructure. This reflects the fact that while public transport is available, it offers poor connections to other activity centres and is not competitive with private vehicles in terms of time and convenience. Specific barriers to use of the public transport network include:

- Lack of a train connection, despite an identified location for the South Perth Station
- Bus services are limited in their frequency and routes are indirect and do not compete with private vehicle travel
- Major peak hour delays to city-bound bus services accessing the Judd Street freeway on-ramp due to a lack of bus priority
- Bus and ferry stops have poor levels of amenity and lack real-time information.

8.3.3.1 Plan Response

- **Bus Priority Measures**: The ACP seeks to improve the attractiveness and of local bus services by improving travel times through the ACP area. To achieve this, the plan recommends the construction of a dedicated peak hour bus lane on Labouchere Road northbound between Judd Street and Lyall Street which will allow buses accessing the freeway to leapfrog private vehicle congestion. Analysis of traffic flows on Labouchere Road has identified underuse of southbound lanes, allowing for one southbound lane to be replaced by a northbound bus lane.

- **Improved Bus and Ferry Service**: The ACP identifies material improvements to regional bus routes which currently service the ACP area, which in consultation with Transperth could achieve higher frequency and better connectivity to key regional centres. The ACP also supports the long term sustainability of the ferry, identifying opportunities to expand this iconic transport option with additional services facilitated by the addition of a second berth at Mends Street.

- **South Perth Train Station**: The ACP supports the delivery of the planned South Perth train station at Richardson Street. Although it is not the objective of this ACP to justify construction of the train station, both the quantum and distribution of forecast demand enabled by the ACP has the potential to satisfy the minimum patronage requirements to justify the station. Delivery of the South Perth station will establish “destination station” servicing local residents, businesses, and key tourism attractions with expected boardings far in excess of other recently delivered stations.
8.3.4  **Key Issue: Traffic Congestion**

The ACP area experiences significant traffic congestion on major streets during peak hours, which is in part due to high levels of regional traffic entering the ACP area to access the Kwinana Freeway at Judd Street. Local residents also contribute to (and are particularly impacted by) this congestion if they choose to drive during peak times.

In order to maintain acceptable levels of service at the major intersections within the ACP area, it will be important to develop high quality transport alternatives and manage parking effectively to support sustainable transport initiatives.

8.3.4.1  **Plan Response**

- **Traffic Speeds:** A key recommendation of the plan is a reduction in travel speeds from 60km and 50km per hour to a uniform 40km, excepting the freeway ramps. In addition to providing a safer environment for pedestrians and cyclists, this can make the route less appealing for regional traffic.

- **Traffic Management through Design:** The plan proposes a range of design modifications to the local network aimed at improving vehicle management and addressing congestion. It identifies additional opportunities for traffic signals to better manage traffic and reduce wait times. It also nominates the partial restriction in access to ‘left-in, left-out’ for streets intersecting with Labouchere Road to reduce intersection conflicts.

- **Design for Emerging Technology:** The ACP has been prepared with regard to foreseeable innovations in transport technology which may impact on private vehicle use and congestion. The plan supports the continued operation and future potential expansion of the RAC Intellibus service, recognises the growing status of ‘mobility as service’ operators such as Uber and incentivises the use of car sharing. There is opportunity to regularly update this through the three-yearly review of the parking strategy for South Perth.

![Figure 21: Recommended Traffic Speed](image-url)
8.3.5  **Key Issue: Private Car Parking**

A critical determinant of the decision to own a car, or to drive to a destination, is the availability and cost of parking. As the number of people living, working and visiting the ACP area grows so can the number of cars and demand for parking, if not properly managed. With finite road space and congestion already being experienced, it is important that parking be carefully controlled to accommodate the trips that need to be made by motor vehicles while encouraging a shift toward more efficient modes of transport.

Current development within the ACP area is providing significant private parking allocations, based on the identified preferences of targeted buyers. If allowed to continue, short term over-allocation of private parking may compromise the long-term strategic objective of high active and public transport usage.

8.3.5.1  **Plan Response**

- **Car Parking Maxima:** The ACP prescribes maximum parking bays for new development, ensuring that parking is not oversupplied and encouraging residents to make use of alternative types of transport, including walking and cycling, public transport and car sharing schemes.
- **Plot Ratio Controls:** Car parking and manoeuvring space within buildings at or above ground level is included in plot ratio calculations, and plot ratio limits have been calibrated accordingly. This provides proponents, architects and designers with an incentive to forego car parking space in favour other uses in the design of buildings. Each additional parking bay provided results in less space within the building that is available for other uses and proponents must therefore consider the optimal amount of parking in each development.
- **Less private car ownership:** The ACP encourages the use of car sharing by allowing parking requirements for residential development to be reduced where a car share scheme is in place. It also permits the decoupling of parking bays from units, allowing them to be traded individually where desired by occupants. Cash in lieu provisions are also established, allowing a monetary contribution in lieu of parking bays to support investment in all modes of transport. By encouraging less private ownership, the plan seeks to reduce the number of additional cars in the Activity Centre.
9.0 PUBLIC REALM

High quality streets and public spaces support public health and social connections, maintain urban ecology, provide connections with nature, help cool the urban environment, and foster a shared sense of community.

As the ACP area grows over the coming decades, its parks, open spaces, and public places will become increasingly important and need to be maintained and enhanced to continue to meet the needs of the area’s visitors, workers and residents.

9.1 EXISTING PUBLIC SPACE

Public space is a defining feature of the ACP area, with its unique riverfront setting and expansive foreshore reserve contributing to a unique riparian character. Away from the foreshore, the ACP area’s network of local parks and streets form an interconnected network that provides the foundation for public life and activity.

Public spaces including parks, streets and other publicly accessible spaces make up almost half of the ACP area. These public spaces help define the experience of residents, workers and visitors and create a resilient urban fabric. Additionally, trees and landscaping within public spaces can enhance ecological health, climate resilience, urban water management, and minimise the urban heat island effect.

9.1.1 Parks and Open Spaces

Open spaces include parks, squares and other publicly accessible areas. The ACP area currently contains eight individual public open spaces, totalling approximately 24ha or 21% of the area. These spaces range from significant Regional Open Space along the South Perth Foreshore to residual green spaces that provide local amenity, as summarised in Table 9.

While serving a distinct purpose, the 17ha Perth Zoo also functions as an open space within the ACP area, particularly as passive open space. In combination with the Zoo, parks and open spaces account for 36% of the ACP area.

Windsor Park is an important space for a number of reasons. It forms the approach to the Zoo from the north, including from Mends Street and the ferry, and is therefore an important confluence of activity. It is also flanked by important civic and historic buildings, which add character and definition to the space. Finally, it is located in the geographic centre of the ACP area and is easily accessible.

Richardson Park performs important functions for organised sport, especially cricket and hockey. There are opportunities to broaden the use of this park to improve its utility to other segments of the community.

The South Perth Foreshore, at the northern edge of the ACP area, is a regionally significant open space with walking and cycling facilities, a range of amenities and strong environmental and cultural value due to its riverside location. The foreshore also accommodates a range of public events, including very large scale events such as the Australia Day fireworks.

In general, the ACP area’s open spaces are characterised by grassed parkland character. The ACP area lacks hard-landscaped urban spaces generally associated with higher density urban environments, including plazas, squares and forecourts.
Table 10: Existing Open Space Typologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPOLOGY</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managed Foreshore</td>
<td>Regionally significant open space with regional walking and cycling facilities and accommodates significant public events</td>
<td>Eastern foreshore including Sir James Mitchell Park, Millers Pool and South Perth Esplanade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Foreshore</td>
<td>Riparian areas with strong environmental value, conservation status and limited activity</td>
<td>West of Kwinana Freeway including Milyu Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Park</td>
<td>Local community spaces that provide opportunities for organised sport, community events, leisure and serve as important relief to the urban environment.</td>
<td>Richardson Park and Windsor Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocket Park</td>
<td>Small parks that serve nearby residences as informal spaces</td>
<td>Residual road reserve areas including Judd Street, Stone Street and Melville Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoo Reserve</td>
<td>Special Use Reserve and regional tourism destination home to 1258 animals and an extensive botanical collection.</td>
<td>Perth Zoo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.1.2 Streets

The ACP area has a unique street pattern arising from its geographic location on a narrow peninsula, resulting in longer street blocks than are often seen in other inner-city locations. In addition to accommodating vehicle movement and servicing, the ACP area’s street network plays a significant role as the single largest public space within the ACP area. The 26 streets within the ACP area, excluding the Freeway Reserve, total approximately 22.7 hectares or 20% of the ACP area.

These streets range from major regional thoroughfares to local access streets, as summarised in Table 10.

Table 11: Existing Street Typologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPOLOGY</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freeway and On-/Off-Ramps</td>
<td>Primary north-south route for regional vehicle and cyclist movement with limited local access</td>
<td>Kwinana Freeway, Mill Point Road North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Thoroughfare</td>
<td>Highly frequented dual carriageway streets servicing regional traffic, with narrow pedestrian paths and limited street tree planting.</td>
<td>Labouchere Road, Mill Point Road South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Street</td>
<td>High-quality streetscapes with commercial emphasis, substantial pedestrian amenity and mature street tree canopy</td>
<td>Mends Street, Mill Point Road South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive Street</td>
<td>Streets with mixed residential and commercial character and an emphasis on vehicle movement</td>
<td>Labouchere Road, Melville Parade, Bowman Street, Lyall Street, Hardy Street, Charles Street, Stirling Street, Harper Terrace, Ray Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Street</td>
<td>Calm streets with high residential amenity and usually mature street tree canopy and/or parkland interface</td>
<td>Mill Point Road North, Stone Street, South Perth Esplanade, Parker Street, Ferry Street, Scott Street, Queen Street, Judd Street, Richardson Street, Mill Point Close</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.1.3 Public Space Quality

In the Place and Design Report (2017), a comprehensive assessment of the place quality of each street and public space within the ACP area was undertaken. Each street was individually assessed against five place assessment criteria, being attractive, welcoming, accessible, dynamic and loved. The results of this assessment were combined to produce overall place scores out of a possible 100 to assess the value and function of each space and inform the definition and prioritisation of areas for improvement. The results of this assessment are summarised in Figure 22.

Figure 22: Place Audit

RATING

- **90-100 GREAT**: Captivating places that are a pleasure to experience
- **70-89 PROMISING**: Successful places with easily resolvable limitations
- **50-69 ORDINARY**: Unremarkable places with room for improvement
- **30-49 BELOW AVERAGE**: Lackluster places with design and use challenges
- **0-19 POOR**: Problematic places with serious safety or access issues
9.2  **KEY ISSUES**

9.2.1  **Key Issue: Use and Function of Open Space**

Current open space within the ACP area provides approximately 4.8 hectares for every 1,000 residents and workers. With forecast growth, this space will be even more precious by 2041. Increased use of public space will require improvements to design and function to ensure that all residents and visitors continue to have access to suitable exercise, recreation and social spaces.

Currently the ACP area’s open spaces are characterised by a grassed parkland character and many are not accompanied by programming of events. Other specific challenges to meeting future demand include:

- There is currently a lack of smaller public spaces such as plazas and pocket parks, which support small-scale events and interaction in high density environments.
- While the foreshore is a significant regional attraction, a lack of local social, retail and community facilities mean that much of the foreshore is relatively vacant and utilised only by a limited segment of the community.
- While Richardson and Windsor Park are significant public spaces, their utilisation is limited due to their limited programming, monofunctional design and lack of infrastructure attractions including play, exercise, seating and other common infrastructure.

9.2.1.1  **Plan Response**

- **Open Space Principles:** The ACP establishes public space principles to guide the long-term improvement of the activity centre’s open spaces, and the response of adjacent development. Principles for different open space typologies provide high level direction for enhancing the amenity and utility of public space through design quality, community infrastructure and amenities as well as programming and activation. By planning for long term enhancements to the centre’s public spaces, the ACP recognises the important contribution the public realm makes to quality of life for residents, workers and visitors.

- **Privately Owned Public Open Spaces:** The ACP responds to an identified lack of smaller pocket parks and urban plazas by creating a framework for the delivery of these valuable spaces through private development. The ACP sets development parameters and general locations to guide development of these privately owned public spaces to a standard that will enhance local character and create visually distinctive points of interest within the urban environment. Provision of the spaces themselves may be considered as a public benefit contribution that qualifies development for additional height/plot ratio.

- **Plan for a Central Public Space:** The ACP seeks to strengthen the identity of the centre of the ACP area and the connection from the ferry to the Zoo by promoting investment in Windsor Park. The space and location lend themselves to a range of activities and uses that bring the community together.

- **Better Use of Existing Spaces:** In addition to Windsor Park (as mentioned above), encouraging investment in Richardson Park is identified as important, particularly in finding ways to make the park appealing for more of the community, while recognising its role for local sport and community development.
9.2.2 Key Issue: Street Design and Functionality

In a high density urban environment, streets function as both transport routes (that cater for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicular traffic) and as public spaces. It is essential that streets are designed to provide a high degree of amenity and walkability for the wellbeing of residents, workers and visitors. Some streets do not balance these functions, and are designed primarily to convey vehicular traffic at the expense of functionality for cyclists and pedestrians.

Presently, many streets suffer from a lack of activation through human activity, and a prevailing focus on accommodating vehicle movements at the expense of pedestrians. Although there are other examples of good streets in the ACP area, most streets are missing opportunities to serve modes of transport other than private car use better aligned to the function of the ACP area as an inner-city mixed use activity centre.

Streetscape upgrades currently being provided as part of new private development often respond to immediate need but, in the absence of a guiding framework, miss opportunities for comprehensive and cohesive management.

9.2.2.1 Plan Response

- **Public Street Principles:** The ACP establishes public street principles to guide the long-term enhancement of the activity centre’s streets. Different strategies are recommended for different street typologies, with a focus on strengthening existing landscape quality and character. The ACP’s principles support improving passive, residential streets with greater street tree planting and landscaping, whilst also seeking to create activity and vitality on main streets through design improvements, street furniture and other amenities.

- **Streetscape Public Benefit Contributions:** The ACP formalises the potential for streetscapes to be enhanced by developers as part of project works by including streetscape enhancements as a potential item that public benefit contributions may be allocated to. Streetscape principles included in the ACP provide direction for developers and the City of South Perth in considering proposals for privately-funded streetscape upgrades. This is intended to be further supported by a Public Realm Plan for the ACP area that provides specific guidance on function, design and materials.

- **Mid-Block Links:** The ACP seeks to complement and strengthen the existing street hierarchy by identifying potential mid-block links to improve connectivity for pedestrians. The provision of these links will support pedestrian use of the ACP area’s streets, providing highly landscaped, easily accessible and comfortably surveilled connections.
9.2.3 Key Issue: Ecology and Climate Resilience

Ecological health and wellbeing of the ACP area’s landscape and adjacent waterways is fundamental to a high quality public realm. The ACP area has a high degree of biodiversity along its river foreshore areas, which provide important ecosystem services and amenity. The health of these areas is vitally important to the City of South Perth’s environment now and into the future. Critical to maintaining and enhancing biodiversity in urban areas is ensuring a network of connected natural areas and open spaces anchored by major natural systems.

Currently, the ACP area’s streets and open spaces do not support and enhance the environmental quality of the area, with limited planting of endemic species and a lack of water sensitive urban design principles in their design.

The loss of significant trees on private and public land due to site clearing, changes in groundwater level and root damage because of development has resulted in a loss of tree canopy cover, which plays a significant role in supporting local biodiversity and mitigating impacts of climate change such as the urban heat island effect.

9.2.3.1 Plan Response

- **Sustainability Principles**: The ACP embeds sustainability principles into its public realm guidance, encouraging the inclusion of water sensitive urban design measures wherever possible and identifying the inclusion of additional street trees and landscaping as a high priority. Public realm sustainability is to be further supported by a Public Realm Plan for the activity centre that provides specific guidance on design and materials.

- **Protection of Mature Street Trees**: The ACP incorporates specific controls and incentives intended to ensure that street trees are not damaged by new development, particularly basement and podium construction. In doing so, the Plan recognises that trees in road reserves are an essential part of the streetscape providing aesthetic appeal and environmental benefits.

- **Planting More Trees in the Streetscape**: A variety of measures are proposed in the ACP to increase planting of trees that contribute to the streetscape, whether on public or private land. Selection of species should balance the urban character of the area, existing species’ contribution to character, intended function of trees (for example shade or impact on water table) and preference for endemic species.
10.0 NEXT STEPS FOR SOUTH PERTH

10.1 IMPLEMENTATION

10.1.1 Statutory Operation

The ACP functions as a guide to development and a strategic document to influence public realm and street improvements. Decision makers considering proposals for private development in the ACP area are to have “due regard” to the ACP, in addition to the requirements of the City’s town planning scheme.

Some elements of this ACP are set out in the City of South Perth TPS6, as they are considered “non-negotiables” in controlling development within the ACP area. The ACP has been drafted with the following matters being incorporated into the scheme:

• character area objectives
• zoning, residential density coding and land uses;
• building height;
• podium setbacks, height and site cover;
• tower setbacks and separation;
• tower maximum gross floorplate area;
• plot ratio; and
• approval for additional development potential (height and plot ratio).

The existing scheme provisions applicable in the ACP area will be replaced by a new schedule to the scheme that implements the ACP through private development.

10.1.2 Amendment and Review

The ACP has been prepared with the aid of extensive stakeholder and technical input to establish a strong and realistic vision for the growth of the ACP area to 2041 and beyond. Approval of the ACP is technically valid for ten years; however, it will take longer for the vision for South Perth to fully emerge. The ACP has been designed so that a review towards the end of this period is a “check in”, and that controls, principles, guidance and (most importantly) the vision need change as little as possible to remain an effective tool for directing growth in the ACP area and meeting the needs of the community.

An interim 5-year review is also recommended to assess the plan’s short-term performance and identify any necessary refinements that might be required to ensure that the articulated vision for the ACP Area is realised. This should be supported by ongoing monitoring and tracking of plan performance and the centre as a whole though the use of Key Performance Indicators.

10.1.3 Key Performance Indicators

The Key Performance Indicators at Part 1 Section 9 provide the means of monitoring and assessing the effectiveness of ACP provisions in delivering the vision and desired outcomes for the ACP area. City of South Perth planning processes support frequent and comprehensive collection of planning and development data as required to monitor these indicators.

10.2 FURTHER ACTIONS

Engagement and consultation with local stakeholders has identified a range of further initiatives and actions considered necessary to support the ACP Area’s growth, which cannot be directly addressed through an Activity Centre Plan. A high-level overview of these further actions is provided to guide the City in the planning and management of the centre.

10.2.1 Community Needs Assessment

A Community Needs Assessment should be undertaken to identify the range of social and physical infrastructure that is required to facilitate density and support the diverse needs of the growing and evolving local community.

A community needs assessment will provide greater clarity around the infrastructure required to meet the needs of a growing population. This assessment will also be useful to inform performance criteria, and whether funding mechanisms are required to meet future needs.

10.2.2 Community Development Strategy

A Community Development Strategy should be prepared to strengthen the area’s sense of community and engage new residents. Stakeholders have identified that the combination of extensive multi-storey development with limited communal space and a high number of short-term renters presents challenges to fostering a shared sense of community and vibrant public life. A Community Development Strategy will provide direction and focus to ensure residents remain engaged and a strong sense of community is maintained, in the context of a dense urban environment.
10.2.3 Richardson Park Station Development Plan

Further work should be undertaken to build the business case for the train station. Specific actions would depend on outstanding issues as identified by the State Government, and could include:

- More detailed costing of provision of the station, and associated infrastructure and improvements
- Identifying a preferred funding strategy
- Determining sites potentially available for sale or redevelopment, including any parts of Richardson Park (particularly near the station), over the station itself, and other local government assets that could be better deployed elsewhere

10.2.4 Public Realm Framework

A comprehensive Public Realm Framework should be prepared for the ACP Area, expanding upon the high-level direction included within the Activity Centre Plan to detail the following key design parameters:

- Place identity and design interpretation;
- Types of public space
- Preferred plant species including street trees;
- Landscaping, hardscaping and furnishing palettes;
- Lighting Strategy;
- Public Art;
- Wayfinding systems;
- Stormwater management;
- Technical standards including soil cells, street widths, curb radii and exclusion zones for infrastructure;
- Implementation and Staging; and
- Unit price construction estimates

The Framework should also incorporate Connect South project outcomes and progress the revitalisation of other key public spaces with a focus on the following:

- Reinvent Windsor Park as the green heart of the Peninsula with a central City Square on Mends Street;
- Enhance Richardson Park as a multi-purpose open space integrated with potential future station development;
- Renew and upgrade the South Perth Esplanade as a key tourism attraction; and
- Integrate and activate connections to Perth Zoo including provision of a pedestrian connection and active edge to Windsor Park.

10.2.5 Economic Development Strategy

An Economic Development Strategy is recommended to identify priority projects and implementation strategies to attract employment, support economic activity and demonstrate a return on investment.

The economic development strategy should build on the findings of the South Perth Activity Centre Economic and Demographic Assessment report (Appendix 1), which has identified the centre’s unique locational and economic advantages compared to other centres. The strategy should establish goals and actions to attract new investment, encourage innovation and support the growth of the business and tourism sector to increase job opportunities and centre performance.

10.2.6 Tourism and Destination Development Strategy

A Destination Development Strategy should be prepared to provide a strategic view on how the ACP area’s significant tourism assets and destination anchors can collectively contribute to establishing the area as a destination of choice. The strategy should deliver a planned approach for developing the following:

- Place branding, advertising and positioning;
- Place activation and events management;
- Place management and governance;
- Community and industry partnerships; and
- Funding and resourcing

It is recommended that key stakeholders be approached to provide input and potentially collaborate in preparation of the strategy, including Tourism WA, Perth Zoo, Transperth and local businesses. Specific focus should be provided to Mends Street and the continuation of partnerships with local businesses to revitalise and activate the area during and following the Connect South project.
10.2.7 Groundwater Management Strategy

The City of South Perth should work with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation and the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions to develop a groundwater strategy, to provide place-specific advice to:

• avoid the cumulative impacts of basements and other impediments to groundwater
• provide general site guidance on groundwater clearance requirements and recommended construction techniques
• maintain the quality and quantity of groundwater recharge and manage downstream impacts on the Swan River

A coordinated strategy could provide substantial certainty to developers, minimised risk of damage to the public realm and understanding of how development potential aligns with basement and podium controls to limit site capacity.
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Summary

Introduction

- RPS was engaged by the City of South Perth to undertake an economic assessment of the South Perth Activity Centre (SPAC). This assessment is to provide evidence in support of the preparation of the Activity Centre Plan (ACP) for the Centre.

- This assessment builds upon the Place + Design report for the South Perth Activity Centre prepared by Roberts Day.

Regional Context

- SPAC is a strategically located District-level activity centre located adjacent Perth Water in close proximity to the Perth CBD. As such, its development and growth will be heavily influenced by major regional trends across the Perth Metro area and Western Australia as a whole.

- Specific trends of note include:

  - **Perth Growing Population** – despite a recent slowing in population growth, in response to softer economic conditions and moderately higher unemployment rate, long-term population projections are expected to see Perth Metro reach 3.5 million people by 2050. This growth, combined with an increased policy emphasis on inner city redevelopment and dwelling densification is expected to see locations such as SPAC experience strong and increasing demand for housing.

  - **Population Ageing** – Not only is the population of Western Australia expected to grow, the retirement of the Baby Boomer Generation (born 1946-1964) is expected to drive the most rapid ageing of the State’s population in history. The proportion of people aged 65+ is expected to increase from 13.1% in 2015 to more than 1 in every 5 residents by 2051. This will drive demand for a diverse range of housing typologies and services in Perth, as well as have wider economic implications as worker to population ratios decline and burdens on Local, State and Federal Budgets increase. Developing integrated, high amenity communities that encourage people to age in the community represents both a major challenge and opportunity for Perth over the coming decades.

  - **Volatile Economic Conditions** – the Western Australian economy is characterised by a comparatively high level of economic volatility, owing to the impact of mining investment cycles and resource production levels on economic, employment and income growth rates and the relatively small size of the domestic economy compared to major Eastern States. Similarly, Western Australia is more susceptible to overseas economic shocks due to its outwardly focused economy. While the long-term economic potential of Perth Metro and Western Australia are significant, growth is likely to be punctuated by periods of slower and softer growth. Currently this volatility is being borne out in historical high commercial office vacancy rates, as well as higher unemployment and declining house prices. While these trends are expected to reverse, they are likely to impact the growth and development of SPAC in the short-term.

Centre Context

- SPAC possesses a range of unique attributes and characteristics that will influence and impact its future growth and development profile over the next 25 years.

- It has strong vehicular accessibility via both the Kwinana Freeway and Canning Highway and is serviced by bus and ferry services that connect South Perth to the Perth CBD.

- Key economic and social assets of SPAC including the Perth Foreshore/Sir James Mitchell Park, the Mend Street Jetty and adjacent retail and café precinct and the Perth Zoo, which supports high quality residential, commercial and visitor amenities.
The suburb of South Perth is home to over 12,800 people of which 2,675 live in the SPAC. While the wider suburb has experienced some growth in recent years, the population growth in SPAC has been broadly flat over the past 15 years.

SPAC's population is characterised by high proportions of younger workers, mature families and older residents, with high proportions of people born overseas (over half).

Income levels in SPAC are well above average, with the high amenity and accessibility of the location driving above average job choice and attracting more affluent households (through higher house prices).

Housing stock is primarily in the form of apartments and townhouses, though the apartment share (43.9%) is less than expected for a river adjacent inner city location such as South Perth. New housing development has accelerated in recent years and is expected to drive increased population growth in the short-to-medium term.

There were over 2,300 jobs in SPAC in 2015/16, with Office, Retail and Entertainment/Recreation accounting for the largest shares. This employment is accommodated in over 70,000sqm of commercial floor space (including about 8,000sqm of Retail floor space). Despite floor space levels increasing since 2007/08 by 4,000sqm, employment has declined by over 650 jobs. This reflects the impact of the slowing of the Perth economy, particularly on SPAC's secondary office market and is illustrated in local floor space vacancies.

**Activity**

Overall, the recent decline in population and housing in the SPAC indicates **that the Precinct is not currently fulfilling its full economic and social potential and has capacity for growth**. The Area possesses considerable local and regionally significant amenities and economic assets, providing residents and business alike with access to opportunities in the wider region through major road and public transport access. The presence of natural amenities (including Perth Water and the foreshore), coupled with a major attractor (namely Perth Zoo) also supports considerable tourism visitation.

Similarly, the SPAC also possesses higher shares of detached and lower density housing than expected for an inner-city river front location. **Public transport usage, while above average, is ultimately constrained by the lack of access to passenger rail.**

Opportunities exist to enhance and intensify the urban form of the SPAC. Doing so will require a strong focus on urban regeneration and revitalisation, not only increasing the density of development **but doing so in a way that enhances and sustains a high quality of life for new and existing residents, workers, businesses and tourists to the area.**

RPS has undertaken a series of assessments to determine the potential future growth profile of SPAC. This has included population, employment/floor space, tourist/visitor and retail expenditure scenario testing for SPAC, to determine the optimal growth trajectory of the Centre over the next 25 years.

Key growth scenarios assessed include:

- **Base** – continuation of current role and function of SPAC within the wider region.
- **Low** – marginal increase in the role and function of the Centre, resulting in minor increases in shares of regional activity.
- **Medium** – moderate increase in the role and function of the Centre, resulting in notable increases in shares of regional activity.
- **High** – large increase in the role and function of the Centre, resulting in significant increases in shares of regional activity.

- This scenario testing and modelling is **unconstrained** meaning it has not taken into consideration existing land supplies, planning and infrastructure capacity. Instead it outlines the social and economic potential of the Centre that will need to be supported and facilitated by investments in new infrastructure, floor space and housing.
The following size, scale and mix of activity is recommended as the basis of land use and structure planning for SPAC as part of the ACP.

RPS recommends that a population and dwelling growth profile between the Medium and High Scenarios should be adopted. This reflects the amenity and accessibility of SPAC, prevailing Government policy relating to infill development and complementary nature of residential and tourism development and investment.

Table 1 Recommended Indicators of SPAC Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2041</th>
<th>Scenario (if Relevant)</th>
<th>Growth by 2041</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>2,675</td>
<td>4,750</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>Medium-High</td>
<td>4,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwellings</td>
<td>1,941</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>Medium-High</td>
<td>2,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>2,302</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>Low-Medium</td>
<td>2,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment-Related Floor Space (sqm – excl Retail)</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>92,500</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>Low-Medium</td>
<td>47,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop Retail Floor Space (sqm)</td>
<td>8,172</td>
<td>13,860</td>
<td>20,356</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourists/Visitors per annum</td>
<td>119,017</td>
<td>177,200</td>
<td>236,800</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>117,783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RPS also recommends that an Employment and Commercial Floor Space growth profile between the Low and Medium Scenarios should be adopted. This reflects the strengths of the local economy in population and tourism servicing, the current commercial office market environment in Perth and the need for improved, rail-based transport accessibility to intensify white collar employment.

These indicators represent a SPAC in 2041 that is a high amenity aspirational residential and visitation location of choice that leverages its inner city, river front amenities to support increased density in a way that significantly enhances pedestrian amenity, service delivery and transport accessibility within and throughout the precinct.

SPAC’s greatest potential is in supporting a higher density inner city residential community and tourist destination, with expenditure from both sources, along with workers, supporting a higher standard and scale of services, than which can be supported by the population alone.

This will be complemented in the medium and long-term by increased commercial activity, particularly boutique and bespoke commercial office developments with a focus on research, creative and professional services sectors that seek to locate in SPAC to help attract and retain knowledge workers of the future.
1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background and Purpose

The State Planning Policy 4.2 states four key requirements for activity centre development for the Greater Perth region:

1. Diversity and intensity of activity - the centre should promote an equitable distribution of services, facilities and employment, in a high-density manner to reduce the need for transport.

2. Optimal residential density for the activity centres - buildings should be scaled appropriately, and higher density developments should be built close to key activity centres.

3. Employment provision - employment opportunities should be enhanced to contribute to sub-regional targets.

4. Major office developments should be located in the Perth CBD, or in strategic or specialised centres; offices in district centres should complement the function of the centre.

The Directions 2031 Spatial Framework for Perth Peel has a target distribution of 47% of all new dwellings to be in existing urban areas within the Perth and Peel regions. The central sub-region is ideally located to satisfy this development level, and the targets for the central sub-region are significant compared to outer regions of Greater Perth. The central sub-region is expected to see the population grow by 29% from 2011 to 2031, which equates to a demand of 121,000 new dwellings and the creation of 147,000 jobs.

South Perth is a centrally located local government area that is set to see a significant amount of development that will contribute to the targets set by Direction 2031. South Perth is close to the Perth CBD, and other major employment and specialised centres such as the Curtin research precinct, which results in short commuting times for residents. South Perth also sees a high level of amenity due to being bounded by the Swan River, making it a desirable location to live and work.

To support the future growth and development of South Perth, an Activity Centre Plan (ACP) is being prepared by the City of South Perth for the South Perth Activity Centre (SPAC).

This assessment represents the economic input and evidence supporting the development of the ACP.

1.2 South Perth Peninsula Place + Design Report

Informing the development of the ACP is the South Perth Peninsula Place + Design Report, prepared by Roberts Day. The report presents a draft of a renewed stakeholder-led vision that articulates future aspirations and goals for the South Perth Activity Centre (SPAC) and immediate surrounding area, together with goals, ideas and recommended actions for the continuing planning and development of the South Perth Peninsula.

Recommended goals outlined in the report are:

- **Deliver a robust planning framework** - Reshape current processes to establish a comprehensive framework which better responds to stakeholder expectations around liveability and integrated place, design and planning outcomes.

- **Improve movement and connectivity** - Improve movement and access within the Peninsula through a comprehensive approach to traffic and parking management which encourages a modal shift towards
walking, cycling and public transit.

- **Enhance street and green spaces** - Improve the Peninsula’s network of streets and parks to strengthen its unique spaces and amenities, maximise usability and recreational opportunities and support ecological sustainability.

- **Encourage responsive development** - Ensure that new development better relates to its context, complements local character and minimises impacts on existing buildings.

- **Creating places for people** - Reposition the Peninsula as a destination of choice by enhancing local identity, delivering community amenities and reinvigorating key assets and destinations.

The report also includes an implementation schedule outlining short, medium and long-term priorities for specific actions required to deliver on the goals and ideas collectively developed with stakeholders.

The development of the ACP was a central recommendation of the *South Perth Peninsula Place + Design* report. The purpose of the ACP is to comprehensively address built form, public realm, place management, economic, traffic and infrastructure issues.

This assessment represents the economic input and evidence supporting the development of the ACP.

### 1.3 Assessment Structure

This Economic Assessment of the SPAC is comprised of the following key sections:

- **Regional Context**: Profiling of Greater Perth, including historic and projected indicators, identifying the implications of Greater Perth on the SPAC.

- **Profile of SPAC**: An overview of the activity centre, including key socio-demographic indicators, dwelling profile and an overview of employment trends and commercial office supply.

- **Capacity for Growth**: Qualitative assessment of the capacity of the SPAC to grow, based on the key drivers of capacity.

- **Growth Scenarios**: Through RPS modelling, growth scenarios are presented for both population and employment through to 2051.

- **Tourism Projections**: Assessment of tourism projections for South Perth to 2051, including day trip and domestic and international overnight visitors.

- **Retail Need Assessment**: Assessment of demand for shop retail floor space in the SPAC, including a multi-source retail needs assessment factoring in residential, worker and visitor expenditure sources.

- **Economic and Development and Implementation Advice**: Advice on the economic vision, role and function of the SPAC in the future, associated strategic themes and economic opportunities and recommendations on incentives and strategies that can be implemented to facilitate sustainable growth and development.

### 1.4 Reference Documents

This Assessment draws upon information and analysis from a range of existing literature, policies and strategies. Key documents and reports reviewed include:

- Central Sub-Regional Planning Framework (2015)
- South Perth Station Precinct Plan and Vision (2011)
• Draft South Perth Local Housing Strategy (2011)
• South Perth Local Commercial Strategy (2004)
• Directions 2031 and Beyond (2010)
• Draft Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million (2015)

1.5 Glossary and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>Activity Centre Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>Central Business District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP</td>
<td>Development Application Panels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFA</td>
<td>Gross Floor Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFC</td>
<td>Global Financial Crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLA</td>
<td>Gross Lettable Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ha</td>
<td>Hectare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUC</td>
<td>Planning Land Use Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA2</td>
<td>Statistical Area Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA3</td>
<td>Statistical Area Level 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOHO</td>
<td>Small Office, Home Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAC</td>
<td>South Perth Activity Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQM</td>
<td>Square Metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWA</td>
<td>University of Western Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAPC</td>
<td>Western Australian Planning Commission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.6 Geographical Scope

This Assessment focuses principally on the SPAC, as defined in the South Perth Peninsula Place + Design report by Roberts Day. The SPAC is defined as the northern portion of the South Perth City Centre, focused primarily on the South Perth Peninsula.

The SPAC encompasses the area bounded by:
• the Kwinana Freeway to the west;
• Angelo Street to the south and the southern boundary of Perth Zoo;
• Onslow street to the east, and
• the South Perth foreshore to the east.
2.0 Regional Context

Rapid population growth has transformed Perth into a global city which is home to more than two million people and globally-recognised organisations and attractions. It has been consistently rated within the top ten most liveable cities in the world and has benefited from a wave of migration because of the lifestyle and employment opportunities it presents. However, with this growth has come challenges of congestion, affordability and economic potential.

This section summarises the key drivers and trends of the growth in Metropolitan Perth and Western Australia and the implications for the City of South Perth and the SPAC.

2.1 Greater Perth in Context

2.1.1 Perth’s Growth Profile

Prior to 1900, Perth was a small town which grew only with the Western Australian gold rush, with the discovery of gold near Kalgoorlie. Most of the city grew post World War II and, consequently does not have a dense Victorian core similar to the CBDs of the eastern cities. Perth’s population growth has historically been small, and only in 1984 did it overtake the population of Adelaide.

Despite the uncertainties with any population forecast, the Stephenson-Hepburn report in 1955 allowed for 1.4 million residents of the Perth metropolitan region in 2000, a target which proved surprisingly accurate. However, since this time, Perth and Western Australia have decoupled from this long-term trend, with economic and interstate and international migration lifting Perth past two million residents (or 38.9% growth over the past 15 years).

Recent population growth has been driven principally by a super cycle of investment and construction in the resources sector between 2008 and 2013, which in turn supported historically high wage growth and historically low unemployment rates in the State (they reached a low of 2.7% in 2007 and 3.6% again in 2012).
The tightening of the labour market underpinned strong interstate migration which countered long-term emigration patterns from Perth to locations like Brisbane and Melbourne and instead attracted tens of thousands of workers, along with their families to call Perth and Western Australia home. At the height of the cycle in 2011-2013, population growth reached over 66,000 people per year, equivalent to the growth of Sydney at the same time, despite Sydney being 250% larger than Perth.

Perth property markets were significantly impacted by the combination of rapid population and income growth by workers as well as improved business profitability and activity. Commercial office vacancy rates in the Perth CBD fell to effectively 0% in 2008/09 and returned to below 5% in 2012 after the GFC and the addition of new stock.
Median house prices also increased substantially, reaching $580,000 in the Perth Metro area in 2014/15\textsuperscript{vi}. While this has led to a substantial increase in equity and wealth for existing households, these price levels have rendered Perth one of the least affordable cities in the world\textsuperscript{vii}.

2.1.2 The End of the Mining Boom and the “New Normal”

However, the more recent slowing of the WA economy, and the unwinding of once-in-a-generation levels of business investment have seen some of the pressures on housing, commercial property and labour markets soften. Since 2014, median house prices have trended downwards by approximately 8% over the past three years. This steady correction was largely due to the decline in interstate migration to WA, with population growth falling to 1% per annum in 2016.

Unemployment has also increased, albeit from exceptional lows, returning to levels similar to pre-2004 and 2009, at below 6%. Finally, commercial office floor vacancy rates have increased substantially, reaching above 20% for the first time in over a year in January 2018.

Despite the scale of the decrease in investment in the resource sector in the State and the corresponding flow on effect to commercial office demand, the transition of the Western Australian economy to the “new normal” in the past 18 months has broadly been soft and smooth.

Moreover, median house prices have not fallen as sharply and dramatically as some early expectations. The State has continued to produce jobs, with residential construction, tourism and health sectors helping offset much of the downsizing of the resources sector and associated supply chain labour forces.

2.1.3 Perth’s Future Growth and Ageing

Despite the volatility of Perth’s economic and population growth over the past 15 years, expectations are that Greater Perth will continue to grow and evolve into a global city of more than three and a half million residents by 2050.

This future is envisaged in *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million* and the associated suite of strategic land use documents. The growth scenario represents an average annual increase of 1.6% or approximately 41,700 persons per annum. This growth is lower than levels experienced over the previous decade (2.8% per
annum) and the previous 35 years (2.3% per annum), reflecting the larger critical mass of Perth’s urban area and the subsequent slowing in growth rates associated with a larger population base.

Similarly, the sustainability of the recent rate of urban expansion in Greater Perth is increasingly questionable, with planning policies at the State Government levels instead promoting substantial transformation of the city’s inner urban areas over the coming decades.

![Figure 6 Forecast Population, Greater Perth, 1980-2050](image)

**Towards a city of 5.4 million residents**

Whilst this study reflects the State Government 3.5-million-person target, the Australian Bureau of Statistics’ latest long-term forecasts for Greater Perth demonstrate that the city could grow to between 3.9 million and 5.4 million residents by 2050 because of high levels of migration, especially from overseas, and greater levels of natural population growth. For all three scenarios developed, Perth is expected to overtake Brisbane’s population by 2032.

Perth’s future growth will be underpinned by a combination of natural growth and migration. This is important to recognise as natural growth through population ageing, fertility patterns and employment migration, have different drivers and needs which will impact on the potential growth of South Perth.

One of the more significant trends expected to transform Perth over the coming decades is the ageing of the population. The proportion of residents aged 65 and over is expected to increase from 13.1% as of 2015 to more than one in five residents (20.7%) by 2051. This trend is already having implications on health and aged service needs and will drive future expansions in aged accommodation and community service organisations.
In the long-term, Perth is expected to continue to experience significant numbers of inbound overseas migrants. Perth already has the highest level of overseas-born residents of all Australia’s capital cities (40.4% as of 2011), with one in five residents speaking a language other than English at home. Migration and diversity have given Perth a competitive edge in a more globalised world, with a widely cited 2013 study showing a positive correlation between cultural diversity and productivity.

Nevertheless, this recent population growth in Perth has resulted in many challenges and concerns. Congestion and the capacity of urban infrastructure to effectively meet the corresponding increase in demand have become prominent in public debate, with public infrastructure built to accommodate a city of 1.5 million having to accommodate a population of more than 2 million in the next ten years. The cost of commuting to the Perth CBD is a focus, given morning peak travel times for the growing northern suburbs have increased to approximately one hour.

### 2.2 Implications for the SPAC

The key question raised by an assessment of the Regional Context of the South Perth Activity Centre is what role the Precinct and the wider South Perth suburb and Council areas play in the future of Greater Perth. Previous cycles of strong growth have manifested in South Perth in the form of increased residential development, tourist visitation, infrastructure draw down (including congestion and parking) as well as higher land and house prices.

Conversely, the more recent volatility in the regional economy has raised implications on the long-term role and function of the South Perth commercial office market and what differentiates it from other inner Perth markets like West Perth, East Perth and Northbridge.

Finally, the future structural growth and ageing of the Perth population will likely have implications for South Perth. As a high amenity, aspirational residential and lifestyle destination in inner Perth, owing to its natural environment and strong accessibility characteristics, South Perth is likely to continue to be a target for increased population growth, particularly among older residents, for the next 15-20 years.
3.0 Profile of South Perth Activity Centre

The story of the SPAC is also the story the wider South Perth suburb and Council Area. The SPAC represents both an opportunity for the potential of South Perth to be realised for the benefit of residents and businesses and for the Local Government to play a more central role in meeting the future growth of Greater Perth.

3.1 The Suburb of South Perth – An Inner-City Riverfront Destination

The suburb of South Perth is an iconic lifestyle and tourist destination in inner Perth. Strategically located on the Swan River directly opposite the Perth CBD, South Perth has long been an aspirational location for residents and visitors alike, with the location being a regarded as a tranquil residential suburb and cross-river tourist destination as early as the 1880s.

South Perth possess a range of local and regionally significant assets and features that have underpinned its growth and prosperity:

- **Sir James Mitchell Park** – this expansive foreshore reserve park originally provided market gardens and other agricultural products for the early colony. Since the 1970s, investment by State and Local Government has transformed Sir James Mitchell Park into one of Perth’s most popular and utilised parks. Extending from the Mend Street Jetty to south of Herrison Island, the Park provides open space and facilities for local and regional residents, including families and individuals for barbeques, sport and exercise (running, walking and cycling). The Park is also a common venue for both City and community organised events.

- **Mends Street Jetty** – One of two major jetties on Perth Water, the Mends Street Jetty is the second stop in the Elizabeth Quay-Mend Street ferry route operated by Transperth. Originally formalised and expanded in response to the establishment of the Perth Zoo in the late 1890s, the Jetty provides residents with access to both ferry and charter vessel transport. Recently, the Jetty has become increasingly popular among tourists, following the development of Elizabeth Quay and associated tourist access to Perth Zoo, Sir James Mitchell park and the Mend Street Café and Retail Strip.

- **Mends Street Café and Restaurant Precinct** – Located directly south of the Mends Street Jetty, Mends Street is an established café, retail shop and restaurant precinct. Anchored by the Windsor Hotel on the corner of Mends Street and Mill Point Road, Mends Street offers a diverse range of convenience shopping, local takeaway and café food and beverage and boutique and high-end restaurant offering.

- **Kwinana and Canning Highways** – South Perth is serviced by both major north-south and East-West highways in the form of the Kwinana Freeway and Canning Highways. The Kwinana Freeway is the main North South Highway in Metropolitan Perth, extending from the Perth CBD to Mandurah. As such, it is often heavily congested where it crosses the Swan River from Mill Point, particularly during weekday peak times. The Canning Highway crosses South Perth from the north east to the south west. One of the primary arterial connectors west to Fremantle and the western suburbs of Perth, the Canning Highway also forms into the Great Eastern Highway at the Causeway, providing direct access to Perth Domestic and International Airport Terminals.

- **Perth Zoo** – Established in 1898, Perth Zoo has been a regionally significant tourist destination in inner Perth for 120 years. The establishment of the Zoo on the current 17ha site between Mill Point and Labouchere Roads was fundamental to the establishment of the suburb of South Perth and its formal connections to the Perth CBD in the late 19th century. In 2016/17, the Zoo attracted over 657,00 visitors and provides natural and high amenity habitats for over 1,400 animals across 169 species.

Together, these spatial assets provide ready access for residents to the employment and economic opportunities of the Perth CBD and wider metropolitan area and allows tourists and visitors to take advantage of the some of the location’s natural and manmade attractions and amenities.
All these spatial attributes (except for Canning Highway) are located with the South Perth Activity Centre.

### 3.1.1 Key Demographics of the Suburb of South Perth

The population of the suburb of South Perth is projected to increase from 12,858 in 2016 to 20,331 in 2041, an increase of approximately 7,500 people\(^{xvii}\).

This growth is expected to be driven by a combination of young adults (including students and workers) and older residents (aged 65 years and over). Both age groups will see their share of the total population of the South Perth suburb increase in over the next 15 years, principally at the expense of mature workers (aged 45-64). This demographic trend is expected to moderate over the following 10 years to 2041, as the impact of the Baby Boomers on the demographic profile of South Perth moderates and a more “normal” age profile returns.

This growth is expected to be driven by a combination of young adults (including students and workers) and older residents (aged 65 years and over). Both age groups will see their share of the total population of the South Perth suburb increase in over the next 15 years, principally at the expense of mature workers (aged 45-64). This demographic trend is expected to moderate over the following 10 years to 2041, as the impact of the Baby Boomers on the demographic profile of South Perth moderates and a more “normal” age profile returns.

### Table 2 Age Profile, Suburb of South Perth, 2016, 2031 and 2041\(^{xviii}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2041</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the current age profile of the suburb is older than that of Metropolitan Perth, with significantly lower shares of children (0-14) and more older residents. Interestingly, the suburb has similar shares of people aged 15-24, and higher working age residents suggesting a high proportion of both younger working couples without children and more mature families (with children aged 15 and over).
3.1.2 South Perth Business Activity

With changing economic structures impacting current and future growth sectors, there is an impetus to ensure the SPAC is an attractive destination for businesses, especially given increased competition from across Perth, the nation and globally.

Analysis of business data for the South Perth-Kensington SA2 (which includes the suburb of South Perth and the SPAC) reveals that South Perth has seen a marginal decline in local businesses. Between 2012 and 2016, the number of registered businesses fell from 2,776 to 2,767.

However, during this time the number of larger businesses (employing over 20 people) increased from 65 to 75, and the number of non-employing businesses stayed consistent. Non-employing businesses comprised the largest share of businesses in the South Perth – Kensington area. While it is not unusual for non-employing businesses to comprise the majority of locally registered businesses in an area, South Perth’s share is higher than typically expected for inner city locations.

As expected, the decline in local businesses in South Perth was primarily in the Professional Services and Mining sectors and represents the local impacts of State-wide declines in these sectors. This however has been almost entirely offset by substantial increases in the number of rental, hiring and real estate and financial services businesses.
In addition to above, analysis of business entries and exits in South Perth provides an insight into the recent dynamics of the local business community. Of concern is the consistent decline each year in the number of businesses with 5-19 workers. While some of these businesses potentially grew and transitioned into the larger category businesses.

Table 4 Business Entries and Exits, South Perth - Kensington, 2012-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or more</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Employing Businesses</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facilitating the growth of non-employment and 1-4 worker businesses into larger businesses within South Perth and the SPAC must therefore be a priority in the short-to-medium term.

3.2 South Perth Activity Centre Profile

The SPAC represents the core of the South Perth suburb and encompasses many economic and business assets and activities. Areas within the South Perth suburb surrounding the SPAC are primarily established low density residential in nature, with a lower concentration and distribution of business activity.

Key characteristics of the SPAC are summarised below.

3.2.1 Centre Population

The population of the SPAC has not grown significantly over the past 15 years, with the residential population of increasing to 2,675 residents as of 2016 (an increase of 1.8% per annum). These residents are housed in 1,941 dwellings within the SPAC precinct in 2016.
There are currently a substantial number of developments underway in the SPAC, including the development of approximately 400 apartments. This reverses the historical trend of below average development rates, with the number of dwellings falling from 2011 to 2016.

### 3.2.2 Demographic Characteristics

The resident population within the activity centre is characterised by many key attributes which have been assessed based on the 2016 Census of Population and Housing and include:

- **High young adult population**, with 32.3% of residents aged between 20 and 34 years of age compared to 22.6% across Greater Perth;
- **Higher older population**, with above average shares of people aged 55+
- **Few indigenous residents**, with no residents identifying themselves as being of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islanders; and
- **High overseas-born population**, with 53.1% of residents born overseas compared to 38.7% across Greater Perth.
This age profile broadly aligns with the wider South Perth suburb, though the size of the 25-34 age group share is even more stark.

The cultural diversity is particularly important to recognise as overseas born clusters have important implications on the development of localities. Whilst much of this is self-evident, it is of interest to establish formal and informal networking opportunities for members of diverse ethnic networks given this can lead to a faster rate of establishing integration.

The major overseas origins for immigrants in the SPAC as of 2016 were:

- United Kingdom (16.0% of overseas-born population),
- Malaysian (7.1%),
- India (7.1%),
- New Zealand (6.0%),
- South Africa (4.6%),
- China and Hong Kong (4.5%), and
- Indonesia (4.2%).

### 3.2.3 Socio-Economic Characteristics

The socio-economic characteristics of the SPAC are diverse and help to underpin the unique drivers of the local population and economy. While similarities between the Study Area, wider suburb and Council area are expected and consistent, profiling key indicators where there are divergences helps to identify opportunities for differentiation and future growth.

In 2016, the SPAC had about a 20% lower share of Family Households (which in this context included couples with no children living at home) as part of its overall household composition compared to Greater Perth. This is in line with a much higher proportion of lone person households which are associated with a greater proportion of aged people.
Note that Family Households includes both Couple Only households and Couples with Children. A review of the family composition of South Perth households indicates that over 50% of family households were without children.

Meanwhile, residents in the SPAC had relatively higher incomes than the Greater Perth average. Higher incomes are largely a reflection of the industry of employment, with many residents in high paid positions in professional services and financial services, though there is a substantial proportion of the population employed in health care and education.
RPS also examined data on commuter patterns to understand the influence of key arterial routes on dwelling demand in the activity centre. The analysis revealed that 15.5% of workers took the bus to work, 59.9% travelled by car, 1.7% cycled and 1.7% took the ferry. Overall, the level of residents that took advantage of the public transport was relatively high (at close to double the Greater Perth average) and residents were less likely to drive to work, compared to the Greater Perth average. Cycling to work, while higher than the greater Perth average, was relatively low by inner-city activity centre standards.

### 3.2.4 Housing Stock

As of 2016, there were 1,941 dwellings within the SPAC precinct. Much of the recent development in the SPAC has been in the form of consolidating less intense residential land uses. This aligns with broader State
Government policy for inner city locations to play an enhanced role in meeting future population growth through more intensive residential built form.

As a result, detached housing in the SPAC represents a relatively low proportion of housing stock than the Greater Perth Average. However, with over 50% of the local housing stock as either detached and semi-detached, the overall level of residential density for the SPAC is significantly below key benchmarks from around Australia.

Table 5 Share of Dwellings by Type, SPAC and Greater Perth, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Detached and Semi-detached Dwellings</th>
<th>Flat or Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPAC</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Much of the SPAC’s housing stock are two or three bedrooms offerings, with a low proportion of one bedroom dwellings and even lower proportion of four or more bedrooms in the apartment and semi-detached dwelling market.

Table 6 Share of Bedrooms by Dwelling Type, SPAC, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dwelling Type</th>
<th>Bedrooms</th>
<th>SPAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detached House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Bedroom</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Bedroom or More</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Detached Dwelling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Bedroom</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Bedroom</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Bedroom</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Bedroom or More</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit and Apartment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Bedroom</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Bedroom</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Bedroom</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Occupied Stock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Bedroom</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Bedroom</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Bedroom</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Bedroom or More</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is important to note that there was only a marginally above average proportion of homes rented in the SPAC (24.2% compared to 23.4% in Greater Perth). Given the proximity to the CBD and higher proportion of higher density housing stock, this result is unexpected. It likely reflects the higher proportion of older families in professional industries and higher incomes, translating to higher shares of home ownership.

The SPAC has not seen a significant increase in dwellings over the past five years, although there has been notable planning and construction of apartments and townhouses. Major examples include:
### Table 7 Key Developments, South Perth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sample Image</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Pinnacle South Perth| ![Image](image1.png) | - **Location**: 30-34 Charles Street South Perth.  
- **Products**: One Bedroom Apartments, and two-bedroom two-bathroom apartments.  
- **Completion**: Completed late 2016.  
- **Target Market**: Investors, lone persons and older couples without children, with primarily 1-bedroom products available selling for upwards of $500,000, and a limited number of 2-bedroom apartments selling for upwards of $800,000. |
| Aurelia South Perth | ![Image](image2.png) | - **Location**: 1 Harper Terrace South Perth.  
- **Products**: 118 apartments, 1 bed from $455,000, 2 beds from $725,000, 3 beds from $990,000.  
- **Completion**: 2018.  
- **Target Market**: Investors, Young professionals, and families with children who would occupy three-bedroom products. |

#### 3.2.5 Employment Trends and Attributes

The SPAC is a recognised boutique inner city economic hub in Greater Perth. Its strategic position opposite the Perth CBD, adjacent to Perth Water and with direct access to major arterial road and public transport options, affords the Area with significant economic advantages and potential.

In 2015, there were approximately 2,302 jobs in the SPAC. The bulk of employment was within Office/Business, which comprised 74% of employment. The next biggest share of employment was Shop/Retail (15%), followed by Entertainment/Recreation/Culture (6%). The PLUC with the highest share of land use and was also Office/Business (53%), followed by Shop/Retail (11.4%), then Entertainment/Recreation/Culture (9%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corresponding PLUC</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>PLUC Code</th>
<th>Square Metres</th>
<th>Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing/Processing/Fabrication</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>MAN</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage/Distribution</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>STO</td>
<td>5,904</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Industry</td>
<td>Service Industry</td>
<td>SER</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop/Retail</td>
<td>Shop</td>
<td>SHP</td>
<td>8,172</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Retail</td>
<td>Other Retail</td>
<td>RET</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office/Business</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>37,527</td>
<td>1,695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SPAC experienced a decline in the level of employment between 2007 and 2015. This decline is primarily attributable to the impacts of the GFC and then the wind down of the mining sector.

In terms of floor space, the amount of employment-support floor space increased from 67,325sqm in 2007/08 to 71,408sqm in 2015/17. This change, coupled with the decline in local employment, has impacted local employment-to-workspace ratios. Workspace ratios are the amount of floor space of Gross Floor Area in sqm required per worker. In 2015, the SPAC had the following workspace ratios.

### Table 10 Workspace Ratios, SPAC, 2015-17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Land Use Category</th>
<th>Workspace Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment/Recreation/Culture</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health/Welfare/Community Services</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing/Processing/Fabrication</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office/Business</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary/Rural</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Retail</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Industry</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop/Retail</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage/Distribution</td>
<td>1,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities/Communications</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2.6 Commercial Office Overview

There is approximately 37,527sqm of commercial office floorspace currently in the SPAC. While the total amount of floorspace increased from 2007 to 2015, the amount of occupied office floorspace decreased by 5,089sqm. Commercial office floorspace is dispersed across the activity centre, with the highest concentration on Judd street, to the south of Mill Point Road, and to the west of Labouchere Road.

The level of vacant floorspace within the centre also appears to have increased from 2007 to 2015, from 1,989sqm to 5,561sqm.

Much of retail provision (5,692sqm of 8,172sqm) is in the Mends Street Precinct, though this Precinct is also seeing the largest amount of vacant floor space (2,719sqm).
As highlighted earlier in this Assessment, the commercial office market more broadly across Perth is undergoing a sustained period of high vacancies in response to easing demand for floorspace, downsizing and cost cutting across the resource sector and large increases in supply in recent years.

The broader CBD market has felt the brunt of easing commercial market conditions, which has flown from the CBD to inner city secondary office markets like South Perth. In 2015, the South Perth office market was seeing a considerable level of vacancy at 13.1% in 2015.

There are currently several new developments under construction or proposed in the South Perth area, with the Richardson Centre on Richardson Street set to offer nine floors of premium floorspace (approximately 4,000sqm of office floorspace), and most new apartment developments offering office and/or retail space on the lower floors as part of mixed use provisions.

In terms of retail floorspace, the new Mends Street arcade is set to become a substantial shopping centre for specialty retail within the existing retail hub along Mends Street, offering tenancies between 52-112sqm.

### 3.3 Key Attributes and Drivers of SPAC

South Perth, including the SPAC, is strategically located to leverage the future growth potential of Greater Perth. There are several attributes and indicators that will influence the role and function of the SPAC in helping to meet this regional growth challenge sustainably for residents and businesses. These include:

- **Mixed Age Population Profile** – the SPAC is characterised by a unique mix of younger adults and workers and older workers and retirees.
- **Households** - Family households (including couples with no children) are the most prominent household types in the area, followed closely by lone person households.
- **Declining Population** – the residential population has declined marginally but steadily in recent years, peaking at 2,750 in 2011.
- **Higher Incomes** – incomes are significantly higher than the State average, with the share of individuals earning more than $3,500 a week accounting for 1 in every 4 residents.
- **Strong Use of Public Transport** – public transport usage is notably higher than the Greater Perth average, with the share of bus and ferry usage particularly strong. Despite this, 60% of residents still use a car to travel to work, which is a very high proportion for an inner-city location and likely reflects the lack of local rail station.
- **Declining Employment** – employment over the past 8-10 years has declined by 600 jobs, reaching a low of 2,302 in 2015. The decline is primarily in the commercial office land uses, including professional services and mining sectors, reflecting the impact of the GFC and then the wind down of the mining investment cycle.
- **Increased Commercial Floor Space and Vacancies** - the floor space in the SPAC increased over the past 10 years. However, given the decline in employment during this time, property vacancies have increased.
- **Housing Stock Somewhat Diverse** – the housing stock of the SPAC is more diverse than the State average with higher shares of flats and units. However, the share of detached and lower density housing stock is higher than would be expected for an inner-city river front location.
- **New Housing Development** – almost 400 apartments are either recently constructed or under construction at present, which is expected to drive population growth in the SPAC in the short-term.
4.0 Capacity for Growth

This section qualitatively assesses the capacity of the SPAC to grow, based on four key drivers of capacity. This assessment investigates the prospects for the activity centre through the prism of its economic, social and physical attributes and performance within a regional context to identify the key comparative advantages and assets to leverage. This assessment is also fundamental to the identification of current and emerging opportunities and priorities.

4.1 Assessing the Capacity for Growth

This study has undertaken an assessment of the capacity for growth in the SPAC using the “Four Cs Approach” approach for economic development.

The “Four Cs” approach provides the critical analysis required to identify and understand the strength and direction of an economy. The “Four Cs” are:

- **Capital** – human capital, particularly skills, education, innovation and social capital are fundamental inputs to economic activity and crucial to competitiveness, resilience and social and cultural sophistication;
- **Communities** – economically and environmentally sustainable communities and population growth;
- **Connections** – access to international, national and regional markets; and
- **Competitiveness** – business competitiveness.

4.2 4Cs Assessment Results

This assessment has drawn on key input from a range of stakeholders as well as targeted research. The findings have been summarised in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ongoing education</strong> – low levels of ongoing education whilst in full-time employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Skilled workers – consistently high proportion of population with high education, due to being centrally located, with a focus on high density living</td>
<td>▪ High property values – high property values make living in the area less viable for entrepreneurs, and increases cost of office space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Education and research – near UWA and Curtin University, as well as educational institutions in the Perth CBD</td>
<td>▪ Lack of institutions – no tertiary education institutions in the centre make the area less likely to become an education centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Inner-city Location – Potential to become a popular alternative to Perth CBD due to proximity to city and amenity</td>
<td>▪ Lack of Rail – Lack of a train station reduces accessibility for workers and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Close to research facilities – With the new Pawsey Supercomputing centre complementing existing research facilities around technology park in Kensington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Slower population growth</strong> – population growth rate currently low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Established community – Centrally located and safe community</td>
<td>▪ Families with children – lower levels of family with children households, due to very high shares of couple with no children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Local visitation – high rate of visitation with the zoo and foreshore attracting local and international visitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 11 Four Cs Assessment Summary |
## Advantages

- **Young adults** – a substantial proportion of working age young professional adults
- **Diverse economy** – significant diversification of industry, with a significant amount of office space
- **Sport and recreation** – sporting ovals and facilities dispersed across activity centre are attractive to residents
- **Urban amenity and vibrancy** – dedicated entertainment district and retail facilities
- **Events profile** – significant and appealing regional events

## Challenges

- **Elderly residents** – high proportion of elderly residents, with low levels of age-specific accommodation
- **Affordability** – dwelling and commercial spaces do not compare favourably to areas outside of the inner city
- **Unstable industry** – the number of businesses has declined recently
- **Lack of identity** – difficulty in clustering common economic land uses and industries

## Connections

- **Established bus and ferry transport** – already serviced by bus and ferry services for residents and visitors.
- **Access to major road networks** – proximity to Kwinana freeway and Canning highway
- **Strong bike infrastructure** – good bike infrastructure allowing access to Perth CBD, and along the Swan River

## Competitiveness

- **Desirable location** – the activity centre is centrally located and attracts visitors from across the Greater Perth Area
- **Tourism assets** – prominent tourism assets such as the zoo, the foreshore, and the Mends street entertainment area
- **Office floorplate diversity** – variety of different floorplates for different business needs within the centre
- **Growing accommodation facilities** – increasing number of accommodation providers in the catchment for visitors

## Challenges

- **No train facility** – there is currently no train station in the SPAC, reducing connectivity
- **Constrained road network** – the current road network will be under pressure from more residents and workers in the future from higher density commercial and residential dwellings
- **Local cycling infrastructure** – on streets within the SPAC, including connection with regional cycling routes, is poor

## 4.3 Implications for SPAC

Overall, the recent decline in population and housing in the SPAC indicates that the Precinct is not currently fulfilling its full economic and social potential and has capacity for growth. The Area possesses considerable local and regionally significant amenities and economic assets, providing residents and business alike with access to opportunities in the wider region through major road and public transport access. The presence of natural amenities (including Perth Water and the foreshore), coupled with a major attractor (namely Perth Zoo) also supports considerable tourism visitation.

Similarly, the SPAC also possesses higher shares of detached and lower density housing than expected for an inner-city river front location. Public transport usage, while above average, is ultimately constrained by the lack of access to passenger rail.

Opportunities exist to enhance and intensify the urban form of the SPAC. Doing so will require a strong focus on urban regeneration and revitalisation, not only increasing the density of development but doing so in a
way that enhances and sustains a high quality of life for new and existing residents, workers, businesses and tourists to the area.
5.0 Growth Scenarios

The future growth of any location is unknown and significant uncertainty exists around the role and function the location will play in the regional economy. Moreover, factors such as technology and shocks can interrupt predictable forecasts.

To provide insights into the future growth potential of the SPAC, RPS has prepared a series of growth scenarios for both population and employment. These scenarios are based on different levels of contribution of the SPAC to the local and regional population growth and economy out to 2041.

5.1 Role and Function Scenarios

Both population and employment assessments examine the potential outcomes for the SPAC under four distinct scenarios:

- **Base** – continuation of current shares of population and employment and growing in line with historical contributions and future regional growth trends.
- **Low** – marginal increase in the role and function of the Centre, resulting in a minor increase in both population and employment shares of regional activity.
- **Medium** – moderate increase in the role and function of the Centre, resulting in a notable increase in both population and employment shares of regional activity.
- **High** – large increase in the role and function of the Centre, resulting in significant increases in both population and employment shares of regional activity.

The modelling undertaken for each of these scenarios is *unconstrained*, meaning issues such as local land availability, infrastructure capacity and local market expectations and servicing capacity have not been considered. This approach is critical in ensuring that the assessment examines the full economic and social potential of the Area, rather than a future profile that is capped by existing infrastructure and investments.
5.2 Population Scenarios

RPS has modelled age-specific population growth and composition for the SPAC across the four role and function scenarios outlined above.

5.2.1 Approach and Assumptions

To model local population scenarios, RPS has utilised forecasts from Forecast ID for the suburb of South Perth. Rebased through to 2041, these projections are outlined below.

RPS has tested the impact on local population, by varying the share of the suburb population accommodated in the SPAC by 2041. Currently, one in five residents of South Perth reside within the SPAC, which forms the Base Case for testing.
In preparing and reviewing these scenarios, a number of factors were considered, including:

- Business as usual growth is unlikely to continue for a number of reasons (including those that follow), and consequently no scenario considering less than 20.8% should therefore be considered.
- The existing density and multi-residential style of development in the SPAC includes some supporting infrastructure and services which will in turn attract more demand for people seeking an apartment lifestyle.
- The state government, via the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC), provides substantial policy direction supporting well-located infill development.
- The suburban balance of South Perth is considerably fragmented, and would offer limited opportunity for apartment living, and lifted chance to comprehensively redevelop the site.
- WAPC has a preference for coordinated infill, based on precinct-wide planning surrounding a centre of activity. This is a fundamental planning principle and therefore unlikely to change significantly.
- The SPAC has considerable place appeal, due to its river setting, appealing public realm, and opportunities for unique experiences, which are unlikely to change.
- Cities growing as Perth is projected to attract significant demand for dwellings close to the city, near the river and good transport connections.
- Conversely, as the city continues to grow in population, more people will consider the distance and commute from large lots at the edge of suburbia less convenient than an apartment lifestyle, increasing demand across a range of household types.

Other key assumptions include:

- Average household sizes in line with Forecast ID estimates;
- Dwelling occupancy rate of 65% in 2016, based on current estimates for 2016 for the area, increasing to 80% by 2041, based on benchmark locations; and
- Age profile in line with Forecast ID estimates for the suburb of South Perth.

### 5.2.2 Population Results

Based on the approach and assumptions outlined above, RPS assessed the population growth for the scenarios. The results are outlined below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2041</th>
<th>Change (2016-2041)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>2,675</td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>3,436</td>
<td>4,230</td>
<td>1,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2,675</td>
<td>3,181</td>
<td>4,188</td>
<td>5,881</td>
<td>3,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>2,675</td>
<td>3,298</td>
<td>4,667</td>
<td>7,046</td>
<td>4,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2,675</td>
<td>3,375</td>
<td>5,004</td>
<td>7,913</td>
<td>5,238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under the Base Case scenario, the population of the SPAC will increase over the next 25 years to 2041. This is in line with population growth expectations for the wider suburb and reflects the existing structure of the SPAC continuing into the future. This base case scenario, keeping in line with the existing structure, seeks to provide context around what happens in the SPAC should all things remain constant.

If the role of the SPAC increases and shifts away from its current structure, the population of the Area will increase by 3,206 people under the Low Scenario, 4,371 under the Medium Scenario and almost 5,238 people under the High Scenario.
Given these projections, the population of the SPAC could increase by approximately 1,555 to 5,238 people between 2016 and 2041. This represents the population potential of the Area over the assessment period.

### 5.2.3 Age Profiles

RPS has examined the population age profile forecast by ForecastID for the suburb and identified the shares of the population for major age groups under the Base Case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2041</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Breakdown by age groups for the Base Case scenario is outlined in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2041</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>1436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2675</strong></td>
<td><strong>3436</strong></td>
<td><strong>4230</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2.4 Dwelling Requirements

This population will require additional housing. Based on the dwelling occupancy and household size assumptions, RPS estimates that by 2041, a total of between 2,554 and 4,778 dwellings are needed, depending on the scenario involved.
5.2.5 Key Findings

Overall, even under the Base Case, with no change in the role and function of the SPAC, the population and dwelling requirement for South Perth Activity Centre will need to increase over the next 25 years.

The Base Case, however, is not a realistic frame of reference for planning the future of the SPAC. Firstly, it also presumes that current approaches to population settlement and dwelling construction continue “business as usual” with limited responses by the market from increased policy incentives and drivers.

A number of factors indicate that a higher growth scenario is likely, including:

- The amenity appeal of a river location;
- The market forces increasingly reflecting demand for inner city lifestyles;
- Government policy support for infill development;
- The existing apartment lifestyle in South Perth attractive further interest from prospective residents;
- The growing broader appeal of apartments to a range of household types, further inflating demand; and
- The “place appeal” of South Perth, likely to amplify local demand, consequently leading to South Perth outperforming other inner city areas as a desirable place to live.

Underestimating demand raises the risk that any ACP will not provide sufficient capacity and flexibility to accommodate forecast demand due to emerging demographic trends and market forces. If this demand is not accommodated, and therefore “unplanned” concern is that population growth pressures in the SPAC will result in a series of perverse outcomes being realised contrary to the vision of the City of South Perth, or of key strategic decisions on the future of the precinct not being made locally. Most significant would be the continued worsening of housing affordability in the area, as housing demand outstrips supply and price pressures increase.

It will also result in more ad-hoc responses to meeting demand. This likely minimises the opportunity for development design to be optimised to limit the impact of increased density on the urban realm through incorporating increased ground floor activation and permeability.
RPS recommends *that the ACP consider the Medium and High growth scenarios* for the preparation of planning controls for the SPAC.

Key Assumptions should include:

- Population of approximately 5,000 residents in SPAC by 2031, rising to between 7,000 and 8,000 by 2041.
- Allowance for approximately 3,000 dwellings in SPAC in 2031, rising to between 4,250 and 4,800 dwellings by 2041.
5.3 **Employment and Floor Space**

RPS has modelled employment and floor space growth and composition for the SPAC across the four role and function scenarios outlined above.

### 5.3.1 Approach and Assumptions

The modelling of local employment in the long term is challenging. Unlike population, where prevailing population trends and changes in migration and natural increases to an area are generally less volatile, the nature and composition of employment and how it is accommodated and facilitated can change rapidly.

The Australian Government Department of Employment projections for regional employment in Australia typically only extend 5 years into the future. However, RPS has extended the projections for the Perth-South East region to 2041 utilising historical trends in industry employment from the ABS. This industry-specific data has then been converted to align with the Planning Land Use Categories (PLUC), utilised by the WAPC and Department of Planning. This ensures that the projections can be based on current employment estimates for the SPAC derived from the Department of Planning’s Land Use and Employment Survey 2015-2017.

Key assumptions in this scenario testing include:

- Scenarios are differentiated by adjusting the SPAC share of the wider Perth-South East SA3 employment estimates for 2017 across each of the land use categories.
- RPS has applied current workspace ratios for the SPAC to future employment projections under each scenario, assuming that no further changes in workspace densities occurs during the assessment period.
- RPS has assumed that the current land use category mix in the SPAC stays broadly the same, with limited to no additions of Primary Industries, large format retail, and limited storage or utilities employment.

The population scenarios assessed in this report were not inputs into the employment analysis. They were however, used to validate employment scenarios using employment-to-population ratios at select points in the assessment period. This approach ensured a degree of alignment in the scale and scope of potential development (residential and non-residential) in the scenarios, without making the employment scenarios contingent on the estimated population movements.

It should be noted that RPS has not expressly modelled the employment and floor space impact of any potential future rail station in the SPAC. While such an investment would likely have significant implications for future floor space need and employment generation, a lack of certainty regarding the timing of the station make modelling employment impacts within a scenario assessment approach impossible.

### 5.3.2 Employment Results

As expected, the employment potential of the SPAC is significant, with the number of jobs in the area (2,302 jobs) having the potential to increase by 76.3%, as a minimum over the next 24 years. This increases marginally under the Low scenario to over 4,451 jobs (or 93.4%) by 2041, while employment has the potential to more than double under the High scenario to 5,485 jobs.
Table 15 Employment, SPAC, 2017 to 2041, by Scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Employment</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2041</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>2,302</td>
<td>2,525</td>
<td>3,193</td>
<td>4,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2,302</td>
<td>2,556</td>
<td>3,332</td>
<td>4,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>2,302</td>
<td>2,605</td>
<td>3,562</td>
<td>4,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2,302</td>
<td>2,655</td>
<td>3,806</td>
<td>5,485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A review of the land use breakdown of this employment confirms that Office-based employment is expected to be the largest contributor to total jobs in 2041 under each scenario, with between 3,256 and 4,345 office jobs by that date. Note, this does not necessarily mean these jobs will be in commercial office buildings, as about 75% of jobs at the Perth Zoo classified as Office in terms of underlying land use.

Notable growth is also expected in Entertainment and Shop Retail land uses.

Table 16 Employment, SPAC, by PLUC, 2041

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLUC</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment/Recreation/Culture</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health/Welfare/Community Services</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing/Processing/Fabrication</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office/Business</td>
<td>3,256</td>
<td>3,446</td>
<td>3,870</td>
<td>4,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Industry</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop/Retail</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage/Distribution</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities/Communications</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL JOBS</td>
<td>4,059</td>
<td>4,451</td>
<td>4,892</td>
<td>5,485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.3 Floor Space

Applying assumed work space ratios, RPS estimates that the SPAC has the potential to support between 97,650sqm and 127,750sqm of employment floor space by 2041, not including Shop Retail (examined separately in section 7.0). This is a significant increase from the 63,000sqm of commercial floor space (excluding Shop Retail) in the SPAC in 2015. Office land uses will likely account for the largest share of total floor space by 2041, reaching between 70,000 and 96,000 sqm Gross Floor Area (GFA) depending on the Scenario. Again, this reflects the fact that even under a Base Case scenario, with the economic importance and function of the SPAC not changing, there is likely to be sufficient demand to support a greater than 50% increase of employment floor space over the next 24 years.

Table 17 Total Non-Residential Floor Space (sqm), SPAC, by PLUC, 2031 and 2041

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2031</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment/Recreation/Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health/Welfare/Community Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing/Processing/Fabrication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office/Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage/Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities/Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FLOOR SPACE (exc. Shop Retail)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.3.4 Key Findings

The SPAC has a series of unique attributes that make it particularly attractive for both population and visitor servicing sectors and niche commercial office-based businesses. The amenity of the area attracts workers from outside of SPAC to seek employment in the Area. This enables the Area to deliver higher quality and a more diverse range of services than the local population alone could support.

The reliance on commercial office employment for growth of the SPAC, under the Medium and particularly the High Scenarios is difficult to justify. South Perth is recognised as a boutique office market in Greater Perth, with locations such as West Perth, East Perth and increasingly Northbridge playing the primary roles as CBD expansion/overflow of long-term office demand. It is difficult for SPAC to compete with these locations in the short-to-medium term, despite possessing a range of amenity and lifestyle advantages.

The present capacity in the commercial office market in the Perth CBD means it is difficult to justify any significant commercial office increases SPAC until there is sufficient demand to fill existing capacity. This does not preclude long-term investment and development in commercial floor space. However, such development is likely to be predominantly contingent on the delivery of the rail station, as this would help to reinforce the role of South Perth as an intervention point in northerly worker flows along the Kwinana Freeway and the rail line prior to entering the Perth CBD. It would also increase the level of accessibility of businesses to the CBD via public transport, reducing the higher than expected dependence on private motor vehicles that currently characterises the area.

Instead, focus should be afforded to maximising the Office employment generated from non-traditional floor spaces (as part of other uses) and Entertainment and Shop Retail activities. These sectors align very closely in their need for amenities and facilities with the local residential population, resulting in access by residents to higher quality and greater variety of services than currently provided.

RPS recommends that the ACP consider the Low and Medium growth scenarios for the preparation of planning controls for the SPAC. Note that shop retail floor space is considered separately in section 7.0.

**Key Assumptions should include:**

- Allowance for approximately 3,400 jobs in SPAC in 2031, increasing to 4,900 jobs by 2041.
- Allowance for approximately 110,000sqm of floor space in SPAC by 2041 (excluding Shop Retail).
6.0 Tourism Projections

As identified in the employment and floor space assessments above, shop retail and entertainment land uses represent major economic opportunities for the SPAC, combining demand from local residents, regional visitors and tourists alike to support local service delivery.

So far in this assessment, RPS has examined the potential of these sectors from the perspective of regional employment shares based on the possible role and function of the SPAC. In this section, specific projections have been developed for the individual sector utilising a more targeted methodology.

6.1 Tourism Projections

The tourist industry is a major contributor to the Western Australian and South Perth economy. Estimates from ID put the total value of sales associated with tourism and hospitality at over $250m per year with a local gross value added of almost $109m. This supports over 1,100 direct and indirect jobs in the South Perth Council Area. Overall tourism and hospitality accounts for almost 5% of the City of South Perth economy, double the share for Western Australia as a whole.

6.1.1 Tourist Projections Approach

RPS has analysed data from Tourism Research Australia’s National and International Visitor Surveys for the South Perth-Kensington SA2. This data includes historical estimates of daytrip, domestic overnight and international visitation to the SA2, which includes the SPAC.

Additionally, RPS has analysed tourist projections for the Experience Perth tourism region. This data includes 10 year projections of tourist visitor nights (number of visitors by the average length of their stay).

RPS has derived the visitor-type specific growth rates for Experience Perth and applied these to the actual visitation rates to South Perth-Kensington SA2. Post 2027, a consistent growth rate has then been applied (based on the 2026/27 annual rate) out to 2041.

The advantage of this approach is that it allows for the compositional change in tourists between daytrip, domestic overnight and international overnight to be considered and for the mix to evolve over time.

Note, visitors that travel less than 20km to South Perth do not constitute tourists for the purpose of this assessment. These visitors are regarded as within the local catchment of a tourist destination and their expenditure and activity are generally accounted for in local expenditure data sets.

Finally, the smallest geography at which tourist projections can be developed is the SA2 level. This geography is larger than the SPAC, though RPS considers it likely that the SPAC would account for the vast majority of expenditure-related visitation to the SA2, as the principal tourist servicing centre and as home to major attractions like Mend Street, the foreshore and Perth Zoo.

6.2 Recent Performance

Overall, the tourist visitation to South Perth has grown strongly in recent years, increasing from 63,000 visitors in 2007 to 119,000 visitors in 2017. These visitors in turn stayed for over 400,000 days/ nights in 2007, though visitor nights in subsequent years have been highly volatile due to changes in average lengths of stay – ranging from over 700,000 visitors nights in 2012 to 345,000 in the year to June 2017. In 2016, a combination of seasonal attractions at Perth Zoo and a more traditional length of stay for international tourists saw total visitor nights/days increase to over 697,000. This underlines the inherent volatility of annual
visitation numbers. However, overall the trend has been positive and growing strongly across both number of visitors and visitor nights.\(^4\)

### 6.3 Projection Results

Based on the approach outlined above, RPS estimates that the number of visitors to South Perth will increase to 135,000 in 2021, and 238,000 by 2041. This growth is expected to be fastest among international visitors (off a smaller base), though domestic day trip visitors are expected to account for the largest share of visitors in 2041 at 57%.

![Figure 20: Projected Tourist Visitors, South Perth-Kensington SA2, by Type](image)

### 6.4 Key Implications for SPAC

The scale and mix of tourist visitation to the wider South Perth SA2 area are expected to change over time. Total visitor numbers are expected to almost triple over the period to 2051, with international overnight visitors expected to play an even greater role in future visitation.

Despite this, SPAC and South Perth generally will continue to primarily be a day trip market. This is primarily due to the area’s proximity to the Perth CBD with its concentration of hotel and short-stay accommodation, and the popularity of attractions such as the Zoo and foreshore with residents across Greater Perth.

This tourist visitation will generate demand for a range of different services and facilities in SPAC, including the expansion and diversification and tourist activities, greater shares of both formal and informal tourist accommodation and improved and enhanced transport accessibility.
7.0 Retail Need Assessment

Analysis has been undertaken in this report on the employment scenarios for the Shop Retail land uses in the SPAC. That assessment was based on the potential role and function of South Perth in meeting the wider regional shop retail needs. As such, it is expected that this employment figure, and associated floor space, will be closely linked to population growth in the wider region.

Traditional retail needs assessments, including those required under SPP 4.2 and prepared by Local Governments around the State, have generally been prepared as part of Local Commercial Strategies. Alternatively, retail need assessments are undertaken by proponents of major retail developments for consideration by Councils or DAPs and rely almost entirely on the demand generated by the residential population in the catchment.

However shop retail demand, in locations such as South Perth, can be generated from a range of non-residential sources. Two common types include:

- Tourists and visitors; and
- Non-resident workers (workers who have travelled from outside of the retail catchment to the site for work). This can include permanent and transitory workers.

Each of these types of non-residential sources of retail expenditure must be analysed separately and collated with residential demand to determine total demand for retail floor space in the SPAC.

7.1 Trends in Retail

Long-term projections and assessment of retail expenditure and floor space are challenging. The retail sector has and will continue to be impacted by a diverse range of generational, fiscal, technological and feasibility factors that have the potential to fundamentally alter the level of retail floor space demand in SPAC.

A number of critical trends have been summarised below.

7.1.1 Online Retail and the Changing Role of Shops

The retail shopping environment now encapsulates both physical retail stores and a parallel online retail offering.

Significant growth in online retail sales over the past decade (averaging 2% per month since November 2014) has corresponded with a significant slowing in the growth of expenditure at traditional stores (slowing to an average growth of only 0.25% per month or half the rate of growth in in mid 2000s).

However, this trend has varied significantly across retail categories, with online retail and wider economic conditions impacting individual retail categories differently. The impact of online retail on traditional physical stores therefore depends greatly on the range of products stocked in different stores and shops.

One approach that has been increasingly adopted by physical retail outlets is to better integrate retail offering with existing and new online retail models. This has included:

- **Virtual Shop Front**: establishing their own virtual retail portal or shop front that provides the customers with access to the same products as physical stores; and
- **Online Portal Participation**: participating in an existing independent (i.e. not owned or operated by the retailer) portal such as eBay, GroceryRun.com and Amazon.
Similarly, there is a large diversity of how the goods and products purchased online are delivered to the final customer. This reflects the online retail model involved, the nature and characteristics of the product and the preferences of the customer themselves.

Some delivery models have limited to no involvement by traditional shops (i.e. delivery of the product from a warehouse directly to the customer’s place of residence or work), while other delivery models see physical retailers playing a more active role. Examples of the role that physical shops can play in online retail transactions and product delivery:

- **Collection Node** – the customer collects the product purchased online from a physical retail shop;
- **Distribution Node** – the physical shop fills the customer’s online order and then dispatches the order via small courier or post;
- **Delivery Hub** – the physical shop fills the customer’s online order then facilitates delivery by truck; or
- **Showroom** – the physical shop provides the opportunity for customers to “try” the product before they purchase online.

To adapt to this trend and maintain relevance within an increasingly online retail environment, physical retailers are making changes to play one or more roles. Examples include:

- Supermarkets are increasingly offering grocery deliveries to the customers within the “catchments” of its physical shops, using small delivery trucks owned and operated by the retailer. This currently accounts for approximately 2% of all supermarket sales in Australia, which is expected to double to 4% or $5.8b by 2020.
- Retailers such as Nespresso are rolling out new stores with significant reduced shelf capacity to accommodate in store product training and “taste-testing” for customers, reflecting the fact that 80% of the retailer’s sales are now online.

### 7.1.2 Demographic and Generational Change

Australia’s population is undergoing a demographic and generational transformation that has implications with a diverse range of sectors of the economy, including retail.

In the past, Australia was a relatively youthful country. However, recent Intergenerational Reports have revealed that the combination of 20 years of sub-replacement level fertility rates and the “population bubble” caused by the flow of the Baby Boomers, will result in the proportion of the population aged over 65 years double to over 21% per cent by 2051.
At the same time, growth in the population of traditional workforce age is expected to slow to almost zero. This is a permanent change. Barring an unprecedented change in fertility rates, the age structure of the population is likely to stabilise with a far higher proportion of older Australians.

While these overall demographic considerations will drive national outcomes, there will be differences between regions. For example, some areas attract retirees and will experience a more rapid ageing of their populations. In others there is migration of many young adults from rural and regional areas to metropolitan areas for better employment and educational opportunities. The combination of these migration trends will heavily influence the demographic changes and growth of inner urban areas across Australia, including SPAC.

### 7.1.3 Household Expenditure and Credit Card Debt

Household expenditure profiles have transformed since the GFC, due to a combination of slowing wage growth, rising costs of living, changing consumer preference and reduced credit card debt levels.

Wage growth has declined rapidly in recent years, as latent capacity in the labour market (both unemployment and underemployment), the rise of the “gig economy” and the broader casualisation of the economy have placed downward pressure on wage growth. Wage growth in Australia has averaged 3% plus for the past 20 years. However, over the past 5 years, growth rates have fallen a full 1% to, on occasion, less than 2% per annum. Given the rising costs of living, this wage growth means that workers are receiving minimal real increases in their income at present. The RBA considers it likely that this environment will continue for the foreseeable future.
Costs of living have also been increasing significantly in recent years. While headline inflation rates have remained subdued, the cost of living for many households, particularly families with children and older households have been rising sharply. This reflects the fact that the largest growth in costs have been in sectors such as housing, health, education and core essentials such as groceries, electricity and other utilities – items that are most relevant for family households. This rising cost of living has resulted in a lower proportion of household income being available as disposable income for discretionary expenditure in the shop retail sector.

This shift in the disposable income of households, coupled with generational change, has seen the spending patterns of households shift in recent years. Over the past decade, rises in café and restaurant and groceries shares of retail trade have offset falls in the shares of clothing, department store and household goods retailing. This shift to food expenditure in part reflects a shift in consumer spending patterns away from goods retailing to experiential and service retailing, reflecting the different preferences of emerging generations and households.

A driver of this shift in expenditure patterns has been a change in consumer debt levels in Australia. Despite Australians owing over $51b on credit cards in 2017, the average amount of debt per credit cards has fallen dramatically in recent years and is now as low as October 2005 levels. Consumers and households have been decreasing credit card levels in response to both decreased disposable incomes, higher risk post GFC and a desire among households to gain greater control over their finances.

Table 18 Share of Retail Expenditure, by Sector, Australia, 2007 to 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Household goods</th>
<th>Clothing, footwear and personal accessory</th>
<th>Department stores</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Cafes, restaurants and takeaway food services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun-2007</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-2012</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-2017</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.2 Role of South Perth in the Metropolitan Retail Network

South Perth is identified as a District Centre under State Planning Policy 4.2, which defines the role and function of District Centres as:

- Greater focus on servicing the daily and weekly needs of residents
- Catchment of 20,000 to 50,000 people
- Includes discount department stores, supermarkets, convenience retail, small scale specialty and personal services;
- Up to 20,000sqm Net Lettable Area of GFA permittable before a structure plan is required.
- Collocated with district level office and local professional services.

South Perth is not a “normal” district centre. South Perth is a boutique, niche retail destination with a focus on local convenience retail, experiential café and restaurant and tourist and visitor servicing. The addition of non-residential expenditure within the catchment means that South Perth could have the capacity to support a higher proportion of retail floor space than a traditional district centre.

Currently, SPAC accommodates over 8,000sqm of Shop Retail floor space, which is less than half that permitted under SPP 4.2. South Perth therefore has significant capacity for growth if it is to fulfil its role and function in the wider catchment.

7.3 Value of Retail Sources and Assumptions

RPS has assessed all three main sources of retail expenditure for the SPAC. This is comprised of:

- Expenditure from the population living within the ACP (i.e. local convenience retail);
- Expenditure from day trip, domestic and international overnight visitors; and
- Expenditure from workers.

Each of these sources of retail expenditure require a different assessment approach and unique expenditure values and assumptions.
7.3.1 Residential Expenditure Assumptions

In terms of residential retail expenditure, RPS has applied a standard per person retail expenditure rate ($13,000 per person per year\textsuperscript{[xlii]} to the medium scenario population projections for the SPAC. This results in the total expenditure pool for the SPAC being estimated.

Dividing this local expenditure pool by an average Retail Turnover Density (retail expenditure per sqm of Gross Lettable Area – Retail) of $6,250\textsuperscript{i}, RPS is able to estimate the total retail floor space required in the area to support the local residential population.

This approach and key assumptions made align with the requirements of SPP 4.2 for calculating residential retail expenditure.

7.3.2 Worker Expenditure Assumptions

This residential population calculation is then complemented with estimates of non-residential expenditure from workers. To calculate the worker contribution, RPS applied an average $15 per day (based on 280 work days per year) for all workers under the Low employment scenario outlined in this Assessment.

All this expenditure is captured locally and is converted into floor space using the same Retail Turnover Density and residential retail consumers.

7.3.3 Tourist Expenditure Assumptions

Finally, RPS estimated retail expenditure from visitors by allocated standard per visitor expenditure rates to the projections of each of the tourist types outlined in section 6.1.

The first step is to isolate the proportion of expenditure that is associated with retail land uses. The most recent national data from Tourism Research Australia and validated by the National Retailers Association is that 39.1% of tourist expenditure is on shop retail uses.

Additionally, RPS applied the following assumptions:
- Daytrip expenditure - $110 per visitor 100% local capture;
- Domestic Overnight - $150 per visitor, 50% local capture; and
- International Overnight - $2,400 per visitor, 27.5% local capture.

Again, the results of this expenditure are converted into retail floor space to estimate the total retail floorspace need in the SPAC.

7.4 Retail Need Results

In total, RPS estimates that the total retail expenditure pool in the SPAC from all three sources was valued at $51.7m in 2017 with residents comprising the largest share at $34.8m. This is expected to grow, reaching $95.4m in 2031 and $127.2m in 2041. All values are in $2016.

To meet this expenditure, RPS estimates that there is currently a need for approximately 8,271 sqm in 2017. This is comprised of the following sources of demand.
By 2031, these sources of demand could support approximately 13,860sqm of shop retail floorspace in the Area, growing to 20,356sqm by 2041.

This analysis reveals a significant difference between the amount of retail floor space required under each of the employment scenarios and the level needed to meet residential and non-residential demand. Part of the reason for this difference is that retail expenditure, particularly in the non-residential tourist space is expected to grow at a significantly faster rate than regional residential expenditure.

It also highlights the fact that the retail potential of the SPAC is significantly greater than the broader economic drivers would suggest.
RPS recommends that allowance be made for a greater proportion of shop retail floor space (up to 20,000sqm in the short-to-medium term) to be accommodated in the planning controls of the SPAC, to help meet the retail needs of residents, workers and tourists.

It should be noted that this represents the retail floor space potential of the SPAC. While the analysis suggests significant local retail floor space will be needed in the future to meet resident and tourist needs, *not all of this demand must be met within the Area.*

Other centres and retail nodes in South Perth (outside of the SPAC) could also play a role. Similarly, it is also possible for the local share of the total expenditure pool to decline over time, resulting in greater levels of expenditure leaving the SPAC.

### 7.5 Implications for SPAC Area

Continued growth and development of tourist visitation to the SPAC in the future has the potential to underpin local employment and economic activity. It also presents an opportunity to provide residents with greater access to retail and services, subsidised by tourist expenditure, than they could otherwise support themselves. This enhanced service and retail offering, coupled with improved pedestrian permeability of the SPAC and ground floor activation, can help ensure the sustainability of increased residential and non-residential density in the SPAC.

To support growing residential, worker and tourist expenditure directed at the SPAC, allowance should be made in the planning controls of the ACP for up to 20,000sqm of shop retail floor space within the SPAC by 2041. The amount of floorspace required ultimately depends on long-term expenditure patterns and behaviours among population and workers in the area.
8.0 Economic Development and Implementation Advice

The Activity Centre Plan for the SPAC represents an opportunity to establish the framework for the precinct to sustainably grow its role and function within the wider Perth Metro area and establish and maintain the Area as a high amenity destination of choice for residents, workers, businesses and visitors alike.

This section provides advice and recommendations on key economic development and implementation opportunities and drivers for incorporation into the Plan. It includes defining the economic vision for the SPAC, based on this assessment, key strategic themes for consideration, recommendations on the role and function of character areas within the Area and advice on the potential impact of the proposed rail station on local and regional economic development.

8.1 Summary of Key Findings

The SPAC Area has significant economic and residential potential. It is supported by a diverse range of strategic advantages including:

- High amenity location proximate to Perth Water and the South Perth foreshore.
- High levels of accessibility include direct highway access and bus and ferry-based public transport.
- Major tourist asset in the Perth Zoo.
- An affluent mature population base with a diverse mix of ages and household types.
- An established boutique retail, café and restaurant precinct, collocated with the major ferry terminal.
- Strong local cultural heritage.

Recently, South Perth has experienced a marginal decline across a number of indicators including:

- Falling population;
- Limited new residential development (pre-2016);
- Declining local employment opportunities;
- The housing stock has a disproportionately high share of low density dwellings despite the strategic river front location of the Area; and
- Public transport usage is below levels that would be expected for a strategic riverfront location like South Perth, likely due to a lack of passenger rail options.

The results of this Assessment indicate that the SPAC Area is not currently functioning at its full potential and opportunity exists to sustainably increase and enhance its residential, social and economic character. This will not only improve the long-term commercial and social sustainability of the Area, by strategically and proactively managing impending growth pressures, but will ensure the Area contributes to meeting the needs of a growing population in Greater Perth.

RPS recommends that initial focus should be afforded in the short-to-medium term on increasing residential densities across the Area to help the area reach a critical mass to support services and encourage development that activates the ground floor. This should be coupled with increased development in Entertainment and Shop Retail land uses, in response to growing tourism activity forecast for the area and the wider South Perth suburb, which will also help to improve the quality and depth of service offering for local residents.

Commercial office represents a long-term opportunity for the SPAC. The high amenity, high accessibility nature of the location helps to underpin the attractiveness of the Area for boutique knowledge intensive
businesses seeking a unique location. SPAC Area is also attractive for workers and increased commercial office employment in the SPAC presents an opportunity for the northward flow of workers to the CBD to be “captured” before Narrows Bridge and providing a southern alternative to the CBD.

This growth in commercial office investment must recognise that South Perth is unlikely to compete as a general secondary office market with other locations such as West and East Perth and emerging opportunities at Northbridge with the sinking of the rail line. Any commercial office development must therefore be more targeted in its tenant base, leveraging the natural and lifestyle amenities of the SPAC to attract and retain boutique and bespoke office-based businesses, particularly in sectors such as community health services, architecture and design, legal, research and development, secondary finance, financial and investment advisory, professional consulting and associated services and creative arts.

However, the growth of commercial office in the SPAC is likely contingent on the delivery of the rail station. The creation of a transit-oriented development in the ACP presents an opportunity for travel behaviours of workers travelling north past the SPAC to the CBD and inner city to be changed, shifting more people from the Freeway to passenger rail and encouraging passengers to disembark at South Perth rather than the CBD.

It will also increase the accessibility options of local residents and businesses to the CBD and other inner city economic nodes, reducing transaction and travel costs. Finally, rail provides further accessibility options to the SPAC for tourists and visitors, helping to underpin the long-term expansion of tourist activity to the SPAC and leveraging existing natural and manmade tourist assets in South Perth.

8.2 Economic Vision, Role and Function

The ACP is to include the development of a strategic vision for the SPAC. RPS recommends that the following economic factors be considered in the preparation of the vision:

- High amenity aspirational river front precinct.
- A destination of choice for residents, businesses, workers and visitors.
- Premier boutique tourist destination and an integral part of any visitor’s itinerary to Perth.
- A café and restaurant “hot spot” in Perth with a dynamic local culture.
- A hub of high quality regionally significant services in health, education, and retail, supporting residents and visitors alike.
- A boutique and bespoke knowledge intensive commercial business cluster leveraging natural amenities, high quality services and strong accessibility, including passenger rail.
- A leading hub of entrepreneurship and innovation, fostering micro and small businesses.

SPAC can fulfil several roles and functions in the City of South Perth and the regional economy and community. These include:

- Major cluster of higher density residential dwelling, reflecting the underlying development potential and value of the land.
- The Southern “gateway” to the Perth CBD.
- A major point of “intervention” in the northward flows of workers to the CBD, providing a southern inner-city employment alternative.
- A major regionally significant and diverse tourist destination for domestic and international visitors.
8.3 Strategic Themes

These strategic themes are based on consideration of economic characteristics and attributes within the context of current and emerging issues and trends. Many of these priority areas are shared with other parts of Perth and Australia, though all are particularly relevant to the SPAC’s current and future social and economic development.

Strategic themes have been allocated to one of three pillars. Pillars represent broad areas of focus for city-building.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pillar</th>
<th>Strategic Theme</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>A Smart Precinct</td>
<td>Establish a recognised identity as a “Smart Precinct” that is attractive for knowledge-based and research-intensive businesses and that leverages latest technologies in public realm (such as public Wi-Fi and smart grids) to enhance resident, worker and visitor experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A Vibrant Precinct</td>
<td>Improve activation and attractiveness of the Precinct as a place to live, work and visit including events, public art and an outdoor dining and lifestyle culture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>An Integrated Precinct</td>
<td>All forms and modes of transport are integrated within the Precinct with clear pedestrian and cycle routes between road, rail and other public transport nodes and major travel destinations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A ‘5 Minute’ Precinct</td>
<td>All aspects of the Precinct are accessible to residents, visitors and workers alike within a 5-min travel, regardless of mode or time of day. Movement throughout and within the Precinct should be seamless at all times of year and provide pedestrian with a sense of “journey”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character</td>
<td>A River Precinct</td>
<td>Celebrate the connection of the Precinct to Perth Water, both in terms of the public realm and integration of the built form. Continue to leverage the foreshore for public events and other activation while encouraging greater levels of ground floor activation on river front locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A Beautiful Precinct</td>
<td>Enable high quality design outcomes in developments and public realm, including activated and permeable ground floors and attractive pedestrian amenities that encourage the movement and flow of people.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.4 Role of Character Areas

The Plan + Design report by Roberts Day identified and defined four key sub-precincts or “character areas” within the SPAC. These are illustrated below.

![Character Areas, SPAC Area](image)

**CITY CENTRAL**

The City Central area is the cultural and commercial heart of the Peninsula, a place where residents, and visitors enjoy a wide diversity of attractions and commercial offerings, and now a new city square, the Botanic tram and Perth Zoo.

In the future, this area will leverage the opportunities these amenities present to create a safe, world-class destination where residents, visitors and businesses mix together in a vibrant environment with frequent events and activities.

**RICHARDSON**

The Richardson Park area is a recreation of the water Fronts of the Peninsula, with an eroded role of building styles and uses. The establishment of a small marine at Richardson Street will make the area a “soft” gateway to both Zoo and the start of the Himalaya.

Future development will recognize and enhance the diversity of the area, building upon the rich legacy of the urban fabric with cultural, commercial and business needs, enhanced heritage conservation and green pedestrian links. This area also presents a great opportunity to introduce affordable housing.

**MILL POINT**

Mill Point is predominantly a residential area characterized by green, leafy streets and buildings set back from the public realm. This inherent quality is complemented by its proximity to the South Perth Foreshore and close access to the Perth CBD.

In the future, the prevailing character will be strengthened and enhanced through upgrades to the public realm and new development which will enhance the urban character that make the area a great place to live.

**HILLSIDE**

The Hillside area is a residential area with a diverse range of building styles and densities, overlooks the eastern part of the Waterfront. Despite its close relationship to the Foreshore area, it maintains a quiet, residential character.

In the future, incremental infill development will complement and support existing residential areas, providing additional public benefit through the creation of new green spaces and new public connections to the South Perth Foreshore.

![South Perth Character Area Profiles](image)
Based on the economic vision elements outlined above and the dwelling and land use floor space scenarios in this Assessment, RPS recommends the following roles for the Character Areas:

- **City Central** – Most important precinct from an economic perspective. Principal retail, café and restaurant hub of the Precinct supported by high density residential and bespoke commercial office developments. Strong emphasis on lifestyle and café culture, fostering residential and visitor attraction and promoting local entrepreneurship and small business coworking in the Precinct. Seamless pedestrian and transport movements through the Character Area, leveraging establishment movement corridors from the Mend Street Ferry to Perth Zoo. Activation of this corridor, both community and development are critical.

- **Richardson** – high amenity and diverse residential area with a mix of small lot detached, medium density and apartment living. Integration of bespoke and boutique offices and employment accommodation with the whole Area oriented towards the River (for vistas) and Richardson Park.

- **Mill Point** – major residential intensification and growth opportunity. Current low density development represents an underutilisation of high value land and high levels of amenity make the precinct an aspirational residential destination of choice.

- **Hillside** – opportunity to leverage proximity to Central, local amenity and accessibility to the foreshore to promote intensification of residential development. Existing built form includes high rise short-stay and residential apartments and further intensification of development in this area would represent highest and best use.

### 8.5 Key Economic Opportunities

The subsequent assessments have identified a range of economic opportunities of relevance to the current and future development of the SPAC. These current and emerging opportunities have been summarised below. They are not an exhaustive list of opportunities, though they represent key areas of opportunity identified through analysis of the activity centre’s attributes and regional drivers.

**Table 20 Key Economic Development Opportunities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Opportunity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research and Knowledge-Based Office Sector</td>
<td>The SPAC, and wider region, has some of Perth’s most gentrified and highest skilled workforces. This gentrification has however not been accommodated by commensurate growth in knowledge sectors – those directly based on the production, distribution and use of knowledge and information. The SPAC has historically accommodated a high share of office-based employment and businesses, including those in Australia’s fastest growing sectors of Professional Services, Financial and Insurance and Real Estate. These sectors have however, experienced more challenging times in recent years, with CBD vacancy rates remaining high and incentives offered to potential tenants very strong. This has a flow on effect to secondary office markets such as South Perth. This is reflected in the fact that Professional Service business numbers in South Perth have declined in recent years, in response to wider economic conditions. To reduce the volatility of local demand for office floor space, consideration should be given to opportunities to attract activities with strong knowledge-based services. This should include attraction of research and development intensive activities. Opportunities include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Health and medical research commercialisation space;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Community and Primary Health initiatives;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Small business hub and co-working spaces;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Flexible project-based spaces for major projects and multi-disciplinary teams; and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Key Opportunity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Public WIFI (particularly along foreshore areas). These opportunities will help to de-risk the South Perth office market by making it a more unique office destination for businesses and investment and less dependent on wider economic trends.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diverse Tourist Mix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visitation has a direct influence on place activation and local expenditure which supports an array of retail, hospitality, tourism service and population service sectors. Visitation allows a region to benefit from growth outside the local area. The inclusion of the Perth Zoo in the Precinct underpins non-local visitation to South Perth. This is supported by the Swan River and foreshore areas of South Perth that attract local and regional visitors alike for health and wellness activities, lifestyle activities or for vistas of the Perth CBD. Tourism can however be a highly volatile sector and efforts should be made to reduce this volatility through a combination of diversifying visitor types and maximising local expenditure capture. Potential opportunities include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Secondary business conference spaces;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Diverse mix of short-stay accommodation options, including emerging product types;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Clear signage through the Precinct to key tourist attractions;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High amenity and permeable pedestrian movement corridors, particularly between attractions and public transport nodes; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ongoing and regular calendar of events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many of these attributes and opportunities already exist in South Perth. Continued improvement to formal and promote these attributes, complementing them with new developments, must be the focus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Density Living</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apartments in Perth were the fastest growing dwelling type over the 2001 to 2011 period, growing three times faster than detached houses, with much of this attributable to housing affordability and social preferences. Whilst broader preferences continue to favour detached housing, trends towards living affordably closer to amenity in low maintenance homes have supported increased higher density living across capital cities in Australia. Moreover, the State Government has progressively identified a need for greater levels of infill and recent planning frameworks have highlighted the importance of state and local government policy frameworks to encourage for medium and high density development. A centrepiece of Perth and Peel @ 3.5 Million is the creation of a more harmonious balance between infill and greenfield development through the identification of local government targets and an overall Perth target of 47% infill by 2050 (from 28% in 2014). The Precinct’s diverse population already supports higher density living and as a result has been the focus of recent residential development and investment. Continued support of higher density living, with associated ground floor and pedestrian amenity and facilities, is therefore required to realise the residential potential of the area and meet the needs of the local and wider community. This could include the development of new housing product in the area including products targeted a young working couples, students, mature families, SOHO and entrepreneurs, retirees. Consideration should also be given to changes in the short-stay accommodation sector in recent years and the increased take up of home stays and the “sharing economy” like AirBNB.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8.6 Incentivising the “Right” Development

Realising the economic and development potential of the Precinct may require some form of incentivisation from Council and/or the State Government. Development and investment incentives have become an increasingly common aspect of Local Government development policies, with Councils playing a critical role in encouraging and facilitating economic activity.
8.6.1 The Role of Local Government in Attracting Development

The approach taken by local governments in attracting development to a region or specific area needs to be multi-faceted and undertaken in conjunction with state and possibly federal counterparts and industry themselves. The facets that need consideration in attracting development to a region have been noted above and they additionally include:

- Current economic conditions, including access to finance;
- Assist existing businesses in the development of growth opportunities, rather than attempting to immediately attract new business;
- Development plans for an area and their attractiveness to investors;
- Willingness of local governments to assist with the development process i.e. through incentives and bonuses;
- A proactive, rather than reactive approach to development that promotes development incentives in return for public and environmental benefits;
- Understanding the competitive advantages of your region, or locality;
- Access to transport and infrastructure; and
- Supply of labour.

Intangible factors include the attractiveness of a location, access to education facilities, cultural and recreational facilities, shopping and housing affordability including rental costs. In developing the SPAC and wider South Perth suburb, it is important to understand the impact of the wider economy on attracting development to the city, the built environment and the amenity of the city as part of the city’s appeal.

8.6.2 Potential Incentives for South Perth

Based on the best practice examples from around Australia, RPS has collated a range of potential development incentives options for consideration for the Precinct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incentive Category</th>
<th>Incentive Type</th>
<th>Relevant Land Uses</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Built Form Allowances  | Floor Space Bonuses     | Residential, Commercial, Retail      | Allowance for an increase in the floor space of a subject site, above that generally approved. This allowance is usually provided in circumstances where the development has provided some form of public amenity/good including:  
  1. Public toilets and infant change rooms;  
  2. Corner site streetscapes;  
  3. Affordable housing (owner occupier or rental);  
  4. For retirement village and aged care developments;  
  5. Site Amalgamations;  
  6. Public art;  
  7. Heritage protection; and  
  8. Urban Design or Architectural Merit (above that legislatively required)  
  Similarly, floor space bonuses can be given to developments of a specific type to enhance their viability. For example, the Western Australian Planning Commission and the City of Wanneroo proved a substantial increase in the Westfield Whitford City Shopping Centre contingent on the delivery of affordable apartments on the site. |
<p>| Car Parking            | Reduced Bay             | Residential                          | Reduction in locations of strategic transport and accessibility                                                                                                                                                                                                 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incentive Category</th>
<th>Incentive Type</th>
<th>Relevant Land Uses</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development Applications</td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>value of car parking requirements. Typically includes a halving of traditional requirements and the application of further bonuses in strategic locations (i.e. CBD centres and directly adjacent major public transport infrastructure). This can save up to $50,000 per car bay, enhancing development viability. Other examples include reductions in car parking requirements for developments that provide space for car sharing facilities (i.e. bays).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Applications</td>
<td>Reduced or Waived Fees</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Reduction or waiving of sundry fees (Operational works, Miscellaneous infrastructure, Hydraulic services or Water and meter service connections).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Applications</td>
<td>Code Accessibility</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Making select development types within identified locations code assessable (to the extent possible).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Applications</td>
<td>Timeframe Certainty</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Providing certainty to proponents of the timing of application approvals through either fixed or maximum terms. Typically facilitated by establishing a requirement for pre-lodgment, mid-lodgment and post-lodgment meetings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Applications</td>
<td>Development Advocate</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Allocating a Council officer or team to act as a “Development Advocate” for developments on a subject site (this could be for more than one site). The Advocate is responsible within Council for streamlining the development application and approvals processes, advocating for the development internally and acting as a coordinating point of contact for the development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Charges</td>
<td>Charges Discount</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Discounting of infrastructure charges (typically 33-50%) for developments meeting set conditions (located in a CBD) or providing certain benefits (long-term employment or economic contributions, affordable housing or aged accommodation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Charges</td>
<td>Charges Moratorium</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Waiving of up to 100% of applicable infrastructure charges for a set period (typically 3-5 years) for developments that commence and/or complete during this period. Used by Queensland Government in promoting short-stay/tourist accommodation in Brisbane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates Holidays</td>
<td>Waiving of Rates</td>
<td>Commercial, Retail, Community</td>
<td>A moratorium (1-3 years) on general rates on new floor space. Covers both new developments and expansions to existing developments and applies to the new floor space. Effective in the first full year of the development upon completion and valuation. Generally, only applicable for non-residential developments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates Holidays</td>
<td>Rates Discount</td>
<td>Commercial, Residential, Community</td>
<td>Discount of general rates on the subject site for developments providing long-term employment and economic benefits. Discounts vary but are typically linked to the number of workers or the turnover of the business, rather than the size of the development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenancy/Occupation Decisions</td>
<td>Council Tenancy</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Local or State Government helps to stimulate commercial office development by acting as an anchor tenant in a private owned office building for an extended lease. Examples include Joondalup City Centre and Brisbane Square.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity Investments</td>
<td>Streetscape Improvements</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Investment in enhancing streetscapes and pedestrian amenity in locations of potential development. Can be undertaken independently or by the developer as a charges offset.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Movement Network Report

The Movement Network Report has been compiled in support of the completion of the South Perth Activity Centre Plan. The purpose of this report is to inform the development of the plan and provide information that is required within State Planning Policy 4.2 (SPP 4.2) relating the functioning of the transport network.

The title of the report reflects the stage of the process that this document informs (Milestone 3 as shown in Figure 1). This report sets out the context of the transport network and is designed to assist the project team, City of South Perth and stakeholder engagement processes. It will be finalised upon completion of the overall planning and engagement process associated with the Activity Centre.

Statutory and strategic planning or infrastructure decisions have informed many outcomes that are apparent in the Activity Centre area. From a transport perspective, much of this has centred around the potential for a new Station along the Mandurah Urban Rail Line.

There has also been substantial technical transport work undertaken within this location over the past five years. Much of the technical work has focussed on modal elements (such as a Parking Strategy) or has examined issue-specific areas of interest (such as intersection modelling). The Movement Network Report does not seek to replicate this work, nor supersede it in many instances. References to previous technical work are contained throughout this report where appropriate.

1.2 State Planning Policy 4.2 (SPP 4.2)

The City of South Perth commenced the development of an Activity Centre plan as a result of a significant planning process culminating in the May 2017 South Perth Peninsula Place and Design Report (PDR). Development of Activity Centre plans are guided by State Planning Policy 4.2 (SPP 4.2) – Activity Centres for Perth and Peel. Within SPP 4.2, there is a requirement to address transport issues within the Activity Centre with a focus on a key objective that contributes to orderly planning across the Perth and Peel Region, being:

**SPP 4.2 Objective:** Maximise access to activity centres by walking, cycling and public transport while reducing private car trips.

The Movement Network Report sets out the required responses to the key areas set out in SPP 4.2. Outside of the introduction section of the Report, there are six key areas that are examined within the report:

- Regional Perspective
- Public Transport
- Pedestrian Movement and Amenity
- Cycling
- Vehicle Movement and Access
- Parking.

Figure 1 Process for Activity Centre Plan
2. REGIONAL PERSPECTIVE

2.1 Regional Location

The South Perth Activity Centre Plan area is located to the south of Central Perth. Geographically, it is located on a peninsula in the Swan River.

The transport network in the Activity Centre is dominated by the Kwinana Freeway reserve which extends along the western boundary of the Activity Centre. On an average weekday, the Freeway carries well over 180,000 vehicles per day and it is one of the busiest sections of the primary distributor road network throughout the Perth Metropolitan Region. The Freeway reserve also contains the Perth to Mandurah Urban Rail line.

The location of the Activity Centre in a regional perspective is shown in Figure 2. In respect of traffic travelling distances from the main intersection of Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road, the Activity Centre is approximately:

- 7km from Curtin University
- 7km from University of Western Australia
- 8km from the East Perth Train Terminal
- 19km from the North Head Ferry Terminal
- 19km from Perth International Airport.

Figure 2 Location of Activity Centre
2.2 Regional Perspective – Road Hierarchy

The road network in the South Perth Activity Centre is dominated by Access Streets and a street network that is maintained and controlled by the City of South Perth. The road network within the Activity Centre Boundary, setting out the Main Roads WA road hierarchy classifications, is shown in Figure 3. The main distributor level roads are:

- Ramps and Kwinana Freeway alignment – Primary Distributor
- Mill Point Road from King Edward Street to Labouchere Road – District Distributor B
- Labouchere Road from Angelo Street to Mill Point Road – District Distributor B
- Mill Point Road from Mill Point Close to Labouchere Road – Local Distributor
- Angelo Street from Onslow Street to Labouchere Road – Local Distributor

All other streets and lanes shown in Figure 3 are classified as Access Streets.

2.3 Regional Perspective – Road Speed Zones

The vast majority of streets and roads within the Activity Centre have a posted speed limit of 50km/h.

As shown in Figure 4, Kwinana Freeway has posted limits of between 80km/h and 100km/h with Mill Point Road, Labouchere Road and a number of on and off-ramps having a posted speed limit of 60km/h.

Where a street in the Activity Centre is not marked, the posted speed limit is 50km/h.

2.4 Regional Perspective – Points of Arrival

The Activity Centre has a range of unique arrival points per mode, as indicated in Figure 5.

- The Activity Centre is home to the Mends Street Ferry Terminal, the only public transport passenger ferry terminal on the Transperth network outside of Elizabeth Quay in Central Perth.
- The primary north-south Principal Shared Path (PSP) for cyclists and pedestrians runs alongside the Kwinana Freeway and provides an entry point via the Narrows Bridge (from the north) and via an overpass bridge on to Melville Parade (from the south).
- The Recreational Shared Path (RSP) that runs around the Swan River provides an entry point for pedestrians and cyclists from the east.
- The Kwinana Freeway off-ramp provides a southbound entry point for vehicles and bus passengers from Central Perth.

There are a range of other lower order, localised entry points for all modes, including via the Labouchere Road corridor from the south, Angelo Street corridor from the east and the Mill Point Road corridor from the east.
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Figure 5 Points of arrival

South Perth Activity Centre Boundary
2.5 Regional Perspective – Key Sites

There are a number of key sites within the Activity Centre boundaries, ranging from existing features through to sites that will impact substantially on the network in the future. The sites, as indicated on Figure 6, are:

- The site of a potential future South Perth Train Station on the Mandurah Urban Rail line. The site is located adjacent to Melville Parade near the intersection of Richardson Street. This section of the Urban Rail line has been designed to accommodate future rail platforms.
- Kwinana Freeway on and off ramps and intersection with Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road. This intersection and ramp structure dominate the transport network in the Activity Centre and have had substantial analysis undertaken over many years.
- Mends Street Ferry Terminal. The terminal is the major existing Public Transport entry point to the Activity Centre.
- Mends Street is the main commercial, entertainment and retail area within the Activity Centre, the form of which is being examined under a separate project.
- Perth Zoo. The Zoo is a major attractor of trips, including on weekends and during holiday periods. It attracts substantial numbers of public transport and vehicle trips.
- Civic Heart. The proposed development at the intersection of Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road will generate a range of impacts on the Activity Centre street network.

2.6 Regional Perspective – Travel Context

One of the standard indicators for travel mode preferences is Journey to Work information extracted from the Census which captures the main mode of transport from a place of residence to employment. The 2016 Journey to Work information for the...
Locality of South Perth (removing non-working respondents) is shown in Figure 7. In general, these mode splits for work journeys are replicated in the wider City of South Perth.

In comparison to Greater Perth, South Perth had fewer car trips and higher bus trips, which is reflective of the proximity of South Perth to the main employment centres.

The release of the 2016 Census data captured high level changes in South Perth that are shown in Figure 8. The headline changes between periods are:

- A rise in the proportion of people driving to work (62% to 66%)
- A reduction in the number of people walking (4% to 1%)
- Reductions overall in public transport usage with Bus, Ferry and Train all reducing
- Higher proportion of people working from home or using other modes.

It should be noted that these figure reflect the main, single mode trips. The total responses also capture multiple mode trips. There were an additional 142 people who made trips using train or bus as one of multiple modes whilst only 56 people making trips with car and other modes. So the overall use of public transport is slightly higher than an initial interpretation.

2.7 Regional Perspective – Travel Context

The movement of people to and from South Perth for employment purposes was also examined to understand travel from a sub-regional perspective. For outbound trips, there was a significant degree of self-containment, as well as trips to Central and Inner Perth and Victoria Park.

For inbound workers, there was a high movement of people from Melville, Canning, Gosnells and Victoria Park.

This information, which was only available for the 2011 Census at the time of completing the assessment of the Activity Centre, is shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10.
The RAC undertook a survey of 800 people in 2014 to chart travel mode by trip type to inform a study about car sharing. The inner city survey area included South Perth. The results, shown in Figure 11, indicate that:

- Private vehicles are the dominant mode of transport for all trips excepting University and TAFE trips.
- The mode split for work purposes for the overall Inner City area reflects that of South Perth in the 2011 Census results.
- Public transport use for non-work or higher education trips is very low.
- Walking is a very important mode for all types of trips – a critical consideration for Activity Centres.

These movements reflect Census outputs and also provide an confirmation that private vehicles are the dominant mode of choice for travel in Inner Perth areas such as South Perth.
Travel times between Activity Centres and key locations around the City of South Perth were also examined to understand the context of travel choice. The exercise involved:

- Using the travel and route tool within Google Maps to gain an understanding of travel times for different modes in the AM peak period.
- Five locations were examined – South Perth, Canning Bridge, Curtin University, Causeway and Perth.
- Car, Bus, Bike and Ferry were all examined, with some multiple options reviewed.
- The time of the comparison was 8.10am on a Thursday.
- The starting point of the trips was based on a location in the South Perth Activity Centre.

Examples of the measurement tool for the individual modes are shown in Figure 12. For car trips, there was a range to reflect peak hour movements being variable and bus routes sometimes had multiple options or included a walking component.

For Perth, the end point location was 140 William Street which houses Perth Underground and the Department of Planning, Lands and Housing.

Each of the resulting times were then ranked by mode to understand an important contributor as to how people may make travel decisions between Activity Centres and key transport nodes in and around the City.

The results were overlaid on a map image to show overall results. These results are shown on Figure 13.
The travel time comparison showed some obvious patterns which have dictated overall peak hour travel patterns in the City:

- Travel times for car trips are fastest.
- Bus travel times are generally highest, reflecting impact of stops, winding suburban based routes and lack of priority.
- Bicycle trips are competitive in travel times although that is qualified by potential lack of attractive infrastructure along these routes – such as Canning Highway.
- Where there were fast, direct and frequent bus services (such as those along Canning Highway), buses were very competitive in travel times during the morning peak.
- The Ferry and Walk trip from Mends Street is highly competitive for Central Perth trips.

Census data also provides details on car ownership by household. A review of the reported responses in the South Perth SLA between 2001 and 2016 was undertaken. The number of overall households in the South Perth SLA during this period of time grew from 5,339 to 5,629, an increase of 290 households or around 5.4% additional housing stock.

The results from the Census periods are shown in Figure 14. These outcomes, which reflect a higher proportion of households with more cars, and a lower proportion of households with no cars, demonstrates a clear disconnect between strategic land use and transport integration policies and local statutory policies during this period.

Simply put, there are substantially more vehicles (over 1,000) associated with residential dwellings in South Perth over the 15 year period. This has, in turn, contributed to existing peak hour traffic related congestion.

Using available Census data and information from other Activity Centre planning exercises, a review of existing modal splits for Journey to Work activity was undertaken. This was completed to benchmark the existing travel patterns and allow for an understanding of the impacts of future travel patterns.

Information for South Perth, Subiaco and the Canning Bridge Activity Centre were reviewed, as shown in Table 1. The headline travel categories were compared to allow for general conclusions to be drawn for commuting trips.

Both Subiaco and Canning Bridge have access to the Urban Rail network which is reflected in a higher public transport mode split of 22.3% compared with Canning Bridge 15.10% and South Perth 14.2%.

South Perth has by far and away the largest proportion of car drivers and passengers (76.3%) however this is skewed by South Perth covering the lower density areas to the south of the Activity Centre. By comparison, Subiaco has 57.4% using a car as a driver or passenger and Canning Bridge has 63.7%.

Examination of the SA1 2016 Census data covering just the Activity Centre indicates that the actual split is closer to 59% using a car as a driver or passenger and 15.7% using public transport for all responses. When factored to just take into account people who worked (removing worked at home responses, not working and other), the splits are 71% using cars and 19% using public transport.
### Table 1 Mode splits on journey to work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Subiaco 2016</th>
<th>South Perth 2016</th>
<th>Canning Bridge 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>15.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRT/Ferry</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car, Driver</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>63.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car, Passenger</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walked</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>18.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **PUBLIC TRANSPORT**

3.1 **Public Transport Network**

The Transperth public transport network available within the South Perth Activity Centre (shown in Figure 15 including the four bus stops referred to in Figure 16) is comprised of four bus routes and a Ferry Terminal at Mends Street. The location of the Ferry Terminal within the Activity Centre makes South Perth a unique location in the overall public transport network as this is the only public transport ferry service in the Metropolitan region.

There are no bus terminals or station infrastructure in the Activity Centre although the 35 service has a terminus stop off Mill Point Road. There are no bus priority measures, either on road or through signal priority, on the local roads through the Activity Centre.

Bus stop utilisation information current for 2017 was obtained from Transperth to provide a comparison with the 2011 and 2015 information presented in the 2016 South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Study.

That study presented two separate data sets, one a weekday total bus boarding and alighting total from 2011, the other a stop specific analysis from 2015. A comparison of both has been completed.

The outcomes of the analysis are shown in Figure 16 and Figure 17. The analysis shows that:

- Like for like, bus patronage in the Activity Centre has dropped between 2011 and 2017.
- Like for like, average bus stop patronage in the busiest bus stops in the Activity Centre have dropped between 2015 and 2017.
- Overall use of buses in the Activity Centre remains very low.

Outcomes from the 2016 Census support the broad conclusion that public transport patronage in the Activity Centre is reducing, not increasing.

There was no detailed analysis or examination of Ferry patronage completed in previous studies relating to the Activity Centre. The details that were presented in the 2016 South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Study were based on STEM model outputs.

This indicated that around 900 weekday Ferry boardings and alightings were projected for 2016. The projections from STEM, including the split between Bus and Ferry patronage, is shown in Figure 18 with the “2016 without South Perth Station” providing a relevant comparison to 2017.

Ferry patronage details for March 2015 were provided by the PTA to provide a like for like monthly comparison and also show the impact associated with Elizabeth Quay opening. The overall results are shown in Figure 19 which shows that average patronage for all periods has at least doubled.
Figure 16 Bus stop patronage in Activity Centre - 2015 and 2017

Figure 17 Bus boarding and alighting - 2011 and 2017
Actual 2017 March weekday patronage numbers for Public Transport, shown in Figure 20, appears to indicate that STEM forecasts for 2016 were higher than actual usage by around 15-20% in total. This should be factored by the area of the STEM zone covering this patronage being larger than the South Perth Activity Centre. Taking into consideration the differences in areas, the level of 2016 patronage forecast within STEM appears reflective of 2017 conditions.

The other element to the public transport usage, was the split between bus and ferry usage within STEM as opposed to the actual patronage levels. STEM 2016 forecast that there would be a higher bus patronage than ferry usage. The actual splits for 2017 are shown in Figure 21 and Figure 22 for weekday, Saturday and Sunday. These splits show that there is higher ferry usage on weekdays and weekends associated with non-commuter trips. Within the Activity Centre, total overall weekday trips are also higher by Ferry. The overall public transport context analysis indicates that:

- Ferry usage outstrips bus usage for all time periods in the Activity Centre.
- There is a clear connection between Ferry usage and non-commuting trips between South Perth and Elizabeth Quay.
- There are minimal non-commuting trips made by bus to and from the Activity Centre.
- Bus patronage has plateaued in the Activity Centre in the past few years.
- Overall public transport accessibility within the Activity Centre in terms of standard walking distances is good and not seen as a barrier to use.
- Connection by public transport to the other Activity Centres in and adjacent to South Perth is poor and not competitive with private vehicles and, in many cases, cycling.
Figure 21 Public transport usage for Activity Centre 2017

Figure 22 Public transport use by mode 2017
4. PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT AND AMENITY

4.1 Pedestrian Movement

There is a high level of pedestrian facility provision in the Activity Centre with the vast majority of streets having footpaths on both sides. There is substantial provision of high quality shared paths in recreation reserves and along the Kwinana Freeway.

The key desire lines for pedestrians are heavily related to leisure or tourist based trips in the Activity Centre. At the core of most key pedestrian desire lines are the Perth Zoo or the Recreational Shared Path that runs along the Swan River. The key existing desire lines, as indicated on Figure 23, are:

- Between the Mends Street Ferry Terminal and Perth Zoo. The terminal is the major existing Public Transport entry point to the Activity Centre and is heavily used by visitors to the Zoo, patrons visiting South Perth for views back to Central Perth or for attending restaurants and cafes in South Perth.
- Across the Narrows Bridge into the Activity Centre. This route provides the key pedestrian route to and from Central Perth. It is a popular recreational route for people completing a loop around the Swan River.
- Along and around Mends Street in the main commercial, entertainment and retail area within the Activity Centre. Pedestrian trips dominate this area.
- Pedestrian movements between parking areas along Labouchere Road and Richardson Street to Perth Zoo. There are pedestrian only traffic signals located on Labouchere Road to allow people to safely cross with signalised priority.
- Along the South Perth foreshore.

The key desire lines for pedestrians within the Activity Centre is reinforced by heat mapping available from the commercial product, Strava. The application is popular with recreational joggers and therefore reflects a small user group. The image of the peninsula shown in Figure 24 is a heat map of the popular routes recorded by joggers and walkers. The key routes are:

- Along the South Perth foreshore
- Along the Kwinana Freeway using the PSP for north-south trips
- Along the Labouchere Road corridor
- Along Melville Parade accessing the existing bridge crossing of the Kwinana Freeway
- Along Mill Point Road leading up to Mends Street from the east.

To examine the existing context, an analysis of the commercial product, Walkscore, was completed. The score ascribed to locations around the Activity Centre for walking shown on Figure 25 is generally poor for an Inner City location and reflective of the type of urban form and land use, low provision (and use) of public transport and geographical constraints. Walkscore bandings are:

- 90–100 Walker’s Paradise: Daily errands do not require a car
- 70–89 Very Walkable: Most errands can be accomplished on foot
- 50–69 Somewhat Walkable: Some errands can be accomplished on foot
- 25–49 Car-Dependent: Most errands require a car
- 0–24 Car-Dependent: Almost all errands require a car
Figure 24 Strava heatmapping for run activities - Activity Centre (source: Strava)

Figure 25 Walkscore bandings - Activity Centre
5. CYCLING

5.1 Cycle Network

The City of South Perth is presently developing a joint Bicycle Plan alongside the Town of Victoria Park to supersede the exiting 2012-2017 Bike Plan. The South Perth Activity Centre area includes two primary shared use paths along the Kwinana Freeway and Swan River (Sir James Mitchell Park). Count sites along these two main routes are permanent locations with data available up to 2015. The year by year average counts for Monday to Friday and Monday to Sunday for the Sir James Mitchell Park location (just to the east of the Activity Centre boundary) are shown in Figure 26 and Figure 27.

These counts show that, during the period from 2011/12 to 2014/15, there was an incremental growth in recorded cycling trips along this path. There was a lower level of growth along the Kwinana Freeway path. Use of the paths also fluctuates between weekdays and weekends. Figure 27 shows the hourly usage profile of the Sir James Mitchell Park path in 2015. There are two recorded periods, average weekdays and average weekends. Figure 28 sets out the existing cycling network plan.

Whilst the weekday period shows the tidal fluctuation associated with commuter cycling, the weekend average hourly counts show that there is a substantial peak in the morning (associated with casual, recreational trips) but then use tapers off. There is also a higher use of the clockwise path around the Swan River. Overall cycling use in South Perth is dominated by the presence of through commuting cycle trips, rather than more fine grained use of local streets. This is also evident in collated Strava data shown in Figure 29 which indicates the dominance of commuting routes along shared use paths.
Transperth has allocated space for four bicycles onboard Transperth ferries.

Strava heatmapping for cycling activities - Activity Centre (source: Strava)
6. **VEHICULAR MOVEMENT AND ACCESS**

6.1 Vehicular Movement and Access

Traffic volumes in the Activity Centre were set out in the 2016 South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Study and are replicated on Figure 30. The volumes in the location of the Activity Centre would not have fluctuated substantially since the 2015 data was collected.

In addressing traffic volumes, the Study states:

"Peak hour intersection surveys were undertaken in October 2015. Traffic volumes were obtained from the City of South Perth at numerous locations within the study area. These traffic counts on the Council-controlled roads were collected in February 2016 by the City of South Perth.

The traffic volumes on the on and off-ramps to the Kwinana Freeway at Mill Point Road west of Labouchere Road are based on the latest counts from the Main Roads WA website from 2014/15.

The Average Weekday Traffic (AWT) volumes are summarised to the nearest 100 vehicles and shown on Figure 30. As expected, the highest traffic volumes are generated along Mill Point Road and Labouchere Road (District Distributor B type roads).

Traffic volumes for other access roads, such as Melville Parade and Richardson Street, were significantly lower.

In addition to the collection of traffic information, the 2016 South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Study also undertook an analysis of mid-block capacity for three key traffic routes in the Activity Centre.

The Study notes:

"A midblock capacity analysis was undertaken for all roads (other than access roads) where traffic volume data was available. Typical mid-block capacities for urban roads with interrupted flow have been sourced from Austroads Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice Part 2: Roadway Capacity.

Based on the existing traffic volumes, all roads had sufficient mid-block capacity and this is shown for the roads in study area as shown in (Figure 31)."
In response to a range of development and traffic related issues, South Perth commissioned the building of a traffic simulation model in 2016 to assist in informing both development assessment processes and traffic management proposals.

The coverage of the modelled area is shown on Figure 32, with the South Perth Activity Centre area modelled in detail to reflect existing and proposed developments. Canning Highway has only recently been included in the model. The AM and PM peak hour models have been calibrated to 2016 conditions with demand matrices developed based on ROM24.

The 2016 model outputs reflect the existing conditions of the local street network and regional road connections. In particular, the link delay plots shown in Figure 33 show that the vast majority of the network is comprised of local streets that experience minimal traffic congestion or delay issues in the peaks. The volume over capacity outputs of the model reflect average conditions where delays of vehicles are output in seconds – low delays on Figure 33 are in green with higher delays in red.

Within the Activity Centre, the key locations for traffic delay and peak period congestion are confined to the approach to the Kwinana Freeway on ramp from Labouchere Road and Mill Road and the signalised intersection of Mill Point Road and Mends Street. This has been a long recognised reality and there has been substantial focus on these locations in the context of both planning and transport planning issues.
6.2 Travel Context

Recognising that the main intersections are both the lynchpin to the movement of traffic as well as critical in terms of pedestrian movements, South Perth commissioned nano-simulation of the key intersections in 2017 alongside the existing give way intersection of Richardson Street and Labouchere Road.

The study covered potential signal optimisation and co-ordination, improvements to pedestrian access, revised layouts for the intersections and testing of new traffic signals at the existing give way intersection of Richardson Street and Labouchere Road. Separately, Main Roads WA also modelled the intersections to inform operational decisions around signal optimisation and performance. Both studies identified potential improvements and outcomes that will be considered in the South Perth Activity Centre Plan. They were:

- Banning some movements at the intersection of Mill Point Road and the Freeway ramps
- Change pedestrian movements at the intersection of Mill Point Road and the Freeway ramps to remove one leg and install a staged crossing on the eastern side of the intersection
- Install barriers and address access movements at adjacent development sites
- Widen out pedestrian refuge areas and kerbs at the intersection of Mill Point Road and Mends Street to cater for higher pedestrian volumes
- Examine signal times and phasing to improve pedestrian access
- Main Roads WA doesn’t support traffic signals at Richardson Street at present however the City of South Perth will seek to implement changes to access movements within the South Perth Activity Centre to support this intersection with Labouchere Road being installed.

Outside of peak periods, the network does not sustain any congestion of note although it is recognised that special events (such as Australia Day fireworks) or school holidays result in higher levels of traffic, parking occupancy and congestion.

6.3 Vehicular Movement and Access – ROM24

The overarching strategic traffic model operated by Main Roads WA, ROM24, was examined to understand the strategic context of traffic movements, as well as provide a comparison to the South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy. ROM24 provides forecast traffic volume information based on land use details extracted from the WAPC and road network proposals put forward by Main Roads WA. Forecast years examined are 2021 and 2031 – with the 2031 plot shown in Figure 34.
Within the South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy, Table 13 provided an assessment of the various forecast year outputs for the key links through the Activity Centre, Labouchere Road, Mill Point Road and the Freeway entrance and exit ramps.

All Day forecast year vehicle plots were requested from Main Roads WA to understand the updated context of vehicle volumes. For the South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy, land use inputs into ROM24 were taken from the Main Roads WA Road Planning Branch. Network proposals were taken from the DoT Moving Network People Plan and Public Transport Plan for Perth 2031.

For the Activity Centre Plan, Main Roads WA provided forecast year plots based on land use taken from the WAPC MLUFS database (which is based on existing development proposals and forecast land development supplied by Local Government) and the transport network reflected the base 2031 road network. That network included Freeway expansion and other schemes presently under construction.

The differences between these plots are set out in Table 3. The final column shows the difference between 2016 observed traffic volumes and the 2031 ROM24 forecast volumes.

The general differences between the 2016 observed volumes and the 2031 forecast year volumes are that traffic is forecast to decrease along the Mill Point Road corridor with traffic along the Labouchere Road corridor forecast to increase.

There are substantial variances in the forecast traffic volumes between the previous assessment within the South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy and the more recent version of ROM24.

This can be attributed to a range of factors, including:

- How the network is coded
- Land use within previous versions of ROM or ROM24 were vastly overstated in some circumstances, leading to higher forecast volumes
- Changes in network — for instance Freeway widening and measures would take some additional regional traffic away from Mill Point Road.

The South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy qualifies the use of forecast year information, noting the impact of land use inputs and also the significant variances that are possible in how traffic is either forecast or attributed to certain sections of road.

In order to provide a magnitude of scale in terms of impacts, the South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy undertook a midblock capacity assessment of key streets.

The results of this assessment, which used observed peak hour flows and a theoretical capacity of 1900 vehicles per hour (per direction with capacities taken from Austroads Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice Part 2: Roadway Capacity) are replicated in Table 2. This analysis reflected that the majority of road links in 2031 would be operating at or over capacity during peak hours taking into account forecast traffic volumes.
### Table 3: Forecast traffic volume analysis for Activity Centre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labouchere Road (between Angelo Street and Mends Street)</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>10,130</td>
<td>15,100</td>
<td>4,970</td>
<td>10,130</td>
<td>15,130</td>
<td>23,930</td>
<td>23,130</td>
<td>10,400</td>
<td>12,100</td>
<td>1,970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southbound</td>
<td>5,170</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>6,330</td>
<td>5,170</td>
<td>10,670</td>
<td>18,670</td>
<td>17,170</td>
<td>10,100</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>2,130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labouchere Road (between Mends Street and Mill Point Road)</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>8,100</td>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>14,700</td>
<td>25,100</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>13,300</td>
<td>14,900</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southbound</td>
<td>5,140</td>
<td>13,100</td>
<td>7,960</td>
<td>5,140</td>
<td>10,940</td>
<td>23,140</td>
<td>21,740</td>
<td>11,600</td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>5,060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Point Road (east of Mends Street)</td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>10,300</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>13,180</td>
<td>15,580</td>
<td>20,380</td>
<td>19,880</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>-1,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>9,600</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11,675</td>
<td>14,575</td>
<td>18,375</td>
<td>18,175</td>
<td>9,100</td>
<td>9,400</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Point Road (west of Mends Street)</td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>10,980</td>
<td>7,100</td>
<td>-3,880</td>
<td>10,980</td>
<td>14,480</td>
<td>22,280</td>
<td>21,580</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>6,300</td>
<td>-4,680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>10,475</td>
<td>8,400</td>
<td>-2,075</td>
<td>10,475</td>
<td>13,475</td>
<td>17,575</td>
<td>16,775</td>
<td>8,400</td>
<td>6,800</td>
<td>-3,675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway On-ramp</td>
<td>Exit</td>
<td>19,600</td>
<td>21,400</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>19,600</td>
<td>28,300</td>
<td>39,400</td>
<td>37,900</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>15,300</td>
<td>-4,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enter</td>
<td>25,300</td>
<td>25,100</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>33,200</td>
<td>46,200</td>
<td>45,200</td>
<td>18,900</td>
<td>21,200</td>
<td>-4,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4 Peak Hours

To understand the implications for revised ROM24 forecast year models, AM and PM peak period plots for the Activity Centre in 2031 and Volume over Capacity plots were requested. The midblock peak period plots (for two hours in the morning and afternoon) are shown for the AM in Figure 35 and the PM Figure 36, with Volume over Capacity plots in Figure 37.

In addition, to understand present peak hour movements, an analysis of 2017 SCATS\(^1\) data at the intersection of Labouchere Road, Mill Point Road and the Freeway Ramps was completed to inform peak hour volumes as a percentage of overall daily traffic. This was completed to allow for a comparison of the analysis completed in the South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy. The results of the peak hour analysis are shown in Table 4, with significant variances in approaches depending on time and direction.

The ROM24 outputs for peak periods and the Volume over Capacity analysis indicates that there is requisite midblock capacity available for the forecast traffic volumes to be within accepted boundaries from a strategic level. None of the links within the Activity Centre network approach a practical capacity of at least 0.85.

The peak hour proportions shown in Table 4 indicate that the volumes are generally tidal – in particular traffic moving along the Freeway / Labouchere Road corridor. This highlights the primacy of this movement through the area and ultimately the impact on the Activity Centre caused by sub-regional traffic travelling from elsewhere in South Perth through the area or from origins or destinations outside of South Perth.

Table 4 Peak hour proportions from SCATS volumes in 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Link</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>2017 Peak Hour</th>
<th></th>
<th>2017 Peak Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labouchere Road (between Mends Street and Mill Point Road)</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southbound</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Point Road (west of Mends Street)</td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway On-ramp</td>
<td>Exit</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enter</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Sydney Coordinated Adaptive Traffic System (SCATS) collects traffic volume data using detectors at traffic signals (located near stop lines). SCATS data is captured 24 hours a day (midnight to midnight). Data is available at all signalised intersections (including pelican/puffin crossings) across the state. This data can be used to measure traffic volume including lane and/or turning counts (where available).
6.5 Commentary - Modelling

A substantial amount of traffic modelling has been completed for the Activity Centre, all of which highlight a number of key issues for vehicle movements:

- The intersection of Labouchere Road, Mill Point Road and the Freeway ramps is a congested intersection and will continue to be so in the future. The City of South Perth and Main Roads WA are addressing this.
- The corridor along Labouchere Road and the Freeway is the highest volume traffic corridor and carries the highest volumes in peak hour and throughout the day.
- Local development will contribute to traffic volumes in the Activity Centre in the future resulting in the requirement to examine the capacity, management and configuration of some intersections.

As noted within the South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy:

“The roads within the precinct are adequate to accommodate the existing traffic volumes, however the future traffic forecasts from the ROM24 model from Main Roads WA indicates higher levels of congestion will occur in the peak direction. It is clear from the forecast traffic volumes that there will be considerable pressure on Labouchere Road from 2031 should development occur as proposed... there will need to be a significant change in travel behaviour to allow the network to operate satisfactorily beyond 2031 to include broader transport initiatives”.

---

Figure 36 ROM24 2031 PM peak period forecast vehicle movements

Figure 37 ROM24 2031 peak period forecast volume over capacity outputs
7. PARKING

7.1 South Perth Parking Strategy

The completion of the City of South Perth Parking Strategy in May 2016 established a framework for parking and travel demand management. This comprehensive strategy recommended a new approach to parking management and set out a series of parking control areas, including one focused on the South Perth Activity Centre (South Perth Station PCA), as shown in Figure 38.

The first major recommendation of the Strategy was based on the overall approach to travel demand management and the importance of parking:

"Change the City’s approach from the current predict and provide to a demand management approach whereby parking facilities are used more effectively and parking is proactively managed to align with the agreed strategy".

The key components of this recommendation were:

a) “Focus on people access not vehicle access
b) Provide efficient and effective alternatives to car access
c) Parking policy and strategy must support sustainable transport
d) The appropriate amount of parking for the centre will be well below the unconstrained demand for parking
e) The provision of parking requires a demand management, not a demand satisfaction approach".

Figure 38 City of South Perth Parking Strategy precinct plan
7.2 On Street Parking – PCA1

The framework around on-street parking management for the entire City and in turn for the South Perth Station Precinct area was established in the City of South Perth Parking Strategy finalised and adopted in May 2016. The Parking Management Action Plan for the Precinct (PCA1) was completed in March 2017.

PCA1, as shown in Figure 39, generally accords with the South Perth Activity Centre Plan area. There are 1035 on-street bays and 1002 off-street bays within the overall Activity Centre boundary. Given the recent completion of both these technical assessments, their findings and recommendations for on-street parking management are taken as a given for the purposes of the Activity Centre Plan.

The key findings of the Parking Management Plan were:

1. There is available parking capacity within a reasonable walking distance (400m) of the key parking generators.
2. Existing parking management situation is inconsistent and inefficient, with conflicting management strategies between on-street and off-street, public and private, as well as between adjacent parking zones.
3. Prime parking bays adjacent to high-demand destinations are still being used for commuter and construction vehicle parking, despite existing parking restrictions.
4. Free duration-restricted on-street parking, while intended to support short-stay parking, does not adequately prevent use by local employees through periodic rotation of vehicles within a zone.
5. There are opportunities to use paid parking and duration restrictions to more effectively distribute demand across the zone, prioritising ‘prime’ parking locations for the highest value purposes.

For the purposes of the Parking Management Action Plan, on and off-street parking bays were surveyed in October 2016 to understand usage and effectiveness of timed measures. All bays were surveyed within the 6 precincts – the split of on and off-street parking available within the Activity Centre is shown in Figure 40.

The duration of parking within the Activity Centre showed a high turnover of bays with a high number of short term stays of one to three hours. The use of available parking bays reported in the Parking Management Action Plan is shown in Figure 41. The surveys also showed that there was a large volume of commuter parking associated with commercial land uses in the Activity Centre. The analysis for PCA1, embedded in the Parking Strategy, underpinned the recommendations for on-street parking.
7.3 Parking – PCA1

The recommendations of the Parking Management Plan for implementation over a three year period, with the on-street outcomes by 2019-20 shown in Figure 42, were:

1. Consider the existing private and public parking supply as part of an integrated system. Work with and provide guidance to private suppliers to maximise their parking efficiency.
2. Manage demand to promote parking for specific users and land use types, using timing restrictions and fee payment schedules. These mechanisms may change to reflect different demand scenarios: weekday, weekend, school holidays, intense local construction periods.
3. Demand-responsive parking may be used (assuming high-quality occupancy data) to maximise the efficiency of the public parking supply, redistributing demand across a wider area and freeing up prime parking locations for high-value purposes.
4. Ongoing annual review of this Parking Management Plan, alongside the City’s statutory parking charges review; to adapt to changes in the built environment and road network, and to accommodate construction traffic and parking requirements. Fine-grained changes in parking will be required throughout the year, which use the Parking Management Plan as a baseline document.
5. Parking wayfinding is an important tool to maximise the effectiveness of the parking system and should be employed in stages of increasing information and complexity, from static signage in the short term to dynamic signage or mobile application tools, corresponding to the availability of data and funding.

7.4 Development Site Parking – SCA1

On-site parking provision in the Activity Centre is set out within the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No.6 Scheme Text and related policies. Specifically for the Activity Centre, Amendment No.46 to the scheme added in Schedule 9A covering the Special Control Area SCA1 – South Perth Station Precinct. The area related to SCA1, which does not capture the entire Activity Centre, is shown on Figure 43.

The controls within Schedule 9A covering parking are within two broad categories. The general controls on parking (set out in Table 5) use minimum provision of bays for developments. For comprehensive new development (means a development which is determined by Council not to be a minor alteration, addition or extension to an existing development) seeking additional building height, there are a series of performance criteria that have to be achieved.

The application of minimum parking rates, without maximum provisions, allows for substantial over provision of parking for residential developments and in turn fosters private vehicle mode trips. Within the performance criteria for comprehensive new development, maximum rates are applied to residential land uses which provides the level of control envisaged within SPP4.2.

Provision of bays for non-residential land uses also imposes a minimum as opposed to more formal controls. Clause 9.2 in the Development Requirements does provide for a reduction in bays associated within non-residential uses which is subject to assessment and agreement with the City.
Figure 42 Parking Control Area 1 (PCA1) proposed on-street controls within Activity Centre

Figure 43 Special Control Area SCA1 sub-precincts
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Element 9: Parking

9.1 Subject to Development Requirement 9.2, the minimum required on-site parking bays shall be as follows:

(a) For residential uses –

(i) 0.75 car bays per dwelling for occupiers of Single Bedroom Dwellings;
(ii) 1 car bay per dwelling for occupiers of dwellings other than Single Bedroom Dwellings;
(iii) 1 additional car bay per 6 dwellings for visitors;
(iv) in addition to the required car bays, 1 bicycle bay per 3 dwellings; and 1 bicycle bay per 10 dwellings for visitors, designed in accordance with AS2890.3 (as amended).

(b) For non-residential Uses –

(i) 0.5 car bays per Tourist Accommodation suite;
(ii) 1 car bay per 50 square metres of gross floor area for uses other than Tourist Accommodation;
(iii) 10%, or 2, of the total number of required car bays, whichever is the greater, marked for the exclusive use of visitors;
(iv) in addition to the required car bays, for staff use, 1 bicycle bay per 200 square metres of gross floor area designed in accordance with AS2890.3 (as amended); together with 1 secure clothes locker per bay; and 1 male and 1 female shower per 10 bays.

9.2 Notwithstanding Development Requirement 9.1 (b), for comprehensive new development consisting only of 2 or more non-residential uses, the Council may approve a lesser number of car or bicycle bays where it is demonstrated that the proposed number of bays is sufficient, having regard to different periods of peak parking demand for proposed non-residential land uses on the development site.

(a) In an urban area with excellent public transport and a highly walkable environment, there is a strong rationale not to apply the high levels of parking provision associated with suburban environments.

(b) Having regard to the reduced parking requirements within the South Perth Station Precinct, no parking concessions are allowed except where a proposed comprehensive new development includes more than one non-residential use and those uses have different periods of peak parking demand.

(c) On-site visitor parking bays need to be provided in a conveniently accessible location without obstructing entry to, or egress from, occupiers’ parking bays.

Table B – Performance Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Consideration</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Car Parking</td>
<td>The maximum permissible number of on-site parking bays for residential uses is as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) 1 car bay per dwelling for occupiers of 1 and 2 bedroom dwellings;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) 2 car bays per dwelling for occupiers of dwellings containing 3 or more bedrooms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. MOVEMENT NETWORK PLAN – ACTIVITY CENTRE

8.1 Movement

State Planning Policy 4.2 (SPP 4.2) – Activity Centres for Perth and Peel establishes the five key movement network elements that are required to be addressed. These elements are then tied to performance indicators. They are set out in Table 6:

Table 6 Performance indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content required</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Transport Infrastructure</td>
<td>Prioritisation of public transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved access and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight Servicing</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre Parking Policy</td>
<td>Provides for upper limits and common use of car parking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This section sets out the response on these five elements that will allow the South Perth Activity Centre Plan to achieve the performance indicators set out in SPP 4.2.

8.2 Movement – Modal Split

Within the State Planning Policy 4.2, there is no requirement to establish a mode split target for the Activity Centre. Understanding the implications for mode split is however critical and it underlines the premise for recommendations within the Activity Centre Plan.

Given the general alignment of the main travel mode splits between the Activity Centre and South Perth, existing South Perth mode splits were applied to employed persons in 2016 and then projected forward to 2031, as shown in Table 7.

If there was to be no alteration in mode splits for journey to work, the implications over this 15 year period are clear – a doubling in vehicle trips of residents for work purposes. This does not consider trips into the Activity Centre for commercial or retail employees.

In order for the Activity Centre to function within its practical capacity, modal shift for trips is required.

The required modal shift also applies to non-work trips where the RAC research shown in Figure 11 indicated there was significantly higher use of private vehicles for non-work trips. For the Activity Centre to support the form of future development proposed, the mode split for all trips needs to be closer to those established for other inner city Activity Centres such as Subiaco. Subiaco was chosen as a comparator as the overall population profile and economic profile of that area is very similar to South Perth, as shown in Figure 44 and Figure 45.

The comparison in terms of the impact of mode split is illustrated in Table 8 where 2016 Journey to Work mode splits from Subiaco were applied to the projected 2031 South Perth Activity Centre employment population. The difference in overall profile compared to Table 7 is obvious. The introduction of additional public transport infrastructure, such as the South Perth Train Station, would further support mode shift from private vehicles.

| Table 7 Projection of 2016 journey to work mode split proportions to 2031 population |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | South Perth | Population (Employed) | Population (Employed) |
|                                 | 2016     | 2016             | 2031             |
| Train                           | 0.3%     | 4                | 9                |
| Bus                             | 13.1%    | 210              | 417              |
| LRT/Ferry                       | 0.8%     | 13               | 25               |
| Car, Driver                     | 71.2%    | 1143             | 2269             |
| Car, Passenger                  | 5.1%     | 81               | 161              |
| Bicycle                         | 3.5%     | 56               | 111              |
| Walked                          | 1.5%     | 23               | 46               |
| Other                           | 4.2%     | 67               | 133              |
| Total                           | 100%     | 1598             | 3172             |
### City of Subiaco 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Previous Year Percentage</th>
<th>Previous Year Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone person households</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium and high density</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weekly household income</td>
<td>$2,017</td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$1,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$1,505</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>$1,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>$1,451</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weekly mortgage</td>
<td>$604</td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$407</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>$457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>$458</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weekly rent</td>
<td>$435</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$372</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>$348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>$332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language at home other than</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language at home other than</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation rate (population</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport (to work)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 44: Subiaco 2016 population profile and economic profile

### South Perth 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Previous Year Percentage</th>
<th>Previous Year Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone person households</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium and high density</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weekly household income</td>
<td>$1,874</td>
<td>+4%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$1,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$1,030</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>$1,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>$1,067</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weekly mortgage</td>
<td>$517</td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$457</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>$457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>$457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weekly rent</td>
<td>$393</td>
<td>+2%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>$372</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>$348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>$332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language at home other than</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language at home other than</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation rate (population</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport (to work)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Perth</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australasia</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 45: South Perth 2016 population profile and economic profile
Table 8 Application of Subiaco journey to work mode split proportions to South Perth 2031 population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2031 No Change to mode split</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Change to Subiaco 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>417</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRT/Ferry</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car, Driver</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>2269</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>-610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car, Passenger</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walked</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1598</td>
<td>3172</td>
<td>3182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.3 Public Transport

At the moment, within the Activity Centre, there is no on-street priority for public transport. Overall use of public transport is low, including use of the existing Ferry service for commuting trips. The volume of buses, and total passengers, that pass through the main intersections in the Activity Centre would not in their own right justify on-street priority measures.

Given the projected increase in trip generation and land use in the area, not planning for public transport upgrades or increased usage in the forecast year is not practical. This is supported by the many reports and technical assessments related to the future South Perth Train Station. At present, the existing bus network generally caters for local movements to and from Curtin, Central Perth and Cannington, shown in Figure 46.

To support growth in land use, and promote ease of accessibility to and from the Activity Centre, a more regional approach to the future bus network is proposed, as shown in Figure 47. The high frequency 900 series bus routes promoted by Transperth are an indicator as to the type of bus network required to ultimately support land use. South Perth needs to be connected to other sub-regional destinations to improve competitiveness with other modes and improve access. This could be achieved through:

- 900 series connecting Perth Airport (Redcliffe Station) with UWA/QEII via South Perth and Mill Point Road.
- 900 series connection Cannington, Curtin, South Perth and ECU.
- Retaining existing local routes providing a connection for residents to opportunities in the Activity Centre.

The intersection of greatest congestion is also one that could provide an opportunity for (peak hour) bus priority. This is illustrated in Figure 48 whereby a northbound peak hour bus lane could be provided between Stop 11866 and the Freeway on ramp. The heavy tidal flow in traffic on Labouchere Road could see a kerbside lane introduced and the southbound Labouchere Road configuration reduced to one lane with possible turning pocket into Bowman Street.
This configuration would need to take into account access into existing properties and future development on the Civic Heart site. A Bus phase could be introduced at the intersection to ensure priority.

In non-peak times, the section of bus lane between Bus Stop 11866 and south of the intersection of Mill Point Road could be used for short term parking bays to facilitate service vehicle movements and trips to businesses and residents along this section of Labouchere Road. The use of carriageway for on-street parking would also assist in redefining the use of Labouchere Road from being a freeway access road into a street that supports the overall movement network and land use in the immediate area.

Figure 48 General concept layout – bus priority along Labouchere Road

The development of South Perth Train Station has long been incorporated into strategic and land use planning within the South Perth Activity Centre.

Longer term development within the Activity Centre will support the addition of this station to the overall network, as summarised in the Business Case for South Perth Station completed in 2016. The business case establishes five major drivers:

1. Increasing number of residents in the catchment area.
2. Increasing employment in the catchment.
3. Patronage to the Perth Zoo (including special events and normal daily patronage).
4. Special event attractors (Australia Day Sky show, recreational walks/runs)
5. ‘X-factors (enhanced development prospects)’.

The business case established a baseline daily boarding in 2026 of between 4,365 to 5,447 compared to previous assumed boardings of 2,100 to 2,800.

Even with the very low end projection of between 2,100 and 2,800 boardings, this is the same range that Redcliffe Station will have when the Forrestfield Airport Line opens. If the higher end projections for South Perth were to come to fruition, it would be 30% higher than boardings expected at Redcliffe Station in 2031 and be similar in boarding levels to Rockingham, Midland, Leederville and Subiaco.

With the progression of planning for the Cockburn to Thornlie Line link, the addition of South Perth Station would not result in impacts to overall operations of the network.

8.4 Pedestrian Movement and Amenity

The Activity Centre already has a well-defined pedestrian network that will see improvements through the introduction of infrastructure proposed within Connect South and longer term propositions within the Joint Bike Plan where shared use paths would provide high quality pedestrian connections.

Overall, the strategies employed to improve pedestrian accessibility must start at the principle of supporting pedestrian movements in the first instance. This strategy on the ground will only come to fruition when other modes and urban elements support pedestrian movements, not unduly impact them. The strategies include, as shown in Figure 49:

- Overall reduction in posted speed limits on street to a blanket 40km/h.
- Support for on-street tree planting programme aimed at shading pedestrian paths.
- Retention and improvement of pedestrian phases at signalised intersections in the Activity Centre.
- Introduction of traffic signals along Labouchere Road at Angelo Street and Richardson Street to include pedestrian phases.
- All intersecting streets along Mill Point Road north of the intersection of Labouchere Road to incorporate Wombat crossings for pedestrian priority (Wombat Crossings being raised, marked crossings to slow vehicles but provide pedestrians with an unimpeded path along the street).
- All intersection streets along Labouchere Road within the Richardson Character Area to have Wombat crossings for pedestrian priority with the exception of Richardson Street where pedestrian phase will be incorporated.
- Implementation of the recommendations within the Connect South project report.
8.5 Cycling

The City of South Perth, alongside the Town of Victoria Park, have released a Joint Bike Plan for public comment. The recommendations for the on-street infrastructure are shown on Figure 50 alongside the existing cycling network. The principal changes proposed within the Activity Centre, that form the recommendations of this Movement Network Report as well, are:

- Development of a Principal Shared Path connection on the eastern side of the Freeway reserve along Melville Parade and including a grade separated connection of the Freeway ramps.
- Use of Lyall Street and Charles Street as safe active streets to connect with Mends Street (and Connect South).
- On or off-street connection along Labouchere Road to connect in with the existing routes along Angelo Street.

The development of the hard infrastructure must be complimented by additional planning measures aimed at supporting trips by bicycle. For the Activity Centre these are:

- Planning controls on End of Trip facilities that have no dispensation for not providing the required number of cycling parking facilities as per Schedule 9A.
- Connect South plans to be modified to include a dedicated, high quality End of Trip facility for cycle parking located within the heart of the development adjacent to the Ferry Terminal.
- Any redevelopment plans of Perth Zoo to include substantially improved cycle parking facilities and end of trip facilities for staff.
- Advance stop lines for cyclists on Mends Street arm of the Mill Point Road Intersection.

The City of South Perth is also presently developing plans for the construction of a new path connection along the South Perth Esplanade that accommodates the requirements for the Department of Transport Safe Active Streets program. The current proposition is to link into the Connect South proposals with a new 4m wide path linking Mill Point Close in the west through to the existing PSP east in St James Mitchell Park.

This proposal would also see the realignment of parking in the area (as set out in the Connect South project) and raised platform treatments at intersections. Mill Point Close would be limited to a single vehicle connection to reduce speeds and attractiveness of the route.
8.6 Traffic and Freight

In examining the impacts of the Activity Centre plan, the general requirements of SPP 4.2 must be taken into direct consideration. It is not the role or responsibility of the Activity Centre Plan Movement Network report to consider each individual lot on its merits, moreover to set in place a framework to achieve the requirements of the State Planning Policy. The general requirements for traffic are:

* The siting and planning of activity centres and management of traffic should:
  * take account of the current and planned road capacity servicing the locality;
  * ensure that vehicular access to arterial roads do not compromise their safe operation or desired transport function;
  * ensure loading/unloading facilities and associated vehicle manoeuvring areas are designed so as to optimise public safety and convenience;
  * balance regional traffic requirements for travel to, through (where appropriate) and around a centre with local traffic access needs; and
  * sustain high levels of pedestrian movement and an external street-based retail and business environment by providing suitable traffic volumes and permeability within and around the activity centre*.

To introduce additional road network capacity in this Activity Centre would simply make it more attractive to sub-regional traffic flows moving to and from the Freeway network and entrench existing travel patterns through the Activity Centre – additional road capacity would induce more traffic. This would exacerbate existing peak hour issues and reduce the ability of the network to accommodate trips associated with future development.

The opportunity to introduce additional road network capacity, such as additional or altered Freeway interchanges, was canvassed with Main Roads WA during the Activity Centre Plan. Any proposal to introduce a new interchange or connection point on the Freeway network between Canning Bridge and Mends Street is not being contemplated and is not considered a viable proposition.

Given the concept of alternative Freeway access arrangements has been rejected by Main Roads WA, the road and street network in the Activity Centre will largely remain unchanged in form in the future, however its function will be required to change.

In addition, wider area changes to the sub-regional network will likely need to be considered by the City of South Perth to ensure that the Activity Centre street network functions.

The City of South Perth has undertaken a substantial amount of modelling in the Activity Centre over the past two years, ranging from having a wider area mesoscopic model built to assess development impacts through to detailed nano-simulation intersection modelling to inform discussions with Main Roads WA on the future configuration of the road network. No modelling was undertaken for this Activity Centre plan, moreover the outputs from the existing models were reviewed and inputs/outputs interrogated to ensure that the models themselves reflected the impacts of the Activity Centre plan.

Overall, the street network in the Activity Centre performs well and its configuration supports existing and future development as well as use by all modes. The key issues relating to traffic and freight movement are focussed on the key intersections along Mill Point Road and peak hour movements.

The approach used within the Activity Centre Plan is to provide the capacity and network form required to deliver the plan through making the sub-regional movements by private vehicle less attractive and focus on benefits to the Activity Centre itself. At present, the congestion caused during peak hours is caused by both local movements and drivers using the intersection of Mill Point Road and the Freeway ramps as an access or egress point. Although this through movement largely won’t disappear, the impacts of it and attractiveness of this area as a through route can be addressed.

The overall proposals are shown on Figure 51 and include:

* Implementation of a 40km/h posted speed limit zone within the Activity Centre excepting Freeway ramps
* Introduction of bus lane along Labouchere Road and redesign of overall street to reallocate space accordingly.
* On-street off-peak short term parking along Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road to support businesses and residential uses along these roads.
* Retention and improvement of pedestrian phases at signalised intersections in the Activity Centre.
* Introduction of traffic signals along Labouchere Road at Angelo Street and Richardson Street to include pedestrian phases.


- All intersecting streets along Mill Point Road north of the intersection of Labouchere Road to incorporate Wombat crossings for pedestrian priority.
- All intersection streets along Labouchere Road within the Richardson Character Area to have Wombat crossings for pedestrian priority with the exception of Richardson Street where pedestrian phase will be incorporated.
- Implementation of the recommendations within the Connect South project report.
- Redesign of Lyall Street and Charles Street to incorporate Safe Active Street principles, improve overall streetscape and maximise pedestrian amenity.
- Implementation of Safe Active Street principles along South Perth Esplanade, including raised intersections and severing Mill Point Close at the western end to limit through movements.

8.7 Parking – On-Street

As set out in this report, the framework around on-street parking management for the entire City and in turn for the South Perth Station Precinct area was established in the City of South Perth Parking Strategy finalised and adopted in May 2016. The Parking Management Action Plan for the Precinct (PCA1) was completed in March 2017.

Given the recent completion of both these technical assessments, their findings and recommendations for on-street parking management are taken as a given for the purposes of the Activity Centre Plan.

In addition to the recommendations of the PCA1, the Activity Centre Plan proposes the introduction of non-peak on-street parking along sections Labouchere Road and Mill Road. This is to support servicing trips and short term visits associated with businesses and residents along these roads.

These bays would have appropriate times (30 min or 2P) and only operate on weekdays out of peak periods (to reflect similar situations in Central Perth. This would provide for weekend use for visitors to the Activity Centre as well.

Similar reconfiguration of distributor level roads have been undertaken around Perth that act as precedent for reallocating street space, including Cambridge Street, Beaufort Street and Fitzgerald Street.

The proposed on-street parking configuration for the Activity Centre, including indicative sections for Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road, is shown on Figure 52.
8.8 Parking – Off-Street

The existing off-street parking controls for development contained within Schedule 9A of the City of South Perth Town Planning Scheme No.6 for SCA1 reflect the intended strategic planning outcomes for the area that have been in place for a number of years.

The proposed off-street parking provision for development within the Activity Centre shown in Table 9 largely reflect these rates, or the impose general rates of parking based on outcomes within other similar Activity Centres. There are some general differences within the parking table shown in Table 9 and existing controls, being:

- There are fewer categories, covering headline land uses of retail, commercial and residential land uses.
- Residential land uses impose minimum and maximum rates in line with Schedule 9A and DesignWA provisions.
- There is a higher required provision of bicycle parking than presently set out in Schedule 9A. This is to support mode shift in the future and make use of new infrastructure provided within this plan and the City of South Perth Joint Bike Plan.
- There is a provision for payment of a transport contribution where a development seeks to provide parking bays beyond the minimum requirement. This contribution would be indexed and based on research baselined within the City of South Perth Parking Strategy. The required payment would be used to develop the transport infrastructure required to support non-private vehicle modes.
- Retention of End of Trip facility provision as per Schedule 9A of Town Planning Scheme No.6.
- Retention of potential for Clause 9.2 of Schedule 9A being considered relating to approval of a lesser number of parking bays subject to demonstrating impact.

For all development sites within the Activity Centre where there is a mixed land use composition, reciprocal rights for parking use and unbundling parking bays from land uses should be encouraged. Each application would need to demonstrate:

- Total parking mix proposed in comparison to current requirements
- Current on-street parking supply and use within 200m of front door of development
- Implications for trip generation and impact of development site
- Overall management plan
- Retention of visitor bays as per provision of Town Planning Scheme and Activity Centre Plan
- Provision or enhancement of End of Trip and bicycle parking facilities.
### Table 9 Parking provisions Activity Centre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Minimum Parking Rate</th>
<th>Maximum Parking Rate</th>
<th>Transport Contribution Requirement for bays over Minimum Provision</th>
<th>Bicycle Parking</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>Visitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50% of the cost of one bay (rates to be determined by Council and indexed)</td>
<td>1 “Class 1” per 100m³ End of trip facilities per Schedule 9A of TPS.6</td>
<td>1 “Class 3” per 100m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50% of the cost of one bay (rates to be determined by Council and indexed)</td>
<td>1 “Class 1” per 100m³ End of trip facilities per Schedule 9A of TPS.6</td>
<td>1 “Class 3” per 100m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential 1 bed or less</td>
<td>0.75* or consideration of Clause 9.2 of Schedule 9A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 space per unit</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential 2 bed or more</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50% of the cost of one bay (rates to be determined by Council and indexed)</td>
<td>1 space per unit</td>
<td>Per Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Visitors</td>
<td>1 bay per 6 dwellings</td>
<td></td>
<td>None, must be provided</td>
<td>1 space per 5 units for visitors</td>
<td>Per 6 dwellings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other uses not listed</td>
<td>As per Town Planning Scheme No.6 and supporting policies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.9 Other Measures

During the course of developing the Activity Centre Plan, and predecessor planning exercises, a range of complimentary transport strategies have evolved that bear consideration as inputs to the overall movement network. By and large, many of the strategies in the South Perth Station Precinct Transport and Access Strategy have been adopted or progressed since August 2016. Some would not be adopted given the change in policy emphasis and desired outcomes for the Activity Centre.

Other measures that are not in the bounds of the Activity Centre plans but required consideration, include:

- Retention of the intellibus trial
- Consideration of wider area car share scheme with other inner City Councils including Vincent, Victoria Park, Perth and Subiaco.
- Examination of the impact of evolving technologies in autonomous vehicles.
- Support for expansion of the local ferry network for either private or public operators that opens us access for the Activity Centre to wider Perth.
- Reintroduction of a travel demand management programme in South Perth that addresses travel to and from the Activity Centre as well as those trips generated by the Activity Centre.
- Provision for user pays parking station at Perth Zoo associated only with that facility but with the inclusion of high quality EoT facilities and sleeved development rights.

The overall transport elements set out in this Movement Network Report are set out on Figure 53.

8.10 Indicator Measurements

The success of the movement network measures proposed in the Activity Centre Plan are based on the ability of strategies and statutory controls being able to support the intent of SPP 4.2 and the objectives of that policy.

The relationship between measures proposed and the performance indicators is set out in the following pages.

- Public Transport – section 8.10.1
- Walking and Cycling – section 8.10.2
- Traffic and Freight – section 8.10.3
- Parking – section 8.10.4.
### 8.10.1 Indicator measures – Public Transport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>How indicator is achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak hour bus lanes on Labouchere Rd</td>
<td>Public Transport Infrastructure</td>
<td>Prioritisation of public transport</td>
<td>Supports prioritisation of buses in AM peak hour, increases attractiveness of bus travel and modal shift.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of high frequency bus services connecting South Perth to other Activity Centres</td>
<td>Public Transport Infrastructure</td>
<td>Prioritisation of public transport</td>
<td>Supports modal shift, reduces travel time between Activity Centres, maximises benefit of on-street bus lanes, makes South Perth more accessible and increase potential for area to be supported by Urban Rail in future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for expansion of ferry network, either public or private</td>
<td>Public Transport Infrastructure</td>
<td>Prioritisation of public transport</td>
<td>Makes South Perth more accessible, increases economic activity, supports use of public transport and consolidates South Perth’s unique location as a Ferry hub in Perth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellibus retention</td>
<td>Public Transport Infrastructure</td>
<td>Prioritisation of public transport</td>
<td>Retains unique trial of bus, potential future application in wider area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued support for South Perth Train Station</td>
<td>Public Transport Infrastructure</td>
<td>Prioritisation of public transport</td>
<td>Provides high quality, fast and high capacity public transport service that supports full build out of Activity Centre.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.10.2 Indicator measures – Walking and Cycling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>How indicator is achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in posted speed limit throughout Activity Centre to 40km/h</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Provides safer environment for pedestrians and cyclists and improves attractiveness of local trips within Activity Centre by foot or bicycle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention and improvement of pedestrian phases at signalised intersections in the Activity Centre</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Supports movement of pedestrians over busiest intersections and attractiveness to visitors of the zoo of non private vehicle modes. Supports Connect South proposals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of traffic signals along Labouchere Road at Angelo Street and Richardson Street including pedestrian phases</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Reduces impact of through vehicle traffic by making the route to and from the freeway longer in time by average. Supports movement of pedestrians across Labouchere Road between Zoo and existing parks and car parking areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All intersecting streets along Mill Point Road north of the intersection of Labouchere Road to incorporate Wombat crossings for pedestrian priority</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Prioritises pedestrian movement without restricting vehicles. Put clear emphasis on safety outcomes rather than vehicle speeds being the most important aspect of street network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All intersection streets along Labouchere Road within the Richardson Character Area to have Wombat crossings for pedestrian priority with the exception of Richardson Street</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Prioritises pedestrian movement without restricting vehicles. Put clear emphasis on safety outcomes rather than vehicle speeds being the most important aspect of street network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Element</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>How indicator is achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement recommendations of Joint Bike Plan when finalised</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Supports travel by bicycle and makes Activity Centre safer to move around. Assists in achieving modal shift away from private vehicle use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved access and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning controls on End of Trip facilities that have no dispensation for not providing the required number of cycling parking facilities as per Schedule 9A</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Provides end of trip facilities. Supports use of bicycle for commuting trips and maximises benefit from new cycling infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved access and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connect South plans to be modified to include a dedicated, high quality End of Trip facility for cycle parking located within the heart of the development adjacent to the Ferry Terminal</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Provides end of trip facilities. Supports use of bicycle for commuting and recreational trips and maximises benefit from new cycling infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved access and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any redevelopment plans of Perth Zoo to include substantially improved cycle parking facilities and end of trip facilities for staff</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Provides end of trip facilities. Supports use of bicycle for commuting trips and maximises benefit from new cycling infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved access and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance stop lines for cyclists on Mends Street arm of the Mill Point Road Intersection</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Supports travel by bicycle and makes Activity Centre safer to move around.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved access and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplication of South Perth Esplanade Path</td>
<td>Walking and Cycling</td>
<td>Provision of End of Trip Facilities</td>
<td>Supports travel by bicycle and makes Activity Centre safer to move around. Assists in achieving modal shift away from private vehicle use. Supports development of Connect South and community focal point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved access and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 8.10.3 Indicator measures – Traffic Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>How indicator is achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak hour bus lanes on Labouchere Rd</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Supports travel by bicycle and makes Activity Centre safer to move around. Assists in achieving modal shift away from private vehicle use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in posted speed limit throughout Activity Centre to 40km/h</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Provides safer environment for pedestrians and cyclists and improves attractiveness of local trips within Activity Centre by foot or bicycle. Doesn't impact on travel times by vehicle, nor restrict access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-street off-peak short term parking along Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Support businesses and residential uses along these roads, provides more service bays which the PCA1 recommends but doesn't provide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention and improvement of pedestrian phases at signalised intersections in the Activity Centre</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Supports movement of pedestrians over busiest intersections and attractiveness to visitors of the zoo of non private vehicle modes. Supports Connect South proposals. No impact on access for private or service vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of traffic signals along Labouchere Road at Angelo Street and Richardson Street including pedestrian phases</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Reduces impact of through vehicle traffic by making the route to and from the freeway longer in time by average. Supports movement of pedestrians across Labouchere Road between Zoo and existing parks and car parking areas. Supports movement of vehicles to development sites and Richardson character area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersecting streets along Mill Point Road north of the intersection of Labouchere Road to incorporate Wombat crossings for pedestrian priority</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Prioritises pedestrian movement without restricting vehicles. Put clear emphasis on safety outcomes rather than vehicle speeds being the most important aspect of street network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconfiguration of streets in Richardson character area to provide left in-left out movements, supporting the need for traffic signals at Richardson Street</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Provides distinct entry and exit points to character area and supports local trips over sub-regional traffic movement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesign of Lyall Street and Charles Street to incorporate Safe Active Street principles, improve overall streetscape and maximise pedestrian amenity</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Provides safer environment for pedestrians and cyclists and improves attractiveness of local trips within Activity Centre by foot or bicycle. Doesn't impact on travel times by vehicle, nor restrict access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Safe Active Street principles along South Perth Esplanade, including raised intersections and severing Mill Point Close at the western end to limit through movements</td>
<td>Traffic Assessment</td>
<td>Improved access by all modes, including freight vehicles</td>
<td>Provides safer environment for pedestrians and cyclists and improves attractiveness of local trips within Activity Centre by foot or bicycle. Doesn't impact on travel times by vehicle, nor restrict access.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 8.10.4 Indicator measures – Centre Parking Policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>How indicator is achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-street off-peak short term parking along Labouchere Road and Mill Point Road</td>
<td>Centre Parking Policy</td>
<td>Provides for upper limits and common use of car parking</td>
<td>Support businesses and residential uses along these roads, provides more service bays which the PCA1 recommends but doesn't provide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised off-street parking provision for development within the Activity Centre</td>
<td>Centre Parking Policy</td>
<td>Provides for upper limits and common use of car parking</td>
<td>Supports use of upper limits on parking provision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher provision of bicycle parking than presently set out in Schedule 9A</td>
<td>Centre Parking Policy</td>
<td>Provides for upper limits and common use of car parking</td>
<td>Support mode shift in the future and maximise use of new infrastructure provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of a transport contribution where a development seeks to provide parking bays beyond the minimum requirement</td>
<td>Centre Parking Policy</td>
<td>Provides for upper limits and common use of car parking</td>
<td>Supports lower provision of parking associated with land uses without impacting visitor bays. Establishes fund to be able to implement other measures in Activity Centre Plan. Focuses user pays on the vehicle movements that do impact local streets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In mixed land use composition, reciprocal rights for parking use and unbundling parking bays from land uses is encouraged.</td>
<td>Centre Parking Policy</td>
<td>Provides for upper limits and common use of car parking</td>
<td>Maximises use of parking in Activity Centre and reduces potential over provision.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>